

1           **ERRATUM: GLOBAL REGULARITY OF NON-DIFFUSIVE  
2 TEMPERATURE FRONTS FOR THE 2D VISCOUS BOUSSINESQ  
3 SYSTEM\***

4           DONGHO CHAE<sup>†</sup>, QIANYUN MIAO<sup>‡</sup>, AND LIUTANG XUE<sup>§</sup>

5           **Abstract.** There is an error of misusing a commutator formula in the proof of Lemma 2.7  
6 in [SIAM J. Math. Anal., 54 (2022), 4043-4103] so that this lemma is not correct. However, by  
7 establishing a weaker version of this commutator lemma, the main results including Theorem 1.1 in  
8 [SIAM J. Math. Anal., 54 (2022), 4043-4103] still hold true. In addition, we confirm the validity of  
9 Lemma 2.4-(3) and Lemma 2.5-(3) in [SIAM J. Math. Anal., 54 (2022), 4043-4103] although similar  
10 error occurs in the proof.

11           **Key words.** Boussinesq system, temperature patch problem, commutator estimate, striated  
12 estimates.

13           **MSC codes.** 76D03, 35Q35, 35Q86.

14           In [1], there is an error of misusing a commutator formula in the proof of Lemma  
15 2.7 so that the commutator estimate (2.23) is not valid. But we instead can show a  
16 weaker result as follows, which is sufficient for our use.

17           LEMMA 0.1. *Let  $s \in (0, 1)$ ,  $p \in [2, \infty]$ ,  $r \in [1, \infty]$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}_{-1} := m(D)\Lambda^{-1}$ ,  $\Lambda =$   
18  $(-\Delta)^{1/2}$  and  $m(D)$  be a zero-order pseudo-differential operator with its symbol  $m(\xi) \in$   
19  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ . Assume that  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_d)$  is a smooth divergence-free vector field  
20 and  $\phi$  is a smooth scalar function. Then we have*

21           (0.1)        $\|[\mathcal{R}_{-1}, u \cdot \nabla]\phi\|_{B_{p,r}^s} \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^p}(\|\phi\|_{B_{\infty,r}^{s-1}} + \|\phi\|_{L^2}) + C\|u\|_{L^2}\|\phi\|_{L^2},$

23           where  $C > 0$  is a constant depending only on  $s$ ,  $p$  and  $d$ .

24           *Proof.* Bony's decomposition gives

25            $[\mathcal{R}_{-1}, u \cdot \nabla]\phi$   
26         $= \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}} [\mathcal{R}_{-1}, S_{q-1}u \cdot \nabla]\Delta_q\phi + \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}} [\mathcal{R}_{-1}, \Delta_q u \cdot \nabla]S_{q-1}\phi + \sum_{q \geq -1} [\mathcal{R}_{-1}, \Delta_q u \cdot \nabla]\tilde{\Delta}_q\phi$   
27         $=: \text{I} + \text{II} + \text{III},$

29           where we have adopted the standard notations in the Littlewood-Paley theory (see [1,  
30 Section 2]). For I, there exists a bump function  $\tilde{\psi} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  supported on an annulus  
31 of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  away from zero such that

32           (0.2)        $\text{I} = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}} [\mathcal{R}_{-1}\tilde{\psi}(2^{-q}D), S_{q-1}u \cdot \nabla]\Delta_q\phi$

34           with  $\mathcal{R}_{-1}\tilde{\psi}(2^{-q}D) = 2^{q(d-1)}\bar{h}(2^q \cdot)^*$  and  $\bar{h} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Thus by using Minkowski's

\*Submitted to the editors DATE.

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, Chung-Ang University, Dongjak-gu, Heukseok-ro 84, Seoul 06974,  
Republic of Korea (dchae@cau.ac.kr).

<sup>‡</sup>School of Mathematics and Statistics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, P. R.  
China (qianyunm@bit.edu.cn).

<sup>§</sup>Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems (MOE), School of Mathematical Sciences,  
Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, P.R. China (xuelt@bnu.edu.cn).

35 inequality we find that for every  $j \geq -1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 36 \quad 2^{js} \|\Delta_j I\|_{L^p} &\leq C 2^{js} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}, |q-j| \leq 4} \|[\mathcal{R}_{-1} \tilde{\psi}(2^{-q} D), S_{q-1} u \cdot \nabla] \Delta_q \phi\|_{L^p} \\ 37 \quad &\leq C 2^{js} \sum_{|q-j| \leq 4} \int_{R^d} 2^{q(d-1)} |\bar{h}(2^q y)| |y| dy \|\nabla S_{q-1} u\|_{L^p} \|\nabla \Delta_q \phi\|_{L^\infty} \\ 38 \quad &\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^p} \sum_{|q-j| \leq 4} 2^{q(s-1)} \|\Delta_q \phi\|_{L^\infty}, \\ 39 \end{aligned}$$

40 which ensures that

$$41 \quad \|I\|_{B_{p,r}^s} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^p} \|\phi\|_{B_{\infty,r}^{s-1}}.$$

43 The error in [1, Lemma 2.7] appears in the treating of II, where it was thought a  
44 similar formula (0.2) holds for II, but indeed it is not correct. Instead, for II, noting  
45 that

$$46 \quad \text{II} = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \mathcal{R}_{-1} \tilde{\psi}(2^{-q} D) (\Delta_q u \cdot \nabla S_{q-1} \phi) - \Delta_q u \cdot \nabla \mathcal{R}_{-1} S_{q-1} \phi \right), \\ 47$$

48 and using the fact  $\mathcal{R}_{-1} \nabla \Delta_j$  ( $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $p \in [2, \infty]$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 49 \quad 2^{js} \|\Delta_j \text{II}\|_{L^p} \\ 50 \quad &\leq C 2^{js} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}, |q-j| \leq 4} \left( 2^{-q} \|(\Delta_q u \cdot \nabla S_{q-1} \phi)\|_{L^p} + \|\Delta_q u\|_{L^p} \|\nabla \mathcal{R}_{-1} S_{q-1} \phi\|_{L^\infty} \right) \\ 51 \quad &\leq C \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}, |q-j| \leq 4} 2^{qs} \|\Delta_q u\|_{L^p} \left( \|S_{q-1} \phi\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla \mathcal{R}_{-1} \Delta_{-1} \phi\|_{L^\infty} + \sum_{0 \leq l \leq q-1} \|\Delta_l \phi\|_{L^\infty} \right) \\ 52 \quad &\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^p} \sum_{|q-j| \leq 4} \left( 2^{q(s-1)} \|\Delta_{-1} \phi\|_{L^2} + \sum_{0 \leq l \leq q-1} 2^{(q-l)(s-1)} 2^{l(s-1)} \|\Delta_l \phi\|_{L^\infty} \right), \\ 53 \end{aligned}$$

54 which combined with the discrete Young's inequality leads to that for every  $s < 1$ ,

$$55 \quad \|II\|_{B_{p,r}^s} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^p} (\|\phi\|_{B_{\infty,r}^{s-1}} + \|\phi\|_{L^2}). \\ 56$$

57 For III, in light of the divergence-free property of  $u$ , we split it as the following

$$\begin{aligned} 58 \quad \text{III} &= \sum_{q \geq 3} \mathcal{R}_{-1} \nabla \cdot (\Delta_q u \tilde{\Delta}_q \phi) - \sum_{q \geq 3} \Delta_q u \cdot \nabla \mathcal{R}_{-1} \tilde{\Delta}_q \phi + \sum_{-1 \leq q \leq 2} [\mathcal{R}_{-1} \nabla \cdot, \Delta_q u] \tilde{\Delta}_q \phi \\ 59 \quad &=: \text{III}_1 + \text{III}_2 + \text{III}_3. \\ 60 \end{aligned}$$

61 For  $\text{III}_1$ , since  $\mathcal{R}_{-1} \nabla$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $p \in [2, \infty)$ , we deduce that for  $j = -1$   
62 (realizing  $\frac{2p}{p+2} = 2$  for  $p = \infty$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} 63 \quad 2^{-s} \|\Delta_{-1} \text{III}_1\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C \sum_{q \geq 3} \|\Delta_q u \tilde{\Delta}_q \phi\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p+2}}} \\ 64 \quad &\leq C \sum_{q \geq 3} \|\Delta_q u\|_{L^p} \|\tilde{\Delta}_q \phi\|_{L^2} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^p} \|\phi\|_{L^2}, \\ 65 \end{aligned}$$

66 and for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 67 \quad 2^{js} \|\Delta_j \text{III}_1\|_{L^p} &\leq C 2^{js} \sum_{q \geq 3, q \geq j-3} \|\Delta_j \mathcal{R}_{-1} \nabla \cdot (\Delta_q u \tilde{\Delta}_q \phi)\|_{L^p} \\ 68 \quad &\leq C \sum_{q \geq 3, q \geq j-3} 2^{(j-q)s} 2^q \|\Delta_q u\|_{L^p} 2^{q(s-1)} \|\tilde{\Delta}_q \phi\|_{L^\infty} \\ 69 \quad &\leq C c_j \|\nabla u\|_{L^p} \|\phi\|_{B_{\infty,r}^{s-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

71 where  $\{c_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is such that  $\|c_j\|_{\ell^r} = 1$ . The estimation of  $\text{III}_2$  is similar as that of  
72  $\text{III}_1$ , and we get

$$73 \quad \|\text{III}_1\|_{B_{p,r}^s} + \|\text{III}_2\|_{B_{p,r}^s} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^p} (\|\phi\|_{B_{\infty,r}^{s-1}} + \|\phi\|_{L^2}).$$

75 For  $\text{III}_3$ , we directly have

$$\begin{aligned} 76 \quad \|\text{III}_3\|_{B_{p,r}^s} &\leq C \sum_{-1 \leq j \leq 6} \sum_{-1 \leq q \leq 2} \left( \|\Delta_j \mathcal{R}_{-1} \nabla \cdot (\Delta_q u \tilde{\Delta}_q \phi)\|_{L^p} + \|\Delta_q u \cdot \nabla \mathcal{R}_{-1} \tilde{\Delta}_q \phi\|_{L^p} \right) \\ 77 \quad &\leq C \sum_{-1 \leq q \leq 2} \left( \|\Delta_q u \tilde{\Delta}_q \phi\|_{L^1} + \|\Delta_q u \cdot \nabla \mathcal{R}_{-1} \tilde{\Delta}_q \phi\|_{L^1} \right) \\ 78 \quad &\leq C \sum_{-1 \leq q \leq 2} \|\Delta_q u\|_{L^2} \|\tilde{\Delta}_q \phi\|_{L^2} \leq C \|u\|_{L^2} \|\phi\|_{L^2}. \\ 79 \end{aligned}$$

80 Therefore, collecting the above estimates yields the wanted estimate (0.1).  $\square$

81 We can use Lemma 0.1 to replace [1, Lemma 2.7] in the application, which is  
82 mainly used in the section 3 and appendix B of [1]. Indeed, in (3.10) and (3.20) of  
83 [1], it needs to estimate  $\|[\mathcal{R}_{-1}, u \cdot \nabla] \theta\|_{L_T^1(L^2)}$  and  $\|[\mathcal{R}_{-1}, u \cdot \nabla] \theta\|_{L_T^1(L^p)}$  ( $p > 2$ ), and  
84 they both are controlled by the following

$$\begin{aligned} 85 \quad &\|[\mathcal{R}_{-1}, u \cdot \nabla] \theta\|_{L_T^1(B_{2,1}^{1-\frac{2}{p}})} \\ 86 \quad &\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L_T^1(L^2)} \left( \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty(B_{\infty,1}^{-\frac{2}{p}})} + \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty(L^2)} \right) + C \|u\|_{L_T^1(L^2)} \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty(L^2)} \\ 87 \quad &\leq C \|u\|_{L_T^1(H^1)} \|\theta\|_{L_T^\infty(L^2 \cap L^\infty)}; \end{aligned}$$

89 while in (3.45) and (B.7), it suffices to control  $\|[\mathcal{R}_{-1}, u \cdot \nabla] \theta\|_{L_t^1(B_{\infty,1}^{\gamma'})}$  and  $\|[\mathcal{R}_{-1}, u \cdot \nabla] \theta\|_{L_t^1(B_{r,1}^{\gamma'})}$  for every  $0 < \gamma' < 1 - \frac{2}{p}$  and  $r \geq 2$ , and from the Besov embedding they  
90 can be bounded as follows

$$\begin{aligned} 92 \quad &\|[\mathcal{R}_{-1}, u \cdot \nabla] \theta\|_{L_t^1(B_{p,1}^{\gamma'+\frac{2}{p}})} + \|[\mathcal{R}_{-1}, u \cdot \nabla] \theta\|_{L_t^1(B_{2,1}^{\gamma'})} \\ 93 \quad &\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^1(L^p \cap L^2)} \left( \|\theta\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{\infty,1}^{\gamma'+\frac{2}{p}-1})} + \|\theta\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{\infty,1}^{\gamma'-1} \cap L^2)} \right) + \|u\|_{L_t^1(L^2)} \|\theta\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)} \\ 94 \quad &\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^1(L^p \cap L^2)} \|\theta\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2 \cap L^\infty)} + \|u\|_{L_t^1(L^2)} \|\theta\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

96 Hence, the main results including Theorem 1.1 in [1] still hold true.

97 Finally, we remark that the error of misusing commutator formula also appears  
98 in the proof of Lemma 2.5-(3) and Lemma 2.4-(3) in [1], but they can be easily fixed  
99 without using the commutator structure, so the validity of Lemma 2.5-(3) and Lemma

100 2.4-(3) is not affected. Indeed, in Lemma 2.4-(3), it suffices to estimate  $\|\mathcal{II}\|_{B_{p,r}^{-\epsilon}}$  with  
 101  $\epsilon \in (-1, 1)$ ,  $(p, r) \in [1, \infty]^2$  and

$$102 \quad \mathcal{II} := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} [m(D), \Delta_j u \cdot \nabla] S_{j-1} \phi = \mathcal{II}_1 - \mathcal{II}_2,$$

104 and

$$105 \quad \mathcal{II}_1 := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} m(D) \tilde{\psi}(2^{-j} D) (\Delta_j u \cdot \nabla S_{j-1} \phi), \quad \mathcal{II}_2 := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \Delta_j u \cdot \nabla m(D) S_{j-1} \phi,$$

107 where  $m(D)$  is a zero-order pseudo-differential operator with symbol  $m(\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus  
 108 \{0\})$  and  $\tilde{\psi}$  is defined as in (0.2), then we have that for  $q \geq -1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 109 \quad & 2^{-q\epsilon} \|\Delta_q \mathcal{II}\|_{L^p} \\ 110 \quad & \leq C 2^{-q\epsilon} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}, |j-q| \leq 4} \left( \|m(D) \tilde{\psi}(2^{-j} D) (\Delta_j u \cdot \nabla S_{j-1} \phi)\|_{L^p} + \|\Delta_j u \nabla m(D) S_{j-1} \phi\|_{L^p} \right) \\ 111 \quad & \leq C 2^{-q\epsilon} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}, |j-q| \leq 4} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^\infty} \left( \|\nabla S_{j-1} \phi\|_{L^p} + \|\nabla m(D) S_{j-1} \phi\|_{L^p} \right) \\ 112 \quad & \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}, |j-q| \leq 4} 2^{-j(\epsilon+1)} \left( \sum_{-1 \leq j' \leq j-1} 2^{j'} \|\Delta_{j'} \phi\|_{L^p} \right) \\ 113 \quad & \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{|j-q| \leq 4} \sum_{-1 \leq j' \leq j-1} 2^{(j'-j)(1+\epsilon)} 2^{-j'\epsilon} \|\Delta_{j'} \phi\|_{L^p}, \\ 114 \end{aligned}$$

115 which leads to the desired inequality

$$116 \quad \|\mathcal{II}\|_{B_{p,r}^{-\epsilon}} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|\phi\|_{B_{p,r}^{-\epsilon}}.$$

118 While for Lemma 2.4-(3), it suffices to show (5.29) in [1], that is, for every  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$   
 119 and  $(p, r) \in [1, \infty]^2$ ,

$$120 \quad (0.3) \quad \|\mathcal{II}_1\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{p,r,\mathcal{W}}^{-\epsilon, \ell+1}} + \|\mathcal{II}_2\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{p,r,\mathcal{W}}^{-\epsilon, \ell+1}} \lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{W}}^{0, \ell+1}} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,r,\mathcal{W}}^{-\epsilon, \ell+1}}.$$

122 In fact, using Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2 in [1], we find that for every  $q \geq -1$  and  $\lambda \in  
 123 \{0, 1, \dots, \ell+1\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 124 \quad & 2^{-q\epsilon} \|\Delta_q (T_{\mathcal{W} \cdot \nabla})^\lambda \mathcal{II}_1\|_{L^p} \\ 125 \quad & \lesssim 2^{-q\epsilon} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}, j \sim q} \|(T_{\mathcal{W} \cdot \nabla})^\lambda m(D) \tilde{\psi}(2^{-j} D) (\Delta_j u \cdot \nabla S_{j-1} \phi)\|_{L^p} \\ 126 \quad & \lesssim 2^{-q\epsilon} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}, j \sim q} \sum_{\mu=0}^{\lambda} \|(T_{\mathcal{W} \cdot \nabla})^\mu (\Delta_j u \cdot \nabla S_{j-1} \phi)\|_{L^p} \\ 127 \quad & \lesssim 2^{-q\epsilon} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}, j \sim q} \sum_{\mu_1 + \mu_2 \leq \lambda} \|(T_{\mathcal{W} \cdot \nabla})^{\mu_1} \Delta_j u\|_{L^\infty} \|(T_{\mathcal{W} \cdot \nabla})^{\mu_2} \nabla S_{j-1} \phi\|_{L^p} \\ 128 \quad & \lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}, j \sim q} \sum_{\mu_1 + \mu_2 \leq \lambda} 2^{-j(1+\epsilon)} \left( \sum_{j_1 \sim j} \sum_{\mu_3=0}^{\mu_1} \|(T_{\mathcal{W} \cdot \nabla})^{\mu_3} \Delta_{j_1} \nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \right) \left( \sum_{j' \leq j-1} \|(T_{\mathcal{W} \cdot \nabla})^{\mu_2} \nabla \Delta_{j'} \phi\|_{L^p} \right) \\ 129 \quad & \lesssim c_q \sum_{\mu_1 + \mu_2 \leq \lambda} \left( \sum_{\mu_3=0}^{\mu_1} \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{W}}^{0, \mu_3}} \right) \left\| \left( \sum_{j' \leq j-1} 2^{(j'-j)(1+\epsilon)} 2^{-j'(1+\epsilon)} \|(T_{\mathcal{W} \cdot \nabla})^{\mu_2} \Delta_{j'} \nabla \phi\|_{L^p} \right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \right\|_{\ell^r} \\ 130 \quad & \lesssim c_q \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{W}}^{0, \ell+1}} \sum_{\mu_2=0}^{\lambda} \|\nabla \phi\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{p,r,\mathcal{W}}^{-1-\epsilon, \mu_2}} \\ 131 \quad & \lesssim c_q \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{W}}^{0, \ell+1}} \|\phi\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{p,r,\mathcal{W}}^{-\epsilon, \ell+1}} \lesssim c_q \|\nabla u\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{W}}^{0, \ell+1}} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,r,\mathcal{W}}^{-\epsilon, \ell+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

133 with  $\{c_q\}_{q \geq -1}$  satisfying  $\|c_q\|_{\ell^r} = 1$ , and similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
134 \quad & 2^{-q\epsilon} \|\Delta_q(T_{\mathcal{W}\cdot\nabla})^\lambda \mathcal{II}_2\|_{L^p} \\
135 \quad & \lesssim 2^{-q\epsilon} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}, j \sim q} \|(T_{\mathcal{W}\cdot\nabla})^\lambda (\Delta_j u \cdot \nabla m(D) S_{j-1} \phi)\|_{L^p} \\
136 \quad & \lesssim 2^{-q\epsilon} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}, j \sim q} \sum_{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \leq \lambda} \|(T_{\mathcal{W}\cdot\nabla})^{\lambda_1} \Delta_j u\|_{L^\infty} \|(T_{\mathcal{W}\cdot\nabla})^{\lambda_2} \nabla m(D) S_{j-1} \phi\|_{L^p} \\
137 \quad & \lesssim \sum_{j \sim q} \sum_{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \leq \lambda} 2^{-j(1+\epsilon)} \left( \sum_{j_1 \sim j} \sum_{\lambda_3=0}^{\lambda_1} \|(T_{\mathcal{W}\cdot\nabla})^{\lambda_3} \Delta_{j_1} \nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \right) \left( \sum_{j'= -1}^{j-1} \|(T_{\mathcal{W}\cdot\nabla})^{\lambda_2} \nabla m(D) \Delta_{j'} \phi\|_{L^p} \right) \\
138 \quad & \lesssim \sum_{j \sim q} \sum_{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \leq \lambda} 2^{-j(1+\epsilon)} \left( \sum_{\lambda_3=0}^{\lambda_1} \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{W}}^{0,\lambda_3}} \right) \times \\
139 \quad & \times \left( \|(T_{\mathcal{W}\cdot\nabla})^{\lambda_2} \nabla m(D) \Delta_{-1} \phi\|_{L^p} + \sum_{j'=0}^{j-1} \|(T_{\mathcal{W}\cdot\nabla})^{\lambda_2} \nabla m(D) \Delta_{j'} \phi\|_{L^p} \right) \\
140 \quad & \lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{W}}^{0,\ell+1}} \sum_{j \sim q} \sum_{\lambda_2=0}^{\lambda} 2^{-j(1+\epsilon)} \left( \|\nabla m(D) \Delta_{-1} \phi\|_{L^p} + \sum_{j'=0}^{j-1} \sum_{\lambda_4=0}^{\lambda_2} 2^{j'} \|(T_{\mathcal{W}\cdot\nabla})^{\lambda_4} \Delta_{j'} \phi\|_{L^p} \right) \\
141 \quad & \lesssim c_q \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{W}}^{0,\ell+1}} \left( \|\Delta_{-1} \phi\|_{L^p} + \sum_{\lambda_4=0}^{\lambda} \left\| \left( \sum_{0 \leq j' \leq j-1} 2^{(j'-j)(1+\epsilon)} 2^{-j'\epsilon} \|(T_{\mathcal{W}\cdot\nabla})^{\lambda_4} \Delta_{j'} \phi\|_{L^p} \right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \right\|_{\ell^r} \right) \\
142 \quad & \lesssim c_q \|\nabla u\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathcal{W}}^{0,\ell+1}} \|\phi\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{p,r;\mathcal{W}}^{-\epsilon,\ell+1}} \lesssim c_q \|\nabla u\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{W}}^{0,\ell+1}} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,r;\mathcal{W}}^{-\epsilon,\ell+1}},
\end{aligned}$$

144 then the desired inequality (0.3) follows immediately.

145

## REFERENCES

- 146 [1] D. CHAE, Q. MIAO, L. XUE, *Global regularity of non-diffusive temperature fronts for the 2D*  
147 *viscous Boussinesq system.* SIAM J. Math. Anal., 54 (2022), no. 4, pp. 4043–4103.