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Abstract. A general version of Sobolev type inequality, including both of the classical
Sobolev inequality and the logarithmic Sobolev one, is studied for general symmetric forms
by using isoperimetric constants. As results, some necessary and sufficient conditions are
presented. The main results are illustrated by two examples of birth-death processes.

1. Introduction

Logarithmic Sobolev inequality has become a very active direction since initiated
by Gross [7] in 1975. It has been well developed in the context of diffusions for both
finite and infinite dimensional cases. There are also some results for finite Markov
chains (see e.g. [10] and references therein). In this paper, we study a general version
of Sobolev inequalities for general symmetric forms by using isoperimetric constants.
The main idea of the study goes back to Cheeger’s inequality [3], which is well known
and widely used in geometric analysis. This inequality is then established for bounded
jump processes by Lawler and Sokal [8]. See also [6] for Cheeger’s inequality for Markov
Chains on finite graphs. Recently, this inequality was also established by Chen and

Let $(E, \mathcal{E})$ be a measurable space with a reference measure $\mu$ which is either a prob-
ability measure or is infinite. Next, let $J$ be a symmetric measure on $E \times E$. Define
the symmetric form by

$$D(f, g) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{E \times E} J(dx, dy) \left[ f(y) - f(x) \right] \cdot \left[ g(y) - g(x) \right],$$

for $f, g \in D := \{ h \in \mathcal{E} : D(h, h) < \infty \}$. 
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Let $F \in C(0, \infty)$ be such that $\inf r F(r) > -\infty$ and $F(r) > 0$ for big $r$. We say that the F-Sobolev inequality with dimension $p \in (2, \infty]$ (denoted by $FS(p)$) holds for $(D, \mu)$, if there exist two constants $C_1 \geq 0, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\mu(||f||^{2p/(p-2)} F(f^2))^{(p-2)/p} \leq C_1 + C_2 D(f, f)$$

holds for all $f \in D'$ with $\mu(f^2) = 1$, where $D' = \{ f \in D : \mu(f \neq 0) < \infty \}$. In particular, we call the inequality tight (denoted by $TFS(p)$) if (1.1) holds for $C_1 = 0$.

Obviously, when $F \equiv 1$, (1.1) is just the classical Sobolev inequality with dimension $p$. When $\mu$ is a probability measure and $F = \log$, (1.1) becomes the defective logarithmic Sobolev inequality, which is equivalent to the usual logarithmic Sobolev inequality

$$\mu(f^2 \log f^2) \leq CD(f, f), \quad \mu(f^2) = 1, f \in D'$$

whenever the spectral gap inequality holds, namely, there exists $\lambda_1 > 0$ such that

$$\lambda_1 [\mu(f^2) - \mu(f^2)] \leq D(f, f), \quad f \in D'.$$

We now go to look for a proper isoperimetric constant which is hoped to well describe $FS(p)$. We shall need the following condition of $F$:

$$F \in C^1[0, \infty), \quad F(0) = 1, \quad F' \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \to \infty} \sup_{r \to \infty} \frac{(p-2)rF'(r)}{2pF(r)} < \frac{p-1}{p}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.4)

Moreover, it is natural to assume the following as soon as $FS(p)$ is considered:

$$\inf \{ \mu(A) : \mu(A) > 0 \} = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.5)

Otherwise, $\mu(f^2) = 1$ implies that $f^2 \leq C \text{a.e.-}\mu$ for some constant $C$, then one has $FS(p)$ for any $p$ and $F$. Under (1.5), and assume that $\lim_{r \to \infty} F(r) = \infty$ whenever $FS(\infty)$ is considered, by taking the test function $f = 1_A/\sqrt{\mu(A)}$ in (1.1) and then letting $\mu(A) \to 0$, one get immediately that $FS(p)$ implies $\kappa_p(0) > 0$. Similarly, $TFS(p)$ implies $\kappa_p(\infty) > 0$. Where

$$\kappa_p(r) = \inf_{\mu(A) \in (0, r]} \frac{J(A \times A^c)}{\mu(A) (p-2)/p F(\mu(A)^{-1}(p-2)/p)}, \quad r > 0,$$

$$\kappa_p(0) = \lim_{r \to 0^+} \kappa_p(r), \quad \kappa_p(\infty) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \kappa_p(r).$$

Consequently, if (1.5) holds and $J(dx, E) \leq M \mu(dx)$ for some constant $M$, then $FS(p)$ does not hold (we assume in addition $\lim_{r \to \infty} F(r) = \infty$ when $p = \infty$). Actually, in this case, by letting $\mu(A) \to 0$, one has $\kappa_p(0) = 0$. The problem then becomes whether “$\kappa_p(0) > 0$” is also sufficient for $FS(p)$. Unfortunately, the answer is negative. Actually, it is not difficult to give some counterexamples. See e.g. Example 3.1 in section 3.

But what is the correct constant to ensure $FS(p)$? It should be in some sense smaller than the one defined above. So, it is natural to define the constant by using a “smaller” symmetric measure instead of $J$. To do this, we follow the line of [5]. Let $\gamma$ be a measurable nonnegative symmetric function such that
Moreover, the measure $J$ is also necessary for irreducible birth-death processes.

Before moving further, let us recall some concrete cases of the form $D$, or equivalently, the measure $J$. For a symmetric jump process (see e.g. [4]), we have a $q$-pair $(q(x), q(x, dy))$. We assume in this paper that $q(x) = q(x, E \setminus \{x\}) < \infty$. Let $\mu$ be the symmetric measure (not necessarily finite), we have $J(dx, dy) = q(x, dy)\mu(dx)$. In this case, a natural choice of $\gamma$ is $\gamma(x,y) = q(x) \vee q(y)$. When $E$ is countable, we simply denote $q_{ij} = q(i, \{j\})$, $q_i = q(i)$. Especially, we shall often consider the most simple but very interesting case, namely, a birth-death process: $E = \mathbb{Z}_+, q_{i,i-1} = a_i$ (the death rate), $q_{i,i+1} = b_i$ (the birth rate) and $q_{ij} = 0$ for $|i-j| \neq 1$. Note that in the final case we always assume $a_0 = 0$.

We present below a criterion of $k_p$ for countable Markov chains.

**Theorem 1.2.** Under (1.4). Consider countable the Markov chain: $E = \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $J(i,j) = q_{ij}\mu_i$ with $\mu_i > 0 (i \geq 0)$ and $\sum_i \mu_i = 1$. Let $\gamma(i,j) = q_i \vee q_j, q'_{ij} = q_{ij}/\sqrt{\gamma(i,j)}$ and $I_i = [i, \infty) \cap E$. We have $k_p(0) > 0$ provided

$$\inf_{i \geq 1} \frac{\mu_i \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} q'_{ij}}{\mu(I_i)^{(p-1)/p} F(\mu(I_i)^{-1})^{(p-2)/2p}} > 0. \quad (1.7)$$

Moreover, (1.7) is also necessary for irreducible birth-death processes (i.e. $a_i, b_{i-1} > 0$ for all $i \geq 1$). Similarly, the result also holds for $\kappa_p$ with $q'_{ij}$ replaced by $q_{ij}$.

We have pointed out that $\kappa_p(0) > 0$ is usually weaker than $FS(p)$. A natural question is: can we prove a weaker inequality from $\kappa_p(0) > 0$? The answer is positive and the inequality is the following:

$$\mu(|f|^{2p/(p-2)} F(f^2))^{(p-2)/p} \leq C_1 + C_2 \int J(dx, dy)|f(x)^2 - f(y)^2|, \quad (1.1')$$
where \( f \in \mathcal{D}' \) with \( \mu(f^2) = 1 \). We simply denote it by \( WFS(p) \) or \( WTFS(p) \) interns of \( C_1 > 0 \) or \( C_1 = 0 \). Obviously, (1.1) implies (1.1') and they are equivalent when \( J(E; dx) \leq M\mu(dx) \) for some constant \( M \).

**Theorem 1.3.** Assume that \( F \geq 0, F' \geq 0 \) and \( \sup_{r} \frac{rF'(r)}{F(r)} < \infty \). Moreover, assume that \( F(\infty) = \infty \) whenever the case \( "p = \infty \) and \( C_1 > 0" \) is considered. We have \( WFS(p) \) (resp. \( WTFS(p) \)) if and only if \( \kappa_p(0) > 0 \) (resp. \( \kappa_p(\infty) > 0 \)).

Finally, we modify Herbst's argument to study the exponential integrability of "Lip-
schitz functions" under the logarithmic Sobolev inequality. See [1] (and also [9]) for
detailed discussions in the context of diffusions.

Let \( \rho \geq 0 \) be a measurable function on \( E \). Assume that

\[
|\rho(x) - \rho(y)|^2 \gamma(x, y) \leq 1 \quad \text{a.e.-} J, \tag{1.8}
\]

where \( \gamma \) is as in (1.6). In particular, when \( J(dx, dy) = q(x, dy)\mu(dx) \), (1.8) implies

\[
\int |\rho(x) - \rho(y)|^2 q(x, dy) \leq 1 \quad \text{a.e.-} \mu. \tag{1.9}
\]

**Theorem 1.4.** Assume that \( \rho(\geq 0) \) satisfies (1.8) (more weakly, (1.9) for the case \( J(dx, dy) = q(x, dy)\mu(dx) \)). If (1.2) holds, then

\[
\mu(e^{\varepsilon \rho^2}) \leq \exp \left[ \frac{\varepsilon \mu(\rho^2)}{1 - \varepsilon C} \right] < \infty, \quad \varepsilon \in [0, 1/C].
\]

We remark that according to [5], (1.3) implies that \( \mu(e^{\alpha \rho}) < \infty \) for some \( \alpha > 0 \). Hence \( \mu(\rho^2) < \infty \) under (1.2).

The above results are proved in the next section and are illustrated in section 3 by
two examples of birth-death processes.

**2. Proofs**

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Since \( D(|f|, |f|) \leq D(f, f) \), it suffices to prove for the case \( f \geq 0 \).
Moreover, we assume that \( f \in \mathcal{D}' \) is bounded so that each term in (1.1) is finite. If \( f \geq 0 \) is unbounded, we need only to replace \( f \) by \( f \wedge n \) first and then let \( n \to \infty \).

For a bounded nonnegative \( f \in \mathcal{D}' \) with \( \mu(f^2) = 1 \), let \( h(t) = \mu(f^2 > t) \). Set \( \phi(t) = t^{(p-1)/(p-2)} \sqrt{F(t)} \) which is strictly increasing under (1.4). Take \( g = \phi(f^2), A_t = \{g > t\} \)
and \( \tilde{h}(t) = \mu(A_t) \). If \( k_p(r) > 0 \) for some \( r > 0 \), we have \( \tilde{h}(t) = \mu(\phi^{-1}(t)) \leq 1/\phi^{-1}(t) \leq r \)
for $t \geq \phi(r^{-1})$. Then, by the isoperimetric inequality and Fubini’s theorem, we have

$$I := \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int J'(dx, dy) |g(x) - g(y)| \right\}^{p/(p-1)}$$

$$= \left\{ \int_{\{g(x) > g(y)\}} J'(dx, dy) (g(x) - g(y)) \right\}^{p/(p-1)}$$

$$= \left\{ \int_0^\infty J'(A_t \times A_t^c) dt \right\}^{p/(p-1)}$$

$$\geq \left\{ k_p(r) \int_{\phi(r^{-1})}^\infty \bar{h}(t)^{(p-1)/p} F(\bar{h}(t)^{-1})^{(p-2)/2p} dt \right\}^{p/(p-1)}$$

$$= c_1 \int_{\phi(r^{-1})}^\infty \left\{ \int_{\phi(r^{-1})}^t \bar{h}(s)^{(p-1)/p} F(\bar{h}(s)^{-1})^{(p-2)/2p} ds \right\}^{1/(p-1)}$$

$$\cdot \bar{h}(t)^{(p-1)/p} F(\bar{h}(t)^{-1})^{(p-2)/2p} dt,$$

(2.1)

where $c_1 = [k_p(r)]^{p/(p-1)} p/(p-1)$.

Let $\xi(t) = t^{(p-1)/p} F(t^{-1})^{(p-2)/2p}$, we have

$$\xi'(t) = t^{-1/p} F(t^{-1})^{(p-2)/2p} \left( \frac{p-1}{p} - \frac{(p-2)F'(t^{-1})}{2ptF(t^{-1})} \right).$$

Then, by (1.4), $\xi'(t) > 0$ for both small $t$ and big $t$. Therefore, there exists $c_2 > 0$ such that $\xi(t) \geq c_2 \xi(s)$ for all $t \geq s \geq 0$. Taking this into account and noting that $h$ is decreasing with $h(t) \leq 1/t$, by (2.1) we obtain

$$I \geq c_3 \int_{\phi(r^{-1})}^\infty \left[ t - \phi(r^{-1}) \right]^{1/(p-1)} \bar{h}(t) F(\bar{h}(t)^{-1})^{(p-2)/2(p-1)} dt$$

$$= c_3 \int_{r^{-1}}^\infty \left[ \phi(t) - \phi(r^{-1}) \right]^{1/(p-1)} \bar{h}(t) F(h(t)^{-1})^{(p-2)/2(p-1)} F'(t^{-1}) dt$$

$$\geq c_3 \int_{r^{-1}}^\infty \left[ \phi(t) - \phi(r^{-1}) \right]^{1/(p-1)} F(t)^{(p-2)/2(p-1)} F'(t) h(t) dt$$

for some $c_3 > 0$, where the second step is due to the integral transformation $t \rightarrow \phi(t)$.

Now, for each $r \in (0, \infty)$, take $\beta(r) \geq 0$ such that for all $t \geq r^{-1}$,

$$[\phi(t) - \phi(r^{-1})]^{1/(p-1)} F(t)^{(p-2)/2(p-1)} F'(t) h(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \phi(t)^{1/(p-1)} F(t)^{(p-2)/2(p-1)} F'(t) - \beta(r).$$

Moreover, we may take $\beta(\infty) = 0$ for $r = \infty$. We then obtain

$$I \geq \frac{c_3}{2} \int_{1/r}^\infty \phi(t)^{1/(p-1)} F(t)^{(p-2)/2(p-1)} F'(t) h(t) dt - c_3 \beta(r)$$

$$\geq \frac{c_3}{2} \int_0^\infty \phi(t)^{1/(p-1)} F(t)^{(p-2)/2(p-1)} F'(t) h(t) dt - c(r),$$
where \( c(r) = c_3 \beta(r) + \frac{c_4}{2} \sup_{t>0} \phi(t)^{1/(p-1)} F(t)^{p/(p-1)} \phi'(t) \) which is zero when \( r = \infty \). Let
\[
\psi(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \{t^{p/(p-2)} F(t)\}.
\]
By (1.4), there exists \( c' > 0 \) such that
\[
\phi(t)^{1/(p-1)} F(t)^{(p-2)/(p-1)} \phi'(t) \geq \frac{p-1}{p-2} t^{2/(p-2)} F(t) \geq c' \psi(t).
\]
Therefore, there exists \( c_4 > 0 \) such that
\[
I + c(r) \geq c_4 \int_0^\infty \psi(t) h(t) dt = c_4 \int_E d\mu \int_0^{f_2} \psi(t) dt
= c_4 \mu \left( f^{2p/(p-2)} F(f^2) \right).
\]
On the other hand, let \( \eta(t) = \phi(t^2) \), we have
\[
|g(x) - g(y)| = |f(x) - f(y)| \cdot |\eta'(\theta)|
\]
for some \( \theta \in [f(x) \land f(y), f(x) \lor f(y)] \). It is easy to see that \( |\eta'(t)| \leq c_5 \eta(t)/t \) for some \( c_5 > 0 \). Since \( \eta(t)/t \) is increasing in \( t \),
\[
|\eta'(\theta)| \leq \frac{c_5 \eta(f(x) \lor f(y))}{f(x) \lor f(y)} \leq c_5 \left\{ f(x)^{p/(p-2)} \sqrt{F(f(x)^2)} + f(y)^{p/(p-2)} \sqrt{F(f(y)^2)} \right\}.
\]
Therefore, by Schwartz inequality and using (1.6), we obtain
\[
I \leq c_6 \sqrt{D(f, f) \mu \left( f^{2p/(p-2)} F(f^2) \right)}.
\]
The proof of the first assertion is now completed by combining this with (2.2), just note that when \( k_p(\infty) > 0 \), we may take \( r = \infty \) and hence \( c(r) = 0 \).

Finally, if \( \mu \) is a probability measure and \( k_\infty(1/2) > 0 \) for \( F(r) = \log(r + e) \), then the defective logarithmic Sobolev inequality holds. On the other hand, by [5; Theorem 1.2], \( k_\infty(1/2) > 0 \) implies (1.3) for some \( \lambda_1 > 0 \). Therefore (1.2) holds. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** For any \( A \) with \( \mu(A) < \mu_0 \), we have \( 0 \notin A \). Let \( i = \inf A \), then \( i \geq 1 \). Noting that \( t^{(p-1)/p} F(t)^{(p-2)/2p} \) is increasing for small and big \( t \), we have
\[
J'(A \times A^c) / \mu(A)^{(p-1)/p} F(\mu(A)^{-1})^{(p-2)/2p} \geq \frac{c \mu_i \sum_{j<i} d_{ij}}{\mu(I_i)^{(p-1)/p} F(\mu(I_i)^{-1})^{(p-2)/2p}}
\]
for some constant \( c > 0 \). This implies \( k_p(0) > 0 \) by (1.7).

On the other hand, we consider irreducible birth-death processes. If \( k_p(0) > 0 \), then there exists \( r \in (0, 1/2) \) such that \( k_p(r) > 0 \). For any \( i \) with \( \mu(I_i) \leq r \), we have
\[
\frac{\mu_i q^l_{i, i-1}}{\mu(I_i)^{(p-1)/p} F(\mu(I_i)^{(p-2)/2p})} = \frac{J'(I_i \times I_i^c)}{\mu(I_i)^{(p-1)/p} F(\mu(I_i)^{(p-2)/2p})} \geq \kappa_p(r) > 0.
\]

Therefore (1.7) holds. \(\square\)

Proof of Theorem 1.3. It suffices to prove the sufficiency. Assume that \(\kappa_p(r) > 0\) for some \(r > 0\) and let \(f\) and \(h\) be as in the proof of Theorem 1.1. We then have, as was shown in the proof of Theorem 1.1,

\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int J(dx, dy) |f(x)^2 - f(y)^2| \right\}^{p/(p-2)} & \\
\geq \left\{ \kappa_p(r) \int_{r-1}^{\infty} h(t)^{(p-2)/p} F(h(t)^{-(p-2)/p}) dt \right\}^{p/(p-2)} & \\
\geq c \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ t^{p/(p-2)} F(t) \right\} h(t) dt - c(r) & \\
\geq c' \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ t^{p/(p-2)} F(t) \right\} h(t) dt - c(r) & \\
= \mu(f^{2p/(p-2)} F(f^{2})) - c(r)
\end{align*}
\]

for some \(c, c' > 0\) and \(c(r) \geq 0\) with \(c(\infty) = 0\). The proof is then finished. \(\square\)

Proof of Theorem 1.4. The proof is essentially modified from [1]. For any \(n \geq 1\), let \(\rho_n = \rho \wedge n, f_n = \exp[r \rho_n^2/2]\) and \(h_n(r) = \mu(e^{r \rho_n^2})\). By (1.8) we have

\[
D(f_n, f_n) \leq \frac{r^2}{2} \int |\rho_n(x) - \rho_n(y)|^2 \max\{\rho_n(x)^2 f_n(x)^2, \rho_n(y)^2 f_n(y)^2\} J(dx, dy) \\
\leq r^2 \mu(\rho_n^2 e^{r \rho_n^2}) = r^2 h_n'.
\]

Similarly, since \(J\) is symmetric, if \(J(dx, dy) = q(x, dy)\mu(dx)\) and (1.9) holds, then the above estimate remains true. By this and (1.2) we obtain

\[
rh_n'(r) \leq h_n(r) \log h_n(r) + r^2 Ch_n'(r), \quad r \geq 0.
\]

The remainder of the proof is similar to that of [1; Theorem 3.3]. \(\square\)

3. Examples

In the following two Examples, we take \(F(r) = [\log(r + e)]^\delta, \delta \geq 0\).

Example 3.1. Consider the birth-death process with \(a_i = b_i = i^s[\log(i + 1)]^t (i \geq 1), s > 1, t \in \mathbb{R}\) and \(a_0 = 0, b_0 = 1\). We have \(\mu_i = m_i/c, m_0 = 1, m_i = i^{-s}[\log(i + 1)]^{-t}, c = \sum_i m_i\). Then \(\kappa_p(0) > 0\) for all \(p\) and \(\delta\). Assume that \(\delta > 0\) whenever \(p = \infty\) is considered, then \(FS(p)\) holds if and only if either \(s > 2(p-1)/(p-2)\), or \(s = 2(p-1)/(p-2)\) and \(t \geq \delta\).
Especially, the logarithmic Sobolev inequality holds if and only if either \( s > 2 \), or \( s = 2 \) and \( t \geq 1 \).

Proof. \( \kappa_p(0) > 0 \) is obviously. Actually, by the same spirit of Theorem 1.2, it follows from the fact that (noting that \( \mu_i a_i = 1/c \))

\[
\inf_{i \geq 1} \frac{\mu_i a_i}{\mu(I_i)^{(p-2)/p} \log(\mu(I_i)^{-1})} > 0.
\]

Next, for \( i \gg 1 \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu(I_i)^{(p-1)/p} F(\mu(I_i)^{-1})^{(p-2)/2p} &= O(i(1-s)(p-1)/p) \log(i+1) + \delta^{-2/(p-1)}, \\
\frac{a_i \mu_i}{\sqrt{q_i} \sqrt{q_i-1}} &= O(i^{-s/2} \log(i+1)^{-1/2}).
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore, (1.7) holds if either \( s > 2(p-1)/(p-2) \), or \( s = 2(p-1)/(p-2) \) but \( t \geq \delta \).

Now, we go to prove the necessity case by case.

1) Assume that \( s = 2(p-1)/(p-2) \) and \( t < \delta \). For any \( n \geq 1 \), take \( f_n(i) = i1_{\{i \leq n\}} \).

Then, for big \( n \),

\[
\frac{D(f_n, f_n) + \mu(f_n^2)}{\mu(f_n^{2p/(p-2)} \log(f^2+e)^\delta)} = O\left(\frac{n + \sum_{i=1}^{n} i^{2/(p-2)} \log(i+1)^{-1/2}}{(\sum_{i=1}^{n} i^{2/(p-2)} \log(i+1)^{-1/2})^{p/(p-2)p}}\right)
\]

which goes to zero as \( n \to \infty \) (noting that \( \delta > 0 \) for \( p = \infty \)). Hence \( FS(p) \) does not hold.

2) Assume that \( p < \infty \) and \( s < 2(p-1)/(p-2) \). Then \( (s-1)(p-2)/2p < \min\{1/2, (s-1)/2\} \). Choose \( \delta' \in ((s-1)(p-2)/2p, \min\{1/2, (s-1)/2\}) \) and take \( f(i) = i^{\delta'} \). We have, for some \( c_1, c_2 > 0 \),

\[
\mu(f^2) \leq c_1 \sum_i i^{-s+2\delta'} \log(i+1)^{-1/2} < \infty, \quad D(f, f) \leq c_1 \sum_i i^{2(\delta'-1)} < \infty
\]

but (noting that \( 2p\delta'/(p-2) - s > -1 \))

\[
\mu(f^{2p/(p-2)} \log(f^2+e)^\delta) \geq c_2 \sum_i i^{2p\delta'/(p-2) - s} \log(i+1)^{-1/2} + \delta = \infty.
\]

Hence \( FS(p) \) does not hold.

3) Assume that \( p = \infty, \delta > 0 \) and \( s < 2 \). Let \( f_n = \sqrt{i}1_{\{i \leq n\}} \), we find that

\[
\frac{D(f_n, f_n) + \mu(f_n^2)}{\mu(f_n^{2p/(p-2)} \log(f^2+e)^\delta)} = O\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} i^{1-s} \log(i+1)^{-1/2}}{(\sum_{i=1}^{n} i^{1-s} \log(i+1)^{-1/2})^{p/(p-2)p}}\right)
\]

which goes to zero as \( n \to \infty \). Therefore, \( FS(\infty) \) does not hold.

Finally, for the assertion on the logarithmic Sobolev inequality (1.2), it suffices to prove that (1.7) implies (1.3). This is true for irreducible birth-death processes as claimed by [5; Theorem 4.1]. □
**Example 3.2.** Consider the birth-death process with \( a_0 = 0, a_i = 1 (i \geq 1), b_i = \mu_{i+1}/\mu_i (i \geq 0) \) and \( \mu_0 = 1, \mu_i = i^s [\log(i + 1)]^t \). Then \( TFS(p) \) holds provided either \( s > p - 1 \), or \( s = p - 1 \) and \( t \geq 0 \). It is not strange that \( \delta \) does not appear in the condition, because here \( \mu_i \) has positive lower bound and hence the positivity of the constant \( k_p(\infty) \) is independent of \( \delta \).

**Proof.** Let \( A \in \mathcal{E} \) with \( \mu(A) < \infty \), we have \( i := \sup A < \infty \). Noting that \( \gamma(i, j) = q_i \vee q_j \) is bounded, we have

\[
J'(A \times A^c) \geq c_1 i^s [\log(i + 1)]^t, \quad \mu(A) \leq \sum_{j \leq i} \mu_j \leq c_2 i^{s+1} [\log(i + 1)]^t.
\]

Therefore,

\[
\frac{J'(A \times A^c)}{\mu(A)(p-1)/p [\log(\mu(A))^{-1} + e]^\delta} \geq c_3 i^{-(s+1)(p-1)/p} [\log(i + e)]^{t/\delta}
\]

for some \( c_3 > 0 \). By Theorem 1.2, under our conditions we have \( k_p(\infty) > 0 \) which implies \( TFS(p) \) according to Theorem 1.1. □
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