

# Mathematical Logic

Xianghui Shi

School of Mathematical Science  
Beijing Normal University



Spring, 2025

# Next

- 1 Propositional Logic
  - $\mathcal{L}_0$ -formulas
  - Truth Assignments

# Truth assignment

## Definition 2.1

A set of **truth values** consists of two distinct elements:<sup>a</sup>

$F$ , called *falsity*;                       $T$ , called *truth*.

---

<sup>a</sup>Some people use 0 and 1 instead of  $F$ ,  $T$ .

## Definition 2.2

A **truth assignment over**  $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  is a function

$$\nu : \{A_1, \dots, A_n\} \rightarrow \{T, F\}.$$

# Truth assignment

## Question

How many different truth assignments over  $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  are there?

## Definition 2.3

A **truth assignment** for  $\mathcal{L}_0$  is a function

$$\nu : \{A_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \rightarrow \{T, F\}.$$

## Theorem 2.1

Suppose that  $\nu$  is a truth assignment for  $\mathcal{L}_0$ . Then there is a unique function  $\bar{\nu}$  defined on  $\mathcal{L}_0$  such that

① For all  $n$ ,  $\bar{\nu}(A_n) = \nu(A_n)$ .

② For all  $\psi \in \mathcal{L}_0$ ,

$$\bar{\nu}(\neg\psi) = \begin{cases} T, & \text{if } \bar{\nu}(\psi) = F; \\ F, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

③ For all  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  in  $\mathcal{L}_0$ ,

$$\bar{\nu}(\psi_1 \rightarrow \psi_2) = \begin{cases} F, & \text{if } \bar{\nu}(\psi_1) = T \text{ and } \bar{\nu}(\psi_2) = F; \\ T, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

# Rank of formulas in $\mathcal{L}_0$

By the Unique Readability of formulas in  $\mathcal{L}_0$ , one can define a **rank** function  $\rho : \mathcal{L}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  as follows:

- $\rho(\langle A_n \rangle) = 0$ , for  $A_n \in S_0$ ;
- if  $\varphi \equiv (\neg\psi)$ , then  $\rho(\varphi) = \rho(\psi) + 1$ ;
- if  $\varphi \equiv (\psi_1 \rightarrow \psi_2)$ , then  $\rho(\varphi) = \max\{\rho(\psi_1), \rho(\psi_2)\} + 1$

It is not difficult to see that

## Proposition 2.2

*For  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_0$ ,  $\rho(\varphi) = \sup\{\rho(\psi) + 1 \mid \psi \text{ is a subformula of } \varphi\}$ .*

## Question

What can you say about the range of  $\rho$ ,  $\text{ran}(\rho)$ ?

# Proof of Theorem 2.1

## Construction of $\bar{\nu}$ :

**Base step.** For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $\bar{\nu}(A_n) = \nu(A_n)$ .

**Inductive step.** Suppose that  $\rho(\varphi) = s + 1$  and  $s \geq 0$ , that  $\bar{\nu}$  is defined on all  $\mathcal{L}_0$ -formulas of rank  $\leq s$ .

- If  $\varphi = (\neg\psi)$ , then define

$$\bar{\nu}(\varphi) = \begin{cases} T, & \text{if } \bar{\nu}(\psi) = F; \\ F, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- If  $\varphi = (\psi_1 \rightarrow \psi_2)$ , then define

$$\bar{\nu}(\varphi) = \begin{cases} F, & \text{if } \bar{\nu}(\psi_1) = T \text{ and } \bar{\nu}(\psi_2) = F; \\ T, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

## Uniqueness:

show  $\bar{\nu}(\varphi) = \bar{\nu}'(\varphi)$ , by induction on the rank of  $\varphi \in \text{wff}$ .

# Uniqueness (local version)

## Theorem 2.3

*Suppose that  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_0$  and that  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  are truth assignments that agree on the propositional symbols which occur in  $\varphi$ . Written as  $\mu \sim_\varphi \nu$ . Then  $\bar{\nu}(\varphi) = \bar{\mu}(\varphi)$ .*

## Corollary 2.4 (Uniqueness)

*Suppose that  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  are truth assignments that agree on all the propositional symbols  $\{A_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Written as  $\mu \sim \nu$ . Then  $\bar{\nu}(\varphi) = \bar{\mu}(\varphi)$ , for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_0$ .*



## True tables for connectives

$p$	$\neg p$
1	0
0	1

$p$	$q$	$p \vee q$	$p \wedge q$	$p \rightarrow q$	$p \leftrightarrow q$
1	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	1	0	0	0
0	1	1	0	1	0
0	0	0	0	1	1

## Question

Why do we assign “1” to  $p \rightarrow q$  whenever  $p$  is “0”?

Want

$p$	$q$	$p \wedge q$	$p \rightarrow^* q$	$p \wedge q \rightarrow^* p$
1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	$a$
0	1	0	$a = 1$	$b$
0	0	0	$b = 1$	$b$

# Satisfaction

## Definition 2.4

- 1 A truth assignment  $\nu$  **satisfies** a formula  $\varphi$ ,  $\nu \models \varphi$ , iff  $\bar{\nu}(\varphi) = T$ . Similarly,  $\nu$  satisfies a set of formulas  $\Gamma$ ,  $\nu \models \Gamma$ , iff  $\nu \models \varphi$  for all  $\varphi \in \Gamma$ .
- 2  $\varphi$  is a **tautology** (恒真式) iff every truth assignment  $\nu$  satisfies  $\varphi$ .
- 3  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_0$  (or  $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{L}_0$ ) are **satisfiable** iff there is a truth assignment which satisfies  $\varphi$  (or  $\Gamma$ , respectively).
- 4  $\varphi$  is a **contradiction** if and only if there is no truth assignment which satisfies  $\varphi$ .

# Examples

## Example 2.1

- 1  $\neg(\neg A_1 \rightarrow A_2)$ .
- 2  $\neg A_1 \rightarrow (A_1 \rightarrow A_2)$ .

If you, by luck, try  $\nu(A_1) = \nu(A_2) = F$ , you can see that both formulas are satisfiable. [Truth table](#) provides a systematic way to check if they are tautologies or contradictions.

## Example 2.2

Truth table for  $\neg(\neg A_1 \rightarrow A_2)$ :

$A_1$	$A_2$	$\neg A_1$	$\neg A_1 \rightarrow A_2$	$\neg(\neg A_1 \rightarrow A_2)$
1	1	0	1	0
1	0	0	1	0
0	1	1	1	0
0	0	1	0	1

### Example 2.3

Compute the true table for  $\varphi = p \rightarrow (\neg p \vee q) \wedge q$  and  $\psi = p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$ .

$p$	$q$	$r$	$\neg p \vee q$	$(\neg p \vee q) \wedge q$	$q \rightarrow r$	$\varphi$	$\psi$
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0
1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1
1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1
0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1
0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1

### Theorem 2.5

*There is an algorithm to determine whether a propositional formula  $\varphi$  is a tautology, satisfiable, or a contradiction.*

# Proof

Suppose  $\varphi$  involves  $n$  propositional symbols. The idea is to use the truth table for  $\varphi$ .

- List the construction sequence of  $\varphi$ , and set them the first row.
- List all the possible  $2^n$  possible truth assignment as the first  $n$  columns.
- Compute the truth values for each row (i.e. each truth assignment). Complete the truth table.
- Decide if its category according to the number of T's in the (rightmost) column for  $\varphi$ . □

## Reading assignment

Read about [algorithm efficiency](#) and  $P = NP$  problem.



### Example 2.4

Prove that the followings are tautologies:

①  $p \rightarrow p$

②  $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)$

③  $(p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow ((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r))$

## Exercise 2.1

Compute the truth tables for

- 1  $p \rightarrow (\neg p \wedge q) \vee q.$
- 2  $(\neg p \rightarrow q) \wedge q \wedge r.$

## Exercise 2.2

Show that the following are tautologies:

- 1  $\neg\neg p \rightarrow p.$
- 2  $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p).$
- 3  $(p \rightarrow q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p \vee q).$

# A puzzle

## Exercise (课外思考题)

You are in a land inhabited by people who either always tell the truth or always tell falsehoods. You come to a fork in the road and you need to know which path leads to the capital. There is a local resident there, but he has time only to reply to one yes-or-no question. What one question should you ask so as to learn which path to take?

# Liar-Truth-teller puzzle

Consider “Is  $\varphi$  true?”, where for example  $\varphi \equiv “0 < 1”$ .

$\varphi$	T-teller	Liar
1	yes	no
0	no	yes

Problem: Liar and Truth-teller do NOT agree on the truth of  $\varphi$ .

KEY: Replace  $\varphi$  by a statement whose truth they don't agree on,  
 $\varphi^* \equiv “\text{if I ask whether } \varphi, \text{ you would say 'yes'”}$

$\varphi$	T-teller	Liar
1	yes	yes
0	no	no

Outcome: Liar and Truth-teller agree on the truth of  $\varphi^*$ .

# Tautologically equivalent

## Definition 2.5

We say that two propositional formulas  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are **tautologically equivalent** (恒真等价), written as

$$\alpha \Leftrightarrow \beta,$$

if they have the same truth table, or equivalently,

$$\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$$

is a tautology.

# Logic laws from tautological equivalences

## Theorem 2.6

Let  $p, q, r$  be any three propositions. Then

① (commutative 交换律)

- $p \wedge q \Leftrightarrow q \wedge p.$
- $p \vee q \Leftrightarrow q \vee p.$

② (associative 结合律)

- $(p \wedge q) \wedge r \Leftrightarrow p \wedge (q \wedge r).$
- $(p \vee q) \vee r \Leftrightarrow p \vee (q \vee r).$

③ (distributive 分配律)

- $p \wedge (q \vee r) \Leftrightarrow (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$
- $p \vee (q \wedge r) \Leftrightarrow (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$

## Theorem 2.6 (Cont'd)

- ④ (idempotent 幂等律)

$$p \vee p \Leftrightarrow p \wedge p \Leftrightarrow p$$

- ⑤ (double negation 双重否定)

$$\neg\neg p \Leftrightarrow p$$

- ⑥ (De Morgan's law)

- $\neg(p \vee q) \Leftrightarrow \neg p \wedge \neg q$
- $\neg(p \wedge q) \Leftrightarrow \neg p \vee \neg q$

## Theorem 2.6 (Cont'd)

⑦ (absorbing 吸收律)

$$p \vee (p \wedge q) \Leftrightarrow p \wedge (p \vee q) \Leftrightarrow p$$

⑧ (zero-one 零一律)

- $p \vee \neg p \Leftrightarrow 1$
- $p \wedge \neg p \Leftrightarrow 0$
- $p \vee 1 \Leftrightarrow 1$
- $p \wedge 1 \Leftrightarrow p$
- $p \vee 0 \Leftrightarrow p$
- $p \wedge 0 \Leftrightarrow 0$



## Theorem 2.7

Let  $p, q, r$  be any three propositions. Then

①  $p \rightarrow q \Leftrightarrow \neg p \vee q$

②  $p \rightarrow q \Leftrightarrow \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$

③  $p \leftrightarrow q \Leftrightarrow (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$

④  $\neg(p \rightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow p \wedge \neg q$

⑤  $\neg(p \leftrightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow (p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg p \wedge q).$

# Examples

Equivalent formulas can be used to simplify formulas or to convert a formula to a certain form.

## Example 2.5

- 1 Show that  $\neg(p \leftrightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow (p \vee q) \wedge \neg(p \wedge q)$ .
- 2 Simplify  $p \wedge \neg q \rightarrow (\neg p \vee q) \wedge q$ .

## Exercise 2.3

Show that  $(p \rightarrow r) \vee (q \rightarrow r) \Leftrightarrow (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$ .

# Examples (solution)

## 1 Apply Distribution:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (p \vee q) \wedge \neg(p \wedge q) \\
 \Leftrightarrow & (p \wedge \neg p) \vee (p \wedge \neg q) \vee (q \wedge \neg p) \vee (q \wedge \neg q) \\
 \Leftrightarrow & (p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg p \wedge q) \\
 \Leftrightarrow & \neg(p \leftrightarrow q), \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{(Theorem 2.7-5)}
 \end{aligned}$$

## 2 Apply the equivalent form of $a \rightarrow b$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & p \wedge \neg q \rightarrow (\neg p \vee q) \wedge q \\
 \Leftrightarrow & \neg(p \wedge \neg q) \vee ((\neg p \vee q) \wedge q) \\
 \Leftrightarrow & (\neg p \vee q) \vee ((\neg p \vee q) \wedge q) \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{(De Morgan's Law)} \\
 \Leftrightarrow & (\neg p \vee q) \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{(吸收律)}
 \end{aligned}$$

# Normal disjunction formula

## Definition 2.6

Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be propositional symbols. The **basic conjunction terms** (基本合取项) of  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are formulas of the form:

$$Q_1 \wedge Q_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge Q_n,$$

where each  $Q_i$  is either  $A_i$  or  $\neg A_i$ .

**Normal disjunction formulas** (析取范式) are formulas that are disjunctions of basic conjunction terms.<sup>a</sup>

---

<sup>a</sup>Also called **Disjunctive Normal Form**, abbreviated as **DNF**.

### Proposition 2.8

*Every satisfiable propositional formula is tautologically equivalent to a unique normal disjunction formula.*

First get the basic conjunction terms via the truth table:

$m_i$	basic conjunction terms	$p$	$q$	$r$	$p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$
$m_{000}$	$\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r$	0	0	0	1
$m_{001}$	$\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge r$	0	0	1	1
$m_{010}$	$\neg p \wedge q \wedge \neg r$	0	1	0	1
$m_{011}$	$\neg p \wedge q \wedge r$	0	1	1	1
$m_{100}$	$p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r$	1	0	0	1
$m_{101}$	$p \wedge \neg q \wedge r$	1	0	1	1
$m_{110}$	$p \wedge q \wedge \neg r$	1	1	0	0
$m_{111}$	$p \wedge q \wedge r$	1	1	1	1

Then take disjunction of the terms corresponding to "1" to get the equivalent normal form:

$$p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \Leftrightarrow m_{000} \vee m_{001} \vee m_{010} \vee m_{011} \vee m_{100} \vee m_{101} \vee m_{111}.$$

# Normal conjunction formula

## Definition 2.7

Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be propositional variables. The **basic disjunction terms** (基本析取项) of  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are formulas of the form:

$$Q_1 \vee Q_2 \vee \cdots \vee Q_n,$$

where each  $Q_i$  is either  $A_i$  or  $\neg A_i$ .

**Normal conjunction formulas** (合取范式) are formulas that are conjunctions of basic disjunction terms.

### Proposition 2.9

*Every satisfiable propositional formula is tautologically equivalent to a unique normal conjunction formula.*



### Exercise 2.4

Find equivalent normal disjunction formulas for:

- 1  $(p \wedge q) \vee r$ ;
- 2  $(\neg p \rightarrow q) \wedge q \wedge r$ .

### Exercise (课外思考题)

Find equivalent normal conjunction formulas for the above formulas.

# Truth functions

## Definition 2.8

An  **$n$ -ary truth function** is a function whose domain is the set of sequences of  $T$ 's and  $F$ 's of length  $n$ , written  $\{T, F\}^n$  and whose range is contained in  $\{T, F\}$ , i.e. a function

$$f : \{T, F\}^n \rightarrow \{T, F\}.$$

## Question

How many distinct  $n$ -ary truth functions are there?

Each  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_0$  defines a truth function/table.

Suppose  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_0$  and the propositional symbols in  $\varphi$  are contained in  $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ . For each  $\sigma \in \{T, F\}^n$ , let  $\nu_\sigma$  be the truth assignment on  $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  such that

$$\nu_\sigma(A_i) = \text{the } i^{\text{th}} \text{ element of } \sigma.$$

Define

$$f_\varphi(\sigma) = \bar{\nu}_\sigma(\varphi).$$

Reversely, each truth function can be realized by a formula in  $\mathcal{L}_0$ .

### Theorem 2.10

*Suppose that  $f : \{T, F\}^n \rightarrow \{T, F\}$  is a truth function. Then there is a formula  $\varphi$  such that  $f_\varphi = f$ .*

In this case, we say  $f$  is **realized by  $\varphi$** .

# Functional complete sets

## Definition 2.9

A set of propositional connectives  $C$  is **complete** if every truth function  $f : \{F, T\}^n \rightarrow \{F, T\}$  for  $n \geq 1$  can be realized by a formula using only the connective symbols in  $C$ .

The following sets of connectives are complete:

- $\{\neg, \wedge, \vee\}$  and  $\{\neg, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow\}$ ,
- $\{\neg, \rightarrow\}$ ,
- $\{\neg, \wedge\}$  and  $\{\neg, \vee\}$ .

by **NDF**

Below is a complete list of all the binary connectives.

Symbol	Equivalent	Remarks
1	1	0-ary
0	0	0-ary
$\Gamma_1$	$p$	1-ary
$\Gamma_2$	$q$	1-ary
$\neg_1$	$\neg p$	1-ary
$\neg_2$	$\neg q$	1-ary

The rest 10 are truly binary:

Symbol	Equivalent	Remarks
$\wedge$	$p \wedge q$	且
$\vee$	$p \vee q$	或
$\rightarrow$	$p \rightarrow q$	如果 $p$ 就 $q$
$\leftarrow$	$p \leftarrow q$	如果 $q$ 就 $p$
$\leftrightarrow$	$p \leftrightarrow q$	$p$ 当且仅当 $q$
$\oplus$	$(p \vee q) \wedge \neg(p \wedge q)$	异或, 相当于对称差
$\uparrow$	$\neg(p \wedge q)$	与非
$\downarrow$	$\neg(p \vee q)$	或非
$<$	$\neg p \wedge q$	相当于 $q \setminus p$
$>$	$p \wedge \neg q$	相当于 $p \setminus q$

### Exercise 2.5

Show that  $\{\uparrow\}$  and  $\{\downarrow\}$  are complete.

### Exercise (课外思考题)

Prove that  $\{\vee\}$ ,  $\{\wedge\}$ ,  $\{\rightarrow\}$ ,  $\{\neg, \leftrightarrow\}$  are not complete.