

# The Sixth Homework

April 28, 2025

1. 1) Consider a fixed structure  $\mathcal{M}$ . Show that  $\{\mathcal{N} \mid \mathcal{N} \equiv \mathcal{M}\}$  is an  $\text{EC}_\Delta$ . (Hint: show that it is  $\mathfrak{M}(\text{Th}(\mathcal{M}))$ .)
- 2) A class  $\mathfrak{A}$  of structures is **elementarily closed** if

$$\mathcal{M} \in \mathfrak{A} \wedge \mathcal{N} \equiv \mathcal{M} \Rightarrow \mathcal{N} \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

Show that any such class is a union of  $\text{EC}_\Delta$  classes.

- 3) Conversely, show that any class that is the union of  $\text{EC}_\Delta$  classes is elementarily closed.

SOLUTION: Fix a language  $\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{A}$ ,

- (a) for any structure  $\mathcal{M}$ , we define the **theory of  $\mathcal{M}$** , written  $\text{Th}(\mathcal{M})$  to be the set of all sentences true in  $\mathcal{M}$ , i.e.,  $\text{Th}(\mathcal{M}) = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{A} \mid \varphi \text{ is a sentence and } \mathcal{M} \models \varphi\}$ ;
- (b) for any set  $\Sigma$  of sentences,  $\mathfrak{M}(\Sigma)$  denotes the class of all models of  $\Sigma$ , i.e.,  $\mathfrak{M}(\Sigma) = \{\mathcal{M} \mid \mathcal{M} \models \sigma \in \Sigma, \text{ for every } \sigma \in \Sigma\}$ .

Now we give the solution:

- 1) We show that the set  $\{\mathcal{N} \mid \mathcal{N} \equiv \mathcal{M}\}$  is the set  $\{\mathcal{N} \mid \mathcal{N} \models \text{Th}(\mathcal{M})\}$  and the conclusion follows from the latter is an  $\text{EC}_\Delta$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N} \equiv \mathcal{M} &\Leftrightarrow \text{for any } \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{A}\text{-sentence } \varphi, \mathcal{M} \models \varphi \text{ iff } \mathcal{N} \models \varphi \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{for any } \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{A}\text{-sentence } \varphi, \mathcal{M} \models \varphi \text{ implies } \mathcal{N} \models \varphi \text{ and } \mathcal{M} \not\models \varphi \text{ implies } \mathcal{N} \not\models \varphi \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{for any } \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{A}\text{-sentence } \varphi, \mathcal{M} \models \varphi \text{ implies } \mathcal{N} \models \varphi \text{ and } \mathcal{M} \models (\neg\varphi) \text{ implies } \mathcal{N} \models (\neg\varphi) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{for any } \varphi \in \text{Th}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{N} \models \varphi \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{N} \in \mathfrak{M}(\text{Th}(\mathcal{M})). \end{aligned}$$

- 2) Since  $\mathfrak{A}$  is elementarily closed, then for each  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\{\mathcal{N} \mid \mathcal{N} \equiv \mathcal{M}\} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ , i.e.,  $\mathfrak{M}(\text{Th}(\mathcal{M})) \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \bigcup_{\mathcal{M} \in \mathfrak{A}} \mathfrak{M}(\text{Th}(\mathcal{M})) \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an union of  $\text{EC}_\Delta$  classes.
- 3) Suppose that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an union of  $\text{EC}_\Delta$  classes, for any  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathfrak{A}$ , we can pick a set  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{A}$ -sentences, such that  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathfrak{M}(\Sigma) \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ . For any  $\mathcal{N}$  such that  $\mathcal{N} \equiv \mathcal{M}$ , we have  $\mathcal{N} \models \Sigma$  and thus  $\mathcal{N} \in \mathfrak{M}(\Sigma)$ , so  $\mathcal{N} \in \mathfrak{A}$ . ■

2. Suppose that  $A$  is finite and that  $M$  is a finite  $\mathcal{L}_A$ -structure. Prove that there is an  $\mathcal{L}_A$ -sentence  $\varphi$  such that for every  $\mathcal{L}_A$ -structure  $N$ , if  $N \models \varphi$  then  $N \cong M$ .

SOLUTION: Let  $\mathfrak{C}$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{P}$  be the set of constant, function and predicate symbols in  $A$ . These set is finite since  $A$  is finite. Suppose  $M = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  and  $I$  be the interpretation of symbols in  $M$ . Now we define a series of formula as follow:

- (a) let  $\varphi_n \equiv \bigwedge_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\neg(x_i \hat{=} x_j))$ ;
- (b) let  $\psi_n = (\forall x_{n+1})(\bigvee_{i=1}^n (x_i \hat{=} x_{n+1}))$ ;
- (c) for each constant symbol  $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ , if  $I(c) = a_i$ , let  $\varphi_c \equiv (x_i \hat{=} c)$ ;
- (d) for each function symbol  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ , written  $m = \pi(F)$ , let

$$\varphi_F = \bigwedge_{((x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}), x_{i_0}) \in I(F)} F(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}) \hat{=} x_{i_0};$$

- (e) for each predicate symbol  $P$  in  $\mathfrak{P}$ , written  $m = \pi(P)$ , let

$$\varphi_P = \bigwedge_{(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}) \in I(P)} P(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}).$$

Finally, we define  $\varphi \equiv (\exists x_1) \dots (\exists x_n)(\varphi_n \wedge \psi_n \wedge (\bigwedge_{c \in \mathfrak{C}} \varphi_c) \wedge (\bigwedge_{F \in \mathfrak{F}} \varphi_F) \wedge (\bigwedge_{P \in \mathfrak{P}} \varphi_P))$ , it is obvious that  $\varphi$  is a sentence.

If  $N \models \varphi$ , then by  $\varphi_n$  and  $\psi_n$ , we can know that there are exactly  $n$  elements in  $N$ . Assume that  $N = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ ,  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  are respectively assignment of  $M$  and  $N$  such that for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $\nu(x_i) = a_i$ ,  $\mu(x_i) = b_i$ . Let  $e : M \rightarrow N, a_i \mapsto b_i$  is a bijection. For any constant symbol  $c \in \mathfrak{C}$  such that  $I(c) = a_i$ , since  $N \models \varphi$ , then  $b_i = c^N$  and  $e(c^M) = e(I(c)) = e(a_i) = b_i = c^N$ . Similarly, we can verify the other conditions of homomorphisms between structures [FL03 Definition 4.1]. Thus  $N \cong M$ . ■

3. Fix  $A = \{P\}$ , where  $P$  is a binary function symbol. For each of the following pairs of  $\mathcal{L}_A$ -structures, show that they are not elementarily equivalent, by giving a sentence true in one and false in the others.
  - 1)  $(\mathbb{R}; \times)$  and  $(\mathbb{R}^*; \times^*)$ , where  $\times$  is the usual multiplication operation on the real numbers,  $\mathbb{R}^*$  is the set of the non-zero reals, and  $\times^*$  is  $\times$  restricted to  $\mathbb{R}^*$ .
  - 2)  $(\mathbb{N}; +)$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}^*; +^*)$ , where  $+^*$  is  $+$  restricted to the set  $\mathbb{Z}^*$  of positive integers.
  - 3) For each of the above structures, give a sentence true in that structure and false in the other.

SOLUTION:

- 1) Let  $\varphi_1$  be the sentence  $\exists x \forall y (x \hat{\times} y \hat{=} x)$ . Then  $\mathbb{R} \models \varphi_1$  and  $\mathbb{R}^* \not\models \varphi_1$ .
- 2) Let  $\varphi_2$  be the sentence  $\exists x \forall y (x \hat{+} y \hat{=} y)$ . Then  $\mathbb{N} \models \varphi_2$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^+ \not\models \varphi_2$ .
- 3)  $\mathbb{R}^* \models \neg \varphi_1$  and  $\mathbb{R} \not\models \neg \varphi_1$ .  $\mathbb{Z}^+ \models \neg \varphi_2$  and  $\mathbb{N} \not\models \neg \varphi_2$ . ■

4. Let  $A = \emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  be the  $\mathcal{L}_A$ -structure whose universe is  $\mathbb{N}$ . Show that for every infinite  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , the  $\mathcal{L}_A$ -structure with  $S$  being its universe is an elementary substructure of  $\mathcal{N}$ .

SOLUTION: we write the  $\mathcal{L}_A$ -structure with  $S$  being its universe as  $\mathcal{S}$ .

- 1)  $\mathcal{S}$  is a substructure of  $\mathcal{N}$  ( $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ ): since  $A = \emptyset$ , it follows from  $S \subseteq N$ .

2)  $\mathcal{S}$  is an elementary substructure of  $\mathcal{N}$  ( $\mathcal{S} \preccurlyeq \mathcal{N}$ ): we show that for all  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ -formulas  $\varphi$ , and for all  $\mathcal{S}$ -assignment  $\nu$ ,  $(\mathcal{S}, \nu) \models \varphi \Leftrightarrow (\mathcal{N}, \nu) \models \varphi$  by induction on the length of  $\varphi$ . There are no formulas of length 1, and so the conclusion is trivially true for all length 1 formulas. By Readability for Formulas, we analyze  $\varphi$  by considering the following various cases:

i. If  $\varphi$  is atomic and  $\varphi \equiv (x_i \hat{=} x_j)$ ,  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$(\mathcal{S}, \nu) \models \varphi \Leftrightarrow \nu(x_i) = \nu(x_j) \in S \Leftrightarrow (\mathcal{N}, \nu) \models x_i = x_j.$$

ii. If there is  $\theta$  such that  $\varphi \equiv (\neg\theta)$ , then

$$(\mathcal{S}, \nu) \models \varphi \Leftrightarrow (\mathcal{S}, \nu) \not\models \theta \Leftrightarrow (\mathcal{N}, \nu) \not\models \theta \Leftrightarrow (\mathcal{N}, \nu) \models \varphi$$

iii. If there are  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  such that  $\varphi \equiv (\psi_1 \rightarrow \psi_2)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{S}, \nu) \models \varphi &\Leftrightarrow \text{either } (\mathcal{S}, \nu) \not\models \psi_1 \text{ or } (\mathcal{S}, \nu) \models \psi_2 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{either } (\mathcal{N}, \nu) \not\models \psi_1 \text{ or } (\mathcal{N}, \nu) \models \psi_2 \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\mathcal{N}, \nu) \models \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

iv. If there are  $\psi$  and  $x_i$  such that  $\varphi \equiv (\forall x_i \psi)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{S}, \nu) \models \varphi &\Leftrightarrow \text{for all } \mathcal{S}\text{-assignment } \mu, \text{ if } \nu \sim_{\varphi} \mu, \text{ then } (\mathcal{S}, \mu) \models \psi \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{for all } \mathcal{S}\text{-assignment } \mu, \text{ if } \nu \sim_{\varphi} \mu, \text{ then } (\mathcal{N}, \mu) \models \psi \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\mathcal{N}, \nu) \models \varphi. \end{aligned} \quad \blacksquare$$

5. Let  $\mathcal{N} = (\mathbb{N}, 0, 1, +, \times)$ . Show that if  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ , then  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}$ .

SOLUTION: If  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ , then  $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and  $0^{\mathcal{M}} = 0^{\mathcal{N}}, 1^{\mathcal{M}} = 1^{\mathcal{N}}, +^{\mathcal{M}} = +^{\mathcal{N}} \upharpoonright M^2, \times^{\mathcal{M}} = \times^{\mathcal{N}} \upharpoonright M^2$ . If  $n \in \mathcal{M}$ , then  $n + 1 \in \mathcal{M}$ , thus by induction,  $M = \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore  $+^{\mathcal{M}} = +^{\mathcal{N}}, \times^{\mathcal{M}} = \times^{\mathcal{N}}$  and  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}$ .  $\blacksquare$

6. Let  $A = \{P\}$  where  $P$  is an unary predicate symbol. Let  $\mathcal{M} = (M, I)$  be the finite  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ -structure with  $M = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$  and  $I(P) = \{a, b\}$ .

- Which subsets of  $M$  are definable in  $M$  without parameters.
- Which subsets of  $M$  are definable in  $M$  with parameters.

SOLUTION:

1) Note that  $f : M \rightarrow M$  is an automorphism iff  $f(\{a, b\}) = \{a, b\}$  and  $f(\{c, d, e\}) = \{c, d, e\}$ . The definable subsets without parameters are as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset &= \{x \in M \mid \mathcal{M} \models \neg(x \hat{=} x)\} \\ \{a, b\} &= \{x \in M \mid \mathcal{M} \models P(x)\} \\ \{c, d, e\} &= \{x \in M \mid \mathcal{M} \models (\neg P(x))\} \\ M &= \{x \in M \mid \mathcal{M} \models (x \hat{=} x)\}. \end{aligned}$$

For other subset  $X$ , there must be some automorphism  $f$  s.t.  $f(X) \neq X$ .

2) Every subset of  $M$  are definable in  $M$  with parameters since  $M$  is finite, for example,

$$\{a, c, e\} = \{x \in M \mid \mathcal{M} \models (x \hat{=} a \vee x \hat{=} c \vee x \hat{=} e)\}. \quad \blacksquare$$

7. Prove the second claim of Example 4.6.

*Example 4.6.* Suppose that  $A = \emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{M} = (M, \emptyset)$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_A$ -structure. Note that any bijection  $e : M \rightarrow M$  defines an automorphism of  $\mathcal{M}$ . The following claims follow from the Definability Theorem. Suppose that  $D \subseteq M$ , then

- 1)  $D$  is definable in  $M$  without parameters iff  $D = \emptyset$  or  $D = M$ .
- 2)  $D$  is definable in  $M$  from parameters iff  $D$  is finite or  $M \setminus D$  is finite.

SOLUTION:

- 1) Since  $\emptyset = \{x \in M \mid \mathcal{M} \models \neg(x \hat{=} x)\}$  and  $M = \{x \in M \mid \mathcal{M} \models (x \hat{=} x)\}$ ,  $D$  is definable in  $M$  without parameters. For any  $m_i$  and  $m_j$  in  $M$ , we can define a bijection  $e : M \rightarrow M$  as follow

$$e(x) = \begin{cases} m_j & \text{if } x = m_i; \\ m_i & \text{if } x = m_j; \\ x & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus for any nonempty proper subset  $D$ , we can find a bijection (i.e. an automorphism of  $\mathcal{M}$ ) and it doesn't fix  $D$ . By [FL04 Theorem 4.6], we can know  $D$  isn't definable in  $M$  without parameters.

- 2) For any finite set  $D = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}$ , we have  $D = \{x \in M \mid \mathcal{M} \models (x \hat{=} d_1) \vee \dots \vee (x \hat{=} d_n)\}$  and  $M \setminus D = \{x \in M \mid \mathcal{M} \models (\neg(x \hat{=} d_1)) \wedge \dots \wedge (\neg(x \hat{=} d_n))\}$ , thus any finite set and its complementary set is definable in  $M$  from parameters. Converse, assume that  $D$  is definable in  $M$  from parameters, i.e., there exists a  $\mathcal{L}_A$ -formula  $\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  and  $\bar{b} \in M^k$  such that  $D = \{x \in M \mid \mathcal{M} \models \varphi[x, \bar{b}]\}$ , and both  $D$  and  $M \setminus D$  are infinite set, then we can find  $m_i \in D$ ,  $m_j \in M \setminus D$  (with  $m, n \notin \bar{b}$ )<sup>1</sup> and a bijection  $e : M \rightarrow M$  as in 1). Then  $e$  fixes  $\bar{b}$  but doesn't fix  $D$ , contradict to [FL04 Theorem 4.6]. ■

---

<sup>1</sup>here we see  $\bar{b}$  as a subset of  $M$  irregularly.