

# Deduction Exercises

## 1 Propositional Logic

**Exercise 1.** Show that

1.  $\vdash \neg\neg\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$
2.  $\vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \neg\beta) \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \neg\alpha)$
3.  $\vdash \alpha \leftrightarrow \neg\neg\alpha$
4.  $\vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \leftrightarrow (\neg\beta \rightarrow \neg\alpha)$
5. If  $\Gamma \cup \{\alpha\} \vdash \beta$  and  $\Gamma \cup \{\neg\alpha\} \vdash \beta$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash \beta$ .

SOLUTION. 1. The following are the main steps:

- By  $\Delta_0$ -6, we have  $\vdash \neg\neg\alpha \rightarrow (\neg\alpha \rightarrow \alpha)$ .
- By  $\Delta_0$ -5,  $\vdash (\neg\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha$ .
- Applying the Deduction theorem, we get  $\vdash \neg\neg\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$ .

2. It is equivalent to show that

$$\{\alpha \rightarrow \neg\beta, \beta\} \vdash \neg\alpha.$$

We proceed in five steps:

- By  $\Delta_0$ -3,  $\{\beta\} \vdash \neg\neg\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ .
- Using (1), we have  $\{\alpha \rightarrow \neg\beta\} \vdash \neg\neg\alpha \rightarrow \neg\beta$ .
- From  $\Delta_0$ -4, we get  $\vdash \beta \rightarrow (\neg\beta \rightarrow \neg\alpha)$ .
- Combine the above 3 steps. We get  $\{\alpha \rightarrow \neg\beta, \beta\} \vdash \neg\neg\alpha \rightarrow \neg\alpha$ .
- $\Delta_0$ -5 says  $\vdash (\neg\neg\alpha \rightarrow \neg\alpha) \rightarrow \neg\alpha$ .

Therefore, combining the last two results, we have  $\{\alpha \rightarrow \neg\beta, \beta\} \vdash \neg\alpha$ .

3. We are left to show that  $\vdash \alpha \rightarrow \neg\neg\alpha$ .

- By  $\Delta_0$ -2,  $\vdash \neg\alpha \rightarrow \neg\alpha$ .
- From (2), we have  $\vdash (\neg\alpha \rightarrow \neg\alpha) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \neg\neg\alpha)$ .

Hence  $\vdash \alpha \rightarrow \neg\neg\alpha$ .

4. There are two parts:

- (a)  $\vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\neg\beta \rightarrow \neg\alpha)$
- (b)  $\vdash (\neg\beta \rightarrow \neg\alpha) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$

(a). From (2), we have  $\vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \neg\neg\beta) \rightarrow (\neg\beta \rightarrow \neg\alpha)$ . Using (3), we have

$$\vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \neg\neg\beta.$$

Thus  $\vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\neg\beta \rightarrow \neg\alpha)$ .

(b). From (2) and Deduction, we have

$$\{\neg\beta \rightarrow \neg\alpha, \alpha\} \vdash \neg\neg\beta.$$

Since  $\vdash \neg\neg\beta \rightarrow \beta$ , we get  $\{\neg\beta \rightarrow \neg\alpha, \alpha\} \vdash \beta$ , and use Deduction again,

$$\vdash (\neg\beta \rightarrow \neg\alpha) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \beta).$$

5. (3) is the principle of **Double Negation**, (4) is **Contraposition**. By (3) and (4), the assumption can be restated as:  $\Gamma \vdash \neg\beta \rightarrow \neg\alpha$  and  $\Gamma \vdash \neg\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ . Combining them, we have  $\Gamma \vdash \neg\beta \rightarrow \beta$ . By  $\Delta_0$ -5,  $\vdash (\neg\beta \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta$ . So  $\Gamma \vdash \beta$ .  $\square$

## 2 First Order Logic

**Exercise 2.** Suppose  $x$  does not occur freely in  $\alpha$ . Show that

$$1. \vdash (\forall x\beta(x) \rightarrow \alpha) \leftrightarrow \exists x(\beta(x) \rightarrow \alpha)$$

$$2. \vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \exists x\beta(x)) \leftrightarrow \exists x(\alpha \rightarrow \beta(x))$$

**SOLUTION.** The following tautology in Propositional logic will be frequently used in our proofs:

**CLAIM.**  $\{\varphi, \neg\psi\} \vdash \neg\{\neg(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)\}$ .

*Proof of Claim.* The direction from left to right follows from  $\Delta_0$ -7,

$$\vdash \varphi \rightarrow (\neg\psi \rightarrow \neg(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)).$$

For the other direction, we need the following consequences of  $\Delta_0$ -6 and  $\Delta_0$ -3:

$$\{\neg\varphi\} \vdash (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \quad \text{and} \quad \{\psi\} \vdash (\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$$

Then the other direction can be obtained by applying Contraposition and Double Negation.  $\dashv$

Now we are ready to work with the exercises.

1. We first show the direction “ $\rightarrow$ ”:

$$\vdash (\forall x\beta(x) \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \neg\forall x\neg(\beta(x) \rightarrow \alpha).$$

By Contraposition, Double Negation and Deduction, it suffices to show that

$$\{\forall x\neg(\beta(x) \rightarrow \alpha)\} \vdash \neg(\forall x\beta(x) \rightarrow \alpha)$$

By the claim, it suffices to show that

- $\{\forall x\neg(\beta(x) \rightarrow \neg\alpha)\} \vdash \forall x\beta(x)$ , and
- $\{\forall x\neg(\beta(x) \rightarrow \alpha)\} \vdash \neg\alpha$ .

Since  $x$  is substitutable for  $x$  in  $\neg(\beta(x) \rightarrow \neg\alpha)$ , by  $\Delta$ -2,

$$\{\forall x\neg(\beta(x) \rightarrow \alpha)\} \vdash \neg(\beta(x) \rightarrow \alpha).$$

Using the claim again, we get  $\{\neg(\beta(x) \rightarrow \alpha)\} \vdash \{\beta(x), \neg\alpha\}$ . Consequently, we have  $\{\forall x\neg(\beta(x) \rightarrow \alpha)\} \vdash \{\beta(x), \neg\alpha\}$ . As  $x$  does not occur freely in  $\forall x\neg(\beta(x) \rightarrow \alpha)$ , using Generalization, we obtain  $\{\forall x\neg(\beta(x) \rightarrow \alpha)\} \vdash \{\forall x\beta(x), \alpha\}$ . This completes the proof of the direction “ $\rightarrow$ ”.

Next we show the other direction:

$$\vdash \neg\forall x\neg(\beta(x) \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow (\forall x\beta(x) \rightarrow \alpha)$$

By Contraposition, Double Negation and Deduction, it suffices to show that

$$\{\neg(\forall x\beta(x) \rightarrow \alpha)\} \vdash \forall x\neg(\beta(x) \rightarrow \alpha)$$

By the claim, we have

$$\{\neg(\forall x\beta(x) \rightarrow \alpha)\} \vdash \{\forall x\beta(x), \neg\alpha\}.$$

Since  $x$  is substitutable for  $x$  in  $\beta(x)$ , from the first one we have  $\{\neg(\forall x\beta(x) \rightarrow \alpha)\} \vdash \beta(x)$ . Since  $\{\beta(x), \neg\alpha\} \vdash \neg(\beta(x) \rightarrow \alpha)$ , we have

$$\{\neg(\forall x\beta(x) \rightarrow \alpha)\} \vdash \neg(\beta(x) \rightarrow \alpha).$$

As  $x$  is no long free in the hypothesis, by Generalization, we have

$$\{\neg(\forall x\beta(x) \rightarrow \alpha)\} \vdash \forall x\neg(\beta(x) \rightarrow \alpha).$$

This problem is finished.

2. First we expand the symbol  $\exists$ :

$$\vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \neg\forall x\neg\beta(x)) \leftrightarrow \neg\forall x\neg(\alpha \rightarrow \beta(x))$$

We first show from left to right, i.e.

$$\{\alpha \rightarrow \neg\forall x\neg\beta(x)\} \vdash \neg\forall x\neg(\alpha \rightarrow \beta(x))$$

By Contraposition and Double Negation, we show  $\{\forall x\neg(\alpha \rightarrow \beta(x))\} \vdash \neg(\alpha \rightarrow \neg\forall x\neg\beta(x))$ . By the claim, we only need to show that

$$\{\forall x\neg(\alpha \rightarrow \beta(x))\} \vdash \{\alpha, \forall x\neg\beta(x)\}$$

Since  $x$  is substitutable for  $x$  in  $\neg(\alpha \rightarrow \beta(x))$ , we immediately have

$$\{\forall x\neg(\alpha \rightarrow \beta(x))\} \vdash \{\alpha, \neg\beta(x)\}.$$

Since  $x$  is not free in the left, the latter can be generalized. Thus we have

$$\{\forall x\neg(\alpha \rightarrow \beta(x))\} \vdash \{\alpha, \forall x\neg\beta(x)\}.$$

Now we look at the other direction:

$$\{\neg\forall x\neg(\alpha \rightarrow \beta(x))\} \vdash \alpha \rightarrow \neg\forall x\neg\beta(x)$$

By Contraposition and Double Negation, we consider

$$\{\neg(\alpha \rightarrow \neg\forall x\neg\beta(x))\} \vdash \forall x\neg(\alpha \rightarrow \beta(x))$$

By the claim, the hypothesis is equivalent to  $\{\alpha, \forall x \neg \beta(x)\}$ . Again since  $x$  is substitutable for  $x$  in  $\neg \beta(x)$ , we get  $\{\alpha, \forall x \neg \beta(x)\} \vdash \neg \beta(x)$ . Consequently,

$$\{\alpha, \forall x \neg \beta(x)\} \vdash \neg(\alpha \rightarrow \beta(x)).$$

$x$  is not free in the hypothesis, so by Generalization,

$$\{\alpha, \forall x \neg \beta(x)\} \vdash \forall x \neg(\alpha \rightarrow \beta(x)).$$

This completes the second problem. □