### Elementary Set Theory

### Xianghui Shi

School of Mathematical Sciences Beijing Normal University



Fall 2025

## Axioms of Set Theory

- 9 axioms, expressed by the formulas of Set Theory.
- Applications of axioms: defining new sets, deriving contradictions, etc.
- Concepts: set/class, partition/equivalence relation

### **Ordinal Numbers**

- Concepts: partial/linear/well ordering, order type, ordinal, successor/limit ordinal, addition/multiplication/exponentiation of ordinals, etc.
- Techniques: transfinite recursion (for definition), transfinite induction, argument with least element.

### Cardinal Numbers

- ► Concepts:  $|X| \le |Y|$ , |X| = |Y|, cardinal, cardinal addition/multiplication/exponentiation, cofinality
- ► Techniques:
  - Cantor's diagonalization argument
  - verify properties of cardinal arithmetic
  - do transfinite counting
- ► Theorems: Cantor-Bernstein, Theorem 3.8 (Cantor), 3.31 (König)

### Real Numbers

- ightharpoonup Concepts: open/closed/perfect subsets of  $\mathbb R$  (and  $\omega^\omega$ )
- Techniques:
  - ▶ Tree representation of Baire space,  $\mathcal{N}$ .
- Theorems:
  - ► Theorem 4.3 (Cantor-Dedekind)¹
  - Cantor-Bendixson.
  - Baire Category Theorem

 $<sup>{}^1\</sup>mathbb{R}$  is the unique complete dense unbounded separable linear order.

### The Axiom of Choice

#### Need to know:

- Concepts:
  - ▶ Statements of AC, WO, ZL, MP, AC $_{\omega}$ , DC;
- ► Theorems:
  - ▶ Implications among AC, WO, ZL, MP, AC $_{\omega}$ , DC;
  - ▶ König Theorem  $(\Sigma_i \kappa_i < \prod_i \lambda_i)$  and its consequences:
    - $ightharpoonup 2^{\kappa} > \kappa$ ,
    - $ightharpoonup \kappa^{\operatorname{cf}(\kappa)} > \kappa.$

(simple version of König)

## Infinite sum and infinite product

▶ If  $\lambda \ge \omega$  and  $\kappa_i > 0$ , for each  $i < \lambda$ , then

$$\sum_{i<\lambda} \kappa_i = \lambda \cdot \sup_{i<\lambda} \kappa_i$$

▶ Suppose  $\lambda \ge \omega$  and  $\langle \kappa_i \mid i < \lambda \rangle$  is a nondecreasing sequence of cardinals > 0. Then

$$\prod_{i<\lambda} \kappa_i = (\sup_i \kappa_i)^{\lambda}.$$

### **Exercises**

- There are arbitrarily large singular cardinals.
- ► There are arbitrarily large singular cardinals  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  such that  $\aleph_{\alpha} = \alpha$ .
- About cofinality

  - $ightharpoonup \operatorname{cf}(\aleph_{\alpha}) = \operatorname{cf}(\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal.
- Cardinal exponentiations under GCH: for any  $\kappa, \lambda \geq \omega$ ,  $\kappa^{\lambda} = \kappa$ , if  $\lambda < \operatorname{cf}(\kappa)$ ;  $\kappa^{\lambda} = \kappa^{+}$ , if  $\operatorname{cf}(\kappa) \leq \lambda \leq \kappa$ ; and  $\kappa^{\lambda} = \lambda^{+}$ , if  $\kappa < \lambda$ .

## Cardinality

- ▶ If a linearly ordered set P has a countable dense subset, then  $|P| \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$ .
- ▶ The cardinality of the set of all null sets.
- ▶ The set of all 1-1 function from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $\mathbb{N}$  is uncountable.

### A set or a proper class?

- $ightharpoonup \operatorname{Ord} =_{\mathsf{def}} \{ \alpha \mid \alpha \text{ is an ordinal} \}$
- $ightharpoonup \operatorname{Card} =_{\mathsf{def}} \{ \alpha \mid \alpha \text{ is a cardinal} \}$
- $ightharpoonup \{X \mid X \text{ is a wellordered set}\}$
- $\qquad \qquad \{X \subseteq \mathbb{R} \mid X \text{ is wellordered}\}$
- $\blacktriangleright \ \{P \mid P \text{ is a partially ordered set and } |P| < \aleph_{\omega}\}$
- ▶ the range of  $\aleph$ -function :  $\aleph(i) =$  the i-th cardinal in ordinals.
- Assume  $\kappa \in \operatorname{Ord}$  is a strongly inaccessible cardinal. The collection of wellordered sets in  $V_{\kappa}$ .

Assume ZFC, determine the truth of the following statement, if you can.

- Every dense subset of  $\mathbb R$  has cardinality  $2^{\aleph_0}$
- $\blacktriangleright$  Every real in (0,1) is uniquely represented by a  $\{0,1\}\mbox{-sequence}$  of length  $\omega$
- ightharpoonup Every real in (0,1) is uniquely represented by a continuous fraction
- Every wellordering has no nontrivial automorphism.

Assume ZFC, determine the truth of the following statement, if you can.

- Every dense subset of  $\mathbb R$  has cardinality  $2^{\aleph_0}$
- $\blacktriangleright$  Every real in (0,1) is uniquely represented by a  $\{0,1\}\mbox{-sequence}$  of length  $\omega$
- ightharpoonup Every real in (0,1) is uniquely represented by a continuous fraction
- Every wellordering has no nontrivial automorphism.

F, F, F, T, T

#### Cont'd

- ▶ Every linear order L with the following property is a well order: if  $f: L \to L$  is ordering preserving, then  $f(x) \ge x$  for every  $x \in L$ .
- ▶ There are more than  $\aleph_1$  many reals.
- ► There is no Suslin line, i.e. a linear ordering that is dense, unbounded, complete and has the countable chain condition but is not nonseparable.
- ► There is a unique complete ordered field.
- ▶ For any set A,  $\bigcap A \subset a$ , for all  $a \in A$ .
- ▶ For any set A,  $\bigcup A \supset a$ , for all  $a \in A$ .

#### Cont'd

- ▶ Every linear order L with the following property is a well order: if  $f: L \to L$  is ordering preserving, then  $f(x) \ge x$  for every  $x \in L$ .
- ▶ There are more than  $\aleph_1$  many reals.
- ► There is no Suslin line, i.e. a linear ordering that is dense, unbounded, complete and has the countable chain condition but is not nonseparable.
- ► There is a unique complete ordered field.
- ▶ For any set A,  $\bigcap A \subset a$ , for all  $a \in A$ .
- ▶ For any set A,  $\bigcup A \supset a$ , for all  $a \in A$ .

F, I (for Indepedent), I, T, F, T

### Counting

Compute the cardinalities of the following sets.

- $\{F \mid F = (A, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <) \text{ is a complete ordered field}\}$
- lacktriangle The collection of comeager subsets of the Baire space  ${\cal N}$
- ▶ The collection of all Lebesgue measure zero sets of reals.
- ► The collection of all Borel sets that are of Lebesgue measure zero.
- ► The collection of all meager sets of reals [Hint: The Cantor set is nowhere dense.]

## Cofinality

- ightharpoonup cf( $\aleph_{\omega}$ )
- $ightharpoonup cf(\aleph_{\omega+\omega^2+3})$
- ▶ Given continuous increasing ordinal function f, for  $A \subset \operatorname{Ord}$  with no maximal element,

$$\operatorname{cf}(f(\sup A)) = \operatorname{cf}(A).$$

For instance, the cofinality of  $(\omega_1)^{\omega^{\omega}}$  (as ordinal exponentiation) is  $\omega$ .

$$(\omega_1)^{\omega^{\omega}} = \sup_{n < \omega} (\omega_1)^{\omega^n}$$

But as cardinal exponentiation,

$$\operatorname{cf}((\aleph_1)^{\aleph_0^{\aleph_0}}) > \aleph_0^{\aleph_0}.$$

### Miscellaneous

- Every comeager set of reals is dense.
- ▶ Every comeager set of reals contains a perfect subset.
- ightharpoonup The Cantor set  $\mathbb C$  is nowhere dense and null.
  - $ightharpoonup \mathbb{C}$  is closed.  $\mathbb{C}$  contains no intervals, so its interior is empty.
  - ▶ Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $n < \omega$  be such that  $(\frac{2}{3})^n < \varepsilon$ , then the collection  $\bigcup \{O_s \mid s \in {}^{<\omega}\omega \wedge |s| = n\}$  is an open set containing  $\mathbb{C}$ .

### Cardinal arithmetic

### Exercise

Show that 
$$\prod_{m,n<\omega}(mn+1)=2^{\aleph_0}$$
.

#### Proof.

$$\begin{split} 2^{\aleph_0} &= 2^{\aleph_0 \cdot \aleph_0} = \prod_{n,m < \omega} 2 \\ &\leq \prod_{n,m < \omega} (mn+1) \\ &\leq \left(\sup_{m,n} (mn+1)\right)^{\aleph_0 \cdot \aleph_0} \\ &= \aleph_0^{\aleph_0 \cdot \aleph_0} = 2^{\aleph_0}. \end{split}$$

### Hausdorff formula

$$\aleph_{\alpha+1}^{\aleph_{\beta}} = \aleph_{\alpha}^{\aleph_{\beta}} \cdot \aleph_{\alpha+1}$$

### Hausdorff formula

$$\aleph_{\alpha+1}^{\aleph_\beta}=\aleph_\alpha^{\aleph_\beta}\cdot\aleph_{\alpha+1}$$

- $\blacktriangleright \text{ For } n < \omega, \ \aleph_{\alpha+n+1}^{\aleph_{\beta}} = \aleph_{\alpha}^{\aleph_{\beta}} \cdot \prod_{i < n} \aleph_{\alpha+i+1} = \aleph_{\alpha}^{\aleph_{\beta}} \cdot \aleph_{\alpha+n+1}.$
- ightharpoonup For limit ordinal  $\alpha$ ,

$$\aleph_{\alpha+\omega}^{\aleph_{\beta}} = \aleph_{\alpha+\omega}^{\aleph_{0}\cdot\aleph_{\beta}} = \left(\aleph_{\alpha+\omega}^{\aleph_{0}}\right)^{\aleph_{\beta}} = \left(\prod_{n<\omega}\aleph_{\alpha+n+1}\right)^{\aleph_{\beta}} \\
= \prod_{n<\omega}\aleph_{\alpha+n+1}^{\aleph_{\beta}} = \prod_{n<\omega}\left(\aleph_{\alpha}^{\aleph_{\beta}}\cdot\aleph_{\alpha+n+1}\right) \\
= \aleph_{\alpha}^{\aleph_{\beta}\cdot\aleph_{0}}\cdot\left(\prod_{n<\omega}\aleph_{\alpha+n+1}\right) = \aleph_{\alpha}^{\aleph_{\beta}}\cdot\aleph_{\alpha+\omega}.$$

## Cofinality of a fixed-point ordinal

#### Exercise

Suppose  $f: \mathrm{Ord} \to \mathrm{Ord}$  is increasing and continuous. Show

- 1. The set of fixed-points for f is unbounded in Ord.
- 2. The cofinality of the least fixed-point for f is  $\omega$ .<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>For any regular cardinal  $\kappa$ , there are fixed-points for f of cofinality  $\kappa$ .

## Cofinality of a fixed-point ordinal

#### Exercise

Suppose  $f: \mathrm{Ord} \to \mathrm{Ord}$  is increasing and continuous. Show

- 1. The set of fixed-points for f is unbounded in Ord.
- 2. The cofinality of the least fixed-point for f is  $\omega$ .<sup>2</sup>

### Proof.

- 1. Let  $\alpha_0$  be any ordinal. For  $n < \omega$ , define  $\alpha_{n+1} = f(\alpha_n)$ . Then  $\alpha^* = \sup_{n < \omega} \alpha_n$  is a fixed-point for f.
- 2. This is the case  $\alpha_0 = 0$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>For any regular cardinal  $\kappa$ , there are fixed-points for f of cofinality  $\kappa$ .

## Cofinality of power sets

### Exercise

Show that  $cf(2^{\kappa}) > \kappa$ , for all infinite cardinal  $\kappa$ .

## Cofinality of power sets

### Exercise

Show that  $cf(2^{\kappa}) > \kappa$ , for all infinite cardinal  $\kappa$ .

#### Proof.

Suppose not,  $cf(2^{\kappa}) \leq \kappa$ . Then

$$2^{\kappa} < (2^{\kappa})^{\operatorname{cf}(2^{\kappa})} \le (2^{\kappa})^{\kappa} = 2^{\kappa \cdot \kappa} = 2^{\kappa}.$$

Contradiction!

## Cofinality of Products of Cardinals

#### Exercise

Let  $\langle \kappa_i \mid i < \mu \rangle$  be a non-decreasing sequence of infinite cardinals. Define  $\lambda = \prod_{i < \mu} \kappa_i$ . Prove that  $cf(\lambda) > \mu$ .

#### Proof.

The point is that  $\lambda = (\sup_{i<\mu} \kappa_i)^{\mu}$ . Let  $\kappa = \sup_{i<\mu} \kappa_i$ . Suppose  $\mathrm{cf}(\lambda) \leq \mu$ . Then by König Theorem,

$$\lambda = \kappa^{\mu} < (\kappa^{\mu})^{\operatorname{cf}(\kappa^{\mu})} \le \kappa^{\mu \cdot \mu} = \kappa^{\mu} = \lambda.$$

Contradiction!

# GOOD LUCK!