

Solutions for Assignment # 3

December 1, 2025

1. Write an explicit formula for this bijection.

SOLUTION:

The area in the picture is $\kappa \times \kappa$ affine transformation $A = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in \kappa \times \kappa \mid \beta \leq \alpha\}$. And there is a nature bijection

$$\begin{aligned} f : \kappa \times \kappa &\rightarrow A \\ (\alpha, \beta) &\mapsto (\beta + \alpha, \beta) \end{aligned}$$

The picture give us a well-ordering of A :

$$\begin{aligned} g : A &\rightarrow \kappa \\ (\alpha, \beta) &\mapsto \sum_{\gamma < \alpha} (\gamma + 1) + \beta \end{aligned}$$

Where $\sum_{\gamma < \alpha} (\gamma + 1)$ is the sum of all ordinals $< \alpha$, which can be defined recursively:
For all ordinal number α ,

- (a) $\sum_{\gamma < 0} (\gamma + 1) = 0$.
- (b) $\sum_{\gamma < \alpha+1} (\gamma + 1) = \sum_{\gamma < \alpha} (\gamma + 1) + \alpha + 1$.
- (c) $\sum_{\gamma < \alpha} \gamma = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \alpha} \sum_{\gamma < \xi} \gamma$, for limit $\alpha > 0$.

And one can easily verify that g is a bijection. So the bijection from $\kappa \times \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$ should be

$$\begin{aligned} g \circ f : \kappa \times \kappa &\rightarrow \kappa \\ (\alpha, \beta) &\mapsto \sum_{\gamma < \beta + \alpha} (\gamma + 1) + \beta \end{aligned}$$

2. Instructions: State the value of the following expressions.

- (i) $\aleph_0 + \aleph_0$
- (ii) $\aleph_0 \cdot \aleph_0$
- (iii) $\mathfrak{c} + \mathfrak{c}$
- (iv) $\mathfrak{c} \cdot \mathfrak{c}$
- (v) 2^{\aleph_0}
- (vi) \mathfrak{c}^{\aleph_0} Where $\mathfrak{c} = |\mathbb{R}| = 2^{\aleph_0}$.

SOLUTION:

- (i) \aleph_0
- (ii) \aleph_0
- (iii) \mathfrak{c}
- (iv) \mathfrak{c}
- (v) \mathfrak{c}

(vi) \mathfrak{c}

3. Assuming the **Generalized Continuum Hypothesis (GCH)**, which states that $2^{\aleph_\alpha} = \aleph_{\alpha+1}$ for all ordinals α , evaluate the following expressions.

- (i) $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$
(ii) $\aleph_1^{\aleph_0}$
(iii) \mathfrak{c}^{\aleph_1}

SOLUTION:

- (a) \aleph_2
(b) \aleph_1
(c) \aleph_2

4. Determine the relationship between the two cardinal number ($\leq, \geq, =$). You may use the fact that for infinite cardinals κ and λ , if $\kappa \leq \lambda$, then $\kappa + \lambda = \lambda$ and $\kappa \cdot \lambda$.

- (i) $\aleph_0 + \mathfrak{c}$ _____ \mathfrak{c}
(ii) $\aleph_0 \cdot \mathfrak{c}$ _____ \mathfrak{c}
(iii) $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ _____ $2^{\aleph_0} + \mathfrak{c}$
(iv) $(\aleph_0 + \mathfrak{c})^{\aleph_0}$ _____ \mathfrak{c}

SOLUTION:

- (i) =
(ii) =
(iii) \geq
(iv) =

5. Prove the following statements.

- (a) If $x \cap y = \emptyset$ and $x \cup y \preceq y$, then $\omega \times x \preceq y$.
(b) If $x \cap y = \emptyset$ and $\omega \times x \preceq y$, then $x \cup y \approx y$.

SOLUTION:

- (a) Intuitively speaking, $x \cup y \preceq y$ means if via an injective function one can get a copy of (a disjoint union of) x and y inside y . Iterating this process ω many times, one can get ω many disjoint copies of x inside y , i.e. $\omega \times x \preceq y$.

Formally, let $f : x \cup y \rightarrow y$ be an injection. Define the function

$$g : \omega \times x \rightarrow y$$

$$(n, a) \mapsto f^{n+1}(a)$$

where f^{n+1} denotes the n times composition of f . One can check that g is an injection from $\omega \times x$ to y .

- (b) Intuitively speaking, $\omega \times x \preceq y$ means we can insert ω many x into y , then we can move the n -th copy of x in y to $n+1$ -th, thus leave a place for one x .

Formally, from the definition of $\omega \times x \preceq y$, there is an injection $f : \omega \times x \rightarrow y$. Define the function

$$g : x \cup y \rightarrow y$$

$$a \mapsto f(0, a), \text{ if } a \in x$$

$$f(n+1, b), \text{ if } \exists (n, b) \in \omega \times x, a = f(n, b)$$

$$a, \text{ otherwise}$$

Then one can check that g is a bijection from $x \cup y$ to y .

- Ex.3.1 (a) A subset of a finite set is finite.
 (b) The union of a finite set of finite sets is finite.
 (c) The power set of a finite set is finite.
 (d) The image of a finite set (under a mapping) is finite.

SOLUTION:

- (a) By definition, X is finite iff $|X| < \omega$. Suppose $Y \subset X$, then it follows that $Y \preccurlyeq X$. Thus Y can be well-ordered and $|Y| \leq |X| < \omega$. So Y is finite.
 (b) Let $X = \{x_1, x_2 \dots x_n\} (n \in \mathbb{N})$, where $|x_i| < \omega$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let

$$A = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (\{i\} \times x_i)$$

Then A can be well-ordered and $|A| = |x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_n| < \omega$. For any $x \in \bigcup X$, let $f(x) =$ (the least i s.t. $x \in x_i, x) \in A$. It is clear that f is an injection from $\bigcup X$ to A , which implies that $\bigcup X$ is finite.

- (c) There is a bijection f from X to some $n < \omega$. Define F by $F(E) = f(E)$ for any $E \subset X$. F is a bijection from $\mathcal{P}(X)$ onto $\mathcal{P}(n)$. Since $|\mathcal{P}(n)| = 2^n < \omega$, $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is finite.
 (d) Denote the finite set by X and the mapping f . g is the bijection from X onto $n < \omega$. Then $f \circ g^{-1}$ is a mapping from n to $\text{ran}(f)$. For any $y \in \text{ran}(f)$, let $F(y) = m \in n$, where m is the least element s.t. $f \circ g^{-1}(m) = y$. F is a 1-1 mapping from $\text{ran}(f)$ into m . So $\text{ran}(f)$ is finite.

- Ex.3.2 (a) A subset of a countable set is at most countable.
 (b) The union of a finite set of countable sets is countable.
 (c) The image of a countable set (under a mapping) is at most countable.

SOLUTION:

- (a) By definition, X is countable iff $|X| = \omega$. Suppose $Y \subset X$, then it follows that $Y \preccurlyeq X$. Thus Y can be well-ordered and $|Y| \leq |X| = \omega$. So Y is at most countable.
 (b) Let $X = \{x_1, x_2 \dots x_n\} (n \in \mathbb{N})$, where $|x_i| = \omega$ (the bijection is f_i) for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let

$$A = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (\{i\} \times x_i)$$

Let $F(i, y) = n \cdot f_i(y) + i - 1$. Then F is a bijection from A onto ω . So A can be well-ordered $A \approx \omega$. For any $x \in \bigcup X$, let $f(x) =$ (the least i s.t. $x \in x_i, x) \in A$. It is clear that f is an injection from $\bigcup X$ into A , which implies that $|\bigcup X| \leq \omega$. On the other hand, $|\bigcup X| \geq \omega$ since $\bigcup X$ has a countable subset x_1 . So $\bigcup X$ is countable.

- (c) Denote the finite set by X and the mapping f . g is the bijection from X onto ω . Then $f \circ g^{-1}$ is a mapping from ω to $\text{ran}(f)$. For any $y \in \text{ran}(f)$, let $F(y) = m \in \omega$, where m is the least element s.t. $f \circ g^{-1}(m) = y$. F is a 1-1 mapping from $\text{ran}(f)$ into ω . So $\text{ran}(f)$ is at most countable.

Ex.3.3 $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is countable. [$f(m, n) = 2^m(2n + 1) - 1$.]

SOLUTION: Define $f : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by $f(m, n) = 2^m(2n + 1) - 1$. For any $s \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$m = \max\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid 2^k \mid (s + 1)\}, n = \frac{(s + 1)2^{-m} - 1}{2} \in \mathbb{N}$$

Then $f(m, n) = s$. On the other hand, suppose $2^{m_1}(2n_1 + 1) - 1 = 2^{m_2}(2n_2 + 1) - 1$ ($m_1 \leq m_2$), then $(2n_1 + 1) = 2^{m_2 - m_1}(2n_2 + 1)$, which implies $2^{m_2 - m_1} = 1$, i.e. $m_1 = m_2$. Then we have $n_1 = n_2$. So f is a bijection. It follows that $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is countable.

7 Prove that $\kappa^\kappa \leq 2^{\kappa \cdot \kappa}$.

SOLUTION: For any mapping f from κ to κ , f is a subset of $\kappa \times \kappa$, i.e. $f \in \mathcal{P}(\kappa \times \kappa)$. From this we have ${}^\kappa\kappa \subset \mathcal{P}(\kappa \times \kappa)$. It follows that ${}^\kappa\kappa \preceq \mathcal{P}(\kappa \times \kappa)$, i.e. $\kappa^\kappa \leq 2^{\kappa \cdot \kappa}$.

8 If $A \preceq B$, then $A \preceq^* B$.

SOLUTION: If $A = \emptyset$, then $A \preceq^* B$. Otherwise there is a $x_0 \in A$. Denote the one-to-one mapping for A into B by f . Let

$$g = \{(y, x) \mid (x, y) \in f\} \cup \{(y, x_0) \mid y \in B \setminus \text{ran}(f)\}$$

Then g is a function. First, $\text{dom}(g) = \text{ran}(f) \cup (B \setminus \text{ran}(f)) = B$, i.e. for any $y \in B$, there exists an x such that $(y, x) \in g$. Second, suppose $(y, x_1), (y, x_2) \in g$. If $y \notin \text{ran}(f)$, then $x_1 = x_0 = x_2$. If $y \in \text{ran}(f)$, then $(x_1, y), (x_2, y) \in f$. Since f is 1-1, we have $x_1 = x_2$.

Furthermore, g is a surjection, since $\text{ran}(g) = \text{dom}(f) \cup \{x_0\} = \text{dom}(f) = A$.

9 If $A \preceq^* B$, then $\mathcal{P}(A) \preceq \mathcal{P}(B)$.

SOLUTION: If $A = \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{P}(A) = \{\emptyset\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(B)$. Otherwise let $f : B \rightarrow A$ be a surjection. For any $x \in A$, let $F(x) = f^{-1}[x] \subset B$. Then F is a function from $\mathcal{P}(A)$ into $\mathcal{P}(B)$. F is injection, since if $x_1 \neq x_2$, say $t \in x_1 \setminus x_2$, then $\emptyset \neq f^{-1}[\{t\}] \subseteq f^{-1}[x_1] \setminus f^{-1}[x_2]$ (f being onto ensures that $f^{-1}[\{t\}] \neq \emptyset$), thus $F(x_1) \neq F(x_2)$.

10 Let X be a set. If there is an injective function $f : X \rightarrow X$ s.t $\text{ran}(f) \subsetneq X$, then X is infinite.

(Dedekind infinite is infinite)

SOLUTION: *Method I.* Suppose NOT, X is finite. There is a bijection from X to some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote it by g . Then $F = g \circ f \circ g^{-1}$ is an injection from n into n , s.t $\text{ran}(F) \subsetneq n$. But this contradicts to the fact that every $n \in \omega$ has no proper subsets of the same cardinality.

We show by induction that no $n \in \omega$ has proper subsets of the same cardinality. We go from n to $n + 1$. Let $h : n + 1 \rightarrow n + 1$ be a non-surjective injection and $h' = h \upharpoonright n$. We modify h' to get an $f : n \rightarrow n$. Consider n , if $n \notin \text{ran}(h')$, let $f = h'$; if $n \in \text{ran}(h')$, then let $f(h^{-1}(n)) = h(n)$ and for $i \in n \setminus h^{-1}(n)$, $f(i) = h'(i)$. In either case $f : n \rightarrow n$ is a non-surjective injection.

Method II. (AC). We shall construct an injection $g : \omega \rightarrow X$. Let $X_0 = X$ and $X_{n+1} = f[X_n]$ for all $n \in \omega$. Since $\text{ran}(f) \subsetneq X$, by induction, one can see that for each $n \in \omega$, $X_{n+1} - X_n \neq \emptyset$, hence can select $g(n) \in X_{n+1} - X_n$ for each $n \in \omega$. These $g(n)$'s are clearly distinct, hence $g : \omega \rightarrow X$ is an injection. This shows that X is infinite.

1. Calculate the result of the cardinal operation $\aleph_0 + \aleph_2$.

- (a) \aleph_0
- (b) \aleph_2
- (c) \aleph_3
- (d) $2\aleph_2$

SOLUTION: (b)

2. Calculate the cofinality of the ordinal $\alpha = \omega^4 + 1$.

- (a) ω
- (b) 1
- (c) 7
- (d) 4

SOLUTION: (b)

3. Which of the following cardinal numbers is singular?

- (a) \aleph_1

- (b) \aleph_0
- (c) \aleph_ω
- (d) \aleph_5

SOLUTION: (c)

4. Calculate the cofinality of the ordinal product $\alpha = \omega_1 \cdot \omega^2$
- (a) ω^2
 - (b) ω_1
 - (c) 1
 - (d) ω

SOLUTION: (d)

5. What is the value of the cardinal exponentiation 2^{\aleph_1} under the **Generalized Continuum Hypothesis (GCH)**?
- (a) \aleph_1
 - (b) \aleph_0
 - (c) \aleph_2
 - (d) \mathfrak{c}

SOLUTION: (c)

6. If κ is a regular infinite cardinal, what is the cofinality of the sum $\kappa + \kappa$ (cardinal sum)?
- (a) 2
 - (b) \aleph_0
 - (c) κ
 - (d) κ^+

SOLUTION: (c)

7. Calculate the cofinality of the ordinal $\beta = \omega^\omega + 1$.
- (a) ω
 - (b) 1
 - (c) ω^ω
 - (d) 2

SOLUTION: (b)

8. Let κ be a regular cardinal. What is the value of κ^λ when $\lambda < \kappa$?
- (a) κ
 - (b) 2^λ
 - (c) λ
 - (d) $\kappa^{\text{cf}(\kappa)}$

SOLUTION: (a) if $\forall \delta < \kappa (\delta^\lambda \leq \kappa)$, (b) if $2^\lambda \geq \kappa$

9. What is the cofinality of the limit cardinal \aleph_{ω^2} ?
- (a) \aleph_{ω^2}
 - (b) \aleph_0

- (c) \aleph_2
- (d) ω^2

SOLUTION: (b)

10. Which statement about the cofinality $\text{cf}(\kappa)$ of the infinite cardinal κ is always true?

- (a) $\text{cf}(\kappa) = \aleph_0$
- (b) $\text{cf}(\kappa) = \kappa$
- (c) $\text{cf}(\kappa)$ is a regular cardinal.
- (d) $\text{cf}(\kappa) < \kappa$

SOLUTION: (c)