# Solutions for Assignment # 3

### October 28, 2025

1. Let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \text{Ord}$  and let  $\alpha < \beta$ . Then

- (a)  $\alpha + \gamma \leq \beta + \gamma$
- (b)  $\alpha \cdot \gamma \leq \beta \cdot \gamma$
- (c)  $\alpha^{\gamma} \leq \beta^{\gamma}$

Given examples to show that  $\leq$  cannot be replaced by < in either inequality.

SOLUTION: We prove the proposition by induction on  $\gamma$ . In order to use the conclusion later, we only suppose that  $\alpha \leq \beta$ .

- (a) i.  $\gamma = 0$ , it is obvious that  $\alpha + 0 \le \beta + 0$ .
  - ii. Suppose the inequality holds for  $\gamma$ , i.e.  $\alpha + \gamma \leq \beta + \gamma$ , then we have

$$\alpha + \gamma < (\beta + \gamma) + 1 = \beta + (\gamma + 1)$$

Noting that

$$\alpha + (\gamma + 1) = (\alpha + \gamma) + 1 = \inf\{\xi \in \text{Ord} \mid \alpha + \gamma < \xi\}$$

it follows that

$$\alpha + (\gamma + 1) \le \beta + (\gamma + 1)$$

iii. Suppose  $\gamma$  is a limit ordinal and the inequality holds for any ordinal less than  $\gamma$ . Then by definition,

$$\alpha + \gamma = \lim_{\xi \to \gamma} (\alpha + \xi) = \sup \{ \alpha + \xi \mid \xi < \gamma \}$$

$$\beta + \gamma = \lim_{\xi \to \gamma} (\beta + \xi) = \sup \{ \beta + \xi \mid \xi < \gamma \}$$

By induction, for any  $\xi < \gamma$ ,  $\alpha + \xi \le \beta + \xi \le \beta + \gamma$ , then

$$\alpha + \gamma \le \beta + \gamma$$

**Example:** 1 < 2, but  $1 + \omega = \omega = 2 + \omega$ 

- (b) i.  $\gamma = 0$ , it is obvious that  $\alpha \cdot 0 = 0 = \beta \cdot 0$ .
  - ii. Suppose the inequality holds for  $\gamma$ , i.e.  $\alpha \cdot \gamma \leq \beta \cdot \gamma$ , then we have

$$\begin{array}{lll} \alpha \cdot (\gamma + 1) & = & \alpha \cdot \gamma + \alpha & \text{ (definition)} \\ & \leq & \alpha \cdot \gamma + \beta & \text{ (Lemma 2.25)} \\ & \leq & \beta \cdot \gamma + \beta & \text{ (induction+(a))} \\ & = & \beta \cdot (\gamma + 1) & \end{array}$$

iii. Suppose  $\gamma$  is a limit ordinal and the inequality holds for any ordinal less than  $\gamma$ . Then by definition,

$$\alpha \cdot \gamma = \lim_{\xi \to \gamma} (\alpha \cdot \xi) = \sup \{\alpha \cdot \xi \mid \xi < \gamma\}$$

$$\beta \cdot \gamma = \lim_{\xi \to \gamma} (\beta \cdot \xi) = \sup \{\beta \cdot \xi \mid \xi < \gamma\}$$

By induction, for any  $\xi < \gamma$ ,  $\alpha \cdot \xi \le \beta \cdot \xi \le \beta \cdot \gamma$ , then

$$\alpha \cdot \gamma < \beta \cdot \gamma$$

**Example:** 1 < 2, but  $1 \cdot \omega = \omega = 2 \cdot \omega$ 

- (c) i.  $\gamma = 0$ , it is obvious that  $\alpha^0 = 1 = \beta^0$ .
  - ii. Suppose the inequality holds for  $\gamma$ , i.e.  $\alpha^{\gamma} \leq \beta^{\gamma}$ , then we have

$$\begin{array}{lll} \alpha^{\gamma+1} & = & \alpha^{\gamma} \cdot \alpha & \quad \text{(definition)} \\ & \leq & \alpha^{\gamma} \cdot \beta & \quad \text{(Lemma 2.25, if } \alpha^{\gamma} = 0 \text{ or } \alpha = \beta, \text{ ``='' holds)} \\ & \leq & \beta^{\gamma} \cdot \beta & \quad \text{(induction+(b))} \\ & = & \beta^{\gamma+1} \end{array}$$

iii. Suppose  $\gamma$  is a limit ordinal and the inequality holds for any ordinal less than  $\gamma$ . Then by definition,

$$\alpha^{\gamma} = \lim_{\xi \to \gamma} (\alpha^{\xi}) = \sup \{ \alpha^{\xi} \mid \xi < \gamma \}$$

$$\beta^{\gamma} = \lim_{\xi \to \gamma} (\beta^{\xi}) = \sup \{ \beta^{\xi} \mid \xi < \gamma \}$$

By induction, for any  $\xi < \gamma$ ,  $\alpha^{\xi} \leq \beta^{\xi} \leq \beta^{\gamma}$ , then

$$\alpha^{\gamma} \leq \beta^{\gamma}$$

**Example:** 2 < 3, but  $2^{\omega} = \omega = 3^{\omega}$ 

- 2. Show that the following rules do not hold for all.  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \text{Ord}$ :
  - (a) If  $\alpha + \gamma = \beta + \gamma$  then  $\alpha = \beta$ .
  - (b) If  $\gamma > 0$  and  $\alpha \cdot \gamma = \beta \cdot \gamma$  then  $\alpha = \beta$ .
  - (c)  $(\beta + \gamma) \cdot \alpha = \beta \cdot \alpha + \gamma \cdot \alpha$

#### SOLUTION:

- (a)  $1 + \omega = \omega = 2 + \omega$ , but 1 < 2.
- (b) If  $\omega > 0$  and  $1 \cdot \omega = \omega = 2 \cdot \omega$ , but 1 < 2.
- (c)  $(1+1) \cdot \omega = 2 \cdot \omega = \omega < \omega + 1 \le \omega + \omega = 1 \cdot \omega + 1 \cdot \omega$
- 3. Find a set  $A \subset \mathbb{Q}$ , such that  $(A, <_{\mathbb{Q}}) \cong (\alpha, \in)$ , where
  - (a)  $\alpha = \omega + 1$
  - (b)  $\alpha = \omega \cdot 2$
  - (c)  $\alpha = \omega \cdot \omega$
  - (d)  $\alpha = \omega^{\omega}$

#### SOLUTION:

(a)  $A = \{-1, -\frac{1}{2}, \cdots, -\frac{1}{2^n}, \cdots, 0\}$ . The isomorphism  $f: A \to \omega + 1$  is:

$$f(-\frac{1}{2^n}) = n, f(0) = \omega$$

(b)  $A = \{-1, -\frac{1}{2}, \cdots, -\frac{1}{2^n}, \cdots, 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}, \cdots, 1 - \frac{1}{2^n}, \cdots \}$ . The isomorphism  $f: A \to \omega + 2$  is:

$$f(-\frac{1}{2^n}) = n, f(1 - \frac{1}{2^n}) = \omega + n$$

(c)  $A = \{m - \frac{1}{2^n} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . The isomorphism  $f : A \to \omega \cdot \omega$  is:

$$f(m - \frac{1}{2^n}) = \omega \cdot m + n$$

(d) By Cantor's Normal form Theorem, for any ordinal  $\alpha \in \omega^{\omega}$ ,

$$\alpha = k_n + \omega \cdot k_{n-1} + \dots + \omega^n \cdot k_0 (k_0 \neq 0)$$

Let

$$g(\alpha) = n - 2^{-k_0} - 2^{-k_0 - (k_1 + 1)} - \dots - 2^{-k_0 - (k_1 + 1) - \dots - (k_n + 1)}$$

Then g is an isomorphism from  $\omega^{\omega}$  to  $g(\omega^{\omega}) \subset \mathbb{Q}$ 

### 4. An ordinal $\alpha$ is a limit ordinal iff $\alpha = \omega \cdot \beta$ for some $\beta \in \text{Ord} \setminus \{0\}$

<u>SOLUTION</u>: Suppose  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal, then there exists a unique  $\beta$  and n, such that  $\alpha = \omega \cdot \beta + n$ , and  $n < \omega$ . If  $n \neq 0$ , it must be m + 1 for some  $m < \omega$ . But then  $\alpha = (\omega \cdot \beta + m) + 1$ , which contradicts to that  $\alpha$  is limit.

(Method I). Suppose  $\alpha = \alpha' + 1$  for some  $\alpha'$ , then there exists a unique  $\beta'$  and n', such that  $\alpha' = \omega \cdot \beta' + n'$ , and  $n' < \omega$ . Let  $\beta = \beta'$ , n = n' + 1, we have  $\alpha = \omega \cdot \beta + n$ , where  $n < \omega$ . By the uniqueness of  $\beta$  and n,  $\alpha$  can't be written as  $\alpha = \omega \cdot \beta$  for some  $\beta \in \text{Ord}$ .

(Method II). There are two cases for  $\beta$ . (a)  $\beta = \gamma + 1$  for some  $\gamma$ . Then  $\alpha = \omega(\gamma + 1) = \sup\{(\omega \cdot \gamma + n \mid n < \omega\}$  is a limit ordinal. (b)  $\beta$  is a limit ordinal. Then  $\alpha = \sup\{\omega \cdot \gamma \mid \gamma < \beta\}$  is also a limit ordinal.

## 5. Find the first three $\alpha > 0$ s.t. $\xi + \alpha = \alpha$ for all $\xi < \alpha$ .

<u>SOLUTION</u>: The least  $\alpha$  is 1. The only ordinal less than 1 is 0, which satisfies that 0+1=1. On the other hand, 1 is the least ordinal > 0.

If we suppose  $\alpha > 1$ , the least ordinal is  $\omega$ . For any  $n < \omega$ ,  $n + \omega = \lim_{m \to \omega} (n + m) = \omega$ . On the other hand, for any  $1 < m < \omega$ , there exists an m' such that m = m' + 1 and m' > 0, thus m' + m > m.

Suppose  $\alpha > \omega$ , the least ordinal is  $\omega^2$ . For any  $\beta < \omega^2$ ,  $\beta = \omega \cdot m + n$  and  $m, n < \omega$ .  $\beta + \omega^2 = \omega \cdot m + n + \omega \cdot \omega = \omega^2$ . On the other hand, for any  $\omega < \beta = \omega \cdot m + n < \omega^2$ , there exists  $\beta'$  such that  $\beta = \beta' + \omega + n$  and  $\beta' > 0$ ; thus  $\beta' + \beta > \beta$ .

#### 6. Find the least $\xi$ such that

- (a)  $\omega + \xi = \xi$
- (b)  $\omega \cdot \xi = \xi, \, \xi \neq 0$
- (c)  $\omega^{\xi} = \xi$

(Hint for (1): Consider a sequence  $\langle \xi_n \rangle$  s.t.  $\xi_{n+1} = \omega + \xi_n$ .)

#### Solution:

(a) Construct a sequence  $\langle \xi_n \rangle$ :  $\xi_1 = \omega$ ,  $\xi_{n+1} = \omega + \xi_n$ . Then  $\langle \xi_n \rangle$  is a set belongs to Ord. In fact,  $\xi_n = \omega \cdot n$ , let

$$\xi = \lim_{n \to \omega} \xi_n = \omega \cdot \omega$$

It is easy to verify that  $\omega + \xi = \xi$ . On the other hand, for any  $\alpha < \xi$ ,  $\alpha = \omega \cdot k_1 + k_2$ , where  $k_1, k_2 < \omega$ . Then

$$\omega + \alpha = \omega + \omega \cdot k_1 + k_2 = \omega \cdot (k_1 + 1) + k_2 > \omega \cdot k_1 + k_2 = \alpha$$

(b) Construct a sequence  $\langle \xi_n \rangle$ :  $\xi_1 = \omega$ ,  $\xi_{n+1} = \omega \cdot \xi_n$ . Then  $\langle \xi_n \rangle$  is a set belongs to Ord. In fact,  $\xi_n = \omega^n$ , let

$$\xi = \lim_{n \to \omega} \xi_n = \omega^{\omega}$$

It is easy to verify that  $\omega \cdot \xi = \xi$ . On the other hand, for any  $\alpha < \omega^{\omega}$ , there exists an n such that

$$\omega^n < \alpha < \omega^{n+1}$$

Actually,  $n = \sup\{m \in \omega \mid x \ge \omega^m\}$ , where  $\{m \in \omega \mid x \ge \omega^m\}$  is an initial segment of  $\omega$ . Thus we have

$$\omega \cdot \alpha \ge \omega \cdot \omega^n = \omega^{n+1} > \alpha$$

(c) Construct a sequence  $\langle \xi_n \rangle$ :  $\xi_1 = \omega$ ,  $\xi_{n+1} = \omega^{\xi_n}$ . Then  $\langle \xi_n \rangle$  is a set belongs to Ord. Let

$$\xi = \lim_{n \to \omega} \xi_n$$

It is easy to verify that  $\omega^{\xi} = \xi$ . On the other hand, for any  $\alpha < \xi$ , there exists an n such that

$$\xi_n \le \alpha < \xi_{n+1}$$

Actually,  $n = \sup\{m \in \omega \mid x \geq \xi_m\}$ , where  $\{m \in \omega \mid x \geq \xi_m\}$  is an initial segment of  $\omega$ . Thus we have

$$\omega^{\alpha} \ge \omega^{\xi_n} = \xi_{n+1} > \alpha.$$

# Exercises in About V

By transfinite recursion, define

$$V_0 = \emptyset,$$

$$V_{n+1} = \mathcal{P}(V_n),$$

$$V_{\omega} = \bigcup_{n < \omega} V_n.$$

1. Every  $x \in V_{\omega}$  is finite.

SOLUTION: Fix  $x \in V_{\omega}$ . There is an n such that  $x \in V_n$ .

Claim. For each n,  $V_n$  is transitive, and  $|V_{n+1}| = 2^n$ .

Proof of the Claim. We prove by induction on n. This is clearly true for n=0. We proceed from n to n+1. Clearly  $|V_{n+2}|=2^{n+1}$  by induction and simple calculation. Let y be any element of  $V_{n+1}$ . Then  $y\subseteq V_n$ , by definition. Since  $V_n$  is transitive,  $\forall z(z\in V_n\to z\subseteq V_n)$ , we have  $V_n\subseteq V_{n+1}$ . Thus  $y\subseteq V_{n+1}$ . This shows that for every n,  $V_n$  is transitive, and  $|V_{n+1}|=2^n$ .

By the claim,  $x \subseteq V_n$ , and  $|x| \leq |V_n|$ . Therefore x is finite.

2.  $V_{\omega}$  is transitive.

SOLUTION: This follows from the above claim. Let  $x \in V_{\omega}$ , then  $x \in V_n$  for some n. By the transitivity of  $V_n$  and the definition of  $V_{\omega}$ ,  $x \subseteq V_n \subseteq V_{\omega}$ .

3.  $V_{\omega}$  is an inductive set.

SOLUTION: First  $\emptyset \in V_1 \subseteq V_\omega$ . Now fix  $x \in V_\omega$  and an n such that  $x \in V_n$ . Then  $x \cup \{x\} \subseteq V_n \cup V_{n+1} \subseteq V_{n+1}$ . The last step follows from the claim that every  $V_n$  is transitive. Hence  $x \cup \{x\} \in V_{n+2} \subseteq V_\omega$ .

1. If  $x, y \in V_{\omega}$  then  $\{x, y\} \in V_{\omega}$ .

SOLUTION: Suppose  $x \in V_m$  and  $y \in V_n$ . We may assume that  $m \le n$ . By the transitivity of  $V_n$ 's,  $x, y \in V_n$ , and hence  $\{x, y\} \in V_{n+1} \subseteq V_{\omega}$ .

2. If  $x \in V_{\omega}$ , then  $\bigcup x \in V_{\omega}$  and  $\mathcal{P}(x) \in V_{\omega}$ .

SOLUTION: Fix an n such that  $x \in V_n$ . Since  $V_n$  is transitive,  $x \subseteq V_n$ . Then  $\bigcup x \subseteq \bigcup \{V_n \mid z \in x\} = V_n$  and  $\mathcal{P}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(V_n)$ . These implies that  $\bigcup x \in V_{n+1}$  and  $\mathcal{P}(x) \in V_{n+2}$ , therefore both in  $V_{\omega}$ .

3. If  $A \in V_{\omega}$  and f is a function on A such that  $f(x) \in V_{\omega}$  for each  $x \in A$ , then  $f[A] \in V_{\omega}$ .

SOLUTION: If  $A \in V_{\omega}$ , by (61), A is finite. Then f[A] is a finite subset of  $V_{\omega}$ , so the conclusion follows from (64).

4. If x is a finite subset of  $V_{\omega}$ , then  $x \in V_{\omega}$ .

SOLUTION: Suppose  $x = \{a_i \mid i = 1, ..., n\}$ . Let  $C = \{k_i \mid a_i \in V_{k_i}\}$ . C is finite set of numbers and has a largest number K. Since  $V_n$ 's are all transitive,  $x \subseteq V_K$ , hence  $x \in V_{K+1} \subseteq V_{\omega}$ .