

Solutions for Assignment # 3

October 16, 2024

1. Show that the following are equivalent:

- (a) T is transitive;
- (b) $\bigcup T \subseteq T$;
- (c) $T \subseteq \mathcal{P}(T)$.

SOLUTION:

- (a) \Rightarrow (b). For any $x \in \bigcup T$, let $y \in T$ be s.t. $x \in y$. Since T is transitive, $y \subseteq T$ thus $x \in T$. Hence $\bigcup T \subseteq T$.
- (b) \Rightarrow (c). For any $x \in T$. By (b), $x \subseteq \bigcup T \subseteq T$, thus x is an element of $\mathcal{P}(T)$. Hence $T \subseteq \mathcal{P}(T)$.
- (c) \Rightarrow (a). For any $x \in T$, we have $x \in \mathcal{P}(T)$, i.e. x is a subset of T . Hence T is transitive by definition.

2. Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \text{Ord}$ and let $\alpha < \beta$. Then

- (a) $\alpha + \gamma \leq \beta + \gamma$
- (b) $\alpha \cdot \gamma \leq \beta \cdot \gamma$
- (c) $\alpha^\gamma \leq \beta^\gamma$

Given examples to show that \leq cannot be replaced by $<$ in either inequality.

SOLUTION: We prove the proposition by induction on γ . In order to use the conclusion later, we only suppose that $\alpha \leq \beta$.

- (a) i. $\gamma = 0$, it is obvious that $\alpha + 0 \leq \beta + 0$.
- ii. Suppose the inequality holds for γ , i.e. $\alpha + \gamma \leq \beta + \gamma$, then we have

$$\alpha + \gamma < (\beta + \gamma) + 1 = \beta + (\gamma + 1)$$

Noting that

$$\alpha + (\gamma + 1) = (\alpha + \gamma) + 1 = \inf\{\xi \in \text{Ord} \mid \alpha + \gamma < \xi\}$$

it follows that

$$\alpha + (\gamma + 1) \leq \beta + (\gamma + 1)$$

- iii. Suppose γ is a limit ordinal and the inequality holds for any ordinal less than γ . Then by definition,

$$\alpha + \gamma = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \gamma} (\alpha + \xi) = \sup\{\alpha + \xi \mid \xi < \gamma\}$$

$$\beta + \gamma = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \gamma} (\beta + \xi) = \sup\{\beta + \xi \mid \xi < \gamma\}$$

By induction, for any $\xi < \gamma$, $\alpha + \xi \leq \beta + \xi \leq \beta + \gamma$, then

$$\alpha + \gamma \leq \beta + \gamma$$

Example: $1 < 2$, but $1 + \omega = \omega = 2 + \omega$

- (b) i. $\gamma = 0$, it is obvious that $\alpha \cdot 0 = 0 = \beta \cdot 0$.

ii. Suppose the inequality holds for γ , i.e. $\alpha \cdot \gamma \leq \beta \cdot \gamma$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \cdot (\gamma + 1) &= \alpha \cdot \gamma + \alpha && \text{(definition)} \\ &\leq \alpha \cdot \gamma + \beta && \text{(Lemma 2.25)} \\ &\leq \beta \cdot \gamma + \beta && \text{(induction+(a))} \\ &= \beta \cdot (\gamma + 1) \end{aligned}$$

iii. Suppose γ is a limit ordinal and the inequality holds for any ordinal less than γ . Then by definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \cdot \gamma &= \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \gamma} (\alpha \cdot \xi) = \sup\{\alpha \cdot \xi \mid \xi < \gamma\} \\ \beta \cdot \gamma &= \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \gamma} (\beta \cdot \xi) = \sup\{\beta \cdot \xi \mid \xi < \gamma\} \end{aligned}$$

By induction, for any $\xi < \gamma$, $\alpha \cdot \xi \leq \beta \cdot \xi \leq \beta \cdot \gamma$, then

$$\alpha \cdot \gamma \leq \beta \cdot \gamma$$

Example: $1 < 2$, but $1 \cdot \omega = \omega = 2 \cdot \omega$

- (c) i. $\gamma = 0$, it is obvious that $\alpha^0 = 1 = \beta^0$.
 ii. Suppose the inequality holds for γ , i.e. $\alpha^\gamma \leq \beta^\gamma$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{\gamma+1} &= \alpha^\gamma \cdot \alpha && \text{(definition)} \\ &\leq \alpha^\gamma \cdot \beta && \text{(Lemma 2.25, if } \alpha^\gamma = 0 \text{ or } \alpha = \beta, \text{ “=” holds)} \\ &\leq \beta^\gamma \cdot \beta && \text{(induction+(b))} \\ &= \beta^{\gamma+1} \end{aligned}$$

iii. Suppose γ is a limit ordinal and the inequality holds for any ordinal less than γ . Then by definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^\gamma &= \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \gamma} (\alpha^\xi) = \sup\{\alpha^\xi \mid \xi < \gamma\} \\ \beta^\gamma &= \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \gamma} (\beta^\xi) = \sup\{\beta^\xi \mid \xi < \gamma\} \end{aligned}$$

By induction, for any $\xi < \gamma$, $\alpha^\xi \leq \beta^\xi \leq \beta^\gamma$, then

$$\alpha^\gamma \leq \beta^\gamma$$

Example: $2 < 3$, but $2^\omega = \omega = 3^\omega$

3. Show that the following rules do not hold for all. $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \text{Ord}$:

- (a) If $\alpha + \gamma = \beta + \gamma$ then $\alpha = \beta$.
 (b) If $\gamma > 0$ and $\alpha \cdot \gamma = \beta \cdot \gamma$ then $\alpha = \beta$.
 (c) $(\beta + \gamma) \cdot \alpha = \beta \cdot \alpha + \gamma \cdot \alpha$

SOLUTION:

- (a) $1 + \omega = \omega = 2 + \omega$, but $1 < 2$.
 (b) If $\omega > 0$ and $1 \cdot \omega = \omega = 2 \cdot \omega$, but $1 < 2$.
 (c) $(1 + 1) \cdot \omega = 2 \cdot \omega = \omega < \omega + 1 \leq \omega + \omega = 1 \cdot \omega + 1 \cdot \omega$

4. Find a set $A \subset \mathbb{Q}$, such that $(A, <_{\mathbb{Q}}) \cong (\alpha, \in)$, where

- (a) $\alpha = \omega + 1$
 (b) $\alpha = \omega + 2$
 (c) $\alpha = \omega \cdot \omega$
 (d) $\alpha = \omega^\omega$
 (e) $\alpha = \epsilon_0$

(f) α is any ordinal $< \omega_1$

SOLUTION:

(a) $A = \{-1, -\frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2^n}, \dots, 0\}$. The isomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \omega + 1$ is:

$$f(-\frac{1}{2^n}) = n, f(0) = \omega$$

(b) $A = \{-1, -\frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2^n}, \dots, 0, \frac{1}{2}\}$. The isomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \omega + 2$ is:

$$f(-\frac{1}{2^n}) = n, f(0) = \omega, f(\frac{1}{2}) = \omega + 1$$

(c) $A = \{m - \frac{1}{2^n} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. The isomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \omega \cdot \omega$ is:

$$f(m - \frac{1}{2^n}) = \omega \cdot m + n$$

(d) By Cantor's Normal form Theorem, for any ordinal $\alpha \in \omega^\omega$,

$$\alpha = k_n + \omega \cdot k_{n-1} + \dots + \omega^n \cdot k_0 (k_0 \neq 0)$$

Let

$$g(\alpha) = n - 2^{-k_0} - 2^{-k_0 - (k_1 + 1)} - \dots - 2^{-k_0 - (k_1 + 1) - \dots - (k_n + 1)}$$

Then g is an isomorphism from ω^ω to $g(\omega^\omega) \subset \mathbb{Q}$

(e) Such A can not be expressed explicitly by $(\mathbb{Q}, <, +, -, \times, \div, Exp)$. But ϵ_0 is a countable ordinal, we can construct such A by transfinite induction as follows.

(f) We construct a $A_\alpha \subseteq \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1)$ for each ordinal $\alpha < \omega_1$ (the first uncountable ordinal) such that

$$(A, <_{\mathbb{Q}}) \cong (\alpha, \in)$$

For $A \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, use notations:

$$A + r := \{a + r \mid a \in A\},$$

$$rA := \{ra \mid a \in A\}.$$

Inductive foundation: For $\alpha = 0$, let $A_0 = \emptyset$.

Successor step: For $\alpha = \beta + 1$, let $A_\alpha = \frac{1}{2}A_\beta \cup \{\frac{1}{2}\}$.

Limit step: For limit countable ordinal α , there is a bijection $f : \omega \rightarrow \alpha$, let $\beta_n = f(n) < \alpha$ for each $n \in \omega$ then

$$\sup_{n \in \omega} \{\beta_n\} = \alpha$$

(A limit countable ordinal is a limit of countable ordinals.) Then let

$$A_\alpha = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} (\frac{1}{2^n}A_{\beta_n} + (1 - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}})).$$

5. An ordinal α is a limit ordinal iff $\alpha = \omega \cdot \beta$ for some $\beta \in \text{Ord} \setminus \{0\}$

SOLUTION: Suppose α is a limit ordinal, then there exists a unique β and n , such that $\alpha = \omega \cdot \beta + n$, and $n < \omega$. If $n \neq 0$, it must be $m + 1$ for some $m < \omega$. But then $\alpha = (\omega \cdot \beta + m) + 1$, which contradicts to that α is limit.

(Method I). Suppose $\alpha = \alpha' + 1$ for some α' , then there exists a unique β' and n' , such that $\alpha' = \omega \cdot \beta' + n'$, and $n' < \omega$. Let $\beta = \beta', n = n' + 1$, we have $\alpha = \omega \cdot \beta + n$, where $n < \omega$. By the uniqueness of β and n , α can't be written as $\alpha = \omega \cdot \beta$ for some $\beta \in \text{Ord}$.

(Method II). There are two cases for β . (a) $\beta = \gamma + 1$ for some γ . Then $\alpha = \omega(\gamma + 1) = \sup\{\omega \cdot \gamma + n \mid n < \omega\}$ is a limit ordinal. (b) β is a limit ordinal. Then $\alpha = \sup\{\omega \cdot \gamma \mid \gamma < \beta\}$ is also a limit ordinal.

6. Find the first three $\alpha > 0$ s.t. $\xi + \alpha = \alpha$ for all $\xi < \alpha$.

SOLUTION: The least α is 1. The only ordinal less than 1 is 0, which satisfies that $0 + 1 = 1$. On the other hand, 1 is the least ordinal > 0 .

If we suppose $\alpha > 1$, the least ordinal is ω . For any $n < \omega$, $n + \omega = \lim_{m \rightarrow \omega} (n + m) = \omega$. On the other hand, for any $1 < m < \omega$, there exists an m' such that $m = m' + 1$ and $m' > 0$, thus $m' + m > m$.

Suppose $\alpha > \omega$, the least ordinal is ω^2 . For any $\beta < \omega^2$, $\beta = \omega \cdot m + n$ and $m, n < \omega$. $\beta + \omega^2 = \omega \cdot m + n + \omega \cdot \omega = \omega^2$. On the other hand, for any $\omega < \beta = \omega \cdot m + n < \omega^2$, there exists β' such that $\beta = \beta' + \omega + n$ and $\beta' > 0$; thus $\beta' + \beta > \beta$.

7. Find the least ξ such that

- (a) $\omega + \xi = \xi$
- (b) $\omega \cdot \xi = \xi, \xi \neq 0$
- (c) $\omega^\xi = \xi$

(Hint for (1): Consider a sequence $\langle \xi_n \rangle$ s.t. $\xi_{n+1} = \omega + \xi_n$.)

SOLUTION:

- (a) Construct a sequence $\langle \xi_n \rangle$: $\xi_1 = \omega, \xi_{n+1} = \omega + \xi_n$. Then $\langle \xi_n \rangle$ is a set belongs to Ord. In fact, $\xi_n = \omega \cdot n$, let

$$\xi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \xi_n = \omega \cdot \omega$$

It is easy to verify that $\omega + \xi = \xi$. On the other hand, for any $\alpha < \xi, \alpha = \omega \cdot k_1 + k_2$, where $k_1, k_2 < \omega$. Then

$$\omega + \alpha = \omega + \omega \cdot k_1 + k_2 = \omega \cdot (k_1 + 1) + k_2 > \omega \cdot k_1 + k_2 = \alpha$$

- (b) Construct a sequence $\langle \xi_n \rangle$: $\xi_1 = \omega, \xi_{n+1} = \omega \cdot \xi_n$. Then $\langle \xi_n \rangle$ is a set belongs to Ord. In fact, $\xi_n = \omega^n$, let

$$\xi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \xi_n = \omega^\omega$$

It is easy to verify that $\omega \cdot \xi = \xi$. On the other hand, for any $\alpha < \omega^\omega$, there exists an n such that

$$\omega^n \leq \alpha < \omega^{n+1}$$

Actually, $n = \sup\{m \in \omega \mid x \geq \omega^m\}$, where $\{m \in \omega \mid x \geq \omega^m\}$ is an initial segment of ω . Thus we have

$$\omega \cdot \alpha \geq \omega \cdot \omega^n = \omega^{n+1} > \alpha$$

- (c) Construct a sequence $\langle \xi_n \rangle$: $\xi_1 = \omega, \xi_{n+1} = \omega^{\xi_n}$. Then $\langle \xi_n \rangle$ is a set belongs to Ord. Let

$$\xi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \xi_n = \overset{\omega^{\omega^\omega}}{\dots} =: \epsilon_0$$

It is easy to verify that $\omega^\xi = \xi$. On the other hand, for any $\alpha < \xi$, there exists an n such that

$$\xi_n \leq \alpha < \xi_{n+1}$$

Actually, $n = \sup\{m \in \omega \mid x \geq \xi_m\}$, where $\{m \in \omega \mid x \geq \xi_m\}$ is an initial segment of ω . Thus we have

$$\omega^\alpha \geq \omega^{\xi_n} = \xi_{n+1} > \alpha.$$

Exercises in About V

By transfinite recursion, define

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 &= \emptyset, \\ V_{n+1} &= \mathcal{P}(V_n), \\ V_\omega &= \bigcup_{n < \omega} V_n. \end{aligned}$$

1. Every $x \in V_\omega$ is finite.

SOLUTION: Fix $x \in V_\omega$. There is an n such that $x \in V_n$.

Claim. For each n , V_n is transitive, and $|V_{n+1}| = 2^{2^n}$.

Proof of the Claim. We prove by induction on n . This is clearly true for $n = 0$. We proceed from n to $n + 1$. Clearly $|V_{n+2}| = 2^{2^{n+1}}$ by induction and simple calculation. Let $y \in V_{n+1}$. Then $y \subseteq V_n$, by definition. Since V_n is transitive, $\forall z (z \in V_n \rightarrow z \subseteq V_n)$, we have $V_n \subseteq V_{n+1}$. Thus $y \subseteq V_{n+1}$. This shows that for every n , V_n is transitive, and $|V_{n+1}| = 2^{2^n}$. + (Claim)

By the claim, $x \subseteq V_n$, and $|x| \leq |V_n|$. Therefore x is finite.

2. V_ω is transitive.

SOLUTION: This follows from the above claim. Let $x \in V_\omega$, then $x \in V_n$ for some n . By the transitivity of V_n and the definition of V_ω , $x \subseteq V_n \subseteq V_\omega$.

3. V_ω is an inductive set.

SOLUTION: First $\emptyset \in V_1 \subseteq V_\omega$. Now fix $x \in V_\omega$ and an n such that $x \in V_n$. Then $x \cup \{x\} \subseteq V_n \cup V_{n+1} \subseteq V_{n+1}$. The last step follows from the claim that every V_n is transitive. Hence $x \cup \{x\} \in V_{n+2} \subseteq V_\omega$.

1. If $x, y \in V_\omega$ then $\{x, y\} \in V_\omega$.

SOLUTION: Suppose $x \in V_m$ and $y \in V_n$. We may assume that $m \leq n$. By the transitivity of V_n 's, $x, y \in V_n$, and hence $\{x, y\} \in V_{n+1} \subseteq V_\omega$.

2. If $x \in V_\omega$, then $\bigcup x \in V_\omega$ and $\mathcal{P}(x) \in V_\omega$.

SOLUTION: Fix an n such that $x \in V_n$. Since V_n is transitive, $x \subseteq V_n$. Then $\bigcup x \subseteq \bigcup \{V_n \mid z \in x\} = V_n$ and $\mathcal{P}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(V_n)$. These implies that $\bigcup x \in V_{n+1}$ and $\mathcal{P}(x) \in V_{n+2}$, therefore both in V_ω .

3. If $A \in V_\omega$ and f is a function on A such that $f(x) \in V_\omega$ for each $x \in A$, then $f[A] \in V_\omega$.

SOLUTION: If $A \in V_\omega$, by (71), A is finite. Then $f[A]$ is a finite subset of V_ω , so the conclusion follows from (74).

4. If x is a finite subset of V_ω , then $x \in V_\omega$.

SOLUTION: Suppose $x = \{a_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$. Let $C = \{k_i \mid a_i \in V_{k_i}\}$. C is finite set of numbers and has a largest number K . Since V_n 's are all transitive, $x \subseteq V_K$, hence $x \in V_{K+1} \subseteq V_\omega$.