Elementary Set Theory

Xianghui Shi

School of Mathematical Sciences Beijing Normal University



Fall 2024

Coming up next

Language of Set Theory

Axioms of Set Theory (ZFC)

Axiom 0-2

Axiom 3-5

Axiom 6, 7

Language of Set Theory

Symbols:

 $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\{\hat{\varepsilon}\}}$ with the equality predicate $\hat{=}$.

Variables: Lower case English letters ¹

 $x, y, z, u, v, w, x_i, y_j, z_k$ etc.

are variable symbols.

Connectives:

$$\neg, \land, \lor, \lor, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$$

Quantifiers:

 \forall, \exists

¹In informal cases, we may use capital letters, script fonts to denote sets of different types.

Formulas of Set Theory

Definition

- A atomic formulas of Set Theory is a sequence of the form (x ∈ y), (x = y) ∈ L_{∈}, where x, y are variable symbols.
- A *formulas* of Set Theory is a finite sequence of symbols in L_{ê} built up on a finite set of atomic formulas by finite applications of operators below:

$$\begin{array}{l} \varphi \mapsto (\neg \varphi) \\ \varphi, \psi \mapsto (\varphi \land \psi) \\ \varphi, \psi \mapsto (\varphi \land \psi) \\ \varphi, \psi \mapsto (\varphi \lor \psi) \\ \varphi, \psi \mapsto (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \\ \varphi, \psi \mapsto (\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi) \\ \varphi \mapsto \forall x \varphi \\ \psi \mapsto \exists x \psi \end{array}$$

where φ, ψ are formulas.

► All the free variables of a formula φ(u₁,..., u_n) are among the list u₁,..., u_n.

- ► All the free variables of a formula φ(u₁,..., u_n) are among the list u₁,..., u_n.
- Formulas with no free variables are called **sentences**.

- ► All the free variables of a formula φ(u₁,..., u_n) are among the list u₁,..., u_n.
- Formulas with no free variables are called **sentences**.

- ► All the free variables of a formula φ(u₁,..., u_n) are among the list u₁,..., u_n.
- Formulas with no free variables are called **sentences**.
- ▶ Often use a bar notation such as x̄ to denote a finite sequence ⟨x₁,..., x_n⟩ (or (x₁,..., x_n)) when the precise subscription is not important.

Coming up next

Language of Set Theory

Axioms of Set Theory (ZFC)

Axiom 0-2

Axiom 3-5

Axiom 6, 7

Definition 1

If $\varphi(\bar{x},\bar{p})$ is a formula, we call $C=\{\bar{x}:\varphi(\bar{x},\bar{p})\}$

a <u>class</u>.

Definition 1

If $\varphi(\bar{x},\bar{p})$ is a formula, we call

$$C = \{\bar{x} : \varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{p})\}$$

a <u>class</u>. In this case, we say that C is <u>definable from</u> \overline{p} (or \overline{p} -<u>definable</u>). If φ has no parameter, then C is <u>definable</u>.

Definition 1

If $\varphi(\bar{x},\bar{p})$ is a formula, we call

$$C = \{\bar{x} : \varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{p})\}$$

a <u>class</u>. In this case, we say that C is <u>definable from</u> \overline{p} (or \overline{p} -<u>definable</u>). If φ has no parameter, then C is <u>definable</u>.

Sets are classes. A class that is not a set is called a *proper class*.

Definition 1

If $\varphi(\bar{x},\bar{p})$ is a formula, we call

$$C = \{\bar{x} : \varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{p})\}$$

a <u>class</u>. In this case, we say that C is <u>definable from</u> \overline{p} (or \overline{p} -<u>definable</u>). If φ has no parameter, then C is <u>definable</u>.

Sets are classes. A class that is not a set is called a *proper class*.

Many of the set operators that we shall define later are applicable to classes as well.

• Extensionality. If x and y have the same elements, then x = y.

• Extensionality. If x and y have the same elements, then x = y.

$$x \stackrel{_\sim}{=} y \leftrightarrow \forall z \, (z \stackrel{_\sim}{\in} x \leftrightarrow z \stackrel{_\sim}{\in} y)$$

Extensionality. If x and y have the same elements, then x = y.

$$x \stackrel{_\sim}{=} y \leftrightarrow \forall z \, (z \stackrel{_\sim}{\in} x \leftrightarrow z \stackrel{_\sim}{\in} y)$$

Schema of Seperation/Comprehension. If φ is a property (with parameter p), then for any x, {u ∈ x | φ(u, p)} exists (as a set).

Extensionality. If x and y have the same elements, then x = y.

$$x \stackrel{_\sim}{=} y \leftrightarrow \forall z \, (z \stackrel{_\sim}{\in} x \leftrightarrow z \stackrel{_\sim}{\in} y)$$

Schema of Seperation/Comprehension. If φ is a property (with parameter p), then for any x, {u ∈ x | φ(u, p)} exists (as a set).
 ∃y∀u [u ∈ y ↔ u ∈ x ∧ φ(u, p)]

Extensionality. If x and y have the same elements, then x = y.

$$x \mathrel{\hat{=}} y \mathrel{\leftrightarrow} \forall z \, (z \mathrel{\hat{\in}} x \mathrel{\leftrightarrow} z \mathrel{\hat{\in}} y)$$

- Schema of Seperation/Comprehension. If φ is a property (with parameter p), then for any x, {u ∈ x | φ(u, p)} exists (as a set).
 ∃y∀u [u ∈ y ↔ u ∈ x ∧ φ(u, p)]
- **Pairing.** For any x and y, $\{x, y\}$ exists (as a set).

Extensionality. If x and y have the same elements, then x = y.

$$x \stackrel{_\sim}{=} y \leftrightarrow \forall z \, (z \stackrel{_\sim}{\in} x \leftrightarrow z \stackrel{_\sim}{\in} y)$$

- Schema of Seperation/Comprehension. If φ is a property (with parameter p), then for any x, {u ∈ x | φ(u, p)} exists (as a set).
 ∃y∀u [u ∈ y ↔ u ∈ x ∧ φ(u, p)]
- ▶ Pairing. For any x and y, $\{x, y\}$ exists (as a set). $\exists z \forall u [u \in z \leftrightarrow u = x \lor u = y]$



• Union. For any x, $\bigcup x$ exists (as a set).

▶ Union. For any x, $\bigcup x$ exists (as a set). $\exists y \forall z [z \in y \leftrightarrow \exists u \in x (z \in u)]$

- ▶ Union. For any x, $\bigcup x$ exists (as a set). $\exists y \forall z [z \in y \leftrightarrow \exists u \in x (z \in u)]$
- **Power Set.** For any x, $\mathscr{P}(x)$ exists (as a set).

- ▶ Union. For any x, $\bigcup x$ exists (as a set). $\exists y \forall z [z \in y \leftrightarrow \exists u \in x (z \in u)]$
- ▶ Power Set. For any x, 𝒫(x) exists (as a set).
 ∃z∀u [u ∈ z ↔ u ⊆ x]

- ▶ Union. For any x, $\bigcup x$ exists (as a set). $\exists y \forall z [z \in y \leftrightarrow \exists u \in x (z \in u)]$
- ► Power Set. For any x, 𝒫(x) exists (as a set).
 ∃z∀u [u ∈ z ↔ u ⊆ x]
- Infinity. There exists an infinite set.

▶ Schema of Replacement. If a class f is a function, then for any x, $f[x] \equiv \{f(y) \mid y \in x\}$ exists (as a set).

- ▶ Schema of Replacement. If a class f is a function, then for any x, $f[x] \equiv \{f(y) \mid y \in x\}$ exists (as a set).
- Regularity/Wellfoundedness. Every nonempty element has an ê-minimal element.

- ▶ Schema of Replacement. If a class f is a function, then for any x, $f[x] \equiv \{f(y) \mid y \in x\}$ exists (as a set).
- Regularity/Wellfoundedness. Every nonempty element has an ê-minimal element.
- Choice. Every family of nonempty sets has a choice function.

- ▶ Schema of Replacement. If a class f is a function, then for any x, $f[x] \equiv \{f(y) \mid y \in x\}$ exists (as a set).
- Regularity/Wellfoundedness. Every nonempty element has an ê-minimal element.
- Choice. Every family of nonempty sets has a choice function.

Regularity and **Choice** will be discussed later in Chapter 5 and 6, respectively.

Coming up next

Language of Set Theory

Axioms of Set Theory (ZFC)

Axiom 0-2

Axiom 3-5

Axiom 6, 7



Implicitly, we assume that

AXIOM 0 (Set Existence)

$$\exists \boldsymbol{x} \, (x \doteq x).$$

This says that our universe of sets is non-void.

Extensionality

AXIOM 1 (Extensionality)

$$x \stackrel{\circ}{=} y \leftrightarrow \forall z \, (z \stackrel{\circ}{\in} x \leftrightarrow z \stackrel{\circ}{\in} y)$$

This says that a set is determined by its elements. " \rightarrow " is an axiom of first-order logic. " \leftarrow " is what accounts for this axiom.

Comprehension

AXIOM 2 (Comprehension Schema)

For each formula $\varphi(u, p)$ (without y free) and each x, $\exists \mathbf{y} \forall u [u \in y \leftrightarrow u \in x \land \varphi(u, p)].$

Comprehension

AXIOM 2 (Comprehension Schema)

For each formula $\varphi(u, p)$ (without y free) and each x, $\exists \mathbf{y} \forall u [u \in y \leftrightarrow u \in x \land \varphi(u, p)].$

This y is unique by Extensionality. And we denote this by

$$\{u \mid u \in x \land \varphi(u)\} \quad \text{or} \quad \{u \in x \mid \varphi(u)\}.$$

Comprehension, II

The restriction on y not being free in φ eliminates self-referential definitions of sets, for example, ∃y∀x [x ∈ y ↔ x ∈ z ∧ ¬(x ∈ y)]

would be inconsistent with the existence of a $z \neq \emptyset$.

Comprehension, II

The restriction on y not being free in φ eliminates self-referential definitions of sets, for example, ∃y∀x [x ∈ y ↔ x ∈ z ∧ ¬(x ∈ y)]

would be inconsistent with the existence of a $z \neq \emptyset$.

Note that this schema yields an infinite collection of axioms — one for each φ.

Comprehension, II

The restriction on y not being free in φ eliminates self-referential definitions of sets, for example, ∃y∀x [x ∈ y ↔ x ∈ z ∧ ¬(x ∈ y)]

would be inconsistent with the existence of a $z \neq \emptyset$.

- Note that this schema yields an infinite collection of axioms one for each φ.
- ▶ By AXIOM 0-2, we can define the notion of empty set, Ø.
Definition 2

 \varnothing is the unique set y such that $\forall x \neg (x \in y)$.

Definition 2

 \varnothing is the unique set y such that $\forall x \neg (x \in y)$.

Exercise

Justify the above definition, i.e. show that \varnothing exists and is unique.

 Ø is the only set which can be proved to exist from AXIOM 0-2.

Ø is the only set which can be proved to exist from AXIOM 0-2.

<u>Proof</u>.

Consider the structure $(\{\varnothing\}, \in)$, where \in is an empty binary relation. AXIOM 0-2 hold in this structure, but so does $\psi \equiv \forall y \ (y \doteq \varnothing)$. So AXIOM 0-2 cannot refute ψ .

We can also prove that there is no universal set. In other word, the universal class V = {x | x = x} is a proper class.

Theorem 3

 $\neg \exists z \forall x \, (x \in z).$

We can also prove that there is no universal set. In other word, the universal class V = {x | x = x} is a proper class.

Theorem 3

 $\neg \exists z \forall x \, (x \in z).$

Suppose NOT. By **Comprehension**, form $\{x \in z \mid x \notin x\} = \{x \mid x \notin x\}.$ This would lead to the Russell's paradox.

• Let $A \subseteq B$ abbreviate

$$\forall x \, (x \,\hat{\in}\, A \to x \,\hat{\in}\, B),$$

and say A is a *subclass* of B. So $A \subseteq A$ and $\emptyset \subseteq A$. If $A \subseteq B$ and $A \neq B$, then A is a *proper subclass* of B.

• Let $A \subseteq B$ abbreviate

$$\forall x \, (x \,\hat{\in}\, A \to x \,\hat{\in}\, B),$$

and say A is a *subclass* of B. So $A \subseteq A$ and $\emptyset \subseteq A$. If $A \subseteq B$ and $A \neq B$, then A is a *proper subclass* of B.

Informally, Comprehension says that

A subclass of a set is a set.

• Let $A \subseteq B$ abbreviate

$$\forall x \, (x \,\hat{\in}\, A \to x \,\hat{\in}\, B),$$

and say A is a *subclass* of B. So $A \subseteq A$ and $\emptyset \subseteq A$. If $A \subseteq B$ and $A \neq B$, then A is a *proper subclass* of B.

Informally, Comprehension says that

A subclass of a set is a set.

▶ Let
$$(\forall x \in y) \varphi$$
 abbreviate $\forall x (x \in y \to \varphi)$,
and $(\exists x \in y) \varphi$ abbreviate $\exists x (x \in y \land \varphi)$.

 Another consequence of Comprehension is that the following two classes are sets,

$$\{ u \in X \mid u \in Y \}$$
$$\{ u \in X \mid u \notin Y \}$$

Another consequence of Comprehension is that the following two classes are sets, thus we can define the operations:

$$X \cap Y \equiv \{ u \in X \mid u \in Y \}$$
$$X - Y \equiv \{ u \in X \mid u \notin Y \}$$

Another consequence of Comprehension is that the following two classes are sets, thus we can define the operations:

$$X \cap Y \equiv \{ u \in X \mid u \in Y \}$$
$$X - Y \equiv \{ u \in X \mid u \notin Y \}$$

More generally, if C is a nonempty class of sets, let

$$\bigcap C = \bigcap \{ X \mid X \in C \} = \{ u \mid (\forall X \in C) (u \in X) \}.$$

Another consequence of Comprehension is that the following two classes are sets, thus we can define the operations:

$$X \cap Y \equiv \{ u \in X \mid u \in Y \}$$
$$X - Y \equiv \{ u \in X \mid u \notin Y \}$$

More generally, if C is a nonempty class of sets, let

 $\bigcap C = \bigcap \{X \mid X \in C\} = \{u \mid (\forall X \in C) (u \in X)\}.$ Then $\bigcap C$ is a set, and $X \cap Y = \bigcap \{X, Y\}$. If $X \cap Y = \emptyset$, we say X and Y are *disjoint*.

Coming up next

Language of Set Theory

Axioms of Set Theory (ZFC)

Axiom 0-2

Axiom 3-5

Axiom 6, 7



AXIOM 0-2 are too weak to develop set theory with its usual operations and constructions (eg. $X \cup Y$, reals).



AXIOM 0-2 are too weak to develop set theory with its usual operations and constructions (eg. $X \cup Y$, reals).

AXIOM 3 (Pairing)

$$\forall x \forall y \exists \boldsymbol{z} \, (x \in z \land y \in z).$$

Pairing, II

This version appears different, however, by
 Comprehension, is equivalent to the previous version.

Pairing, II

- This version appears different, however, by
 Comprehension, is equivalent to the previous version.
- By Extensionality, the set whose only elements are precisely x and y is unique. We call this set {x, y}. Then {x} = {x, x} is the set whose unique element is x.

Pairing, II

- This version appears different, however, by
 Comprehension, is equivalent to the previous version.
- By Extensionality, the set whose only elements are precisely x and y is unique. We call this set {x, y}. Then {x} = {x, x} is the set whose unique element is x.
- {x, y} is the unordered pair of x and y. We use
 (x, y) = {{x}, {x, y}} to denote the ordered pair of x
 and y.

Pairing, III

Exercise

Verify that

$$\forall x \forall y \forall x' \forall y'((x,y) = (x',y') \to x = x' \land y = y').$$

By induction, *ordered n*-*tuples* can be defined as follows:

$$(x_1,\ldots,x_{n+1}) = ((x_1,\ldots,x_n),x_{n+1}).$$

Show that two ordered *n*-tuples (x_1, \ldots, x_n) and (y_1, \ldots, y_n) are equal iff $x_i = y_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Pairing, IV

Using n-tuples, Comprehension can be put in a more general form: Let ψ(u, p₁,..., p_n) be a formula. Then
 ∀X∀p₁...∀p_n∃Y∀u [u ∈ Y ↔ u ∈ X ∧ ψ(u, p₁,..., p_n)].

Pairing, IV

Using *n*-tuples, Comprehension can be put in a more general form: Let ψ(u, p₁,..., p_n) be a formula. Then ∀X∀p₁...∀p_n∃Y∀u [u ∈ Y ↔ u ∈ X ∧ ψ(u, p₁,..., p_n)].
 Idea: Let φ(u, p) be the following formula ∃p₁...∃p_n [p = (p₁,..., p_n) ∧ ψ(u, p₁,..., p_n)].



$$\forall x \exists y \forall z \, [\exists u \mathrel{\hat{\in}} x \, (z \mathrel{\hat{\in}} u) \to z \mathrel{\hat{\in}} y].$$



$$\forall x \exists y \forall z \, [\exists u \,\hat{\in} \, x \, (z \,\hat{\in} \, u) \to z \,\hat{\in} \, y].$$

• This is equivalent to the \leftrightarrow version, by **Comprehension**.

Union

AXIOM 4

$$\forall x \exists y \forall z \, [\exists u \,\hat{\in} \, x \, (z \,\hat{\in} \, u) \to z \,\hat{\in} \, y].$$

- ► This is equivalent to the ↔ version, by **Comprehension**.
- ▶ By AXIOM 4, we can define the *union* of *X* as follows:

$$\bigcup X = \{ u \mid (\exists x \in X) (u \in x) \}.$$

Union, II

• Consequently, we can define $X \cup Y \equiv \bigcup \{X, Y\}$ and $X \cup Y \cup Z \equiv (X \cup Y) \cup Z$, etc. $\{a, b, c\} \equiv \{a, b\} \cup \{c\}$ and $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \equiv \{a_1\} \cup \dots \cup \{a_n\}$.

Union, II

- Consequently, we can define $X \cup Y \equiv \bigcup \{X, Y\}$ and $X \cup Y \cup Z \equiv (X \cup Y) \cup Z$, etc. $\{a, b, c\} \equiv \{a, b\} \cup \{c\}$ and $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \equiv \{a_1\} \cup \dots \cup \{a_n\}$.
- ► The symmetric difference of X and Y is

$$X \Delta Y \equiv (X - Y) \cup (Y - X).$$



$$\forall x \exists Y \forall u \left[u \in Y \leftrightarrow \forall v \left(v \in u \to v \in x \right) \right]$$



$$\forall x \exists Y \forall u \left[u \in Y \leftrightarrow \forall v \left(v \in u \to v \in x \right) \right]$$

• Again, with **Comprehension**, \leftrightarrow can be replaced by \leftarrow .



$$\forall x \exists Y \forall u \left[u \in Y \leftrightarrow \forall v \left(v \in u \to v \in x \right) \right]$$

- Again, with **Comprehension**, \leftrightarrow can be replaced by \leftarrow .
- By AXIOM 5, we can define the *power set* of X as follows:

$$\mathscr{P}(X) = \{ u \mid u \subseteq X \}.$$



$$\forall x \exists Y \forall u \left[u \in Y \leftrightarrow \forall v \left(v \in u \rightarrow v \in x \right) \right]$$

- Again, with **Comprehension**, \leftrightarrow can be replaced by \leftarrow .
- By AXIOM 5, we can define the *power set* of X as follows:

$$\mathscr{P}(X) = \{ u \mid u \subseteq X \}.$$

Using the **Power Set Axiom**, we can define: product, relation, function, etc.

Product

• The *product* of X and Y is the set $X \times Y = \{u \mid \exists x \exists y [u = (x, y) \land x \in X \land y \in Y]\}$

Product

► The *product* of X and Y is the set $X \times Y = \{u \mid \exists x \exists y [u = (x, y) \land x \in X \land y \in Y]\}$ $= \{(x, y) \mid x \in X \land y \in Y\}$

Product

► The *product* of X and Y is the set $X \times Y = \{u \mid \exists x \exists y [u = (x, y) \land x \in X \land y \in Y]\}$ $= \{(x, y) \mid x \in X \land y \in Y\}$ The existence of $X \times Y$ is due to the fact that $X \times Y \subset \mathscr{P}\mathscr{P}(X \cup Y).$

Product, II

► In general,

$$X_1 \times \cdots \times X_{n+1} \equiv (X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n) \times X_{n+1}$$

Product, II

► In general,

$$X_1\times \cdots \times X_{n+1} \equiv (X_1\times \cdots \times X_n) \times X_{n+1}$$
 Thus

$$X_1 \times \dots \times X_n = \{ ((x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}), x_n) \mid x_n \in X_n \land \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in X_1 \times \dots \times X_{n-1} \} \\ = \{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_1 \in X_1 \land \dots \land x_n \in X_n \}$$
Product, II

► In general,

$$X_1 \times \cdots \times X_{n+1} \equiv (X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n) \times X_{n+1}$$

Thus

$$X_1 \times \dots \times X_n = \{ ((x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}), x_n) \mid x_n \in X_n \land \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in X_1 \times \dots \times X_{n-1} \} \\ = \{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_1 \in X_1 \land \dots \land x_n \in X_n \}$$

Product, II

► In general,

$$X_1 \times \cdots \times X_{n+1} \equiv (X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n) \times X_{n+1}$$

Thus

$$X_1 \times \dots \times X_n = \{ ((x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}), x_n) \mid x_n \in X_n \land (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in X_1 \times \dots \times X_{n-1} \}$$
$$= \{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_1 \in X_1 \land \dots \land x_n \in X_n \}$$
Also write $X^n \equiv X \times \dots \times X$. (*n* times)

Relations

▶ R is an n-ary (or n-placed) relation on X if R ⊆ Xⁿ. So an n-ary relation is a set of n-tuples. The following notations are often used:

 $R(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and x R y (when R is binary).

Relations

▶ R is an n-ary (or n-placed) relation on X if R ⊆ Xⁿ. So an n-ary relation is a set of n-tuples. The following notations are often used:

 $R(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and x R y (when R is binary).

If R is a binary relation, the *domain, range* and *field* of R are

$$dom(R) = \{u \mid \exists v (u, v) \in R\}$$

ran(R) = $\{v \mid \exists u (u, v) \in R\}$
field(R) = dom(R) \cup ran(R)

Exercise

Show that if R is a set, then dom(R) and ran(R) are sets.

Exercise

Show that if R is a set, then $\mathrm{dom}(R)$ and $\mathrm{ran}(R)$ are sets. (In fact, $\mathrm{field}(R)=\bigcup \bigcup R.$)

Let R be a binary relation,

 \blacktriangleright The **image** of A under R is the set

 $R[A] \equiv \{ y \in \operatorname{ran}(R) \mid \exists x \in A \, (x \, R \, y) \}$

Let R be a binary relation,

• The **image** of A under R is the set

 $R[A] \equiv \{ y \in \operatorname{ran}(R) \mid \exists x \in A \, (x \, R \, y) \}$

• The **inverse image** of B under R is the set

 $R_{-1}[B] \equiv \{ x \in \operatorname{dom}(R) \mid \exists y \in B \, (x \, R \, y) \}.$

Let R be a binary relation,

• The **image** of A under R is the set

 $R[A] \equiv \{ y \in \operatorname{ran}(R) \mid \exists x \in A \, (x \, R \, y) \}$

▶ The inverse image of *B* under *R* is the set

 $R_{-1}[B] \equiv \{ x \in \operatorname{dom}(R) \mid \exists y \in B \, (x \, R \, y) \}.$

▶ The **inverse** of *R* is the set

$$R_{-1} \equiv \{ (y, x) \mid (x, y) \in R \}.$$

Let R be a binary relation,

• The **image** of A under R is the set

 $R[A] \equiv \{ y \in \operatorname{ran}(R) \mid \exists x \in A \, (x \, R \, y) \}$

▶ The inverse image of *B* under *R* is the set

 $R_{-1}[B] \equiv \{ x \in \operatorname{dom}(R) \mid \exists y \in B \, (x \, R \, y) \}.$

The inverse of R is the set

 $R_{-1} \equiv \{(y, x) \mid (x, y) \in R\}.$

Let S be a binary relation, the composition of R and S is

$$S \circ R \equiv \{(x, z) \mid \exists y \, ((x, y) \in R \land (y, z) \in S\}$$

► A binary relation *f* is a *function* if

$$(x,y)\in f\wedge (x,z)\in f\rightarrow y=z$$
 And we write $f(x)=$ the unique y such that $(x,y)\in f.$

► A binary relation *f* is a *function* if

 $(x,y) \in f \land (x,z) \in f \rightarrow y = z$ And we write f(x) = the unique y such that $(x,y) \in f$.

▶ f is a function on X if dom(f) = X.
 If dom(f) = Xⁿ then f is an n-ary function on X.

A binary relation f is a *function* if

 $(x,y) \in f \land (x,z) \in f \to y = z$

And we write f(x) = the unique y such that $(x, y) \in f$.

- f is a function on X if dom(f) = X. If $dom(f) = X^n$ then f is an n-ary function on X.
- ▶ f is a function from X to Y, $f : X \to Y$, if dom(f) = Xand ran $(f) \subseteq Y$.

A binary relation f is a *function* if

 $(x,y) \in f \land (x,z) \in f \to y = z$

And we write f(x) = the unique y such that $(x, y) \in f$.

- f is a function on X if dom(f) = X. If $dom(f) = X^n$ then f is an n-ary function on X.
- f is a function from X to Y, $f : X \to Y$, if dom(f) = Xand $ran(f) \subseteq Y$.
- The class of all functions from X to Y are denoted as xY.

Exercise

Show that if X, Y are sets then ${}^{X}Y$ is also a set.

If ran(f) = Y, then f is a function onto Y. f is also called a surjection.

- ► If ran(f) = Y, then f is a function onto Y. f is also called a surjection.
- ► A function *f* is *one-to-one/injective* if

$$f(x_1) = f(x_2) \to x_1 = x_2.$$

- If ran(f) = Y, then f is a function onto Y. f is also called a surjection.
- ► A function *f* is *one-to-one/injective* if

$$f(x_1) = f(x_2) \to x_1 = x_2.$$

A *bijection* is a function that is both injective and surjective.

- If ran(f) = Y, then f is a function onto Y. f is also called a surjection.
- ► A function *f* is *one-to-one/injective* if

$$f(x_1) = f(x_2) \to x_1 = x_2.$$

- A *bijection* is a function that is both injective and surjective.
- An *n*-ary operation on X is a function $f: X^n \to X$.

▶ The *restriction* of a function f to a set X (\subseteq dom(f)) is the function

$$f \upharpoonright X = \{(x, y) \in f \mid x \in X\}$$

▶ The *restriction* of a function f to a set X (\subseteq dom(f)) is the function

$$f \upharpoonright X = \{ (x, y) \in f \mid x \in X \}$$

▶ A function g is an *extension* of a function f if $g \supseteq f$,

▶ The *restriction* of a function f to a set X (\subseteq dom(f)) is the function

$$f \upharpoonright X = \{ (x, y) \in f \mid x \in X \}$$

▶ A function g is an extension of a function f if $g \supseteq f$, i.e. dom(f) \subseteq dom(g) and g(x) = f(x) for every $x \in$ dom(f).

▶ The *restriction* of a function f to a set X (\subseteq dom(f)) is the function

$$f \upharpoonright X = \{(x, y) \in f \mid x \in X\}$$

- A function g is an extension of a function f if g ⊇ f,
 i.e. dom(f) ⊆ dom(g) and g(x) = f(x) for every x ∈ dom(f).
- If f, g are functions such that ran(g) ⊆ dom(f), then the composition of f and g is the function f ∘ g such that dom(f ∘ g) = dom(g) and for every x ∈ dom(g), f ∘ g(x) = f(g(x)).

• The image of X under f is denoted by

$$f''X \equiv f[X] = \{f(x) \mid x \in X\}.$$

• The image of X under f is denoted by $f''X \equiv f[X] = \{f(x) \mid x \in X\}.$

► The *inverse image* is

$$f_{-1}[X] \equiv \{x \mid f(x) \in X\}.$$

► The image of X under f is denoted by

$$f''X \equiv f[X] = \{f(x) \mid x \in X\}.$$

► The *inverse image* is

$$f_{-1}[X] \equiv \{x \mid f(x) \in X\}.$$

• If f is injective, then f^{-1} denotes the *inverse* of f.

The image of X under f is denoted by

$$f''X \equiv f[X] = \{f(x) \mid x \in X\}.$$

The inverse image is

$$f_{-1}[X] \equiv \{x \mid f(x) \in X\}.$$

• If f is injective, then f^{-1} denotes the *inverse* of f.

A function is often called a *mapping*, *correspondence*, and similarly a set is called a *family* or a *collection*.

Functions f and g are called compatible if f(x) = g(x) for all x ∈ dom(f) ∩ dom(g).

- Functions f and g are called compatible if f(x) = g(x) for all x ∈ dom(f) ∩ dom(g).
- A set of functions F is called a compatible system of functions if any two functions from F are compatible.

- Functions f and g are called compatible if f(x) = g(x) for all x ∈ dom(f) ∩ dom(g).
- A set of functions F is called a compatible system of functions if any two functions from F are compatible.
- ▶ Functions f and g are compatible iff $f \cup g$ is a function iff $f \upharpoonright (\operatorname{dom}(f) \cap \operatorname{dom}(g)) = g \upharpoonright (\operatorname{dom}(f) \cap \operatorname{dom}(g)).$

- Functions f and g are called compatible if f(x) = g(x) for all x ∈ dom(f) ∩ dom(g).
- A set of functions F is called a compatible system of functions if any two functions from F are compatible.
- ▶ Functions f and g are compatible iff $f \cup g$ is a function iff $f \upharpoonright (\operatorname{dom}(f) \cap \operatorname{dom}(g)) = g \upharpoonright (\operatorname{dom}(f) \cap \operatorname{dom}(g)).$
- ▶ If F is a compatible system of functions, then $\bigcup F$ is a function with dom $(\bigcup F) = \bigcup \{ \text{dom}(f) \mid f \in F \}$. The function $\bigcup F$ extends all $f \in F$.

- Functions f and g are called compatible if f(x) = g(x) for all x ∈ dom(f) ∩ dom(g).
- A set of functions F is called a compatible system of functions if any two functions from F are compatible.
- Functions f and g are compatible iff $f \cup g$ is a function iff $f \upharpoonright (\operatorname{dom}(f) \cap \operatorname{dom}(g)) = g \upharpoonright (\operatorname{dom}(f) \cap \operatorname{dom}(g)).$
- ▶ If F is a compatible system of functions, then $\bigcup F$ is a function with dom $(\bigcup F) = \bigcup \{ \text{dom}(f) \mid f \in F \}$. The function $\bigcup F$ extends all $f \in F$.
- In Chapter 2, we will define a more useful notion of product of sets in terms of functions.

Equivalence Relation & Partition

A binary relation E on X is an equivalence relation if it satisfies

$$x \ E \ x,$$
 reflexive
 $x \ E \ y \to y \ E \ x,$ symmetric
 $x \ E \ y \land y \ E \ z \to x \ E \ z.$ transitive

Equivalence Relation & Partition

x

A binary relation E on X is an equivalence relation if it satisfies
x E x, reflexive

$$\begin{array}{ll} x \mathrel{E} y \rightarrow y \mathrel{E} x, & \qquad \text{symmetric} \\ E \mathrel{y} \land y \mathrel{E} z \rightarrow x \mathrel{E} z. & \qquad \text{transitive} \end{array}$$

For each $x \in X$, $[x]_E \equiv \{y \in X \mid y \in x\}$ denote the equivalence class of x modulo E.

Equivalence Relation & Partition

A binary relation E on X is an equivalence relation if it satisfies
x E x, reflexive

$$\begin{array}{ll} x \mathrel{E} y \rightarrow y \mathrel{E} x, & \qquad \text{symmetric} \\ x \mathrel{E} y \wedge y \mathrel{E} z \rightarrow x \mathrel{E} z. & \qquad \text{transitive} \end{array}$$

For each $x \in X$, $[x]_E \equiv \{y \in X \mid y \in x\}$ denote the equivalence class of x modulo E.

• The quotient of X modulo E is $X/_E \equiv \{[x]_E \mid x \in X\}$.

Equivalence Relation & Partition, II

• Let $x, y \in X$, E be an equivalence relation on X. Then
Let x, y ∈ X, E be an equivalence relation on X. Then
 1. x E y iff [x]_E = [y]_E.

Let x, y ∈ X, E be an equivalence relation on X. Then
1. x E y iff [x]_E = [y]_E.
2. ¬x E y iff [x]_E ∩ [y]_E = Ø.

- Let x, y ∈ X, E be an equivalence relation on X. Then
 1. x E y iff [x]_E = [y]_E.
 2. ¬x E y iff [x]_E ∩ [y]_E = Ø.
- A disjoint family of set is a set such that any two of its members are disjoint.

- Let x, y ∈ X, E be an equivalence relation on X. Then
 1. x E y iff [x]_E = [y]_E.
 2. ¬x E y iff [x]_E ∩ [y]_E = Ø.
- A disjoint family of set is a set such that any two of its members are disjoint.
- A partition of a set X is a disjoint family P of nonempty sets such that ∪ P = X.

- Let x, y ∈ X, E be an equivalence relation on X. Then
 1. x E y iff [x]_E = [y]_E.
 2. ¬x E y iff [x]_E ∩ [y]_E = Ø.
- A disjoint family of set is a set such that any two of its members are disjoint.
- A partition of a set X is a disjoint family P of nonempty sets such that U P = X.

A set A ⊆ X is called a set of representatives for the equivalence relation E (or for the partition P of X) if for every equivalence class C (or for every C ∈ P),

 $A \cap C = \{a_c\}, \text{ for some } a_c \in C.$

Theorem 4

► If E is an equivalence relation on X, then
X/_E = {[x]_E | x ∈ X}
is a partition P_E on X. Conversely,

Theorem 4

If E is an equivalence relation on X, then X/E = {[x]E | x ∈ X} is a partition PE on X. Conversely,
If P is a partition on X, then x EP y ⇔ ∃p ∈ P (x ∈ p ∧ y ∈ p) defines an equivalence relation on X. Moreover,

Theorem 4



REMARK. All the above notions on relations and functions are also applicable to classes.

Models of set theory axioms

We've seen a model of Axiom 0-2: $(\{\emptyset\}, \in)$.

$$V_0 = \emptyset;$$

$$V_{n+1} = \mathscr{P}(V_n), \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Models of set theory axioms

We've seen a model of Axiom 0-2: $(\{\varnothing\}, \in)$.

$$V_0 = \emptyset;$$

$$V_{n+1} = \mathscr{P}(V_n), \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

• Note that
$$n < m \implies V_n \subset V_m$$
.

▶
$$(V_1, \in) \models$$
 Axiom 0-2. \models is read as "is a model of".

(V_n, ∈) ⊨ ZFC - Pairing - Power - Infinite,
 i.e. Axiom 0-2 + Union + Replacement + Regularity + Choice,
 for all n ∈ N⁺.

•
$$(\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}V_n,\in)\models \mathsf{ZFC}-\mathsf{Infinite}.$$

Coming up next

Language of Set Theory

Axioms of Set Theory (ZFC)

Axiom 0-2

Axiom 3-5

Axiom 6, 7

To give a precise formulation of the **Axiom of Infinity**, we need the notion of finiteness, which normally uses the notion of a natural number (see Chapter 2). Here we give an alternative approach which mentions no numbers.

To give a precise formulation of the **Axiom of Infinity**, we need the notion of finiteness, which normally uses the notion of a natural number (see Chapter 2). Here we give an alternative approach which mentions no numbers.

AXIOM 6 (Infinity)

There exists an inductive set, i.e.

$$\exists \boldsymbol{x} \left[\varnothing \in \boldsymbol{x} \land \forall u \left(u \in \boldsymbol{x} \to u \cup \{u\} \in \boldsymbol{x} \right) \right]$$

To give a precise formulation of the **Axiom of Infinity**, we need the notion of finiteness, which normally uses the notion of a natural number (see Chapter 2). Here we give an alternative approach which mentions no numbers.

AXIOM 6 (Infinity)

There exists an inductive set, i.e. $\exists \boldsymbol{x} \left[\varnothing \in x \land \forall u \left(u \in x \to u \cup \{u\} \in x \right) \right]$

We call a set with the above property *inductive*. In Chapter 2, we will define *infinite* and show that

An inductive set is infinite.

To give a precise formulation of the **Axiom of Infinity**, we need the notion of finiteness, which normally uses the notion of a natural number (see Chapter 2). Here we give an alternative approach which mentions no numbers.

AXIOM 6 (Infinity)

There exists an inductive set, i.e. $\exists \boldsymbol{x} \left[\varnothing \in x \land \forall u \left(u \in x \to u \cup \{u\} \in x \right) \right]$

We call a set with the above property *inductive*. In Chapter 2, we will define *infinite* and show that

- An inductive set is infinite.
- An inductive set exists if there exists an infinite set.

Replacement

AXIOM 7 (Replacement Schema)

For each formula $\varphi(x, y, p)$, where p is a parameter, $\forall x \forall y \forall z \left[\varphi(x, y, p) \land \varphi(x, z, p) \rightarrow y \stackrel{?}{=} z\right]$ $\rightarrow \forall u \exists v \forall z \left[z \stackrel{?}{\in} v \leftrightarrow (\exists w \stackrel{?}{\in} u) \varphi(w, z, p)\right]$

Replacement

AXIOM 7 (Replacement Schema)

For each formula $\varphi(x, y, p)$, where p is a parameter, $\forall x \forall y \forall z \left[\varphi(x, y, p) \land \varphi(x, z, p) \rightarrow y \stackrel{?}{=} z\right]$ $\rightarrow \forall u \exists v \forall z \left[z \stackrel{?}{\in} v \leftrightarrow (\exists w \stackrel{?}{\in} u) \varphi(w, z, p)\right]$

The first part of the formula is often abbreviated as $\forall x \exists ! y \, \varphi(x,y,p)$

Informally, we can say

► If a class F is a function, then for every set X, F"X is a set.

Informally, we can say

- ► If a class F is a function, then for every set X, F"X is a set.
- ► If a class F is a function and dom(F) is a set, then ran(F) is a set.

Informally, we can say

- ► If a class F is a function, then for every set X, F"X is a set.
- ► If a class F is a function and dom(F) is a set, then ran(F) is a set.
- ▶ If a class F is a function, then $\forall X \exists f (F \upharpoonright X = f)$.

Informally, we can say

- ► If a class F is a function, then for every set X, F"X is a set.
- ► If a class F is a function and dom(F) is a set, then ran(F) is a set.
- ▶ If a class F is a function, then $\forall X \exists f (F \upharpoonright X = f)$.

Remark

Note that the **Replacement Schema** can take you "out of" the set u when forming the set v. The elements of v need not be elements of u. By contrast, the **Separation Schema** yields new sets consisting only of those elements of a given set u which satisfy a certain condition φ .

1. Let A be a set. Show that the "complement" of A, i.e. the set of all $x \notin A$, does not exist.

- 1. Let A be a set. Show that the "complement" of A, i.e. the set of all $x \notin A$, does not exist.
- 2. If a is a set, then by **Pairing** $\{a\}$ is a set. Now suppose $\{a\}$ is a set, must a be a set?

- 1. Let A be a set. Show that the "complement" of A, i.e. the set of all $x \notin A$, does not exist.
- 2. If a is a set, then by **Pairing** $\{a\}$ is a set. Now suppose $\{a\}$ is a set, must a be a set?
- 3. Show that $\bigcap S$ exists for all $S \neq \emptyset$. Where is the assumption $S \neq \emptyset$ used in the proof? What is $\bigcap \emptyset$?

- 1. Let A be a set. Show that the "complement" of A, i.e. the set of all $x \notin A$, does not exist.
- 2. If a is a set, then by **Pairing** $\{a\}$ is a set. Now suppose $\{a\}$ is a set, must a be a set?
- 3. Show that $\bigcap S$ exists for all $S \neq \emptyset$. Where is the assumption $S \neq \emptyset$ used in the proof? What is $\bigcap \emptyset$?
- 4. Is it always true that ((a, b), c) = (a, (b, c))? Can we use the second set to define ordered triples?

- 1. Let A be a set. Show that the "complement" of A, i.e. the set of all $x \notin A$, does not exist.
- 2. If a is a set, then by **Pairing** $\{a\}$ is a set. Now suppose $\{a\}$ is a set, must a be a set?
- 3. Show that $\bigcap S$ exists for all $S \neq \emptyset$. Where is the assumption $S \neq \emptyset$ used in the proof? What is $\bigcap \emptyset$?
- 4. Is it always true that ((a, b), c) = (a, (b, c))? Can we use the second set to define ordered triples?
- 5. Give an alternative definition of ordered pairs. Compare the advantages and disadvantages of these definitions.

Questions, II

6. Is it true that $X \times Y = Y \times X$? What about $(X \times Y) \times Z = X \times (Y \times Z)$?

7. Let
$$S \neq \emptyset$$
 and A be sets.

a. (Generalized Distributive Law)
Set
$$T_1 = \{X \cap A \mid X \in S\}$$
, and prove
 $A \cap \bigcup S = \bigcup T_1$.

b. (Generalized De Morgan Laws)
Set
$$T_2 = \{A - X \mid X \in S\}$$
, and prove
 $A - \bigcup S = \bigcap T_2, \qquad A - \bigcap S = \bigcup T_2$

Exercise 1

1. Using only $\hat{\in}$ and $\hat{=}$ to express the following formulas:

$$\begin{array}{l} \blacktriangleright & z \mathrel{\hat{=}} ((x,y),(u,v)) \\ \blacktriangleright & \forall x \left[\neg (x \mathrel{\hat{=}} \varnothing) \rightarrow (\exists y \mathrel{\hat{\in}} x) \left(x \cap y \mathrel{\hat{=}} \varnothing \right) \right] \\ \blacktriangleright & \forall u \left[\forall x \exists y \left(x,y \right) \mathrel{\hat{\in}} u \rightarrow \exists f \forall x \left(x,f(x) \right) \mathrel{\hat{\in}} u \right]. \end{array}$$

2. Suppose that R,S are two binary relations (as sets). Show that R_{-1} and $S\circ R$ exist, where

 $S \circ R = \{(x,z) \mid \exists y \ ((x,y) \in R \land (y,z) \in S\}$

EXERCISES IN TEXTBOOK

1.2. There is no set X such that $\mathscr{P}(X) \subseteq X$.

Let $N = \bigcap \{X \mid X \text{ is inductive}\}$. N is the smallest inductive set. Let us use the following notation:

 $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{ll} 0 = \varnothing, & 1 = \{0\}, & 2 = \{0,1\}, & 3 = \{0,1,2\} \\ \text{If } n \in N \text{, let } n+1 = n \cup \{n\} \text{. And for } n, m \in N \text{,} \\ & n < m \leftrightarrow n \in m \end{array}$

A set T is *transitive* if $x \in T$ implies $x \subseteq T$.

1.3. If X is inductive, then the set

 $\{x \in X \mid x \subseteq X\}$

is inductive. Hence N is transitive, and for each $n \in N$, $n = \{m \in N \mid m < n\}.$

1.4. If X is inductive, then the set

 $\{x \in X \mid x \text{ is transitive}\}$

is inductive. Hence every $n \in N$ is transitive.

1.5. If X is inductive, then the set $\{x \in X \mid x \text{ is transitive and } x \notin x\}$ is inductive. Hence $n \notin n$ and $n \neq n+1$ for each $n \in N$.

1.6. If X is inductive, then the set

 $\{x \in X \mid x \text{ is transitive and every nonempty} \\ z \subseteq x \text{ has an } \in \text{-minimal element}\}$ is inductive.

(t is \in -minimal in z if there is no $s \in z$ such that $s \in t$.)

1.7. Every nonempty $X \subseteq N$ has an \in -minimal element.

- 1.8. If X is inductive then so is $\{x \in X \mid x = \varnothing \lor x = y \cup \{y\} \text{ for some } y\}.$ Hence each $n \neq \varnothing$ is m + 1 for some m.
- 1.9. (Induction). Let A be a subset of N such that $0 \in A$, and if $n \in A$ then $n + 1 \in A$. Then A = N.

Hints for homework 1.2

Prove by contradiction.

Assume $\mathscr{P}(X) \subseteq X$ for some X.

- ► HINT 1: X ∈ X. This leads an infinite ∈-descanding chain ··· ∈ X ∈ X ∈ X. Thus the nonempty set {X} contains no ∈-minimal element, contradicting to Wellfoundedness Axiom.
- ▶ HINT 2: Consider $Z = \{x \in X \mid x \notin x\}$. $Z \subset X \to Z \in X$. But then

$$Z \in Z \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad Z \notin Z.$$

Contradiction!

Hints for homework 1.3

Let $E = \{x \in X \mid x \subseteq X\}$. Begin with that E is inductive.

- ▶ X is inductive, so $\emptyset \in X$. \emptyset contains no element, so $\forall t(t \in \emptyset \rightarrow t \in X)$, i.e. $\emptyset \subseteq X$. Therefore, $\emptyset \in E$.
- For $x \in E$, $x \in X \land x \subseteq X$, thus $x \cup \{x\} \subseteq X$. Besides, $x \in X \to x \cup \{x\} \in X$. Hence $x \cup \{x\} \in E$.

Next is to show that N is transitive.

- Let $E_0 = \{x \in N \mid x \subseteq N\}$. Then $E_0 \subseteq N$.
- N is inductive, so is E_0 , thus $N \subseteq E_0$. That means $E_0 = N$, thus N is transitive.

Last, we show that $n = \{m \in N \mid m < n\}$

$$\blacktriangleright \ \{m \in N \mid m < n\} =_{\mathsf{def}} \{m \in N \mid m \in n\} \subseteq n.$$

▶ As $n \in N$ and N is transitive, we have $n \subseteq N$. Then $m \in n \rightarrow m \in N$. Therefore, $n \subseteq \{m \in N \mid m \in n\}$. Hence $n = \{m \in N \mid m < n\}$.