

BRANCHING PARTICLE SYSTEMS WITH IMMIGRATION*

ZENG-HU LI

Department of Mathematics, Beijing Normal University
Beijing 100875, P. R. China

In this note, we introduce a model of branching particle systems with immigration and show a result on the weak convergence of such systems to measure-valued Markov processes.

1. Notations.

Suppose that E is a topological Lusin space with the Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(E)$. Let

$$B^+(E) = \{ \text{bounded positive } \mathcal{B}(E)\text{-measurable functions on } E \},$$

$$B_a^+(E) = \{ f : f \in B^+(E) \text{ and } f \leq a \}, \quad a \geq 0,$$

$$C^+(E) = \{ f : f \in B^+(E) \text{ is continuous } \},$$

$$C^{++}(E) = \{ f : f \in C^+(E) \text{ is strictly positive } \},$$

$$M = \{ \text{finite measures on } (E, \mathcal{B}(E)) \},$$

$$M_0 = \{ \pi : \pi \in M \text{ and } \pi(E) = 1 \},$$

$$M_1 = \{ \sigma : \sigma \in M \text{ is integer-valued } \},$$

$$M_k = \{ k^{-1}\sigma : \sigma \in M_1 \}, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots,$$

$$\delta_x = \text{unit mass concentrated at } x, \quad x \in E.$$

We topologize M , and hence $M_k, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, with the weak convergence topology. When E is a compact metric space, M is locally compact and metrizable. In this particular case, $D([0, \infty), M)$ denotes the space of cadlag functions from $[0, \infty)$ to M with the Skorohod topology.

For a $\mathcal{B}(E)$ -measurable function f and a measure $\mu \in M$, note $\langle \mu, f \rangle = \int f d\mu$.

Finally, G denotes the totality of subcritical probability generating functions g , i.e.,

$$g(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i z^i \quad (p_i \geq 0, \quad \sum p_i = 1, \quad \sum i p_i \leq 1),$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 60J80; secondary 60G57.

Key words and phrases. particle system, branching, immigration, superprocess, weak convergence.

* Supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China. Published in: *Rencontres Franco-Chinoises en Probabilités et Statistiques* (Wuhan, 1990), *Probability and Statistics* 249–254, Edited by Badrikian, A. et al., World Scientific, Singapore, 1993.

and \mathcal{G} is the σ -algebra on G generated by the mappings $F_z : g \mapsto g(z)$ as z runs over the unit interval $[0, 1]$.

2. The particle system.

Suppose $\xi = (\xi_t, \mathcal{F}_t, \Pi_x)$ is a Borel right Markov process with state space E , $\gamma = \gamma(x) \in B^+(E)$ and $F = F(x, dg, d\pi)$ is a Markov kernel from E to $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{B}(M_0)$. A branching particle system with parameters (ξ, γ, F) is described by the following properties:

2.A) the particles in E move according to the law of the process ξ ;

2.B) for a particle α which is alive at time r and follows the path $(\xi_t, t \geq r)$, the conditional probability of survival during the time interval $[r, t]$ is $\exp\{-\int_r^t \gamma(\xi_s) ds\}$;

2.C) when α dyes at point $x \in E$, it gives birth to a random number of offspring according to a generating function g^α , and the offspring are displaced in E from x according to a probability measure π^α , where (g^α, π^α) , depending on the conditions at x , is a random variable in $G \times M_0$ with distribution $F(x, dg, d\pi)$.

It is assumed that the motions, life times and branchings of the particles and the first locations of the offspring are independent of each other.

For $t \geq 0$, let $X_t(B)$ be the number of particles of the system in set $B \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ at time t . Properties 2.A – C) imply that $X = (X_t, t \geq 0)$ is a Markov process in space M_1 with transition probabilities P_σ defined by the Laplace functionals,

$$P_\sigma \exp\langle X_t, -f \rangle = \exp\langle \sigma, -v_t \rangle, \quad f \in B^+(E), \sigma \in M_1, t \geq 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$v_t(x) \equiv v_t(x, f) = -\log P_{\delta_x} \exp\langle X_t, -f \rangle$$

is the unique positive solution of

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-v_t(x)} = & \Pi_x e^{-f(\xi_t) - \int_0^t \gamma(\xi_s) ds} \\ & + \Pi_x \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s \gamma(\xi_u) du} \gamma(\xi_s) \iint_{G \times M_0} g(\langle \pi, e^{-v_{t-s}} \rangle) F(\xi_s, dg, d\pi) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Equation (2.2) arises as follows: If we start one particle at time 0 at location x , this particle moves following a path of ξ and does not branch before time t (first term on the right hand side), or it splits at time $s \in [0, t]$ with probability

$$\exp\left\{-\int_0^s \gamma(\xi_u) du\right\} \gamma(\xi_s) ds$$

according to $F(\xi_s, dg, d\pi)$ and all the offspring evolve independently after birth in the same fashion (second term). A rigorous construction for process X can be given as for the branching particle system studied in [2].

Let $\Pi_t, t \geq 0$, be the transition semigroup of ξ . By Lemma 2.3 of [2], equation (2.2) can be written in an abbreviative form:

$$\int_0^t \Pi_s \gamma [e^{-v_{t-s}} - \iint_{G \times M_0} g(\langle \pi, e^{-v_{t-s}} \rangle) F(dg, d\pi)] ds = \Pi_t e^{-f} - e^{-v_t}. \quad (2.3)$$

Suppose that η is a finite measure on $(M_0, \mathcal{B}(M_0))$ and that $h(\pi, z)$ is a $\mathcal{B}(M_0 \times [0, 1])$ -measurable function such that for each $\pi \in M_0$, $h(\pi, \cdot)$ belongs to G . A *branching particle system with immigration* with parameters $(\xi, \gamma, F, \eta, h)$ is described by properties 2.A – C) and the following

2.D) the entry times and distributions of new particles immigrating to E are governed by a Poisson random measure with intensity $ds \times \eta(d\pi)$;

2.E) $h(\pi, \cdot)$ gives the distribution of the number of new particles entering E with distribution π .

Here we assume that the immigration is independent of the evolution of the inner population and that the immigrants behave as the natives after entering E . The particle distribution process $(Y_t, t \geq 0)$ of the branching system with immigration is a Markov process with state space M_1 . Properties 2.A – E) lead through a calculation to the Laplace functional:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\sigma \exp\langle Y_t, -f \rangle & \quad (2.4) \\ & = \exp \left\{ -\langle \sigma, v_t \rangle - \int_0^t ds \int_{M_0} [1 - h(\pi, \langle \pi, e^{-v_s} \rangle)] \eta(d\pi) \right\}, \\ & \quad f \in B^+(E), \sigma \in M_1, t \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where Q_σ denotes the transition probability from σ of Y_t and v_t is defined by equation (2.3).^[6]

3. A limit theorem.

3.1. Let $Y(k) = \{Y_t(k), t \geq 0\}$ be a sequence of branching particle systems with immigration with parameters $(\xi, \gamma, F_k, k\eta, h_k), k = 1, 2, \dots$. Then for each k ,

$$Y^{(k)} = \{Y_t^{(k)} \equiv k^{-1}Y_t(k), t \geq 0\}$$

is a Markov process in M_k with transition probabilities $Q_{\sigma_k}^{(k)}$ determined by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\sigma_k}^{(k)} \exp\langle Y_t^{(k)}, -f \rangle & \quad (3.1) \\ & = \exp \left\{ -\langle \sigma_k, kv_t^{(k)} \rangle - \int_0^t ds \int_{M_0} k [1 - h_k(\pi, \langle \pi, e^{-v_s^{(k)}} \rangle)] \eta(d\pi) \right\}, \\ & \quad f \in B^+(E), \sigma_k \in M_k, t \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $v_t^{(k)}(x) \equiv v_t^{(k)}(x, f)$ satisfies (2.3) with F and f replaced by F_k and $k^{-1}f$, respectively.

If we assume $Y_0^{(k)}$ has the same distribution with $\mu_k := k^{-1}\langle k\mu \rangle$, where μ belongs to M and $\langle k\mu \rangle$ is a Poisson random measure with intensity $k\mu$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_{\mu_k}^{(k)} \exp\langle Y_t^{(k)}, -f \rangle \\ &= \exp \left\{ -\langle \mu, w_t^{(k)} \rangle - \int_0^t ds \int_{M_0} \psi_k(\pi, \langle \pi, w_s^{(k)} \rangle) \eta(d\pi) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$w_t^{(k)}(x) \equiv w_t^{(k)}(x, f) = k[1 - e^{-v_t^{(k)}(x, f)}], \quad (3.3)$$

$$\psi_k(\pi, \lambda) = k[1 - h_k(\pi, 1 - \lambda/k)], \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq k. \quad (3.4)$$

For simplicity, we assume that

$$F_k(x, dg, d\pi) = \zeta_k(x, \pi, dg)\tau(x, d\pi), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where ζ_k and τ are Markov kernels from $E \times M_0$ to \mathcal{G} and from E to $\mathcal{B}(M_0)$, respectively. Let

$$\phi_k(x, \pi, \lambda) = k \left[1 - \int_{\mathcal{G}} g(1 - \lambda/k) \zeta_k(x, \pi, dg) \right], \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq k, \quad (3.5)$$

and let

$$\varphi_k(x, f) = \int_{M_0} \phi_k(x, \pi, \langle \pi, f \rangle) \tau(x, d\pi). \quad (3.6)$$

It is easy to check that $w_t^{(k)}$ satisfies

$$w_t^{(k)} + \int_0^t \Pi_s \gamma[w_{t-s}^{(k)} - \varphi_k(w_{t-s}^{(k)})] ds = \Pi_t k(1 - e^{-f/k}), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (3.7)$$

If $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi_k = \psi$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi_k = \phi$, then the limit functions have representations

$$\psi(\pi, \lambda) = \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-\lambda u}) \frac{1}{u} n(\pi, du), \quad (3.8)$$

$$\phi(x, \pi, \lambda) = \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-\lambda u}) \frac{1}{u} m(x, \pi, du), \quad (3.9)$$

where n and m are subMarkov kernels from M_0 and from $E \times M_0$ to $\mathcal{B}([0, \infty))$, respectively, and the values of the integrands at $u = 0$ are defined as λ .^[5] Conversely, it is easy to show that, for ψ and ϕ given by (3.8) and (3.9), there exist sequences ψ_k and ϕ_k in forms (3.4) and (3.5), respectively, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k(\pi, \lambda) &= \psi(\pi, \lambda), \\ \phi_k(x, \pi, \lambda) &= \phi(x, \pi, \lambda), \quad x \in E, \pi \in M_0, 0 \leq \lambda \leq k. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that*

3.A) $\phi_k(x, \pi, \lambda) \rightarrow \phi(x, \pi, \lambda)$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$) uniformly on the set $E \times M_0 \times [0, l]$ for every $l \geq 0$.

Then $w_t^{(k)}(x, f)$, and hence $kv_t^{(k)}(x, f)$, converge boundedly and uniformly on each set $[0, l] \times E \times B_a^+(E)$ of (t, x, f) to the unique bounded positive solution of the evolution equation

$$w_t + \int_0^t \Pi_s \gamma[w_{t-s} - \varphi(w_{t-s})] ds = \Pi_t f, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$\varphi(x, f) = \int_{M_0} \phi(x, \pi, \langle \pi, f \rangle) \tau(x, d\pi), \quad f \in B^+(E), x \in E. \quad (3.11)$$

The previous lemma can be proved in the same way as Lemma 3.3 of [2] (see also [6]). It follows that, for $(\xi, \gamma, \varphi, \eta, \psi)$ given as above, formula

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\mu \exp \langle Y_t, -f \rangle & \\ = \exp \left\{ -\langle \mu, w_t \rangle - \int_0^t ds \int_{M_0} \psi(\pi, \langle \pi, w_s \rangle) \eta(d\pi) \right\}, & \\ f \in B^+(E), \mu \in M, t \geq 0, & \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

defines the transition probabilities Q_μ of a Markov process $Y = (Y_t, t \geq 0)$ in space M (see Lemma 3.1 of [2]). If 3.A) holds and if

3.B) $\psi_k(\pi, \lambda) \rightarrow \psi(\pi, \lambda)$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$) uniformly on the set $M_0 \times [0, l]$ for every $l \geq 0$, then

$$Q_{\mu_k}^{(k)} \exp \langle Y_t^{(k)}, -f \rangle \rightarrow Q_\mu \exp \langle Y_t, -f \rangle \quad (k \rightarrow \infty) \quad (3.13)$$

uniformly in $f \in B_a^+(E)$ for every fixed $\mu \in M, t \geq 0$ and $a \geq 0$.

Suppose that each particle in the k th system is weighted k^{-1} , then (3.13) states that the mass distributions of the particle systems approximate process Y when the single masses are small and the particle populations are large. We call Y a $(\xi, \gamma, \varphi, \eta, \psi)$ -superprocess.

If A denotes the infinitesimal operator of ξ , then (3.10) is formally equivalent to

$$\frac{dw_t}{dt} = Aw_t + \gamma[\varphi(w_t) - w_t], \quad w_0 = f. \quad (3.14)$$

An equation of this form has been considered earlier by S. Watanabe [9].

3.2. Under suitable hypotheses, the $(\xi, \gamma, F_k, k\eta, h_k)$ -system $Y^{(k)}$ converges to the $(\xi, \gamma, \varphi, \eta, \psi)$ -superprocess Y weakly in $D([0, \infty), M)$. We now assume that

- E is a compact metric space and $\gamma \in C^+(E)$;
- $\xi = (\xi_t, \Pi_x)$ is a Markov process in E with strongly continuous Feller transition semigroup Π_t ;
- $\psi(\pi, \lambda)$ is given by (3.8);
- $\varphi(\pi, f)$ is given by (3.9) and (3.11), and $\varphi(\cdot, f) \in C^{++}(E)$ for every $f \in C^{++}(E)$.

In the terminology of Watanabe [9], φ is a Ψ -function, and hence the solution $w_t(\cdot, f)$ to (3.10) defines a Ψ -semigroup, in particular, $w_t(\cdot, f) \in C^{++}(E)$ for $f \in C^{++}(E)$.

Consider the renormalized sequence of branching particle systems with immigration $Y^{(k)} = (Y_t^{(k)}, Q_{\sigma_k}^{(k)})$ defined in paragraph 3.1. Assume that
 – $\varphi_k(\cdot, f) \in C^+(E)$ for each $f \in C^+(E)$.

It follows from (3.7) that $w_t^{(k)}(\cdot, f)$, and hence $kw_t^{(k)}(\cdot, f)$, belong to $C^+(E)$ for $f \in C^+(E)$.^[3,7] If 3.A) holds, then by Lemma 3.1, when k is sufficiently large, $kw_t^{(k)}(\cdot, f) \in C^{++}(E)$ for $f \in C^{++}(E)$. Thus we see from (3.1) and (3.12) that $Y^{(k)}$ and Y have strongly continuous Feller transition semigroups. Since M is locally compact and separable, we can (and do) assume $Y^{(k)}$ and Y have sample paths in $D([0, \infty), M)$.^[1,4]

Theorem 3.2. *If conditions 3.A – B) are satisfied and if $Y_0^{(k)} \rightarrow Y_0$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$) in distribution, then $Y^{(k)}$ converges to Y weakly in $D([0, \infty), M)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.11 of [4, p172], it suffices to show

$$\sup_{\sigma_k \in M_k} \left| Q_{\sigma_k}^{(k)} \exp\langle Y_t^{(k)}, -f \rangle - Q_{\sigma_k} \exp\langle Y_t, -f \rangle \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad (k \rightarrow \infty)$$

for every $f \in C^{++}(E)$ and $t \geq 0$, which follows from (3.1), (3.12) and Lemma 3.1.

Acknowledgment. I would like to thank Professor P.J. Fitzsimmons for stimulating comments.

REFERENCES

1. Dynkin, E.B., *Markov Processes*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1965.
2. Dynkin, E.B., *Branching particle systems and superprocesses*, Ann. Probab., to appear.
3. El-Karoui N. and Roelly-Coppoletta, S., *Study of a general class of measure-valued branching processes; a Levey-Hincin representation*, preprint.
4. Ethier, S.N. and Kurtz, T.G., *Markov Processes: Characterization and Convergence*, Wiley, New York, 1986.
5. Li, Zeng-Hu, *Integral representations of continuous functions*, Chinese Science Bulletin, to appear.
6. Li, Zeng-Hu, *Measure-valued branching processes with immigration*, preprint.
7. Pazy, A., *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1983.
8. Sharpe, M.J., *The General Theory of Markov Processes*, Academic Press, New York, 1988.
9. Watanabe, S., *A limit theorem of branching processes and continuous state branching processes*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **8** (1968), 141-167.