

## MEASURE-VALUED BRANCHING PROCESSES WITH IMMIGRATION

Zeng-Hu LI

*Department of Mathematics, Beijing Normal University,  
Beijing 100875, P. R. China*

**Abstract.** Starting from the cumulant semigroup of a measure-valued branching process, we construct the transition probabilities of some Markov process  $Y^{(\beta)} = (Y_t^{(\beta)}, t \in R)$ , which we call a measure-valued branching process with discrete immigration of unit  $\beta$ . The immigration of  $Y^{(\beta)}$  is governed by a Poisson random measure  $\rho$  on the time-distribution space and a probability generating function  $h$ , both depending on  $\beta$ . It is shown that, under suitable hypotheses,  $Y^{(\beta)}$  approximates to a Markov process  $Y = (Y_t, t \in R)$  as  $\beta \rightarrow 0^+$ . The latter is the one we call a measure-valued branching process with immigration. The convergence of branching particle systems with immigration is also studied.

*AMS 1991 Subject Classifications:* Primary 60J80; Secondary 60G57.

measure-valued branching process \* immigration \* particle system \* superprocess \* weak convergence

### 1. Introduction

Let  $M$  be the totality of finite measures on a measurable space  $(E, \mathcal{E})$ . Suppose that  $X = (X_t, t \in R)$  is a Markov process in  $M$  with transition function  $P(r, \mu; t, d\nu)$ .  $X$  is called a measure-valued branching process (MB-process) if

$$P(r, \mu_1 + \mu_2; t, \cdot) = P(r, \mu_1; t, \cdot) * P(r, \mu_2; t, \cdot), \quad \mu_1, \mu_2 \in M, \quad r \leq t, \quad (1.1)$$

where “\*” denotes the convolution operation [cf. Dawson (1977), Dawson and Ivanoff (1978), Watanabe (1968), etc]. When  $E$  is reduced to one point,  $X$  takes values in  $R^+ := [0, \infty)$  and is called a continuous state branching process (CB-process).

---

Research supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

Continuous state branching processes with immigration (CBI-processes) were first introduced by Kawazu and Watanabe (1971). Several authors have also studied measure-valued branching processes with immigration (MBI-processes); see Dynkin (1991ab), Konno and Shiga (1988), etc.

In the present paper, we study a general class of MBI-processes that covers the models of the previous authors and can be regarded as the measure-valued counterpart of the one of CBI-processes proposed by Kawazu and Watanabe. Section 2 contains some preliminaries. The general definition for an MBI-process is given in section 3, followed by the model of a measure-valued branching process with discrete immigration (MBDI-process). The heuristic meanings of the latter are clear. It is shown that the MBI-process is in fact an approximation for the MBDI-process with high rate and small unit of immigration. In section 4, we study the convergence of branching particle systems with immigration to MBI-processes. A branching system of particles with immigration is not an MBDI-process in the terminology of this paper. The concluding section 5 contains a brief discussion of MBI-processes with  $\sigma$ -finite values whose study can be reduced to that of the class with finite values studied in sections 3 and 4.

## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. We first introduce some notation. If  $F$  is a topological space, then  $\mathcal{B}(F)$  denotes the  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $F$  generated by all open sets, and

$$\begin{aligned} B(F) &= \{ \text{bounded } \mathcal{B}(F)\text{-measurable functions on } F \}, \\ C(F) &= \{ f : f \in B(F) \text{ is continuous} \}, \\ B(F)_a &= \{ f : f \in B(F) \text{ and } \|f\| \leq a \} \text{ for } a \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here “ $\|\cdot\|$ ” denotes the supremum norm. In the case  $F$  is locally compact,

$$C_0(F) = \{ f : f \in C(F) \text{ vanishes at infinity} \}.$$

The subsets of nonnegative members of the function spaces are denoted by the superscript “+”, and those of strictly positive members by “++”; e.g.,  $B(F)^+$ ,  $C(F)^{++}$ . If  $F$  is a metric space, then  $D(R^+, F)$  stands for the space of cadlag functions from  $R^+$  to  $F$  equipped with the Skorohod topology. Finally,  $\delta_x$  denotes the unit mass concentrated at  $x$ , and for a function  $f$  and a measure  $\mu$ ,  $\langle \mu, f \rangle = \int f d\mu$ .

2.2. Suppose that  $E$  is a topological Lusin space, i.e., a homeomorph of a Borel subset of some compact metric space. Let

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \{ \text{finite measures on } (E, \mathcal{B}(E)) \}, \\ M_0 &= \{ \pi : \pi \in M \text{ and } \pi(E) = 1 \}, \\ M_1 &= \{ \sigma : \sigma \in M \text{ is integer-valued} \}, \\ M_k &= \{ k^{-1}\sigma : \sigma \in M_1 \} \text{ for } k = 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned}$$

We topologize  $M$ , and hence  $M_k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , with the weak convergence topology. It is well known that  $M$  is locally compact and separable when  $E$  is a compact metric space.

The Laplace functional of a probability measure  $P$  on  $M$  is defined as

$$L_P(f) = \int_M e^{-\langle \mu, f \rangle} P(d\mu), \quad f \in B(E)^+. \quad (2.1)$$

$P$  is said to be infinitely divisible if for each integer  $m > 0$ , there is a probability measure  $P_m$  on  $M$  such that  $L_P(f) = [L_{P_m}(f)]^m$ .

We say a functional  $w$  on  $B(E)^+$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{W}$  if it has the representation

$$w(f) = \iint_{R^+ \times M_0} \left(1 - e^{-u\langle \pi, f \rangle}\right) \frac{1+u}{u} G(du, d\pi), \quad f \in B(E)^+. \quad (2.2)$$

where  $G$  is a finite measure on  $R^+ \times M_0$  and the value of the integrand at  $u = 0$  is defined as  $\langle \pi, f \rangle$ . The following result coincides with Theorem 1.2 of Watanabe (1968) since  $(E, \mathcal{B}(E))$  is isomorphic to a compact metric space with the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**Proposition 2.1.** *A probability measure  $P$  on  $M$  is infinitely divisible if and only if  $-\log L_P(\cdot) \in \mathcal{W}$ . Q.E.D.*

A family of operators  $W_t^r : f \mapsto w_t^r(\cdot, f)$  ( $r \leq t \in R$ ) on  $B(E)^+$  is called a *cumulant semigroup* provided

2.A) for every fixed  $r \leq t$  and  $x$ ,  $w_t^r(x, \cdot)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{W}$ ;

2.B) for all  $r \leq s \leq t$ ,  $W_s^r W_t^s = W_t^r$  and  $W_r^r f \equiv f$ .

We say the cumulant semigroup is *homogeneous* if  $W_t^r = W_{t-r}$  only depends on the difference  $t - r \geq 0$ . A homogeneous cumulant semigroup  $W_t$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , is called a  $\Psi$ -*semigroup* provided  $E$  is a compact metric space and  $W_t$  preserves  $C(E)^{++}$  for all  $t \geq 0$  [cf. Watanabe (1968)].

**2.3. Definition 2.2.** Suppose that  $X = (X_t, P_{r,\mu})$  is an MB-process in the space  $M$ . Let

$$w_t^r(x) \equiv w_t^r(x, f) = -\log P_{r,\delta_x} \exp\langle X_t, -f \rangle. \quad (2.3)$$

We say  $X$  is *regular* if for every  $f \in B(E)^+$  and  $r \leq t$ , the function  $w_t^r(\cdot)$  belongs to  $B(E)^+$  and

$$P_{r,\mu} \exp\langle X_t, -f \rangle = \exp\langle \mu, -w_t^r \rangle, \quad \mu \in M. \quad (2.4)$$

Here  $P_{r,\mu}$  denotes the conditional expectation given  $X_r = \mu$ .

An easy application of Proposition 2.1 gives the following

**Proposition 2.3.** *Formula (2.4) defines the transition probabilities of a regular MB-process  $X = (X_t, P_{r,\mu})$  if and only if  $W_t^r : f \mapsto w_t^r$  is a cumulant semigroup. Q.E.D.*

If  $W_t : f \mapsto w_t$  is a homogeneous cumulant semigroup, then

$$P_\mu \exp\langle X_t, -f \rangle = \exp\langle \mu, -w_t \rangle \quad (2.5)$$

determines the transition probabilities of a homogeneous MB-process  $X = (X_t, P_\mu)$ . In the case  $E$  is a compact metric space, Watanabe (1968) showed that a homogeneous MB-process is a Feller process if and only if it is regular and the corresponding cumulant semigroup is a  $\Psi$ -semigroup.

2.4. A special form of the MB-process is the “superprocess” that arises as the high density limit of a branching particle system. Suppose that

2.C)  $\xi = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t^r, \xi_t(\omega), \Pi_{r,x})$  is a Markov process in the space  $E$  with right continuous sample paths and Borel measurable transition probabilities, i.e., for every  $f \in B(E)$  and  $t \in R$  the function  $1_{\{r \leq t\}} \Pi_{r,x} f(\xi_t)$  is measurable in  $(r, x)$ ;

2.D)  $K = K(\omega, t)$  is a continuous additive functional of  $\xi$  such that  $\sup_\omega |K(\omega, t)| < \infty$  for every  $t \in R$ ;

2.E)  $\phi = \phi^s(x, \lambda)$  is a  $\mathcal{B}(R \times E \times R^+)$ -measurable function given by

$$\phi^s(x, \lambda) = b^s(x)\lambda + c^s(x)\lambda^2 + \int_0^\infty (e^{-\lambda u} - 1 + \lambda u) m^s(x, du),$$

where  $c^s(x)$  is nonnegative,  $m^s(x, \cdot)$  is carried by  $(0, \infty)$ , and the function

$$|b^s(x)| + c^s(x) + \int_0^\infty u \wedge u^2 m^s(x, du)$$

of  $(s, x)$  is bounded on  $R \times E$ .

A regular MB-process  $X = (X_t, P_{r,\mu})$  is called a  $(\xi, K, \phi)$ -superprocess if it has the cumulant semigroup  $f \mapsto w_t^r$  determined by the evolution equation

$$w_t^r(x) + \Pi_{r,x} \int_r^t \phi^s(\xi_s, w_t^s(\xi_s)) K(ds) = \Pi_{r,x} f(\xi_t), \quad r \leq t. \quad (2.6)$$

The existence and the uniqueness of the solution to the above equation have been proved by Dynkin (1991ab). Note that the hypothesis  $\int u \wedge u^2 m(ds) < \infty$  makes things work only for the MB-processes with finite first moments. [Dynkin also assumed  $b^s(x) \geq 0$  for 2.E), but this restriction is not essential; see section 4 of this paper.]

### 3. MBI-processes

3.1. **Definition 3.1.** Let  $E$  be a topological Lusin space. Suppose that

3.A)  $W_t^r : f \mapsto w_t^r$  ( $r \leq t \in R$ ) is a cumulant semigroup such that for every  $f \in B(E)^+$  and  $u \leq t \in R$ , the function  $w_t^r(x)$  of  $(r, x)$  restricted to  $[u, t] \times E$  belongs to  $B([u, t] \times E)^+$ ;

3.B)  $H$  is a measure on  $R \times M_0$  such that  $H([u, t] \times M_0) < \infty$  for every  $u \leq t \in R$ ;

3.C)  $\psi^s(\pi, \lambda)$  is a  $\mathcal{B}(R \times M_0 \times R^+)$ -measurable function given by

$$\psi^s(\pi, \lambda) = d^s(\pi)\lambda + \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-\lambda u})n^s(\pi, du), \quad s \in R, \pi \in M_0, \lambda \in R^+,$$

where  $d^s(\pi)$  is nonnegative,  $n^s(\pi, \cdot)$  is carried by  $(0, \infty)$ , and

$$\sup_{s, \pi} \left[ d^s(\pi) + \int_0^\infty 1 \wedge u n^s(\pi, du) \right] < \infty.$$

A Markov process  $Y = (Y_t, Q_{r, \mu})$  in the space  $M$  is called an *MBI-process with parameters*  $(W, H, \psi)$  if

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_{r, \mu} \exp\langle Y_t, -f \rangle \\ &= \exp \left\{ -\langle \mu, w_t^r \rangle - \iint_{(r, t] \times M_0} \psi^s(\pi, \langle \pi, w_t^s \rangle) H(ds, d\pi) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

for  $f \in B(E)^+$ ,  $\mu \in M$  and  $r \leq t$ .

**Remark 3.2.** i) That the right hand side of (3.1) is indeed a Laplace transform follows once we observe that the functional is positive definite on semigroup  $B(E)^+$ . [See Berg et al. (1984) and Fitzsimmons (1988) for details on positive definite functionals.] This fact also follows from the proof of Theorem 3.5 in paragraph 3.3.

ii) We call the MBI-process defined by (3.1) a  $(\xi, K, \phi, H, \psi)$ -*superprocess* if the corresponding cumulant semigroup  $f \mapsto w_t^r$  is determined by equation (2.6). Dynkin (1991ab) has studied the  $(\xi, K, \phi, H, \psi)$ -superprocess in the case where  $H$  is carried by  $R \times \{\delta_x : x \in E\}$  and  $\psi^s(\pi, \lambda) \equiv \lambda$ .

A time homogeneous MBI-process  $Y = (Y_t, Q_\mu)$  is determined by three parameters  $(W, \eta, \psi)$  :

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_\mu \exp\langle Y_t, -f \rangle \\ &= \exp \left\{ -\langle \mu, w_t \rangle - \int_0^t ds \int_{M_0} \psi(\pi, \langle \pi, w_s \rangle) \eta(d\pi) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where  $W_t : f \mapsto w_t$  is a homogeneous cumulant semigroup,  $\eta$  is a finite measure on  $M_0$ , and  $\psi = \psi(\pi, \lambda)$ , given by 3.C), does not depend on  $s$ . Note that if  $W_t$  is a strongly continuous  $\Psi$ -semigroup on  $C(E)^{++}$ , then the process  $Y$  has a strongly continuous

Feller semigroup on  $C_0(M)$ , so it has a version in  $D(R^+, M)$  [see, for example, Ethier and Kurtz (1986)].

**Example 3.3.** When  $E$  is reduced to one point, the MBI-process takes values in  $R^+$  and is called a CBI-process. In this case (3.2) becomes

$$Q_\mu e^{-zY_t} = \exp \left\{ -\mu w_t - \int_0^t \psi(w_s) ds \right\}, \quad z \geq 0, \mu \geq 0, t \geq 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Kawazu and Watanabe (1971) showed that if the process  $Y$  is stochastically continuous for every  $Q_\mu$ , then  $w_t$  satisfies

$$\frac{dw_t}{dt} = -\phi(w_t), \quad w_0 = z, \quad (3.4)$$

for a function  $\phi$  with the representation

$$\phi(\lambda) = b\lambda + c\lambda^2 + \int_0^\infty \left( e^{-\lambda u} - 1 + \frac{\lambda u}{1+u^2} \right) m(du), \quad (3.5)$$

where  $c \geq 0$  and  $\int_0^\infty 1 \wedge u^2 m(du) < \infty$ .

3.2. An MBDI-process  $Y = (Y_t, t \in R)$  depends on four parameters  $(W, H, h, \beta)$ , where  $W$  and  $H$  are given by 3.A and B),  $\beta$  is a positive number, and

3.D)  $h^s(\pi, z) = \sum_{i=0}^\infty q_i^s(\pi) z^i$ , for every  $(s, \pi) \in R \times M_0$ , is a probability generating function with all  $q_i = q_i^s(\pi)$  measurable in  $(s, \pi)$ .

Such a process is characterized by the following properties:

(i) the evolution of the branch  $(X_t, t \geq r)$  of  $Y$  with  $X_r = \mu$  a.s. is determined by the Laplace functional (2.4);

(ii) the entry times and entry distributions of the immigrants are governed by a Poisson random measure  $\rho$  on the product space  $R \times M_0$  with intensity  $H(ds, d\pi)$ ;

(iii) the generating function  $h^s(\pi, \cdot)$  describes the number of drops, each of those having mass  $\beta$ , entering  $E$  at time  $s$  with distribution  $\pi(dx)$ .

We refer to  $\beta$  as the immigration unit. Suppose that different drops of the immigrants land in  $E$  independently of each other and that the immigration is independent of the inner population. Then the MBDI-process is a Markov process in space  $M$ . Let  $Q_{r,\mu}$  denote the conditional law of  $(Y_t, t \geq r)$  given  $Y_r = \mu$ , and let  $D$  denote the distribution of the random measure  $\rho$  on space

$$\left\{ \zeta \equiv \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\zeta(R \times M_0)} \delta_{(s_\alpha, \pi_\alpha)} : (s_\alpha, \pi_\alpha) \in R \times M_0 \right\}.$$

Properties (i)-(iii) lead through a calculation to the Laplace functional:

$$\begin{aligned}
& Q_{r,\mu} \exp\langle Y_t, -f \rangle \\
&= \exp\langle \mu, -w_t^r \rangle \int D(d\zeta) \prod_{r < s_\alpha \leq t} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q_i^{s_\alpha}(\pi_\alpha) \langle \pi_\alpha, \exp\{-\beta w_t^{s_\alpha}\} \rangle^i \\
&= \exp\langle \mu, -w_t^r \rangle \int D(d\zeta) \exp \iint_{(r,t] \times M_0} \log h^s(\pi, \langle \pi, e^{-\beta w_t^s} \rangle) \zeta(ds, d\pi) \\
&= \exp \left\{ -\langle \mu, w_t^r \rangle - \iint_{(r,t] \times M_0} [1 - h^s(\pi, \langle \pi, e^{-\beta w_t^s} \rangle)] H(ds, d\pi) \right\}. \tag{3.6}
\end{aligned}$$

3.3. Consider a sequence of MBDI-processes  $Y^{(k)} = (Y_t^{(k)}, Q_{r,\mu}^{(k)})$  with parameters  $(W, \alpha_k H, h_k, k^{-1})$ , where  $\alpha_k \geq 0$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . By (3.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& Q_{r,\mu}^{(k)} \exp\langle Y_t^{(k)}, -f \rangle \\
&= \exp \left\{ -\langle \mu, w_t^r \rangle - \iint_{(r,t] \times M_0} \psi_k^s(\pi, \langle \pi, w_t^s(k) \rangle) H(ds, d\pi) \right\}, \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$w_t^s(k, x) = k [1 - \exp\{-k^{-1} w_t^s(x)\}], \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\psi_k^s(\pi, \lambda) = \alpha_k [1 - h_k^s(\pi, 1 - \lambda/k)], \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq k. \tag{3.9}$$

Since  $w_t^s(k) \rightarrow w_t^s$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , it is natural to assume the sequence  $\psi_k$  to converge if one hopes to obtain  $Y_t = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Y_t^{(k)}$  in some sense.

**Lemma 3.4.** *i) Suppose that*

*3.E)  $\psi_k^s(\pi, \lambda) \rightarrow \psi^s(\pi, \lambda)$  ( $k \rightarrow \infty$ ) boundedly and uniformly on the set  $R \times M_0 \times [0, l]$  of  $(s, \pi, \lambda)$  for each  $l \geq 0$ .*

*Then  $\psi^s(\pi, \lambda)$  has the representation 3.C).*

*ii) To each function  $\psi$  given by 3.C) there corresponds a sequence in form (3.9) such that*

$$\psi_k^s(\pi, \lambda) = \psi^s(\pi, \lambda), \quad s \in R, \pi \in M_0, 0 \leq \lambda \leq k.$$

**Proof.** Assertion i) was proved in Li (1991). To get ii) one can set

$$\alpha_k = 1 + \sup_{s, \pi} \left[ kd^s(\pi) + \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-ku}) n^s(\pi, du) \right]$$

and

$$h_k^s(\pi, z) = 1 + k\alpha_k^{-1} d^s(\pi)(z - 1) + \alpha_k^{-1} \int_0^\infty (e^{ku(z-1)} - 1) n^s(\pi, du). \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Condition 3.E) usually implies  $\alpha_k \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus the following theorem shows that the MBI-process is an approximation for the MBDI-process with high rate and small unit of immigration.

**Theorem 3.5.** *i) Let  $Y^{(k)}$  be as above, and let  $Y$  be the MBI-process defined by (3.1). If 3.E) holds, then for every  $\mu \in M, r \leq t_1 < \dots < t_n \in R$  and  $a \geq 0$ ,*

$$Q_{r, \mu}^{(k)} \exp \sum_{i=1}^n \langle Y_{t_i}^{(k)}, -f_i \rangle \rightarrow Q_{r, \mu} \exp \sum_{i=1}^n \langle Y_{t_i}, -f_i \rangle \quad (k \rightarrow \infty) \quad (3.10)$$

*uniformly in  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in B(E)_a^+$ .*

*ii) For each MBI-process  $Y$  defined by (3.1), there is a sequence of MBDI-processes  $Y^{(k)}$  such that (3.10) is satisfied.*

**Proof.** It suffices to show assertion i) since ii) follows immediately from i) and Lemma 3.4. We do this by induction in  $n$ .

Fix  $a \geq 0$  and  $r \leq t \in R$ . By 3.A) and (3.8),  $w_t^s(k, x, f) \rightarrow w_t^s(x, f)$  ( $k \rightarrow \infty$ ) boundedly and uniformly in  $(s, x, f) \in [r, t] \times E \times B(E)_a^+$ . Thus 3.E) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{(r, t] \times M_0} \psi_k^s(\pi, \langle \pi, w_t^s(k) \rangle) H(ds, d\pi) \\ & \rightarrow \iint_{(r, t] \times M_0} \psi^s(\pi, \langle \pi, w_t^s \rangle) H(ds, d\pi) \quad (k \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

uniformly in  $f \in B(E)_a^+$ . To see that the right hand side of (3.1) is indeed the Laplace functional of a probability measure we appeal to the following

**Lemma 3.6** (Kallenberg, 1983; Dynkin, 1991a). *Suppose that  $P_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$ , are probability measures on  $M$ . If  $L_{P_k}(f) \rightarrow L(f)$  ( $k \rightarrow \infty$ ) uniformly in  $f \in B(E)_a^+$  for every  $a \geq 0$ , then  $L$  is the Laplace functional of a probability measure on  $M$ . Q.E.D.*

Then it follows immediately that (3.1) really defines the transition probabilities of a Markov process  $Y$  in space  $M$  and that (3.10) holds for  $n = 1$ .



Now assuming (3.10) is true for  $n = m$ , we show the fact for  $n = m + 1$ . Let  $r \leq t_1 < \cdots < t_{m+1} \in R$  and  $f_1, \cdots, f_{m+1} \in B(E)^+$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& Q_{r,\mu}^{(k)} \exp \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \langle Y_{t_i}^{(k)}, -f_i \rangle \\
&= Q_{r,\mu}^{(k)} Q_{r,\mu}^{(k)} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} \exp \langle Y_{t_i}^{(k)}, -f_i \rangle \middle| Y_t^{(k)}, t \leq t_m \right\} \\
&= Q_{r,\mu}^{(k)} \prod_{i=1}^m \exp \langle Y_{t_i}^{(k)}, -f_i \rangle Q_{r,\mu}^{(k)} \left\{ \exp \langle Y_{t_{m+1}}^{(k)}, -f_{m+1} \rangle \middle| Y_{t_m}^{(k)} \right\} \\
&= Q_{r,\mu}^{(k)} \prod_{i=1}^m \exp \langle Y_{t_i}^{(k)}, -f_i \rangle \cdot \exp \langle Y_{t_m}^{(k)}, -w_{t_{m+1}}^{t_m}(f_{m+1}) \rangle \\
&\quad \cdot \exp \left\{ - \iint_{(t_m, t_{m+1}] \times M_0} \psi_k^s \left( \pi, \langle \pi, w_{t_{m+1}}^s(k, f_{m+1}) \rangle \right) H(ds, d\pi) \right\} \\
&= Q_{r,\mu}^{(k)} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \exp \langle Y_{t_i}^{(k)}, -f_i \rangle \cdot \exp \langle Y_{t_m}^{(k)}, -f_m - w_{t_{m+1}}^{t_m}(f_{m+1}) \rangle \\
&\quad \cdot \exp \left\{ - \iint_{(t_m, t_{m+1}] \times M_0} \psi_k^s \left( \pi, \langle \pi, w_{t_{m+1}}^s(k, f_{m+1}) \rangle \right) H(ds, d\pi) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.11) and the induction hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Q_{r,\mu}^{(k)} \exp \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \langle Y_{t_i}^{(k)}, -f_i \rangle \\
&= Q_{r,\mu} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \exp \langle Y_{t_i}, -f_i \rangle \cdot \exp \langle Y_{t_m}, -f_m - w_{t_{m+1}}^{t_m}(f_{m+1}) \rangle \\
&\quad \cdot \exp \left\{ - \iint_{(t_m, t_{m+1}] \times M_0} \psi^s \left( \pi, \langle \pi, w_{t_{m+1}}^s(f_{m+1}) \rangle \right) H(ds, d\pi) \right\} \\
&= Q_{r,\mu} \exp \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \langle Y_{t_i}, -f_i \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

and the convergence is uniform in  $f_1, \cdots, f_{m+1} \in B(E)_a^+$ . Q.E.D.

If  $E$  is a compact metric space, then the  $n$ -dimensional product topological space  $M^n = \{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) : \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in M\}$  is locally compact and separable, and the function class

$$F(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) = \exp \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \mu_i, -f_i \rangle, \quad f_i \in C(E)^{++},$$

is convergence determining. Thus (3.10) implies that  $Y^{(k)}$  converges to  $Y$  in finite dimensional distributions.

3.4. In this paragraph, we prove a result on the weak convergence in space  $D(R^+, M)$  of homogeneous MBDI-processes. Let  $Y^{(k)} = (Y_t^{(k)}, t \geq 0)$  be a sequence of MBDI-processes with parameters  $(W^{(k)}, \alpha_k \eta_k, h_k, k^{-1})$ , where for each  $k$ ,

- $W_t^{(k)} : f \mapsto w_t^{(k)}$  is a strongly continuous  $\Psi$ -semigroup on  $C(E)^{++}$ ;
- $\alpha_k$  is a positive number;
- $\eta_k$  is a finite measure on  $M_0$ ;
- $h_k(\pi, \cdot)$ , for every  $\pi \in M_0$ , is a probability generating function with  $h_k(\pi, z)$  jointly continuous in  $(\pi, z)$ .

The transition probabilities  $Q_\mu^{(k)}$  of  $Y^{(k)}$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_\mu^{(k)} \exp \langle Y_t^{(k)}, -f \rangle \\ &= \exp \left\{ -\langle \mu, w_t^{(k)} \rangle - \int_0^t ds \int_{M_0} \psi_k(\pi, \langle \pi, w_s^{(k)} \rangle) \eta_k(d\pi) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

with

$$w_t(k, x) = k \left[ 1 - \exp\{-k^{-1} w_t^{(k)}(x)\} \right] \quad (3.13)$$

and

$$\psi_k(\pi, \lambda) = \alpha_k [1 - h_k(\pi, 1 - \lambda/k)], \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq k. \quad (3.14)$$

Clearly  $Y^{(k)}$  has a strongly continuous Feller semigroup on  $C_0(M)$ , so we can assume it has sample paths in  $D(R^+, M)$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $W_t : f \mapsto w_t(f)$  be a strongly continuous  $\Psi$ -semigroup on  $C(E)^{++}$ , and let  $Y = (Y_t, t \geq 0)$  be an MBI-process in  $D(R^+, M)$  with parameters  $(W, \eta, \psi)$  with initial distribution  $\Lambda$ . Suppose that*

3.F) *for every  $f \in C(E)^{++}$ ,  $w_t^{(k)}(x, f) \rightarrow w_t(x, f)$  ( $k \rightarrow \infty$ ) uniformly in  $(t, x)$  on each set  $[0, l] \times E$ ;*

3.G)  *$\eta_k \rightarrow \eta$  weakly;*

3.H)  *$\psi_k(\pi, \lambda) \rightarrow \psi(\pi, \lambda)$  uniformly in  $(\pi, \lambda)$  on each set  $M_0 \times [0, l]$ ;*

3.I)  *$Y_0^{(k)}$  has limiting distribution  $\Lambda$ .*

*Then  $Y^{(k)}$  converges weakly to  $Y$  in the space  $D(R^+, M)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.5 of Ethier and Kurtz (1986, p167), it is sufficient to prove

$$\sup_{\mu \in M} \left| Q_\mu^{(k)} \exp\langle Y_t^{(k)}, -f \rangle - Q_\mu \exp\langle Y_t, -f \rangle \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad (k \rightarrow \infty) \quad (3.15)$$

for every fixed  $f \in C(E)^{++}$  and  $t \geq 0$ . Let  $2a = \inf_x w_t(x)$ . By 3.F), there is a  $k_1$  such that  $w_t^{(k)} \geq a$  for all  $k > k_1$ .

Suppose  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . If  $\mu(E) \geq a^{-1} \log \varepsilon^{-1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| Q_\mu^{(k)} \exp\langle Y_t^{(k)}, -f \rangle - Q_\mu \exp\langle Y_t, -f \rangle \right| \\ & \leq e^{-\langle \mu, w_t^{(k)} \rangle} + e^{-\langle \mu, w_t \rangle} < 2\varepsilon \quad \text{for } k > k_1. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\mu(E) < a^{-1} \log \varepsilon^{-1}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| Q_\mu^{(k)} \exp\langle Y_t^{(k)}, -f \rangle - Q_\mu \exp\langle Y_t, -f \rangle \right| \\ & \leq \left| \langle \mu, w_t^{(k)} \rangle - \langle \mu, w_t \rangle \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \int_0^t ds \int_{M_0} \psi_k(\pi, \langle \pi, w_s(k) \rangle) \eta_k(d\pi) - \int_0^t ds \int_{M_0} \psi(\pi, \langle \pi, w_s \rangle) \eta(d\pi) \right| \\ & \leq a^{-1} \|w_t^{(k)} - w_t\| \log \varepsilon^{-1} + \varepsilon_1(k) + \varepsilon_2(k) + \varepsilon_3(k), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_1(k) &= \int_0^t ds \int_{M_0} |\psi_k(\pi, \langle \pi, w_s(k) \rangle) - \psi(\pi, \langle \pi, w_s(k) \rangle)| \eta_k(d\pi), \\ \varepsilon_2(k) &= \int_0^t ds \int_{M_0} |\psi(\pi, \langle \pi, w_s(k) \rangle) - \psi(\pi, \langle \pi, w_s \rangle)| \eta_k(d\pi), \\ \varepsilon_3(k) &= \int_0^t \left| \int_{M_0} \psi(\pi, \langle \pi, w_s \rangle) \eta_k(d\pi) - \int_{M_0} \psi(\pi, \langle \pi, w_s \rangle) \eta(d\pi) \right| ds. \end{aligned}$$

By 3.F), there exists  $k_2$  such that

$$a^{-1} \|w_t^{(k)} - w_t\| \log \varepsilon^{-1} < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } k > k_2.$$

3.F) also implies  $w_t(k, x) \rightarrow w_t(x)$  boundedly and uniformly on each set  $[0, l] \times E$ . Then 3.G) and 3.H) yield the existence of  $k_3$  such that  $\varepsilon_1(k) + \varepsilon_2(k) < \varepsilon$  for  $k > k_3$ . By 3.G) and the dominated convergence theorem there is a  $k_4$  such that  $\varepsilon_3(k) < \varepsilon$  for  $k > k_4$ . Thus (3.15) follows. Q.E.D.

#### 4. Particle systems and superprocesses

4.1. As usual, let  $E$  be a topological Lusin space. Suppose we have  $\xi$ ,  $K$ ,  $H$  and  $h$  given by 2.C), 2.D), 3.B) and 3.D) respectively. Assume that

4.A)  $g^s(x, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_i^s(x) z^i$ , for every  $(s, x) \in R \times E$ , is a probability generating function with the  $p_i^s(x)$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i p_i^s(x)$  belonging to  $B(R \times E)^+$ .

A branching particle system with immigration with parameters  $(\xi, K, g, H, h)$  is described as follows:

- (i) The particles in  $E$  move according to the law of  $\xi$ .
- (ii) For a particle which is alive at time  $r$  and follows the path  $(\xi_t, t \geq r)$ , the conditional probability of survival during the time interval  $[r, s]$  is  $e^{-K(r,s)}$ .
- (iii) When a particle dies at time  $s$  at point  $x \in E$ , it gives birth to a random number of offspring at the death site according to the generating function  $g^s(x, \cdot)$ .
- (iv) The entry times and distributions of new particles immigrating to  $E$  are governed by a Poisson random measure with intensity  $H(ds, d\pi)$ .
- (v) The generating function  $h^s(\pi, \cdot)$  gives the distribution of the number of new particles entering  $E$  at time  $s$  with distribution  $\pi$ .

For  $t \in R$ , let  $Y_t(B)$  be the number of particles of the system in set  $B \in \mathcal{B}(E)$  at time  $t$ . Under standard independence hypotheses,  $(Y_t, t \in R)$  form a Markov process in space  $M_1$ . [Note that the state space of the particle system is different from that of the MBDI-process.] The rigorous construction of the process can be reduced to constructing a branching particle system with parameters  $(\xi, K, g)$  generated by a single particle, which was given in Dynkin (1991a). The transition probabilities  $Q_{r,\sigma}$  of  $(Y_t, t \in R)$  are determined by the Laplace functionals [cf. (3.6)]:

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_{r,\sigma} \exp\langle Y_t, -f \rangle \\ &= \exp \left\{ -\langle \sigma, v_t^r \rangle - \iint_{(r,t] \times M_0} \left[ 1 - h^s(\pi, \langle \pi, e^{-v_t^s} \rangle) \right] H(ds, d\pi) \right\}, \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad f \in B(E)^+, \sigma \in M_1, r \leq t, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where  $v_t^r(x) \equiv v_t^r(x, f)$  is the unique positive solution of

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-v_t^r(x)} &= \Pi_{r,x} e^{-f(\xi_t) - K(r,t)} \\ &+ \Pi_{r,x} \int_r^t e^{-K(r,s)} g^s(\xi_s, e^{-v_t^s(\xi_s)}) K(ds). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

This equation arises as follows: If we start one particle at time  $r$  at point  $x$ , this particle moves following a path of  $\xi$  and does not branch before time  $t$  with probability  $e^{-K(r,t)}$  [first term on the right hand side], or it splits at time  $s \in (r, t]$  with probability  $e^{-K(r,s)} K(ds)$  according to  $g^s(\xi_s, \cdot)$  and all the offspring evolve independently after birth

in the same fashion [second term]. By Lemma 2.3 of Dynkin (1991a), (4.2) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pi_{r,x} e^{-f(\xi_t)} - e^{-v_t^r(x)} \\ &= \Pi_{r,x} \int_r^t \left[ e^{-v_t^s(\xi_s)} - g^s(\xi_s, e^{-v_t^s(\xi_s)}) \right] K(ds). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

4.2. Let  $Y(k) = \{Y_t(k), t \in R\}$  be a sequence of branching particle systems with immigration with parameters  $(\xi, \gamma_k K, g_k, \alpha_k H, h_k)$ , where  $\alpha_k \geq 0, \gamma_k \geq 0, k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then

$$Y^{(k)} = \{Y_t^{(k)} := k^{-1}Y_t(k), t \in R\}$$

is a Markov process in space  $M_k$  with transition probabilities  $Q_{r,\sigma_k}^{(k)}$  determined by

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_{r,\sigma_k}^{(k)} \exp\langle Y_t^{(k)}, -f \rangle \\ &= \exp \left\{ -\langle \sigma_k, kv_t^r(k) \rangle - \iint_{(r,t] \times M_0} \psi_k^s(\pi, \langle \pi, w_t^s(k) \rangle) H(ds, d\pi) \right\}, \\ & \quad f \in B(E)^+, \sigma_k \in M_k, r \leq t, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where  $\psi_k^s(\pi, \lambda)$  is given by (3.9),  $v_t^r(k, x) \equiv v_t^r(k, x, f)$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pi_{r,x} e^{-f(\xi_t)/k} - e^{-v_t^r(k,x)} \\ &= \Pi_{r,x} \int_r^t \gamma_k \left[ e^{-v_t^s(k,\xi_s)} - g_k^s(\xi_s, e^{-v_t^s(k,\xi_s)}) \right] K(ds) \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$w_t^s(k, x) \equiv w_t^s(k, x, f) = k[1 - e^{-v_t^s(k,x,f)}]. \quad (4.6)$$

Let  $Q_{r,\mu_k}^{(k)}$  denote the conditional law of  $(Y_t^{(k)}, t \geq r)$  given  $Y_r^{(k)} = k^{-1}\sigma(k\mu)$ , where  $\mu$  belongs to  $M$  and  $\sigma(k\mu)$  is a Poisson random measure with intensity  $k\mu$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_{r,\mu_k}^{(k)} \exp\langle Y_t^{(k)}, -f \rangle \\ &= \exp \left\{ -\langle \mu, w_t^r(k) \rangle - \iint_{(r,t] \times M_0} \psi_k^s(\pi, \langle \pi, w_t^s(k) \rangle) H(ds, d\pi) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

It is easy to check that  $w_t^r(k)$  satisfies

$$w_t^r(k, x) + \Pi_{r,x} \int_r^t \phi_k^s(\xi_s, w_t^s(k, \xi_s)) K(ds) = \Pi_{r,x} k[1 - e^{-f(\xi_t)/k}] \quad (4.8)$$

with

$$\phi_k^s(x, \lambda) = k\gamma_k [g_k^s(x, 1 - \lambda/k) - (1 - \lambda/k)], \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq k. \quad (4.9)$$

For the sequence (4.9) we note

$$\bar{b}_k = \sup_{s,x} \left| \frac{d}{d\lambda} \phi_k^s(x, \lambda) \right|_{\lambda=0}. \quad (4.10)$$

**Lemma 4.1.** *i) Suppose that*

*4.B)  $\phi_k^s(x, \lambda) \rightarrow \phi^s(x, \lambda)$  ( $k \rightarrow \infty$ ) uniformly on each set  $R \times E \times [0, l]$ ;*

*4.C)  $\phi^s(x, \lambda)$  is Lipschitz in  $\lambda$  uniformly on each set  $R \times E \times [0, l]$ .*

*Then  $\phi^s(x, \lambda)$  has the representation 2.E).*

*ii) If  $\phi^s(x, \lambda)$  is given by 2.E), then it satisfies 4.C) and there is a sequence  $\phi_k^s(x, \lambda)$  in form (4.9) such that 4.B) holds and*

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \phi_k^s(x, \lambda) \Big|_{\lambda=0} = b^s(x), \quad s \in R, x \in E. \quad (4.11)$$

**Proof.** Assertion i) follows easily by a result of Li (1991), so we shall prove ii) only. Suppose that  $\phi^s(x, \lambda)$  is given by 2.E). 4.C) holds clearly. Let

$$\gamma_{1,k} = 1 + \sup_{s,x} \int_0^\infty u(1 - e^{-ku}) m^s(x, du)$$

and

$$g_{1,k}^s(x, z) = z + k^{-1} \gamma_{1,k}^{-1} \int_0^\infty [e^{ku(z-1)} - 1 + ku(1-z)] m^s(x, du).$$

It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{1,k}^s(x, \lambda) &:= k\gamma_{1,k} [g_{1,k}^s(x, 1 - \lambda/k) - (1 - \lambda/k)] \\ &= \int_0^\infty (e^{-\lambda u} - 1 + \lambda u) m^s(x, du). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\bar{b} = \sup_{s,x} |b^s(x)|, \quad \bar{c} = \sup_{s,x} c^s(x).$$

Assuming  $\gamma_{2,k} := \bar{b} + 2k\bar{c} > 0$  and setting

$$g_{2,k}^s(x, z) = \begin{cases} z + \gamma_{2,k}^{-1} [b^s(x)(1-z) + kc^s(x)(1-z)^2] & \text{if } b^s(x) \geq 0 \\ \gamma_{2,k}^{-1} [\frac{1}{2}\bar{b}(1+z^2) + \frac{1}{2}b^s(x)(1-z^2) \\ \quad + kc^s(x)(1-z)^2 + 2k\bar{c}z] & \text{if } b^s(x) < 0, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{2,k}^s(x, \lambda) &:= k\gamma_{2,k} [g_{2,k}^s(x, 1 - \lambda/k) - (1 - \lambda/k)] \\ &= \begin{cases} b^s(x)\lambda + c^s(x)\lambda^2 & \text{if } b^s(x) \geq 0 \\ b^s(x)\lambda + c^s(x)\lambda^2 + (2k)^{-1}[\bar{b} - b^s(x)]\lambda^2 & \text{if } b^s(x) < 0. \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Finally we let

$$\gamma_k = \gamma_{1,k} + \gamma_{2,k} \quad \text{and} \quad g_k = \gamma_k^{-1}(\gamma_{1,k}g_{1,k} + \gamma_{2,k}g_{2,k}).$$

Then the sequence  $\phi_k^s(x, \lambda)$  defined by (4.9) is equal to  $\phi_{1,k}^s(x, \lambda) + \phi_{2,k}^s(x, \lambda)$  that satisfies 4.B) and (4.11).    Q.E.D.

**Lemma 4.2.** *If conditions 4.B and C) are fulfilled and if*

$$4.D) \sup_k \bar{b}_k < \infty,$$

*then  $w_t^r(k, x, f)$ , and hence  $kv_t^r(k, x, f)$ , converge boundedly and uniformly on each set  $[u, t] \times E \times B(E)_a^+$  of  $(r, x, f)$  to the unique bounded positive solution of equation (2.6).*

**Proof.** Since  $-\frac{d}{d\lambda}\phi_k^s(x, \lambda) \leq \bar{b}_k$ , (4.8) implies that

$$w_t^r(k, x) \leq \|f\| + \bar{b}_k \Pi_{r,x} \int_r^t w_t^s(k, \xi_s) K(ds). \quad (4.12)$$

By the generalized Gronwall's inequality proved by Dynkin (1991a), we get

$$w_t^r(k, x) \leq \|f\| \Pi_{r,x} e^{\bar{b}_k K(r,t)}. \quad (4.13)$$

Using (4.8) and (4.13), the convergence of  $w_t^r(k)$  is proved in the same way as Lemma 3.3 of Dynkin (1991a). The convergence of  $kv_t^r(k)$  follows by (4.6).    Q.E.D.

Based on Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, the following result can be obtained similarly as Theorem 3.5.

**Theorem 4.3.** *i) Let  $Y^{(k)}$  be the sequence of renormalized branching particle systems with immigration defined by (4.7), and let  $Y$  be the  $(\xi, K, \phi, H, \psi)$ -superprocess. Assume that conditions 3.E) and 4.B,C,D) are satisfied. Then for every  $\mu \in M$ ,  $r \leq t_1 < \dots < t_n$  and  $a \geq 0$ ,*

$$Q_{r,\mu}^{(k)} \exp \sum_{i=1}^n \langle Y_{t_i}^{(k)}, -f_i \rangle \rightarrow Q_{r,\mu} \exp \sum_{i=1}^n \langle Y_{t_i}, -f_i \rangle \quad (k \rightarrow \infty) \quad (4.14)$$

*uniformly in  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in B(E)_a^+$ .*

ii) To each  $(\xi, K, \phi, H, \psi)$ -superprocess  $Y$  there corresponds a sequence of branching particle systems with immigration  $Y^{(k)}$  satisfying (4.14). Q.E.D.

Suppose that each particle in the  $k$ th system is weighted  $k^{-1}$ . (4.14) states that the mass distribution of the particle system approximates to the process  $Y$  when the single mass becomes small and the particle population becomes large. Typically,  $\gamma_k \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\alpha_k \rightarrow \infty$ , which mean that the rates of the branching and the immigration get high. It is also possible to prove a result on the weak convergence in space  $D(R^+, M)$  of the branching system of particles with immigration. The discussions are similar to those of section 3 and left to the reader.

## 5. Transformations of the measure space

By transformations of the state space  $M$ , large classes of MBI-processes that may take infinite (but  $\sigma$ -finite) values can be obtained from the MBI-processes with finite values that we have discussed in sections 3 and 4.

For  $\rho \in B(E)^{++}$  we let

$$\begin{aligned} M^\rho &= \{ \mu : \mu \text{ is a measure on } (E, \mathcal{B}(E)) \text{ such that } \langle \mu, \rho \rangle < \infty \}, \\ M_0^\rho &= \{ \tau : \tau \in M^\rho \text{ and } \langle \tau, \rho \rangle = 1 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $W_t^r : f \mapsto w_t^r(f)$  is a cumulant semigroup on  $B(E)^+$  such that

5.A) for every  $f \in B(E)^+$  and  $u \leq t \in R$ , the function  $\rho^{-1}(x)w_t^r(x, \rho f)$  of  $(r, x)$  restricted to  $[u, t] \times E$  belongs to  $B([u, t] \times E)^+$ .

We define the operators  $\widehat{W}_t^r : f \mapsto \widehat{w}_t^r(f)$  on  $B(E)^+$  by

$$\widehat{w}_t^r(f) = \rho^{-1}w_t^r(\rho f) \quad (5.1)$$

[cf. El Karoui and Roelly-Coppoletta (1989)]. It is easy to see that  $\widehat{W}_t^r$ ,  $r \leq t \in R$ , also form a cumulant semigroup. If  $(\widehat{Y}_t, t \in R)$  is an MBI-process in  $M$  with parameters  $(\widehat{W}, H, \psi)$  [Definition 3.1], then

$$Y = (Y_t := \rho^{-1}\widehat{Y}_t, t \in R) \quad (5.2)$$

is an MBI-process in the space  $M^\rho$  with transition probabilities  $Q_{r,\mu}$  determined by

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_{r,\mu} \exp\langle Y_t, -f \rangle \\ &= \exp \left\{ -\langle \mu, w_t^r \rangle - \iint_{(r,t] \times M_0^\rho} \psi_\rho^s(\tau, \langle \tau, w_t^s \rangle) H_\rho(ds, d\tau) \right\}, \\ & \quad f \in B(E)^+, \mu \in M^\rho, r \leq t, \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

where

$$H_\rho(ds, d\tau) = H(ds, d\rho\tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_\rho^s(\tau, \lambda) = \psi^s(\rho\tau, \lambda).$$



**Example 5.1.** Suppose that  $0 < \beta \leq 1$  and that  $\Pi_t$  is the semigroup of the  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion. Then equation

$$w_t + \int_0^t \Pi_{t-s}(w_s)^{1+\beta} ds = \Pi_t f, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (5.4)$$

defines a homogeneous cumulant semigroup  $W_t : f \mapsto w_t$ . For  $p > d$ , let  $\rho(x) = (1 + |x|^p)^{-1}$ ,  $x \in R^d$ , and let

$$M_p(R^d) = \{\mu : \mu \text{ is a Borel measure on } R^d \text{ such that } \langle \mu, \rho \rangle < \infty\}.$$

Iscove (1986) showed that  $W_t$  satisfies condition 5.A). Assume  $0 < \theta \leq 1$  and  $\lambda \in M_p(R^d)$ . Then formula

$$Q_\mu \exp \langle Y_t, -f \rangle = \exp \left\{ -\langle \mu, w_t \rangle - \int_0^t \langle \lambda, w_s \rangle^\theta ds \right\} \quad (5.5)$$

defines an MBI-process  $Y = (Y_t, Q_\mu)$  in the space  $M_p(R^d)$ . When  $\beta = \theta = 1$ ,  $Y$  has continuous sample paths almost surely [in a suitable topology in  $M_p(R^d)$ ; see Konno and Shiga (1988)].

## Acknowledgments

This paper was written under the supervision of Professors Z.K. Wang and Z.B. Li, to whom gratitude is expressed. I am also indebted to Professor E.B. Dynkin for recommending me the inspiring article of Kawazu and Watanabe (1971). Finally, I thank the editor, Professor P. Jagers, and two referees for valuable suggestions which improved the manuscript.

## References

- C.Berg, J.P.R.Christensen and P.Ressel, Harmonic Analysis on Semigroups (Springer, Berlin, 1984).
- D.L.Cohn, Measure Theory (Birkhauser, Boston, 1980).
- D.A.Dawson, The critical measure diffusion process, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb., **40**(1977), 125-145.
- D.A.Dawson and D.Ivanoff, Branching diffusions and random measures, Advances in Probability and Related Topics, **5**(1978), 61-103, A. Joffe and P. Ney ed..
- E.B.Dynkin, Markov Processes (Springer, Berlin, 1965).
- E.B.Dynkin, Branching particle systems and superprocesses, Ann. Probab., **19**(1991a), 1157-1194.
- E.B.Dynkin, Path processes and historical superprocesses, Probab. Th. Rel. Fields, **91**(1991b),1-36.

- N.El-Karoui and S.Roelly-Coppoletta, Study of a general class of measure-valued branching processes: a Levey-Hincin representation (preprint, 1989).
- S.N.Ethier and T.G.Kurtz, Markov Processes: Characterization and Convergence (Wiley, New York, 1986).
- W.Feller, An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications, Vol.2 (Wiley, New York, 1971).
- P.J.Fitzsimmons, Construction and regularity of measure-valued Markov branching processes, Israel J. Math., **64**(1988), 337-361.
- I.Iscoe, A weighted occupation time for a class of measure-valued branching processes, Probab. Th. Rel. Fields, **71**(1986), 85-116.
- O.Kallenberg, Random measures (3rd ed., Academic Press, New York, 1983).
- K.Kawazu and S.Watanabe, Branching processes with immigration and related limit theorems, Th. Probab. Appl., **16**(1971), 34-51.
- N.Konno and T.Shiga, Stochastic differential equations for some measure-valued diffusions, Probab. Th. Rel. Fields, **79**(1988), 201-225.
- Zeng-Hu Li, Integral representations of continuous functions, Chinese Science Bulletin, **36** (1991), 979-983.
- M.J.Sharpe, General Theory of Markov Processes (Academic Press, New York, 1988).
- S.Watanabe, A limit theorem of branching processes and continuous state branching processes, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **8**(1968), 141-167.
- C.Z.Weil and J.Winnicki, Some asymptotic results for the branching process with immigration, Stochastic Process. Appl., **31**(1989), 261-282.