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#### Abstract

Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field. It is known that any stable equivalence between standard representation-finite self-injective $k$-algebras (without block of Loewy length 2) lifts to a standard derived equivalence, in particular, it is of Morita type. We show that the same holds for any stable equivalence between nonstandard representation-finite selfinjective $k$-algebras. We also fill a gap in the original proof in standard case. This gives a complete solution of the liftability question raised by H. Asashiba about twenty years ago.
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## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, we fix an algebraically closed field $k$. Unless otherwise stated, all algebras will be finite-dimensional $k$-algebras, and all their modules will be finitedimensional left modules. For an algebra $A$, we denote by $\bmod A$ the category of $A$-modules, and by $\underline{\bmod } A$ the stable category of $\bmod A$ modulo projective modules. We abbreviate (indecomposable, basic) representation-finite self-injective algebra over $k$ (not isomorphic to the underlying field $k$ ) by RFS algebra.

The classification of RFS algebras was finished in the 1980's by Riedtmann and her collaborators using covering theory and the notion of (combinatorial) configurations. Let $Q$ be a Dynkin quiver of type $A_{n}, D_{n}, E_{6}, E_{7}$ or $E_{8}$, and let $\mathbb{Z} Q$ be the translation quiver

[^0]associated to $Q$ with the translation denoted as $\tau$. For a translation quiver $\Gamma$, we let $k \Gamma$ be its path category, whose objects are the vertices of $\Gamma$ and morphisms are generated by the paths of $\Gamma$ over $k$; and let $k(\Gamma)$ be the mesh category of $\Gamma$, which is a factor category of $k \Gamma$ by the mesh ideal. Riedtmann showed in [12] that for an RFS algebra $A$, the stable AR-quiver ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ is of the form $\mathbb{Z} Q / \Pi$, where $Q$ (the underlying graph of which is called the tree class of $A$ ) is a Dynkin quiver of type $A_{n}, D_{n}, E_{6}, E_{7}$ or $E_{8}$, and $\Pi$ is some admissible subgroup of the automorphism group of $\mathbb{Z} Q$.

Definition 1.1 ([13]) Let $\Delta$ be a stable translation quiver. A (combinatorial) configuration $\mathcal{C}$ is a set of vertices of $\Delta$ which satisfies the following conditions:
(1) For any $e, f \in \mathcal{C}, \operatorname{Hom}_{k(\Delta)}(e, f)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}0(e \neq f), \\ k(e=f) .\end{array}\right.$
(2) For any $e \in \Delta_{0}$, there exists some $f \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Hom}_{k(\Delta)}(e, f) \neq 0$.

In [5, 13-15], it was shown that the isoclasses of $\Pi$-stable $\mathbb{Z} Q$ configurations (two configurations $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ of $\mathbb{Z} Q$ are called isomorphic if $\mathcal{C}$ is mapped onto $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ under an automorphism of $\mathbb{Z} Q$ ) correspond bijectively to the isoclasses of RFS algebras of tree class $Q$ with admissible group $\Pi$, except in the case of $Q=D_{3 m}$ with underlying field having characteristic 2 . In such a case, an isoclass of $\Pi$-stable $\mathbb{Z} Q$ configuration might correspond to two isoclasses of RFS algebras; both are symmetric algebras, one of which is standard, while the other one is nonstandard. Here, an RFS algebra $A$ is called standard if $k\left(\Gamma_{A}\right)$ is equivalent to ind $A$, where $\Gamma_{A}$ is the AR-quiver of $A$ and $\operatorname{ind} A$ is the full subcategory of $\bmod A$ whose objects are specific representatives of the isoclasses of indecomposable $A$-modules. Nonstandard RFS algebras are RFS algebras which are not standard. We will introduce the representative algebra of nonstandard RFS algebras in next section.

The derived and stable classifications of RFS algebras were given by Asashiba in 1999. Now we briefly recall his results. First we need to define the type of an RFS algebra $A$. If $A$ is as above, by a theorem of Riedtmann [12], $\Pi$ has the form $\left\langle\zeta \tau^{-r}\right\rangle$ where $\zeta$ is some automorphism of $Q$ and $\tau$ is the translation. We also recall the Coxeter numbers of $Q=A_{n}, D_{n}, E_{6}, E_{7}, E_{8}$ are $h_{Q}=n+1,2 n-2,12,18,30$ respectively. The frequency of $A$ is defined to be $f_{A}=r /\left(h_{Q}-1\right)$ and the torsion order $t_{A}$ of $A$ is defined as the order of $\zeta$. The type of $A$ is defined as the triple ( $Q, f_{A}, t_{A}$ ) and denoted by typ $(A)$.

Theorem 1.2 ([1]) Let A and B be RFS $k$-algebras for $k$ algebraically closed.
(1) If $A$ is standard and $B$ is non-standard, then $A$ and $B$ are not stably equivalent, and hence not derived equivalent.
(2) If both A and B are standard, or both non-standard, the following are equivalent:
(a) $A, B$ are derived equivalent;
(b) $A, B$ are stably equivalent of Morita type;
(c) $A, B$ are stably equivalent;
(d) $A, B$ have the same stable $A R$-quiver;
(e) $A, B$ have the same type.
(3) The types of standard RFS algebras are the following:
(a) $\left\{\left(A_{n}, s / n, 1\right) \mid n, s \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$,
(b) $\left\{\left(A_{2 p+1}, s, 2\right) \mid p, s \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$,
(c) $\left\{\left(D_{n}, s, 1\right) \mid n, s \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 4\right\}$,
(d) $\left\{\left(D_{3 m}, s / 3,1\right) \mid m, s \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq 2,3 \nmid s\right\}$,
(e) $\left\{\left(D_{n}, s, 2\right) \mid n, s \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 4\right\}$,
(f) $\left\{\left(D_{4}, s, 3\right) \mid s \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$,
(g) $\left\{\left(E_{n}, s, 1\right) \mid n=6,7,8 ; s \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$,
(h) $\left\{\left(E_{6}, s, 2\right) \mid s \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$.

Non-standard RFS algebras are of type $\left(D_{3 m}, 1 / 3,1\right)$ for some $m \geq 2$.

An interesting question arising from the above classification theorem is the following:
The liftability question ([2]): Does every stable equivalence $\phi: \underline{\bmod } A \rightarrow \underline{\bmod } B$ between two RFS $k$-algebras $A$ and $B$ lift to a standard derived equivalence? In particular, is $\phi$ a stable equivalence of Morita type?

Asashiba answered positively the above question for most standard RFS algebras, and the other few cases in standard case were solved by Dugas [7] using mutation theory (see also [6] for an alternative proof).

Remark 1.3 (1) We noticed that there are counterexamples of [2, Proposition 3.3] if $\Lambda$ and $\Pi$ have Loewy length 2 . Let $\Lambda=\Pi=A$ be the RFS algebra given by the quiver

and relations $\beta \alpha=\gamma \beta=\alpha \gamma=0$. Let $\phi: \underline{\bmod } A \rightarrow \underline{\bmod } A$ be the stable equivalence given by $\phi(1)=2, \phi(2)=1, \phi(3)=3$. Since the configuration of $A$ is the set of simple modules, $\phi$ preserves the configuration of $A$. But $\phi$ does not commute with the loop functor $\Omega_{A}$, hence is not a stable equivalence of Morita type.

The reason why such counterexamples appear is that in the proof of [2, Proposition 3.3], the author assumed that each stable equivalence between standard RFS algebras induces a translation quiver isomorphism between the corresponding stable AR-quivers, thus the proposition needs an additional assumption that the stable equivalence in question commutes with AR-translation up to isomorphisms. However, by [3, Chapter X, Corollary $1.9(2)$ ], it might be wrong for self-injective algebras with blocks of Loewy length 2 .
(2) One key step in the proof of [2, Proposition 3.3] is to construct a functor $\Phi: k\left(\Gamma_{\Lambda}\right) \rightarrow$ $k\left(\Gamma_{\Pi}\right)$ from an equivalence functor $\phi^{\prime}: k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}\right) \rightarrow k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Pi}\right)$ such that $\phi^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}\right)=\mathcal{C}_{\Pi}$, where $\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_{\Pi}$ ) corresponds to the radicals of indecomposable projective $\Lambda$-modules (resp. the radicals of indecomposable projective $\Pi$-modules). Since $k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}\right)$ (resp. $k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Pi}\right)$ ) is a quotient category of $k\left(\Gamma_{\Lambda}\right)$ (resp. $k\left(\Gamma_{\Pi}\right)$ ), and the values of $\Phi$ on the arrows $\alpha$ of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ depend on the choices of the lifting of $\phi^{\prime}(\alpha)$ in $k\left(\Gamma_{\Pi}\right)$, one needs to choose carefully these values so that $\Phi$ preserves all mesh relations. It seems that this verification is skipped in the proof of [2, Proposition 3.3] (see page 435, line 1), and we filled the gap by giving the precise definition of the functor $\Phi$.

To obtain a corrected form of [2, Proposition 3.3], we need to strengthen the condition on $\phi^{\prime}$ so that $\phi^{\prime}: k\left({ }_{S} \Gamma_{A}\right) \rightarrow k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}\right)$ is an isomorphism functor inducing identity map on the objects of $k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}\right)$, where $A$ is some representative algebra for a given type of standard

RFS algebras. Then we can construct a functor $\Phi: k\left(\Gamma_{A}\right) \rightarrow k\left(\Gamma_{A}\right)$ which lifts $\phi^{\prime}$ under the above condition. The construction of $\Phi$ is based on several technical lemmas presented in Section 3. The detailed explanation will be given in Appendix A.

However, the liftability question in nonstandard case remains open. The main purpose of the present paper is to give a positive answer in nonstandard case.

Theorem 1.4 Let A, B be nonstandard RFS algebras. Then each stable equivalence $\phi$ : $\underline{\bmod } A \rightarrow \underline{\bmod } B$ lifts to a standard derived equivalence. In particular, it is of Morita type.

Thus, together with Appendix A, we give a complete solution of the liftability question in [2] with a corrected form (Proposition A.1) of [2, Proposition 3.3].

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the representative algebra $\Lambda$ of nonstandard RFS algebras, its stable AR-quiver ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ and ind $\Lambda$ in terms of a quotient category of the path category $k_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$. In Section 3, we prove a technical result (Proposition 3.7) on lifting of a stable auto-equivalence of the nonstandard RFS algebra $\Lambda$ to a Morita equivalence. The last section is devoted to prove our main result Theorem 1.4. As a byproduct, we determine the stable Picard group $\operatorname{StPic}(\Lambda)$ of $\Lambda$ (Proposition 4.4).

In Appendix A, we prove a corrected form (Proposition A.1) of [2, Proposition 3.3] and explain how to use it to reprove [2, Theorem 3.1]. In Appendix B, we give a detailed proof of [6, Lemma 4.10], which will be used in the proof of our main result.

## 2 The Representative Algebra of Nonstandard RFS Algebras

Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $2, \Lambda$ be the representative algebra of nonstandard RFS algebras of type ( $D_{3 m}, 1 / 3,1$ ) as in [2, Appendix 2], where $m \geq 2$. The algebra $\Lambda$ is given by the quiver $Q$ below with relations $\alpha_{m} \ldots \alpha_{1}=\beta^{2}, \alpha_{i} \ldots \alpha_{i+1} \alpha_{i}=0$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}=\mathbb{Z} /\langle m\rangle, \alpha_{1} \alpha_{m}=\alpha_{1} \beta \alpha_{m}$.


Let $\Gamma_{\Lambda}$ be the AR-quiver of $\Lambda$ and ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ be the stable AR-quiver of $\Lambda$, then ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda} \cong$ $\mathbb{Z} D_{3 m} /\left\langle\tau^{2 m-1}\right\rangle$. We use the following enumeration on the vertices of $D_{3 m}$ :


Recall that the vertices $3 m$ and $3 m-1$ are called high vertices of $D_{3 m}$ and it is convenient to write a vertex of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ as its coordinate $(p, q)$, where $p \in\{1, \ldots, 2 m-1\}=\mathbb{Z} /\{2 m-$ $1\rangle, 1 \leq q \leq 3 m$. The simple $\Lambda$-module corresponding to the vertex $i(1 \leq i \leq m)$ in the quiver $Q$ of $\Lambda$ will be simply denoted by $i$. Note that by [17, Satz 4.4: 3) a)], we can draw the stable AR -quiver ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ so that the simple module 1 corresponds to $(0,3 m)$, the simple module $j$ corresponds to ( $2 m-j, 1$ ) for $2 \leq j \leq m$. Let $P_{i}$ be the indecomposable projective $\Lambda$-module corresponding to the vertex $i$. Then we have the following structure of the indecomposable projective $\Lambda$-modules:


Let $\mathcal{C}:=\left\{\operatorname{rad} P_{i} \mid i=1,2, \cdots, m\right\}$. Then we have


The positions of $\mathcal{C}$ in the stable AR -quiver ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ are important, they indicate the positions of the indecomposable projective modules in the AR-quiver $\Gamma_{\Lambda}$. Since the loop functor $\Omega_{\Lambda}$ induces an automorphism of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ and a bijection between the set of simple $\Lambda$-modules and $\mathcal{C}$, and since $\{(0,3 m-1),(2 m-1-j, 1) \mid j=1, \ldots, m-1\}$ and $\{(0,3 m),(2 m-1-j, 1) \mid$ $j=1, \ldots, m-1\}$ are isomorphic configurations, we can draw the stable AR-quiver ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ in a new way so that $\mathcal{C}$ corresponds to $\{(0,3 m-1),(2 m-1-j, 1) \mid j=1, \ldots, m-1\}$ in ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$. In the following, we fix $\mathcal{C}$ to the position $\{(0,3 m-1),(2 m-1-j, 1) \mid j=1, \ldots, m-1\}$ in ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$, except in Appendix B (where we fix the simple $\Lambda$-modules $1,2, \cdots, m$ to the positions $(0,3 m),(2 m-2,1), \cdots,(m, 1)$, respectively).

Since $\Lambda$ is a nonstandard RFS algebra, according to [15, Proof of Proposition 3.3], there is a well-behaved functor $\widetilde{U}: k \Gamma_{\Lambda} \rightarrow \operatorname{ind} \Lambda$, that is, it maps each vertex of $\Gamma_{\Lambda}$ to the corresponding indecomposable module and maps each arrow of $\Gamma_{\Lambda}$ to an irreducible morphism, where $k \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ is the path category of the quiver $\Gamma_{\Lambda}$. Moreover, the functor $\widetilde{U}$ induces an isomorphism $U: k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J \simeq \operatorname{ind} \Lambda$, where $J$ is the ideal of $k \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ generated by the modified mesh relations $\left\{m_{x} \mid x \neq(0,3 m-1)\right\} \cup\left\{m_{(0,3 m-1)}+p\right\}$, where $m_{x}$ denotes the mesh relation starting at $x$ and $p$ denotes the following path of length $4 m$ : $(0,3 m-1) \rightarrow(1,3 m-2) \rightarrow(2,3 m-3) \rightarrow(2,3 m-2) \rightarrow(3,3 m-3) \rightarrow(3,3 m-2) \rightarrow$ $\cdots \rightarrow(2 m-1,3 m-2) \rightarrow(2 m, 3 m-3) \rightarrow(2 m, 3 m-2) \rightarrow(2 m, 3 m-1)=(1,3 m-1)$.

Here is a diagram of the path $p$ in the case $m=3$ (where $\star$ denotes the modules in $\mathcal{C}$ and the path $p$ is marked by the double arrows):


Furthermore, $U$ induces an isomorphism $V: k_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda} / I \simeq \underline{\text { ind }} \Lambda$, where $I$ is the ideal of $k_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ generated by $\left\{\underline{m_{x}} \mid x \neq(0,3 m-1)\right\} \cup\left\{m_{(0,3 m-1)}+\underline{p}\right\}$, where $m_{x}, \underline{p}$ denote the residue classes of $m_{x}, p$ in $k_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ under the natural quotient functor $k \Gamma_{\Lambda} \longrightarrow \bar{k}_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$.

We would like to mention an interesting fact on the category $k_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda} / I$, although we will not use it in the present paper. It is known that the smallest integer such that each path of length greater than or equal to this integer is zero in $k\left(\mathbb{Z} D_{3 m}\right)$ is $6 m-3$ (see [5, Section 1.1]). From the existence of a covering functor $k\left(\mathbb{Z} D_{3 m}\right) \rightarrow k_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda} / I$ (see [15, Section 4] and [4, Example 3.1c)]), it is not hard to see that the same holds in the category $k_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda} / I$. In particular, $\operatorname{rad}(\underline{\bmod } \Lambda)$ has nilpotency $6 m-3$.

## 3 A Technical Result on Stable Auto-Equivalence of Nonstandard RFS Algebras

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a Krull-Schmidt $k$-additive category. For the definition of the radical $\operatorname{rad}(-,-)$ of $\mathcal{C}$ and the irreducible morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$, we refer to [16, Section 2.2]. Recall that if both $X$ and $Y$ are indecomposable, then a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is irreducible if and only if $f \in \operatorname{rad}(X, Y) \backslash \operatorname{rad}^{2}(X, Y)$. We shall frequently use the following simple fact.

Lemma 3.1 If $X$ and $Y$ are two indecomposable nonprojective $A$-modules over a selfinjective algebra $A$, and if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism in $\bmod A$ with the image $\underline{f}$ in $\underline{\bmod A} A$, then $f$ is an irreducible morphism in $\bmod A$ if and only if $\underline{f}$ is an irreducible morphism in $\underline{\bmod A}$.

The following two lemmas give a way to lift a mesh relation in $\underline{\bmod A} A$ to a mesh relation in $\bmod A$ (that is, an almost split sequence in $\bmod A$ ). For any $A$-module $X$, we denote by $l_{A}(X)$ the composition length of $X$.

Lemma 3.2 Let $A$ be an algebra, $\Gamma_{A}$ be the $A R$-quiver of $A$. Let

be a mesh in $\Gamma_{A}$, where $X, Y_{1}, \cdots, Y_{s}, Z$ are indecomposable nonprojectives. Let $f_{i}$ (resp. $\left.g_{i}\right)$ be irreducible morphisms corresponding to $\alpha_{i}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\beta_{i}\right)$ such that $\sum \underline{g_{i} f_{i}}=0$ in $\underline{\bmod A}$. Then we have the following.
(1) There exist morphisms $f_{i}^{\prime}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ such that $\underline{f_{i}^{\prime}}=\underline{f_{i}}$ in $\underline{\bmod A}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $\sum g_{i} f_{i}^{\prime}=0$ in $\bmod A$.
(2) If moreover, $A$ is a self-injective algebra, then there exist morphisms $g_{i}^{\prime}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ such that $\underline{g_{i}^{\prime}}=\underline{g_{i}}$ in $\underline{\bmod } A$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $\sum g_{i}^{\prime} f_{i}=0$ in $\bmod A$.

Proof The assumption shows that we can assume that $\sum g_{i} f_{i}+v u=0$, where $u: X \rightarrow P$, $v: P \rightarrow Z$, and $P$ a projective module. Since $Y_{1}, \cdots, Y_{s}$ are pairwise nonisomorphic, it is easy to verify that $\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{s}\right): Y_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus Y_{s} \rightarrow Z$ is irreducible. Since there exists an almost split sequence $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus Y_{s} \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0, l_{A}\left(Y_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus Y_{s}\right)>$ $l_{A}(Z)$. Since irreducible morphisms are injective or surjective, we have that ( $g_{1}, \cdots, g_{s}$ ) is surjective. Since $P$ is projective, $v$ factors through $\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{s}\right)$. Let $v=\sum g_{i} w_{i}$, then $\sum g_{i}\left(f_{i}+w_{i} u\right)=0$. Let $f_{i}^{\prime}=f_{i}+w_{i} u$, we have $\underline{f_{i}^{\prime}}=\underline{f_{i}}$ in $\underline{\bmod } A$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $\sum g_{i} f_{i}^{\prime}=0$ in $\bmod A$. This proves (1). Notice that projective modules are also injective over a self-injective algebra, the proof of (2) is dual to that of (1), using the injective envelope of $X$.

By modifying the proof of Lemma 3.2, we have the following lemma.
Lemma 3.3 Let A be an algebra, $\Gamma_{A}$ be the $A R$-quiver of $A$. Let

be a mesh in $\Gamma_{A}$, where $X, Y_{1}, \cdots, Y_{s}, Z$ are indecomposable nonprojectives. Let $f_{i}$ (resp. $g_{i}$ ) be irreducible morphisms corresponding to $\alpha_{i}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\beta_{i}\right)$ such that $\sum \underline{g_{i} f_{i}}=0$ in $\underline{\bmod A} A$. Then we have the following.
(1) If there exists some $t(1 \leq t \leq s)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{t} l_{A}\left(Y_{i}\right)>l_{A}(Z)$ (or equivalently $\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{t}\right)$ is an epimorphism), then there exist morphisms $f_{i}^{\prime}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$ such that $\underline{f_{i}^{\prime}}=\underline{f_{i}}$ in $\underline{\bmod } A$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{t} g_{i} f_{i}^{\prime}+\sum_{i=t+1}^{s} g_{i} f_{i}=0$ in $\bmod A$.
(2) If moreover, A is a self-injective algebra such that there exists some $t(1 \leq t \leq s)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{t} l_{A}\left(Y_{i}\right)>l_{A}(X)$ (or equivalently $\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{t}\right)$ is a monomorphism), then there exist morphisms $g_{i}^{\prime}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$ such that $\underline{g_{i}^{\prime}}=\underline{g_{i}}$ in $\underline{\bmod A}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{t} g_{i}^{\prime} f_{i}+\sum_{i=t+1}^{s} g_{i} f_{i}=0$ in $\bmod A$.

The following lemma, which is inspired by some idea from the proof of [2, Proposition 3.3], gives a sufficient condition for a functor $\psi: \bmod A \rightarrow \bmod A$ to be an equivalence, where $A$ is an algebra of finite representation type.

Lemma 3.4 Let $A$ be an algebra of finite representation type, $\psi: \bmod A \rightarrow \bmod A$ be a $k$-functor such that
(1) $\psi$ preserves the radical of $\bmod A$ : for every pair $(X, Y)$ of $A$-modules,

$$
\psi\left(\operatorname{rad}_{A}(X, Y)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{rad}_{A}(\psi(X), \psi(Y))
$$

(2) $\psi$ preserves the indecomposability and irreducible morphisms between indecomposables;
(3) $\psi$ reflects isomorphism classes: for A-modules $X$ and $Y$, if $\psi(X) \cong \psi(Y)$, then $X \cong$ $Y$.

Then $\psi$ is an equivalence.
Proof First we claim that $\psi\left(\operatorname{rad}_{A}\right)+\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{2}=\operatorname{rad}_{A}$. Since $\psi\left(\operatorname{rad}_{A}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{rad}_{A}, \psi\left(\operatorname{rad}_{A}\right)+$ $\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{2} \subseteq \operatorname{rad}_{A}$. To show that $\operatorname{rad}_{A} \subseteq \psi\left(\operatorname{rad}_{A}\right)+\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{2}$, let $X, Y$ be indecomposable $A$-modules and $f \in \operatorname{rad}_{A}(X, Y)$. If $f \in \operatorname{rad}_{A}^{2}$, then $f \in \psi\left(\operatorname{rad}_{A}\right)+\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{2}$. If $f \notin \operatorname{rad}_{A}^{2}$, since $X, Y$ are indecomposable, $f$ is irreducible. Since $A$ is of finite representation type, by (2) and (3), $\psi$ induces a quiver automorphism of $\Gamma_{A}$, where $\Gamma_{A}$ is the AR-quiver of $A$. Then there exists some indecomposable $A$-modules $Z, W$ and an irreducible morphism $g: Z \rightarrow W$ such that $\psi(g)$ is an irreducible morphism from $X$ to $Y$. Since $A$ is of finite representation type, $\operatorname{dim} m_{k}\left(\operatorname{rad}_{A}(X, Y) / \operatorname{rad}_{A}^{2}(X, Y)\right) \leq 1$. Then there exists some $\lambda \in k^{*}$ and $h \in \operatorname{rad}_{A}^{2}(X, Y)$ such that $f=\lambda \psi(g)+h=\psi(\lambda g)+h$. Since $\lambda g \in \operatorname{rad}_{A}(Z, W)$ and $h \in \operatorname{rad}_{A}^{2}(X, Y)$, $f \in \psi\left(\operatorname{rad}_{A}\right)+\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{2}$.

Inductively, we show that $\psi\left(\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n}\right)+\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n+1}=\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$. When $n=1$ it is true. Assume that $\psi\left(\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n}\right)+\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n+1}=\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n}$ for some $n \geq 1$, then $\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n+1}=\left(\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n}\right)\left(\operatorname{rad}_{A}\right)=$ $\left(\psi\left(\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n}\right)+\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n+1}\right)\left(\psi\left(\operatorname{rad}_{A}\right)+\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{2}\right)=\psi\left(\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n+1}\right)+\psi\left(\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n}\right) \operatorname{rad}_{A}^{2}+\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n+1} \psi\left(\operatorname{rad}_{A}\right)+$ $\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n+3}$. Since $\psi\left(\operatorname{rad}_{A}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{rad}_{A}, \psi\left(\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n}$. Then $\psi\left(\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n}\right) \operatorname{rad}_{A}^{2}+\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n+1} \psi\left(\operatorname{rad}_{A}\right)+$ $\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n+3} \subseteq \operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n+2}$. Hence $\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n+1} \subseteq \psi\left(\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n+1}\right)+\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n+2}$. Since $\psi\left(\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n+1}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n+1}$ and $\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n+2} \subseteq \operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n+1}, \psi\left(\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n+1}\right)+\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n+2}=\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n+1}$.

We now show that $\psi$ is full. Since $\psi\left(\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n}\right)+\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n+1}=\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$, by induction we have $\psi\left(\operatorname{rad}_{A}\right)+\operatorname{rad}_{A}^{n+1}=\operatorname{rad}_{A}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Since $A$ is of finite representation type, $\operatorname{rad}_{A}$ is nilpotent. Then $\psi\left(\operatorname{rad}_{A}\right)=\operatorname{rad}_{A}$. For indecomposable $A$-module $X$, $Y$, if $X \not \equiv Y$, then $\operatorname{rad}_{A}(\psi(X), \psi(Y))=\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(\psi(X), \psi(Y))$ and $\psi: \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(X, Y) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(\psi(X), \psi(Y))$ is an epimorphism. If $X \cong Y$, for $f \in \operatorname{End}_{A}(\psi(X)), f=\lambda \cdot i d+g$ for some $\lambda \in k$ and $g \in \operatorname{rad}_{A}(\psi(X), \psi(X))$. Since $\psi\left(\operatorname{rad}_{A}\right)=\operatorname{rad}_{A}, \psi: \operatorname{End}_{A}(X) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{End}_{A}(\psi(X))$ is an epimorphism and $\psi: \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(X, Y) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(\psi(X), \psi(Y))$ is an epimorphism. Then $\psi$ is full.

Since $\psi$ is full, $\psi$ induces an epimorphism


Since $\psi$ induces a quiver automorphism of $\Gamma_{A}, \psi$ is dense and


Hence $\psi$ induces an isomorphism $\underset{X, Y \in \operatorname{ind} A}{\bigoplus} \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(X, Y) \rightarrow \underset{X, Y \in \operatorname{ind} A}{\bigoplus} \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(\psi(X), \psi(Y))$. This implies that $\psi$ is full, faithful and dense. Therefore $\psi$ is an equivalence.

Lemma 3.5 Let $P$ be an indecomposable projective-injective module over an algebra $A$ with $l_{A}(P)>2$. Let $f: \operatorname{rad} P \rightarrow P, g: P \rightarrow P /$ soc $P$ be $A$-module homomorphisms with $\operatorname{im}(g f)=\operatorname{rad} P / \operatorname{soc} P$. Then both $f$ and $g$ are irreducible morphisms.

Proof It is sufficient to show that $f$ is injective and $g$ is surjective. If $g$ is not surjective, since $\operatorname{rad} P / \operatorname{soc} P$ is the unique maximal submodule of $P / \operatorname{soc} P, \operatorname{im}(g) \subseteq \operatorname{rad} P / \operatorname{soc} P$. Since $\operatorname{im}(f) \subseteq \operatorname{rad} P$ by the same reason, $\operatorname{im}(g f) \subseteq g(\operatorname{rad} P) \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(\operatorname{rad} P / \operatorname{soc} P) \varsubsetneqq$ $\operatorname{rad} P / \operatorname{soc} P$, a contradiction. Then $g$ is surjective.

Since $i m(f) \subseteq \operatorname{rad} P$, we have a sequence of morphisms $\operatorname{rad} P \xrightarrow{f^{\prime}} \operatorname{rad} P \xrightarrow{g^{\prime}} \operatorname{rad} P / \operatorname{soc} P$, where $f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}$ are morphisms induced from $f, g$ respectively. Since $l_{A}(P)>2, \operatorname{rad}^{2}(P) \neq$ 0 . Then $\operatorname{soc} P \subseteq \operatorname{rad}^{2}(P)$. Since $g$ is surjective, $g^{\prime}$ is surjective and $\operatorname{ker}\left(g^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{soc} P \subseteq$ $\operatorname{rad}^{2}(P)=\operatorname{rad}(\operatorname{rad} P)$. Then $g^{\prime}$ is an essential epimorphism. Since $\operatorname{im}(g f)=\operatorname{rad} P / \operatorname{soc} \bar{P}$, $g^{\prime} f^{\prime}$ is an epimorphism. Then $f^{\prime}$ is an epimorphism, which implies that $f^{\prime}$ an isomorphism. Therefore $f$ is injective.

Recall from Section 2 that for the representative algebra $\Lambda$ of nonstandard RFS algebras of type ( $D_{3 m}, 1 / 3,1$ ), there is an isomorphism $U: k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J \rightarrow \operatorname{ind} \Lambda$ such that $U$ maps each vertex of $\Gamma_{\Lambda}$ to the corresponding indecomposable module and maps the residue class of each arrow of $\Gamma_{\Lambda}$ to an irreducible morphism. Let $q: k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J \rightarrow k_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda} / I$ and $q: \operatorname{ind} \Lambda \rightarrow$ ind $\Lambda$ be the natural quotient functors, respectively. Since $U$ restricts to an isomorphism between the subcategory of projective vertices of $k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$ and the subcategory of projective modules in ind $\Lambda, U$ induces naturally an isomorphism $V: k_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda} / I \rightarrow \underline{\text { ind }} \Lambda$, which also maps the residue class of each arrow of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ to an irreducible morphism. Let $\phi^{\prime}:$ ind $\Lambda \rightarrow$ ind $\Lambda$ be an isomorphism, which induces an isomorphism $\phi_{0}^{\prime}: k_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda} / I \rightarrow k_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda} / I$. Then we have a diagram with three commutative faces, see Fig. 1.

We often use the following fact without mentioning.
Lemma 3.6 Under the above assumptions, let $\alpha$ be an arrow of $\Gamma_{\Lambda}$ which is also considered as a morphism in $k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$, and let $\sigma(\alpha)$ be a morphism in $k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$ such that $q(\sigma(\alpha))=$ $\phi_{0}^{\prime}(q(\alpha))$. Then $U(\sigma(\alpha))$ is an irreducible morphism in ind $\Lambda$.

Proof To show that $U(\sigma(\alpha))$ is an irreducible morphism in ind $\Lambda$, by Lemma 3.1, it suffices to show that $q U(\sigma(\alpha))$ is an irreducible morphism in ind $\Lambda$. Since $U: k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J \rightarrow$ ind $\Lambda$ maps the residue class of each arrow of $\Gamma_{\Lambda}$ to an irreducible morphism, $U(\alpha)$ is an
irreducible morphism, and $q U(\alpha)$ is an irreducible morphism in ind $\Lambda$. Since $\phi^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism and

$$
q U(\sigma(\alpha))=V q(\sigma(\alpha))=V \phi_{0}^{\prime} q(\alpha)=\phi^{\prime} V q(\alpha)=\phi^{\prime} q U(\alpha),
$$

$q U(\sigma(\alpha))$ is an irreducible morphism in ind $\Lambda$.
It is clear that the similar result as Lemma 3.6 holds for any RFS algebra $A$.
We now prove the main result of this section, which is a corrected form of [2, Proposition 3.3] in nonstandard case.

Proposition 3.7 Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $2, \Lambda$ be the representative algebra of nonstandard RFS algebras of type $\left(D_{3 m}, 1 / 3,1\right)$, where $m \geq 2$. Let $\phi: \underline{\bmod } \Lambda \rightarrow \underline{\bmod } \Lambda$ be a stable equivalence such that $\phi(X) \cong X$ for any $X \in \underline{\bmod } \Lambda$. Then $\phi$ lifts to a Morita equivalence.

Proof Since $\phi: \underline{\bmod } \Lambda \rightarrow \underline{\bmod } \Lambda$ is a stable equivalence, it induces an equivalence $\phi^{\prime}$ : $\underline{\operatorname{ind}} \Lambda \rightarrow$ ind $\Lambda$. Then we have the diagram in Fig. 1 . Note that since the categories $k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$, $\overline{\operatorname{ind}} \Lambda, k_{s} \overline{\Gamma_{\Lambda}} / I$, and ind $\Lambda$ are basic, equivalences between them automatically become isomorphisms. By construction, the left, the right and the bottom faces of the diagram in Fig. 1 are (strictly) commutative.

We will divide our proof into two steps. In Step 1, we define a functor $\psi_{0}^{\prime}: k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J \rightarrow$ $k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$ such that the back face of the diagram in Fig. 1 is commutative; the functor $\psi_{0}^{\prime}$ then induces a functor $\psi^{\prime}: \operatorname{ind} \Lambda \rightarrow \operatorname{ind} \Lambda$ such that the top and the front faces of the diagram in Fig. 1 are also commutative. In Step 2, we show that $\psi^{\prime}: \operatorname{ind} \Lambda \rightarrow \operatorname{ind} \Lambda$ is an isomorphism.

Step 1: To define a functor $\psi_{0}^{\prime}: k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J \rightarrow k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$ which lifts the functor $\phi_{0}^{\prime}:$ $k_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda} / I \rightarrow k_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda} / I$.
We begin to define $\psi_{0}^{\prime}$ on objects as the identity map. For each arrow $\alpha: x \rightarrow y$ of $\Gamma_{\Lambda}$ (by abuse of notation, we also denote by $\alpha$ the corresponding morphism in $k \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ or in $\left.k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J\right)$, we need to choose a morphism $\sigma(\alpha): x \rightarrow y$ of $k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$ such that $q \sigma(\alpha)=$ $\phi_{0}^{\prime} q(\alpha)$ in $k_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda} / I$ and all $\sigma(\alpha)$ are compatible with the modified mesh relations in $k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$. Once this is done, we get the desired functor $\psi_{0}^{\prime}: k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J \rightarrow k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$. We will divide Step 1 into four substeps.
Step 1.1: To choose a section $D_{3 m}^{\prime}$ in ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda} \cong \mathbb{Z} D_{3 m} /\left\langle\tau^{2 m-1}\right\rangle$ (note that ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda} \cong$ $\mathbb{Z} D_{3 m}^{\prime} /\left\langle\tau^{2 m-1}\right\rangle$ since the underlying graphs of $D_{3 m}^{\prime}$ and $D_{3 m}$ are isomorphic)


Fig. 1 The diagram at the beginning of Step 1
and to define inductively (to the left direction) the values of $\sigma$ on all the arrows in ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ except for the arrows $\beta_{i}^{0}(1 \leq i \leq 3 m-2)$ and $\delta^{0}$ in Fig. 2, such that $\sigma\left(m_{x}\right)=0$ in $k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$ for all vertices $x$ in $\Gamma_{\Lambda}$ and not in $\mathcal{C}$ once the values of $\sigma$ on all arrows which belong to $m_{x}$ have been defined.

Recall that we fix $\mathcal{C}=\left\{\operatorname{rad} P_{i} \mid i=1,2, \cdots, m\right\}$ to the position $\{(0,3 m-1),(2 m-2,1)$, $\ldots,(m, 1)\}$ in the stable AR-quiver ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ (cf. Section 2). The arrows in the section $D_{3 m}^{\prime}$


Fig. 2 (part of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ where $\star$ denotes the modules in $\mathcal{C}$, and 0 and $2 m-1$ are identified)
are marked by $\alpha_{1}^{0}, \cdots, \alpha_{3 m-2}^{0}, \gamma^{0}$ from the bottom to the top (see Fig. 2). Note that the irreducible morphisms corresponding to $\alpha_{i}^{0}$ are monomorphisms for $1 \leq i \leq m-2$ or $2 m-1 \leq i \leq 3 m-2$ and are epimorphisms for $m-1 \leq i \leq 2 m-2$, and that the irreducible morphism corresponding to $\gamma^{0}$ is an epimorphism. We just give one example to show that $\alpha_{2 m-1}^{0}$ corresponds to an irreducible monomorphism. Since there exists an almost split sequence $0 \rightarrow U(2 m-1,1) \rightarrow U(2 m-1,2) \rightarrow U(2 m, 1) \rightarrow 0, l_{\Lambda}(U(2 m-1,1))<$ $l_{\Lambda}(U(2 m-1,2))$. Inductively, since there exists almost split sequences $0 \rightarrow U(2 m-1-$ $i, 1+i) \rightarrow U(2 m-1-i, 2+i) \oplus U(2 m-i, i) \rightarrow U(2 m-i, 1+i) \rightarrow 0$ for each $1 \leq i \leq 2 m-2$, we have that $l_{\Lambda}(U(1,2 m-1))<l_{\Lambda}(U(1,2 m))$. Therefore $\alpha_{2 m-1}^{0}$ is a monomorphism. For example, in the case $m=4$, the section $D_{12}^{\prime}$ is marked by the broken arrows (with an indication of the injectivity or the surjectivity) in ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ as follows (Fig. 3).

For each $1 \leq i \leq 3 m-2$, suppose $\alpha_{i}^{0}$ to be the arrow from $x$ to $y$, define $\beta_{i}^{0}$ to be the arrow from $y$ to $\tau^{-1} x$ in $\Gamma_{\Lambda}$. Let $\alpha_{i}^{r}=\tau^{r} \alpha_{i}^{0}, \beta_{i}^{r}=\tau^{r} \beta_{i}^{0}, \gamma^{r}:(1-r, 3 m-2) \rightarrow(1-r, 3 m)$, $\delta^{r}:(1-r, 3 m) \rightarrow(2-r, 3 m-2)$.

For each arrow $\alpha_{i}^{0}(1 \leq i \leq 3 m-2)$ on the section $D_{3 m}^{\prime}$, choose $\sigma\left(\alpha_{i}^{0}\right)$ to be a morphism of $k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$ such that $q\left(\sigma\left(\alpha_{i}^{0}\right)\right)=\phi_{0}^{\prime}\left(q\left(\alpha_{i}^{0}\right)\right)$, choose $\sigma\left(\gamma^{0}\right)$ to be a morphism of $k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$ such that $q\left(\sigma\left(\gamma^{0}\right)\right)=\phi_{0}^{\prime}\left(q\left(\gamma^{0}\right)\right)$, and choose a morphism $\sigma\left(\beta_{1}^{1}\right)$ of $k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$ such that $q\left(\sigma\left(\beta_{1}^{1}\right)\right)=\phi_{0}^{\prime}\left(q\left(\beta_{1}^{1}\right)\right)$. Next, choose temporarily morphisms $\sigma^{\prime}\left(\beta_{2}^{1}\right), \sigma^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}^{1}\right)$ of $k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$ such that $q\left(\sigma^{\prime}\left(\beta_{2}^{1}\right)\right)=\phi_{0}^{\prime}\left(q\left(\beta_{2}^{1}\right)\right), q\left(\sigma^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}^{1}\right)\right)=\phi_{0}^{\prime}\left(q\left(\alpha_{1}^{1}\right)\right)$ (such morphisms $\sigma^{\prime}(-)$ may not be compatible with the modified mesh relations but we will adjust them to get our promised morphisms). By Lemma 3.6, $U\left(\sigma\left(\alpha_{2}^{0}\right)\right), U\left(\sigma^{\prime}\left(\beta_{2}^{1}\right)\right), U\left(\sigma\left(\beta_{1}^{1}\right)\right), U\left(\sigma^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}^{1}\right)\right)$ are irreducible in ind $\Lambda$. On the other hand, we have
$q U\left(\sigma\left(\alpha_{2}^{0}\right) \sigma^{\prime}\left(\beta_{2}^{1}\right)+\sigma\left(\beta_{1}^{1}\right) \sigma^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}^{1}\right)\right)=V q\left(\sigma\left(\alpha_{2}^{0}\right) \sigma^{\prime}\left(\beta_{2}^{1}\right)+\sigma\left(\beta_{1}^{1}\right) \sigma^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}^{1}\right)\right)=V \phi_{0}^{\prime} q\left(\alpha_{2}^{0} \beta_{2}^{1}+\beta_{1}^{1} \alpha_{1}^{1}\right)=0$
in $\underline{\text { ind }} \Lambda$, since $\alpha_{2}^{0} \beta_{2}^{1}+\beta_{1}^{1} \alpha_{1}^{1}$ lies in the modified mesh ideal $J$. By Lemma 3.2(1), there exist morphisms $f, g$ in $\bmod \Lambda$ such that $q U\left(\sigma^{\prime}\left(\beta_{2}^{1}\right)\right)=q(f)$ and $q U\left(\sigma^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}^{1}\right)\right)=q(g)$


Fig. 3 (where $\star$ denotes the modules in $\mathcal{C}$, and 0 and 7 are identified)
in $\underline{\bmod } \Lambda$ and $U\left(\sigma\left(\alpha_{2}^{0}\right)\right) f+U\left(\sigma\left(\beta_{1}^{1}\right)\right) g=0$ in $\bmod \Lambda$. Define $\sigma\left(\beta_{2}^{1}\right):=U^{-1}(f)$ and $\sigma\left(\alpha_{1}^{1}\right):=U^{-1}(g)$ (note that $U$ is an isomorphism). Now we have $q\left(\sigma\left(\alpha_{1}^{1}\right)\right)=\phi_{0}^{\prime}\left(q\left(\alpha_{1}^{1}\right)\right)$ and $q\left(\sigma\left(\beta_{2}^{1}\right)\right)=\phi_{0}^{\prime}\left(q\left(\beta_{2}^{1}\right)\right)$ in $k_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda} / I$, and $\sigma\left(\alpha_{2}^{0}\right) \sigma\left(\beta_{2}^{1}\right)+\sigma\left(\beta_{1}^{1}\right) \sigma\left(\alpha_{1}^{1}\right)=0$ in $k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$. Similarly one can define $\sigma\left(\alpha_{i}^{1}\right), \sigma\left(\beta_{i}^{1}\right)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 3 m-2$ and $\sigma\left(\gamma^{1}\right), \sigma\left(\delta^{1}\right)$ such that $q \sigma=\phi_{0}^{\prime} q$ for all these arrows, and that $\sigma\left(m_{x}\right)=0$ in $k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$ for the vertices $x$ in ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda} \backslash \mathcal{C}$ once the values of $\sigma$ on all arrows that belong to $m_{x}$ have been defined.

By induction, one can define the values of $\sigma$ on $\alpha_{i}^{r}, \beta_{i}^{t}, \gamma^{r}, \delta^{t}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 3 m-2$, $0 \leq r \leq 2 m-2,1 \leq t \leq 2 m-2$ such that $q \sigma=\phi_{0}^{\prime} q$ on all these arrows, and that $\sigma\left(m_{x}\right)=0$ in $k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$ for all vertices $x$ in ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ and not in $\mathcal{C}$ once the values of $\sigma$ on all arrows which belong to $m_{x}$ have been defined.
Step 1.2: To define the values of $\sigma$ on arrows $\beta_{i}^{0}(1 \leq i \leq 3 m-2)$ and $\delta^{0}$ in Fig. 2 such that $\sigma\left(m_{x}\right)=0$ in $k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$ for all vertices $x$ in ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ except for the vertex $(1,3 m-2)$ and the vertices in $\mathcal{C}$.

We start from the middle ones ( $\beta_{m}^{0}$ and $\beta_{m-1}^{0}$ ) to define the values of $\sigma$ on arrows $\beta_{i}^{0}$ ( $1 \leq i \leq 3 m-2$ ). By Lemma 3.2(2), one can choose morphisms $\sigma\left(\beta_{m}^{0}\right)$ and $\sigma\left(\beta_{m-1}^{0}\right)$ such that $q\left(\sigma\left(\beta_{i}^{0}\right)\right)=\phi_{0}^{\prime}\left(q\left(\beta_{i}^{0}\right)\right)$ for $i=m-1, m$ and $\sigma\left(\beta_{m-1}^{0}\right) \sigma\left(\alpha_{m-1}^{0}\right)+\sigma\left(\beta_{m}^{0}\right) \sigma\left(\alpha_{m}^{0}\right)=0$. Note that since the irreducible morphisms corresponding to $\alpha_{i}^{0}$ are monomorphisms for $1 \leq i \leq m-2$ or $2 m-1 \leq i \leq 3 m-3$, and the irreducible morphisms corresponding to $\alpha_{i}^{2 m-2}=\tau^{-1} \alpha_{i}^{0}$ are epimorphisms for $m+1 \leq i \leq 2 m-2$, using Lemma 3.3, the values of $\sigma$ on arrows $\beta_{m-2}^{0}, \cdots, \beta_{1}^{0}$ and arrows $\beta_{m+1}^{0}, \cdots, \beta_{3 m-3}^{0}$ can be defined inductively such that $q \sigma=\phi_{0}^{\prime} q$ on all these arrows, and that $\sigma\left(m_{x}\right)=0$ in $k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$ for all vertices $x$ once the values of $\sigma$ on all arrows which belong to $m_{x}$ have been defined. Finally, using Lemma 3.2(1), the value of $\sigma$ on $\beta_{3 m-2}^{0}, \delta^{0}$ can be defined, which satisfy $q \sigma=\phi_{0}^{\prime} q$ on arrows $\beta_{3 m-2}^{0}, \delta^{0}$, and $\sigma\left(m_{x}\right)=0$ in $k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$ for $x=(1,3 m-1)$ or $(1,3 m)$. Therefore the values of $\sigma$ on all arrows of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ have been defined, which satisfy $q \sigma=\phi_{0}^{\prime} q$ on all arrows of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ and $\sigma\left(m_{x}\right)=0$ in $k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$ for all vertices $x$ in ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ except the vertex $(1,3 m-2)$ and the vertices which correspond to the radical of some indecomposable projective module.
Step 1.3: To adjust the values of $\sigma$ on arrows $\alpha_{3 m-2}^{0}$ and $\gamma^{0}$ in Fig. 2 such that $\sigma\left(m_{x}\right)=0$ in $k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$ for all vertices $x$ in ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ and not in $\mathcal{C}$.
Since there exists an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow U(1,1) \rightarrow U(1,2) \rightarrow U(2,1) \rightarrow 0,
$$

$l_{\Lambda}(U(1,2))>l_{\Lambda}(U(2,1))$. Since there exists exact sequences

$$
0 \rightarrow U(1, i) \rightarrow U(1, i+1) \oplus U(2, i-1) \rightarrow U(2, i) \rightarrow 0
$$

for $2 \leq i \leq 3 m-3$, by induction $l_{\Lambda}(U(1,3 m-2))>l_{\Lambda}(U(2,3 m-3))$. Since there exists an exact sequence
$0 \rightarrow U(1,3 m-2) \rightarrow U(1,3 m-1) \oplus U(1,3 m) \oplus U(2,3 m-3) \rightarrow U(2,3 m-2) \rightarrow 0$,
$l_{\Lambda}(U(1,3 m-1))+l_{\Lambda}(U(1,3 m))>l_{\Lambda}(U(2,3 m-2))$. By Lemma 3.3(1), the values of $\sigma$ on $\alpha_{3 m-2}^{0}$ and $\gamma^{0}$ can be changed such that it satisfies $q\left(\sigma\left(\alpha_{3 m-2}^{0}\right)\right)=\phi_{0}^{\prime}\left(q\left(\alpha_{3 m-2}^{0}\right)\right)$, $q\left(\sigma\left(\gamma^{0}\right)\right)=\phi_{0}^{\prime}\left(q\left(\gamma^{0}\right)\right)$ and $\sigma\left(\beta_{3 m-2}^{0}\right) \sigma\left(\alpha_{3 m-2}^{0}\right)+\sigma\left(\delta^{0}\right) \sigma\left(\gamma^{0}\right)+\sigma\left(\alpha_{3 m-3}^{2 m-2}\right) \sigma\left(\beta_{3 m-3}^{0}\right)=0$. As a result, we get $\sigma\left(m_{x}\right)=0$ for the vertex $x=(1,3 m-2)$. Note that the above adjustment changes the value of $\sigma$ on $\gamma^{0}$ and we still need to show that $\sigma\left(m_{x}\right)=0$ for the vertex $x=(0,3 m)$.

Indeed, we will show that there is no nonzero morphism from $U(0,3 m)$ to $U(1,3 m)$ which factors though a projective module, which implies $U\left(\sigma\left(\gamma^{0}\right)\right) U\left(\sigma\left(\delta^{1}\right)\right)=0$.

Note that $U(1,3 m-2)=\operatorname{rad} P_{1} / \operatorname{soc} P_{1}=$
1
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 $Y \rightarrow 0$. Since $\Lambda$ is symmetric, $D T r=\Omega^{2}$ and $\Omega^{2}(Y)=\Omega(Z)=X$, where $Z=$

Since each nonzero endomorphism of $Y$ is an isomorphism, each nonisomorphism $h: Y \rightarrow$ $Y$ is zero, hence $h$ factors through $\operatorname{rad} P_{1} / \operatorname{soc} P_{1} \rightarrow Y$. By [3, Chapter V, Proposition 2.2], $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow \operatorname{rad} P_{1} / \operatorname{soc} P_{1} \rightarrow Y \rightarrow 0$ is an almost split sequence. Then $U(0,3 m) \cong X$ and $U(1,3 m) \cong Y$. If a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ factors through the projective cover $P_{1}$ of $Y$, let $f=v u, u: X \rightarrow P_{1}, v: P_{1} \rightarrow Y$, we have $u=\lambda \iota, v=\mu \pi$, where $\lambda, \mu \in k, \iota: X \rightarrow P_{1}$ be the inclusion and $\pi: P_{1} \rightarrow Y$ be the projection. Since $\pi \iota=0, f=0$. It implies that each morphism form $X$ to $Y$ which factors through a projective module is equal to zero. Since $q\left(\sigma\left(\delta^{1}\right)\right)=\phi_{0}^{\prime}\left(q\left(\delta^{1}\right)\right)$ and $q\left(\sigma\left(\gamma^{0}\right)\right)=\phi_{0}^{\prime}\left(q\left(\gamma^{0}\right)\right)$, $q\left(\sigma\left(\gamma^{0}\right)\right) q\left(\sigma\left(\delta^{1}\right)\right)=0, U\left(\sigma\left(\gamma^{0}\right)\right) U\left(\sigma\left(\delta^{1}\right)\right): X \rightarrow Y$ factors through a projective module. Hence $U\left(\sigma\left(\gamma^{0}\right)\right) U\left(\sigma\left(\delta^{1}\right)\right)=0$ and $\sigma\left(\gamma^{0}\right) \sigma\left(\delta^{1}\right)=0$.
Step 1.4: To define the values of $\sigma$ on the remaining arrows $\iota_{j}$ (corresponding to $\operatorname{rad} P_{j} \rightarrow$ $P_{j}$ ) and $\kappa_{j}$ (corresponding to $P_{j} \rightarrow P_{j} / \operatorname{soc} P_{j}$ ) for $1 \leq j \leq m$ such that $\sigma\left(m_{x}\right)=0$ in $k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$ for all vertices $x$ in ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$. This step is corresponding to [2, page 435, line 2].
Since $q\left(\sigma\left(\alpha_{3 m-2}^{0} \beta_{3 m-2}^{1}+p\right)\right)=\phi_{0}^{\prime}\left(q\left(\alpha_{3 m-2}^{0} \beta_{3 m-2}^{1}+p\right)\right)=0$, we have

$$
q U\left(\sigma\left(\alpha_{3 m-2}^{0} \beta_{3 m-2}^{1}+p\right)\right)=V q\left(\sigma\left(\alpha_{3 m-2}^{0} \beta_{3 m-2}^{1}+p\right)\right)=0
$$

and $U\left(\sigma\left(\alpha_{3 m-2}^{0} \beta_{3 m-2}^{1}+p\right)\right): \operatorname{rad} P_{1} \rightarrow P_{1} / \operatorname{soc} P_{1}$ factors through a projective module. Since $P_{1}$ is the projective cover of $P_{1} / \operatorname{soc} P_{1}, U\left(\sigma\left(\alpha_{3 m-2}^{0} \beta_{3 m-2}^{1}+p\right)\right): \operatorname{rad} P_{1} \rightarrow P_{1} / \operatorname{soc} P_{1}$ factors through $P_{1}$. Let $U\left(\sigma\left(\alpha_{3 m-2}^{0} \beta_{3 m-2}^{1}+p\right)\right)+v u=0$, where $u: \operatorname{rad} P_{1} \rightarrow P_{1}$, $v: P_{1} \rightarrow P_{1} / \operatorname{soc} P_{1}$. Define $\sigma\left(\iota_{1}\right), \sigma\left(\kappa_{1}\right)$ to be morphisms in $k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$ by $\sigma\left(\iota_{1}\right):=U^{-1}(u)$, $\sigma\left(\kappa_{1}\right):=U^{-1}(v)$. Then $U\left(\sigma\left(m_{(0,3 m-1)}+p\right)\right)=0$ and $\sigma\left(m_{(0,3 m-1)}+p\right)=0$. Similarly one can define $\sigma\left(\iota_{i}\right), \sigma\left(\kappa_{i}\right)$ for all $2 \leq i \leq m$ such that $\sigma\left(m_{x}\right)=0$ in $k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$ for each vertex $x$ which corresponds to the radical of some $P_{i}$ for $2 \leq i \leq m$.

As a summary, since $q \sigma=\phi_{0}^{\prime} q$ on all arrows of $\Gamma_{\Lambda}$ and $\sigma\left(m_{x}\right)=0$ in $k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$ for all vertex $x$ in ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$, we have lifted the functor $\phi_{0}^{\prime}: k_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda} / I \rightarrow k_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda} / I$ to a functor $\psi_{0}^{\prime}: k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J \rightarrow k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$ such that $\psi_{0}^{\prime}(x)=x$ for each vertex $x$ in $k \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ and $\psi_{0}^{\prime}(\alpha)=\sigma(\alpha)$ for each arrow $\alpha$ of $\Gamma_{\Lambda}$, which again induces a functor $\psi^{\prime}: \operatorname{ind} \Lambda \rightarrow \operatorname{ind} \Lambda$ making all the faces of the diagram commutative (Fig. 4).

Step 2: To show that $\psi^{\prime}: \operatorname{ind} \Lambda \rightarrow \operatorname{ind} \Lambda$ is an isomorphism.
Let $\psi: \bmod \Lambda \rightarrow \bmod \Lambda$ be a functor induced by $\psi^{\prime}: \operatorname{ind} \Lambda \rightarrow \operatorname{ind} \Lambda$. To show that $\psi^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism, it suffices to show that $\psi$ is an equivalence. Since $\psi(X) \cong X$ for all $\Lambda$-module $X, \psi$ satisfies condition (3) and the former half of the condition (2) of Lemma 3.4. By Lemma 3.4, it suffices to show that $\psi\left(\operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda}$ and $\psi$ preserves irreducible morphisms between indecomposable $\Lambda$-modules. Since the radical of $k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$ is generated by arrows of $\Gamma_{\Lambda}$ and $\psi_{0}^{\prime}(x)=x$ for each vertex $x$ of $\Gamma_{\Lambda}, \psi_{0}^{\prime}$ sends the morphisms in the radical of $k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$ to the morphisms in the radical of $k \Gamma_{\Lambda} / J$. Hence $\psi\left(\operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda}$.

Next we show that $\psi$ preserves irreducible morphisms between indecomposable $\Lambda$ modules. Since the diagram

commutes up to natural isomorphisms and $\phi$ is an equivalence, $\psi$ maps irreducible morphism between indecomposable nonprojective modules to irreducible morphism between indecomposable nonprojective modules (cf. Lemma 3.1). Then it suffices to show that $\psi$ preserves irreducible morphisms $\operatorname{rad} P \rightarrow P$ and $P \rightarrow P / \operatorname{soc} P$, where $P$ is an indecomposable projective module. Let $P$ be an indecomposable projective module and

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{rad} P \xrightarrow{\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)^{T}} P \oplus \operatorname{rad} P / \operatorname{soc} P \xrightarrow{\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)} P / \operatorname{soc} P \rightarrow 0
$$

be an almost split sequence. Since $\psi$ preserves irreducible morphisms between indecomposable nonprojective modules, $\psi\left(f_{2}\right), \psi\left(g_{2}\right)$ are irreducible. Then $\psi\left(f_{2}\right)$ is an epimorphism and $\psi\left(g_{2}\right)$ is a monomorphism. Since $\operatorname{rad} P / \operatorname{soc} P$ is the unique maximal submodule of $P / \operatorname{soc} P, \operatorname{im}\left(\psi\left(g_{2}\right)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{rad} P / \operatorname{soc} P$. Since $l_{\Lambda}\left(\operatorname{im}\left(\psi\left(g_{2}\right)\right)\right)=l_{\Lambda}(\operatorname{rad} P / \operatorname{soc} P)$, $\operatorname{im}\left(\psi\left(g_{2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{rad} P / \operatorname{soc} P$. Since $\psi\left(f_{2}\right)$ is an epimorphism, $\operatorname{im}\left(\psi\left(g_{2} f_{2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{rad} P / \operatorname{soc} P$.


Fig. 4 The diagram at the ending of Step 1

Since $\psi\left(g_{1} f_{1}\right)=-\psi\left(g_{2} f_{2}\right)$, we have $\operatorname{im}\left(\psi\left(g_{1}\right) \psi\left(f_{1}\right)\right)=\operatorname{rad} P / \operatorname{soc} P$. By Lemma 3.5, $\psi\left(f_{1}\right), \psi\left(g_{1}\right)$ are irreducible morphisms. Therefore $\psi$ preserves irreducible morphisms between indecomposable $\Lambda$-modules. By Lemma 3.4, $\psi$ is an equivalence.

Remark 3.8 Notice that since the modified mesh relation $m_{x}$ for $x=(0,3 m-1)$ starts at a point which belongs to the configuration $\mathcal{C}$, it allows us to adjust the modified mesh relation using the projective module (see Step 1.4 above), which is the same as we do for the usual mesh relations. Therefore, the method in Proposition 3.7 applies for the standard RFS algebra which has the isomorphic AR-quiver as $\Lambda$. A similar method applies for each type of standard RFS algebras, see Appendix A for a detailed explanation.

## 4 Proof of the Main Result

Combining Proposition 3.7 and some ideas from [2, 6, 8] we prove our main result Theorem 1.4 in this section.

Definition 4.1 ([2, Definition 1]) Let $A$ be an algebra. The stable Picard group $\operatorname{StPic}(A)$ of $A$ is the group formed by natural isomorphism classes of stable auto-equivalences of $A$. Let $\operatorname{Pic}^{\prime}(A)$ be the image of canonical homomorphism $\operatorname{Pic}(A) \rightarrow \operatorname{StPic}(A)$, where $\operatorname{Pic}(A)$ denotes the Picard group formed by natural isomorphism classes of Morita auto-equivalences of $A$.

The following result was proved in [6] using the mutation theory of simple-minded systems. For the completeness we give its proof in Appendix B.

Proposition 4.2 ([6, Lemma 4.10]) Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $2, \Lambda$ be the representative algebra of nonstandard RFS algebras of type $\left(D_{3 m}, 1 / 3,1\right)$, where $m \geq 2$. Then there exists a standard derived auto-equivalence of $\Lambda$ which induces a stable auto-equivalence $H$ of $\Lambda$ such that $H$ induces the automorphism of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ defined by the swap of the two high vertices.

Remark 4.3 Using the fact that ind $\Lambda$ is equivalent to $k_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda} / I$, one can give a concrete construction of the stable auto-equivalence $H$ up to a Morita equivalence in viewing of Proposition 3.7. For each $2 \leq i \leq 3 m-2$, let $q_{i-1}$ be the path $(0, i) \rightarrow(1, i-1) \rightarrow$ $(1, i) \rightarrow(2, i-1) \rightarrow(2, i) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow(2 m-1, i-1) \rightarrow(2 m-1, i)$. For each $0 \leq$ $i \leq 2 m-2$, let $l_{i}$ be the path $(i, 3 m-2) \rightarrow(i, 3 m) \rightarrow(i+1,3 m-2), h_{i}$ be the path $(i, 3 m-2) \rightarrow(i, 3 m-1) \rightarrow(i+1,3 m-2), p_{i}$ be the path $(i, 3 m-2) \rightarrow$ $(i+1,3 m-3) \rightarrow(i+1,3 m-2)$. Define a functor $H^{\prime}: k_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda} \rightarrow k_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ by $H^{\prime}(x)=\eta(x)$ for each vertex $x$ of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$, where $\eta$ is the automorphism on ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ by the swap of the two high vertices (see Fig. 5), and the definition of $H^{\prime}$ on arrows are given as follows: $H^{\prime}(\alpha):=$ $\gamma+l_{2 m-1} h_{2 m-2} \ldots l_{3} h_{2} l_{1} \gamma, H^{\prime}(\gamma):=\alpha+h_{2 m-1} l_{2 m-2} \ldots h_{3} l_{2} h_{1} \alpha, H^{\prime}\left(\delta_{i}\right):=\delta_{i}+\delta_{i} q_{i}$, where $1 \leq i \leq 3 m-3, H^{\prime}(\zeta):=\eta(\zeta)$ for other arrows $\zeta$ in $_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$. Then it is straightforward to verify that $H^{\prime}$ preserves all the modified mesh relations and therefore induces a functor $H: k_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda} / I \rightarrow k_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda} / I$. Moreover, $H$ preserves the radical rad(, ) and irreducible morphisms, it follows that $H$ is an equivalence (cf. Lemma 3.4).


Fig. 5 The diagram for Remark 4.3

The following result should be compared with [2, Theorem 3.1] (or Corollary A.2) for standard RFS algebras.

Proposition 4.4 Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $2, \Lambda$ be the representative algebra of nonstandard RFS algebras of type $\left(D_{3 m}, 1 / 3,1\right)$, where $m \geq 2$. For any stable auto-equivalence $\phi$ of $\Lambda$, we denote by $[\phi]$ its natural isomorphism class. Then $\operatorname{StPic}(\Lambda)=\left(\operatorname{Pic}^{\prime}(\Lambda) \cdot\left\langle\left[\Omega_{\Lambda}\right]\right\rangle\right) \cup\left(\operatorname{Pic}^{\prime}(\Lambda) \cdot\left\langle\left[\Omega_{\Lambda}\right]\right\rangle\right)[H]$, where $\Omega_{\Lambda}$ is the loop functor, and $H$ is a stable auto-equivalence of $\Lambda$ as defined in Proposition 4.2, which satisfies $[H]^{2} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{\prime}(\Lambda)$, and $\left\langle\left[\Omega_{\Lambda}\right]\right\rangle$ denotes the cyclic subgroup of $\operatorname{StPic}(\Lambda)$ generated by $\left[\Omega_{\Lambda}\right]$.

Proof Note that each stable auto-equivalence $\phi$ of $\Lambda$ induces an automorphism $f$ of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ as a translation quiver (cf. [3, Chapter X, Corollary 1.9]). According to [12] (see also [2, Proposition 2.1]), $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{Z} D_{3 m}\right)=\langle\tau\rangle \times\left\langle\eta^{\prime}\right\rangle$, where $\eta^{\prime}$ is the automorphism of $\mathbb{Z} D_{3 m}$ which is induced from the automorphism of the quiver $D_{3 m}$ defined by the swap of the two high vertices. Then $\operatorname{Aut}\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}\right)=\langle\tau\rangle \times\langle\eta\rangle$, where $\eta$ is the automorphism of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ induced from $\eta^{\prime}$.

Let $f=\tau^{r} \eta^{i} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}\right)$ (where $i=0$ or 1 ) be induced from a stable auto-equivalence $\phi$ of $\Lambda$. Then the automorphism of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ induced by the stable auto-equivalence $\tau_{\Lambda}^{-r} \phi H^{-i}$ acts as the identity map of the set of vertices, where $H^{-}$is a quasi-inverse of $H$. By Proposition 3.7, $\tau_{\Lambda}^{-r} \phi H^{-i}$ lifts to a Morita equivalence. Then $\left[\tau_{\Lambda}^{-r} \phi H^{-i}\right] \in \operatorname{Pic}^{\prime}(\Lambda)$. Since $\left[\tau_{\Lambda}\right]=\left[\Omega_{\Lambda}^{2}\right],\left[\tau_{\Lambda}\right] \in\left\langle\left[\Omega_{\Lambda}\right]\right\rangle$. Therefore $[\phi]=\left[\tau_{\Lambda}\right]^{r}\left[\tau_{\Lambda}^{-r} \phi H^{-i}\right][H]^{i} \in\left(\operatorname{Pic}^{\prime}(\Lambda) \cdot\left\langle\left[\Omega_{\Lambda}\right]\right\rangle\right) \cup$ $\left(\operatorname{Pic}^{\prime}(\Lambda) \cdot\left\langle\left[\Omega_{\Lambda}\right]\right\rangle\right)[H]$. The fact that $[H]^{2} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{\prime}(\Lambda)$ also follows from Proposition 3.7.

Remark 4.5 Sometimes the two cosets $\operatorname{Pic}^{\prime}(\Lambda) \cdot\left\langle\left[\Omega_{\Lambda}\right]\right\rangle$ and $\left(\operatorname{Pic}^{\prime}(\Lambda) \cdot\left\langle\left[\Omega_{\Lambda}\right]\right\rangle\right)[H]$ of the subgroup $\operatorname{Pic}^{\prime}(\Lambda) \cdot\left\langle\left[\Omega_{\Lambda}\right]\right\rangle$ of the stable $\operatorname{Picard} \operatorname{group} \operatorname{StPic}(\Lambda)$ are the same. For example, in the case $m=3,\left[\Omega_{\Lambda}\right] \in \operatorname{Pic}^{\prime}(\Lambda) \cdot\left[\tau_{\Lambda}\right]^{3}[H]$ and therefore $[H] \in \operatorname{Pic}^{\prime}(\Lambda) \cdot\left\langle\left[\Omega_{\Lambda}\right]\right\rangle$.

Proposition 4.6 Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2, $\Lambda$ be the representative algebra of nonstandard RFS algebras of type ( $D_{3 m}, 1 / 3,1$ ), where $m \geq 2$. Then each stable auto-equivalence of $\Lambda$ lifts to a standard derived equivalence.

## Proof It follows from Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4 By Theorem 1.2, both $A$ and $B$ are derived equivalent to the same nonstandard RFS representative algebra $\Lambda$. Then there exists stable equivalences $\xi$ : $\underline{\bmod } A \rightarrow \underline{\bmod } \Lambda$ and $\eta: \underline{\bmod } B \rightarrow \underline{\bmod } \Lambda$ such that $\xi, \eta$ lift to standard derived equivalences. By Proposition 4.6, $\eta \phi \xi^{-1}: \underline{\bmod \Lambda} \rightarrow \underline{\bmod \Lambda} \Lambda$ lifts to a standard derived equivalence. Then $\phi=\eta^{-1}\left(\eta \phi \xi^{-1}\right) \xi$ lifts to a standard derived equivalence.

## Appendix A

Throughout this appendix we fix the enumeration on the vertices of $A_{n}, D_{n}, E_{n}$ as follow:


The main purpose of this appendix is to prove the following result, which is a corrected form of [2, Proposition 3.3]. We are grateful to the referee who suggests to add this content.

Proposition A. 1 Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field, and let A be some properly selected representative algebra of standard RFS algebras. Let $\phi: \underline{\bmod A \rightarrow \underline{\bmod A} A \text { be a stable }}$ equivalence such that $\phi(X) \cong X$ for any $X \in \underline{\bmod A}$. Then $\phi$ lifts to a Morita equivalence.

Using Proposition A.1, we can reprove [2, Theorem 3.1], whose original proof uses [2, Proposition 3.3], by filling the gap in [2, page 435, line 1]. Note that the main result [2, Main Theorem] follows from [2, Theorem 3.1]. By the same reason as in Remark 1.3(1), here we also need to assume that the considered algebra has Loewy length greater than 2.

Corollary A. 2 ([2, Theorem 3.1]) Let A be the representative algebra of representationfinite standard RFS algebras in Proposition A.1 with Loewy length greater than 2. If A is not of type $\left(D_{3 m}, s / 3,1\right)$ with $m \geq 2$ and $3 \nmid s \geq 1$, then

$$
\operatorname{StPic}(A)=\operatorname{Pic}^{\prime}(A) \cdot\left\langle\left[\Omega_{A}\right]\right\rangle .
$$

If $A$ is of type $\left(D_{3 m}, s / 3,1\right)$ with $m \geq 2$ and $3 \nmid s \geq 1$, then

$$
\operatorname{StPic}(A)=\left(\operatorname{Pic}^{\prime}(A) \cdot\left\langle\left[\Omega_{A}\right]\right\rangle\right) \cup\left(\operatorname{Pic}^{\prime}(A) \cdot\left\langle\left[\Omega_{A}\right]\right\rangle\right)[H],
$$

where $H$ is a stable auto-equivalence of $A$ induced from the automorphism of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ defined by the swap of the two high vertices, which satisfies $[H]^{2} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{\prime}(A)$.

Proof Note that the representative algebra $A$ has Loewy length 2 if and only if $A$ has type $\operatorname{typ}(A)=\left(A_{1}, s, 1\right)$ with $s \geq 1$, so we exclude this type in the following proof. For a given $A$, let $\mathcal{C}$ be the set of vertices in the stable AR-quiver ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ which correspond to radicals of indecomposable projective $A$-modules. According to the proof of Proposition A.1, we list the positions of $\mathcal{C}$ in ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ for each representative algebra $A$ as follows.

- If $\operatorname{typ}(A)=\left(A_{n}, s / n, 1\right)$ with $s, n \geq 1$, then $\mathcal{C}=\{(i, n) \mid 0 \leq i \leq s-1\}$.
- If $\operatorname{typ}(A)=\left(A_{2 p+1}, s, 2\right)$ with $s, p \geq 1$, then $\mathcal{C}=\{((2 p+1) i+j, 1),((2 p+1) i+$ $p, p+1)$,
$((2 p+1) i+j+p+1,2 p+1) \mid 0 \leq i \leq s-1,0 \leq j \leq p-1\}$.
- If $\operatorname{typ}(A)=\left(D_{n}, s, 1\right)$ or $\operatorname{typ}(A)=\left(D_{n}, s, 2\right)$ with $n \geq 4$ and $s \geq 1$, then $\mathcal{C}=$ $\{((2 n-3) i, n-1),((2 n-3) i, n),((2 n-3) i+n-1, n-2),((2 n-3) i+j, 1), \mid 0 \leq$ $i \leq s-1,1 \leq j \leq n-3\}$.
- If $\operatorname{typ}(A)=\left(D_{4}, s, 3\right)$ with $s \geq 1$, then $\mathcal{C}=\{(5 i, 3),(5 i, 4),(5 i+3,2),(5 i+1,1) \mid$ $0 \leq i \leq s-1\}$.
- If $\operatorname{typ}(A)=\left(D_{3 m}, s / 3,1\right)$ with $m \geq 2$ and $3 \nmid s \geq 1$, then $\mathcal{C}=\{((2 m-1) i, 3 m-$ 1), $((2 m-1) i+j, 1) \mid 0 \leq i \leq s-1, m \leq j \leq 2 m-2\}$.
- If $\operatorname{typ}(A)=\left(E_{n}, s, 1\right)$ or $\operatorname{typ}(A)=\left(E_{6}, s, 2\right)$ with $6 \leq n \leq 8$ and $s \geq 1$, then $\mathcal{C}=\left\{\left(m_{n} \cdot i+j, 1\right),\left(m_{n} \cdot i-1, n\right),\left(m_{n} \cdot i-2, n-1\right),\left(m_{n} \cdot i-1, n-1\right),\left(m_{n} \cdot i+\left(m_{n}-\right.\right.\right.$ 1) $/ 2, n-3) \mid 0 \leq i \leq s-1,0 \leq j \leq n-5\}$, where $m_{6}=11, m_{7}=17, m_{8}=29$.

Suppose that $\operatorname{typ}(A) \notin\left\{\left(A_{n}, s / n, 1\right),\left(D_{3 m}, r / 3,1\right) \mid n, r, s \geq 1, m \geq 2,3 \nmid r\right\}$. Combining a result in [12] (see also [2, Proposition 2.1]), we can directly prove that each automorphism of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ (as a translation quiver) is of the form $\tau^{a} \rho$, where $\rho$ is an automorphism of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ such that $\mathcal{C}$ is stable under $\rho$. Let $\phi: \underline{\bmod } A \rightarrow \underline{\bmod } A$ be a stable equivalence which induces an automorphism $f$ of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ (as a translation quiver). Assume $f=\tau^{a} \rho$ with $\mathcal{C}$ stable under $\rho$, then $\rho$ extends to an automorphism of $\Gamma_{A}$, which induces an auto-equivalence of $k\left(\Gamma_{A}\right)$. Thus, there exists a Morita equivalence $\Psi: \bmod A \rightarrow \bmod A$ which induces a stable equivalence $\psi: \underline{\bmod } A \rightarrow \underline{\bmod } A$, such that the automorphism of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ induced by $\psi$ is $\rho$. Since $\phi\left(\tau_{A}^{a} \psi\right)^{-1}$ induces identity automorphism of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$, by Proposition A.1, it lifts to a Morita equivalence. Since $[\phi]=\left[\phi\left(\tau_{A}^{a} \psi\right)^{-1}\right]\left[\tau_{A}\right]^{a}[\psi]$ with $\left[\phi\left(\tau_{A}^{a} \psi\right)^{-1}\right],[\psi] \in \operatorname{Pic}^{\prime}(A)$ and $\left[\tau_{A}\right] \in \operatorname{Pic}^{\prime}(A) \cdot\left\langle\left[\Omega_{A}\right]\right\rangle,[\phi] \in \operatorname{Pic}^{\prime}(A) \cdot\left\langle\left[\Omega_{A}\right]\right\rangle$.

Suppose that $\operatorname{typ}(A)=\left(A_{n}, s / n, 1\right)$ with $n>1, s \geq 1$. Then each automorphism of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ (as a translation quiver) is of the form $\tau^{a}$ or $\tau^{a} \rho$, where $\rho$ is given by $(p, q) \mapsto$ ( $p+q-1, n+1-q$ ). It can be shown that the automorphism of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ induced by $\Omega_{A}$ is $\tau^{b} \rho$ for some $b$. Let $\phi: \underline{\bmod } A \rightarrow \underline{\bmod } A$ be a stable equivalence which induces an automorphism $f$ of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ (as a translation quiver). If $f=\tau^{a}$ for some $a$, by Proposition A.1, $\phi \tau_{A}^{-a}$ lifts to a Morita equivalence. Then $[\phi]=\left[\phi \tau_{A}^{-a}\right]\left[\tau_{A}\right]^{a} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{\prime}(A) \cdot\left\langle\left[\Omega_{A}\right]\right\rangle$. If $f=\tau^{a} \rho$ for some $a$, by Proposition A.1, $\phi \Omega_{A}^{-1} \tau_{A}^{b-a}$ lifts to a Morita equivalence. Then $[\phi]=\left[\phi \Omega_{A}^{-1} \tau_{A}^{b-a}\right]\left[\tau_{A}\right]^{a-b}\left[\Omega_{A}\right] \in \operatorname{Pic}^{\prime}(A) \cdot\left\langle\left[\Omega_{A}\right]\right\rangle$.

Suppose that $\operatorname{typ}(A)=\left(D_{3 m}, s / 3,1\right)$ with $m \geq 2$ and $3 \nmid s \geq 1$. Then each automorphism of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ (as a translation quiver) is of the form $\tau^{a}$ or $\tau^{a} \eta$, where $\eta$ is the automorphism of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ defined by the swap of the two high vertices. By the same method, it can be shown that for each stable auto-equivalence $\phi$ of $A,[\phi] \in \operatorname{Pic}^{\prime}(A) \cdot\left\langle\left[\Omega_{A}\right]\right\rangle$ or $[\phi] \in\left(\operatorname{Pic}^{\prime}(A) \cdot\left\langle\left[\Omega_{A}\right]\right\rangle\right)[H]$. The fact that $[H]^{2} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{\prime}(A)$ also follows from Proposition A.1.

Proof of Proposition A. 1 We now turn to the proof of Proposition A.1. For each type ( $Q, f, t$ ) of standard RFS algebras, Asashiba gave a representative algebra $\Lambda(Q, f, t)$ inside its derived equivalence class, all the representative algebras are listed in [2, Appendix 2]. Unless otherwise stated, we will choose the representative algebra $A$ in Proposition A. 1 as $\Lambda(Q, f, t)$.

Since $A$ is standard, there is a well-behaved isomorphism $U: k\left(\Gamma_{A}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{ind} A$, that is, it maps each vertex of $\Gamma_{A}$ to the corresponding indecomposable module and maps the residue class of each arrow of $\Gamma_{A}$ to an irreducible morphism; moreover, $U$ induces a well-behaved isomorphism $V: k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}\right) \simeq \underline{\operatorname{ind}} A$. Therefore, we can adopt the method in Proof of Proposition 3.7 to give a proof of Proposition A.1. By analysing the proof of Proposition 3.7, we know that if we can construct a functor $\Phi: k\left(\Gamma_{A}\right) \rightarrow k\left(\Gamma_{A}\right)$ from a given isomorphism functor $\phi^{\prime}: k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}\right) \rightarrow k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}\right)$ with $\phi^{\prime}(x)=x$ for all $x \in{ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$, then $\Phi$ becomes automatically an isomorphism functor under our assumption. Thus we can reduce the proof of Proposition A. 1 to the construction of a functor $\Phi: k\left(\Gamma_{A}\right) \rightarrow k\left(\Gamma_{A}\right)$ lifting $\phi^{\prime}$.

We shall give the construction in each type using the similar idea as Step 1 in the proof of Proposition 3.7. Recall that Step 1 in the proof of Proposition 3.7 divides into four substeps (from Step 1.1 to Step 1.4), however, we do not need Step 1.3 in most cases except for the type ( $D_{3 m}, s / 3,1$ ) with $m \geq 2$ and $3 \nmid s \geq 1$.

In the following, $\mathcal{C}$ is always assumed to be the set of vertices in ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ which correspond to radicals of indecomposable projective $A$-modules and $\star$ denotes the positions of $\mathcal{C}$.

1. Type $\left(A_{n}, s / n, 1\right)$ with $s, n \geq 1$.

Let $A=\Lambda\left(A_{n}, s / n, 1\right)$ be the self-injective Nakayama algebra given by the quiver below with relations $\alpha_{i+n} \cdots \alpha_{i+1} \alpha_{i}=0$ for all $i \in\{1,2, \cdots, s\}=\mathbb{Z} /\langle s\rangle$.


Then ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A} \cong \mathbb{Z} A_{n} /\left\langle\tau^{s}\right\rangle$ is of the form:


By the position of $\mathcal{C}$ in ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$, one can show that in ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ the upward arrows correspond to irreducible monomorphisms and the downward arrows correspond to irreducible epimorphisms. Choose a section $A_{n}^{\prime}$ in $_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ as follow:


Let $\phi^{\prime}: k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}\right) \rightarrow k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}\right)$ be an isomorphism which maps each object in $k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}\right)$ to itself. To lift $\phi^{\prime}: k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}\right) \rightarrow k\left(\Gamma_{A}\right)$ to a functor $\Phi: k\left(\Gamma_{A}\right) \rightarrow k\left(\Gamma_{A}\right)$, one can first choose morphisms $\Phi\left(\alpha_{1}^{0}\right), \cdots, \Phi\left(\alpha_{n-1}^{0}\right)$ which lift $\phi^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}^{0}\right), \cdots, \phi^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{n-1}^{0}\right)$ respectively. Using Lemma 3.2(2) (and similar result as Lemma 3.6 for $A$ ), one can lift arrows in ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ from the section $A_{n}^{\prime}$ to the right. Now assume that the values of $\Phi$ on all arrows of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ except $\beta_{1}^{s-1}, \cdots, \beta_{n-1}^{s-1}$ have been defined, which satisfy $\Phi\left(m_{x}\right)=0$ for each vertex $x$ such that $x$ is not in $\mathcal{C}$ and such that the values of $\Phi$ on all arrows in $m_{x}$ have been defined (which corresponds to Step 1.1 in Proof of Proposition 3.7). Since $\alpha_{1}^{s-1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}^{s-1}$ correspond to irreducible monomorphisms, by Lemma 3.3(2), one can define $\Phi\left(\beta_{1}^{s-1}\right), \cdots, \Phi\left(\beta_{n-1}^{s-1}\right)$ from the bottom to the top such that $\Phi\left(m_{x}\right)=0$ for each vertex $x$ which is not in $\mathcal{C}$ (which corresponds to Step 1.2 in Proof of Proposition 3.7). Finally, we define the values of $\Phi$ on the arrows of $\Gamma_{A}$ which link to projective vertices (which corresponds to Step 1.4 in Proof of Proposition 3.7).
2. Type $\left(A_{2 p+1}, s, 2\right)$ with $s, p \geq 1$.

Let $A=\Lambda\left(A_{2 p+1}, s, 2\right)$ be the canonical Möbius algebra given by the quiver below with relations
(1) $\alpha_{p}^{i} \cdots \alpha_{0}^{i}=\beta_{p}^{i} \cdots \beta_{0}^{i}$ for all $i \in\{0, \cdots, s-1\}$;
(2) $\beta_{0}^{i+1} \alpha_{p}^{i}=\alpha_{0}^{i+1} \beta_{p}^{i}=0$ for all $i \in\{0, \cdots, s-2\}$ and $\alpha_{0}^{0} \alpha_{p}^{s-1}=\beta_{0}^{0} \beta_{p}^{s-1}=0$;
(3) Paths of length $p+2$ are equal to 0 .


Let $\eta$ be the automorphism of $\mathbb{Z} A_{2 p+1}$ given by $(m, n) \mapsto(m+n-1-p, 2 p+2-n)$. Then part of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A} \cong \mathbb{Z} A_{2 p+1} /\left\langle\tau^{(2 p+1) s} \eta\right\rangle$ is of the form:

where the set $\mathcal{C}$ is stable under $\tau^{2 p+1}$. Choose a section $A_{2 p+1}^{\prime}$ in ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ as follow:


By the position of $\mathcal{C}$ in ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$, one can show that each arrow in $A_{2 p+1}^{\prime}$ corresponds to an irreducible monomorphism. Let $\phi^{\prime}: k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}\right) \rightarrow k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}\right)$ be an isomorphism which maps each object in $k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}\right)$ to itself. To lift $\phi^{\prime}: k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}\right) \rightarrow k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}\right)$ to a functor $\Phi: k\left(\Gamma_{A}\right) \rightarrow$ $k\left(\Gamma_{A}\right)$, one can first choose morphisms $\Phi\left(\alpha_{1}\right), \cdots, \Phi\left(\alpha_{2 p}\right)$ which lift $\phi^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}\right), \cdots, \phi^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{2 p}\right)$ respectively. Using Lemma 3.2(1), one can lift arrows in ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ from the section $A_{2 p+1}^{\prime}$ to the left. Now assume that the values of $\Phi$ on all arrows of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ except $\beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{2 p}$ have been defined, which satisfy $\Phi\left(m_{x}\right)=0$ for each vertex $x$ such that $x$ is not in $\mathcal{C}$ and such that the values of $\Phi$ on all arrows in $m_{x}$ have been defined. Since $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{2 p}$ correspond to irreducible monomorphisms, by Lemma 3.3(2), one can define $\Phi\left(\beta_{1}\right), \cdots, \Phi\left(\beta_{2 p}\right)$ from both sides to the middle such that $\Phi\left(m_{x}\right)=0$ for each vertex $x$ which is not in $\mathcal{C}$. Finally, we define the values of $\Phi$ on the arrows of $\Gamma_{A}$ which link to projective vertices.
3. Type $\left(D_{n}, s, 1\right)$ with $n \geq 4, s \geq 1$.

The algebra $B=\Lambda\left(D_{n}, s, 1\right)$ is given by the quiver below with relations
(1) $\alpha_{1}^{i} \alpha_{2}^{i} \cdots \alpha_{n-2}^{i}=\beta_{1}^{i} \beta_{0}^{i}=\gamma_{1}^{i} \gamma_{0}^{i}$ for all $i \in\{0, \cdots, s-1\}$;
(2) For all $i \in\{0, \cdots, s-1\}=\mathbb{Z} /\langle s\rangle, \beta_{0}^{i+1} \alpha_{1}^{i}=\gamma_{0}^{i+1} \alpha_{1}^{i}=\alpha_{n-2}^{i+1} \beta_{1}^{i}=\gamma_{0}^{i+1} \beta_{1}^{i}=$ $\alpha_{n-2}^{i+1} \gamma_{1}^{i}=\beta_{0}^{i+1} \gamma_{1}^{i}=0$;
(3) For all $i \in\{0, \cdots, s-1\}=\mathbb{Z} /\langle s\rangle$ and for all $j \in\{1, \cdots, n-2\}=\mathbb{Z} /\langle n-2\rangle$, $\alpha_{j-n+2}^{i+1} \cdots \alpha_{j}^{i}=0, \beta_{0}^{i+1} \beta_{1}^{i} \beta_{0}^{i}=\beta_{1}^{i+1} \beta_{0}^{i+1} \beta_{1}^{i}=0, \gamma_{0}^{i+1} \gamma_{1}^{i} \gamma_{0}^{i}=\gamma_{1}^{i+1} \gamma_{0}^{i+1} \gamma_{1}^{i}=0$.


When $s=1$, we take $A=B$. Then ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A} \cong \mathbb{Z} D_{n} /\left\langle\tau^{2 n-3}\right\rangle$ and we may set

$$
\mathcal{C}=\{(0, n-1),(0, n),(n-1, n-2),(1,1),(2,1), \cdots,(n-3,1)\},
$$

where part of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A} \cong \mathbb{Z} D_{n} /\left\langle\tau^{2 n-3}\right\rangle$ is of the form:


$$
n-1
$$

$$
2 n-4
$$

$$
2 n-3
$$

By the position of $\mathcal{C}$ in ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$, one can show that the arrows $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \cdots, \beta_{n-3}$ correspond to irreducible epimorphisms. Choose a section $D_{n}^{\prime}$ in ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ as follow:


Let $\phi^{\prime}: k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}\right) \rightarrow k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}\right)$ be an isomorphism which maps each object in $k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}\right)$ to itself. To lift $\phi^{\prime}: k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}\right) \rightarrow k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}\right)$ to a functor $\Phi: k\left(\Gamma_{A}\right) \rightarrow k\left(\Gamma_{A}\right)$, one can
first choose morphisms $\Phi\left(\alpha_{1}\right), \cdots, \Phi\left(\alpha_{n-1}\right)$ which lift $\phi^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}\right), \cdots, \phi^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{n-1}\right)$ respectively. Using Lemma 3.2(1), one can lift arrows in ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ from the section $D_{n}^{\prime}$ to the left. Now assume that the values of $\Phi$ on all arrows of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ except $\gamma_{1}, \cdots, \gamma_{n-1}$ have been defined, which satisfy $\Phi\left(m_{x}\right)=0$ for each vertex $x$ such that $x$ is not in $\mathcal{C}$ and such that the values of $\Phi$ on all arrows in $m_{x}$ have been defined. Since $\beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{n-3}$ correspond to irreducible epimorphisms, by Lemma 3.3(1), one can define $\Phi\left(\gamma_{1}\right), \cdots, \Phi\left(\gamma_{n-1}\right)$ from the bottom to the top such that $\Phi\left(m_{x}\right)=0$ for each vertex $x$ which is not in $\mathcal{C}$. Finally, we define the values of $\Phi$ on the arrows of $\Gamma_{A}$ which link to projective vertices.

When $s>1$, since there exists a covering $\mathbb{Z} D_{n} /\left\langle\tau^{(2 n-3) s}\right\rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} D_{n} /\left\langle\tau^{2 n-3}\right\rangle$ of stable translation quivers and

$$
\mathcal{C}=\{(0, n-1),(0, n),(n-1, n-2),(1,1),(2,1), \cdots,(n-3,1)\}
$$

is a configuration of $\mathbb{Z} D_{n} /\left\langle\tau^{2 n-3}\right\rangle$, by [13, Proposition 2.3],

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{C}^{\prime}=\{((2 n-3) p, n-1),((2 n-3) p, n),((2 n-3) p+n-1, n-2),((2 n-3) p+1,1), \\
((2 n-3) p+2,1), \cdots,((2 n-3) p+n-3,1) \mid 0 \leq p \leq s-1\}
\end{gathered}
$$

is a configuration of $\mathbb{Z} D_{n} /\left\langle\tau^{(2 n-3) s}\right\rangle$. According to [5, Proposition 1.3], there exists a standard RFS algebra $A$ such that $\Gamma_{A} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z} D_{n} /\left\langle\tau^{(2 n-3) s}\right\rangle\right)_{\mathcal{C}^{\prime}}$. Using a similar method, it can be shown that each isomorphism $\phi^{\prime}: k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}\right) \rightarrow k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}\right)$ which maps each object in $k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}\right)$ to itself lifts to a functor $\Phi: k\left(\Gamma_{A}\right) \rightarrow k\left(\Gamma_{A}\right)$.
4. Type $\left(D_{n}, s, 2\right)$ with $n \geq 4, s \geq 1$.

The stable AR-quiver is of the form $\mathbb{Z} D_{n} /\left\langle\tau^{(2 n-3) s} \eta\right\rangle$, where $\eta$ is the automorphism of $\mathbb{Z} D_{n}$ defined by the swap of the two high vertices. We may proceed in a similar way as the type ( $D_{n}, s, 1$ ) with $n \geq 4, s \geq 1$.
5. Type $\left(D_{4}, s, 3\right)$ with $s \geq 1$.

The stable AR-quiver is of the form $\mathbb{Z} D_{4} /\left\langle\tau^{5 s} \eta\right\rangle$, where $\eta$ is the automorphism of $\mathbb{Z} D_{4}$ induced from an automorphism of $D_{4}$ of order 3 . We may proceed in a similar way as the type ( $D_{4}, s, 1$ ) with $s \geq 1$.
6. Type $\left(D_{3 m}, s / 3,1\right)$ with $m \geq 2$ and $3 \nmid s \geq 1$.

The case $s=1$ has dealt with in Proposition 3.7 (see also Remark 3.8). For $s \geq 2$, we can use a similar method as the type ( $D_{n}, s, 1$ ) with $s \geq 2$. Note that in the case $s=1$ we use the fact that each morphism $(0,3 m) \rightarrow(1,3 m)$ in $k\left(\left(\mathbb{Z} D_{3 m} /\left\langle\tau^{2 m-1}\right\rangle\right)_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$ which factors through a projective vertex is zero. Since there is a covering functor $k\left(\left(\mathbb{Z} D_{3 m} /\left\langle\tau^{(2 m-1) s}\right\rangle\right)_{\mathcal{C}^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow$ $k\left(\left(\mathbb{Z} D_{3 m} /\left\langle\tau^{2 m-1}\right\rangle\right)_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$ which is faithful and sends projective vertices to projective vertices, the similar fact is also true in $k\left(\left(\mathbb{Z} D_{3 m} /\left\langle\tau^{(2 m-1) s}\right\rangle\right)_{\mathcal{C}^{\prime}}\right)$.
7. Type $\left(E_{n}, s, 1\right)$ with $n \in\{6,7,8\}$ and $s \geq 1$.

The algebra $B=\Lambda\left(E_{n}, s, 1\right)$ is given by the quiver below with relations
(1) $\alpha_{1}^{i} \alpha_{2}^{i} \cdots \alpha_{n-3}^{i}=\beta_{1}^{i} \beta_{2}^{i} \beta_{3}^{i}=\gamma_{1}^{i} \gamma_{2}^{i}$ for all $i \in\{0, \cdots, s-1\}$;
(2) For all $i \in\{0, \cdots, s-1\}=\mathbb{Z} /\langle s\rangle, \beta_{3}^{i+1} \alpha_{1}^{i}=\gamma_{2}^{i+1} \alpha_{1}^{i}=\alpha_{n-3}^{i+1} \beta_{1}^{i+1}=\gamma_{2}^{i+1} \beta_{1}^{i+1}=$ $\alpha_{n-3}^{i+1} \gamma_{1}^{i}=\beta_{3}^{i+1} \gamma_{1}^{i}=0$;
(3) $\alpha$-paths of length $n-2$ are equal to $0, \beta$-paths of length 4 are equal to $0, \gamma$-paths of length 3 are equal to 0 .


Note that ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{B} \cong \mathbb{Z} E_{n} /\left\langle\tau^{m_{n} s}\right\rangle$, where $m_{6}=11, m_{7}=17, m_{8}=29$. Similar to the type ( $D_{n}, s, 1$ ) with $n \geq 4, s \geq 1$, it suffices to consider $s=1$. When $n=6$ and $s=1$, we take $A=B$. Then $\mathcal{C}=\{(0,1),(1,1),(-1,6),(-2,5),(-1,5),(5,3)\}$ and part of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ is of the form:


Choose a section $E_{6}^{\prime}$ in ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ as follow:

$$
(1,1) \stackrel{(-1,6)}{\alpha_{1}}(0,2) \stackrel{\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{3}}(-1,3) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{4}}(-1,4) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{5}}(-1,5)
$$

By the position of $\mathcal{C}$ in ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}, \alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{5}$ correspond to irreducible monomorphisms. Let $\phi^{\prime}: k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}\right) \rightarrow k\left(\Gamma_{A}\right)$ be an isomorphism which maps each object in $k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}\right)$ to itself. To lift $\phi^{\prime}: k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}\right) \rightarrow k\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}\right)$ to a functor $\Phi: k\left(\Gamma_{A}\right) \rightarrow k\left(\Gamma_{A}\right)$, one can first choose morphisms $\Phi\left(\alpha_{1}\right), \cdots, \Phi\left(\alpha_{5}\right)$ which lift $\phi^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}\right), \cdots, \phi^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{5}\right)$ respectively. Using Lemma 3.2(1), one can lift arrows in ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ from the section $E_{6}^{\prime}$ to the left. Now assume that the values of $\Phi$ on all arrows of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{A}$ except $\beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{5}$ have been defined, which satisfy $\Phi\left(m_{x}\right)=0$ for each vertex $x$ such that $x$ is not in $\mathcal{C}$ and such that the values of $\Phi$ on all arrows in $m_{x}$ have been defined. Using Lemma 3.2(2), the values $\Phi\left(\beta_{2}\right), \Phi\left(\beta_{3}\right), \Phi\left(\beta_{4}\right)$ can be defined such that $\Phi\left(m_{(-1,3)}\right)=0$. Since $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{5}$ correspond to irreducible monomorphisms, by Lemma 3.3(2), one can define $\Phi\left(\beta_{1}\right), \Phi\left(\beta_{5}\right)$ such that $\Phi\left(m_{(0,2)}\right)=0$ and $\Phi\left(m_{(-1,4)}\right)=0$. Finally, we define the values of $\Phi$ on the arrows of $\Gamma_{A}$ which link to projective vertices.

When $n=7$ or 8 , the proofs are similar to the case $n=6$.
8. Type $\left(E_{6}, s, 2\right)$ with $s \geq 1$.

The stable AR-quiver is of the form $\mathbb{Z} E_{6} /\left\langle\tau^{11 s} \eta\right\rangle$, where $\eta$ is the automorphism of $\mathbb{Z} E_{6}$ induced from an automorphism of $E_{6}$ of order 2 . We may proceed in a similar way as the type ( $E_{6}, s, 1$ ) with $s \geq 1$.

Remark A. 3 Proposition A. 1 is true for any RFS algebra, the reason is as follows. If $A$ is a RFS algebra of Loewy length $\geq 3$, then every stable auto-equivalence of $A$ is of Morita type, according to Linckelmann's theorem ([10, Theorem 2.1(iii)]), Proposition A. 1 holds in this case. If $A$ is a RFS algebra of Loewy length $\leq 2$, then every stable auto-equivalence of $A$ which maps each object to itself is the identity functor, which clearly lifts to the identity functor on $\bmod A$.

## Appendix B

For the benefit of the reader we give a detailed proof of Proposition 4.2 ([6, Lemma 4.10]). First we recall the notion of simple-minded system and the mutation theory of simpleminded systems.

Let $A$ be a self-injective algebra. For $X, Y, Z \in \underline{\bmod } A, Y$ is called an extension of $X$ and $Z$ if there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \overline{\oplus P} \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$ in $\bmod A$, where $P$ is a projective module.

Definition B. 1 (see [9] or [8]) Let $A$ be a self-injective $k$-algebra, $\mathcal{S}$ be a set of objects in $\underline{\bmod } A$ such that for all $S, T \in \mathcal{S}, \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{A}(S, T)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}0(S \neq T), \\ k(S=T)\end{array}\right.$ Let $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{S})$ be the smallest subcategory of $\underline{\bmod } A$ which contains $\mathcal{S}$ and closed under extensions. $\mathcal{S}$ is called a simple-minded system (sms for short) in $\underline{\bmod A}$ if $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{S})=\underline{\bmod } A$.

By definition, the set of nonprojective simple $A$-modules is an $\operatorname{sms}$ in $\underline{\bmod A}$.
Definition B. 2 ([8, Definition 4.1 and Remark]) Let $A$ be a self-injective algebra and $\mathcal{S}$ be an sms which is stable under the Nakayama functor $\mathscr{N}=\operatorname{DHom}_{A}(-, A)$ up to isomorphisms. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{S}$ which is stable under $\mathscr{N}$. The left mutation of the sms $\mathcal{S}$ with respect to $\mathcal{X}$ is the set $\left\{\mu_{\mathcal{X}}^{+}(X) \mid X \in \mathcal{S}\right\}$, where
(1) $\mu_{\mathcal{X}}^{+}(X)=\Omega_{A}^{-1}(X)$, if $X \in \mathcal{X}$;
(2) Otherwise, $\mu_{\mathcal{X}}^{+}(X)$ is given by the push-out diagram


It is shown in [8] that the left mutation of an sms is again an sms.
Proposition B. 3 ([6, Lemma 4.10]) Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $2, \Lambda$ be the representative algebra of nonstandard RFS algebras of type $\left(D_{3 m}, 1 / 3,1\right)$, where $m \geq 2$. Then there exists a standard derived auto-equivalence of $\Lambda$ which induces a stable auto-equivalence $H$ of $\Lambda$ such that $H$ induces the automorphism on ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ by the swap of the two high vertices.

Proof Let $\mathcal{S}_{\Lambda}$ be the set of simple $\Lambda$-modules, $\mathcal{X}=\{2\} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{\Lambda}$. Since $\Lambda$ is symmetric, $\mathscr{N} \simeq$ id and $\mathcal{X}$ is stable under $\mathscr{N}$. Since the simple module 2 has only a trivial self-extension, $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X})=\operatorname{add}(2)$. The projection $\operatorname{rad} P_{1} \rightarrow 2$ is a minimal left $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X})$-approximation of $\operatorname{rad} P_{1}$. There exists a commutative diagram


For $3 \leq i \leq m, \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(\operatorname{rad} P_{i}, 2\right)=0$. Then $\operatorname{rad} P_{i} \rightarrow 0$ is a minimal left $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X})$ approximation of $\operatorname{rad} P_{i}$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{X}}^{+}(i)=i$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{\mathcal{X}}^{+}(2)=\Omega_{\Lambda}^{-1}(2)=2 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following proof, we fix the simple $\Lambda$-modules $1,2, \cdots, m$ to the positions $(0,3 m)$, $(2 m-2,1), \cdots,(m, 1)$ in the stable AR-quiver ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$, respectively (cf. Section 2). Then $\mu_{\mathcal{X}}^{+}(1)$ corresponds to $(2 m-2,3 m-1)$, and $\mu_{\mathcal{X}}^{+}(2)$ corresponds to $(m-1,1)$.

By [8, Okuyama's lemma] and noting that the definition of mutation we used here is a variation of Dugas' original one by shifting the objects by $\Omega_{\Lambda}{ }^{-1}$, there exist an algebra $\Pi$ and a derived equivalence $F: D^{b}(\bmod \Pi) \rightarrow D^{b}(\bmod \Lambda)$ which induces a stable equivalence $\phi: \underline{\bmod } \Pi \rightarrow \underline{\bmod } \Lambda$ sending the set of simple $\Pi$-modules to $\Omega_{\Lambda}\left(\mu_{\mathcal{X}}^{+}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Lambda}\right)\right)$. By [11, Corollary 3.5], we can assume that $F$ is a standard derived equivalence. We may assume that $\Pi$ is basic. Since both $\Omega_{\Lambda}$ and $\tau_{\Lambda}$ lift to derived equivalences, there exists a stable equivalence $H=\tau_{\Lambda}^{-1} \Omega_{\Lambda}^{-1} \phi: \underline{\bmod } \Pi \rightarrow \underline{\bmod \Lambda}$ which lifts to a derived equivalence and sends the set of simple $\Pi$-modules to $\tau_{\Lambda}^{-1} \mu_{\mathcal{X}}^{+}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Lambda}\right)$. Since $\Lambda$ is symmetric and $\Pi$ and $\Lambda$ are derived equivalent, by [11, Corollary 5.3], $\Pi$ is a symmetric algebra of finite representation
type. Hence by Theorem 1.2, $\Pi$ is nonstandard and $\operatorname{typ}(\Pi)=\operatorname{typ}(\Lambda)$.


Let $\mathcal{C}_{\Pi}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\Pi}$ be the set of radicals of indecomposable projective $\Pi$-modules and the set of simple $\Pi$-modules respectively. $\Omega_{\Pi}$ induces an automorphism $\omega_{\Pi}$ of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Pi}$ which sends $\mathcal{S}_{\Pi}$ to $\mathcal{C}_{\Pi}$. Let $h:{ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Pi} \rightarrow{ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ be the isomorphism between stable AR-quivers induced by $H, \omega_{\Lambda}$ be the automorphism of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ induced by $\Omega_{\Lambda}$. Since $\tau_{\Lambda}^{-1} \mu_{\mathcal{X}}^{+}\left(\mathcal{S}_{\Lambda}\right)$ corresponds to the position $\{(0,3 m-1),(m, 1),(m+1,1), \cdots,(2 m-2,1)\}, \eta h$ sends $\mathcal{S}_{\Pi}$ to $\mathcal{S}_{\Lambda}$ and $\omega_{\Lambda} \eta h \omega_{\Pi}{ }^{-1}:{ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Pi} \rightarrow{ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ is an isomorphism which maps $\mathcal{C}_{\Pi}$ to $\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}$, where $\eta$ is the automorphism of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ which is induced from the automorphism of the quiver $D_{3 m}$ by the swap of the two high vertices and $\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}$ is the set of radicals of indecomposable projective $\Lambda$-modules. Then the AR-quivers of the two nonstandard RFS algebras $\Pi$ and $\Lambda$ are isomorphic. According to Riedtmann's configuration theory (see the paragraph after Definition 1.1 in Section 1), $\Pi$ and $\Lambda$ are isomorphic as algebras. Then $H$ can be identified as a stable auto-equivalence of $\Lambda$ which induces an automorphism $h$ of ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ such that $h$ maps the set of vertices $\{(0,3 m),(2 m-2,1), \cdots,(m, 1)\}$ to $\{(0,3 m-1),(2 m-2,1), \cdots,(m, 1)\}$. Since $\operatorname{Aut}\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}\right)=\langle\tau\rangle \times\langle\eta\rangle, h=\eta$ and $H$ induces the automorphism on ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ by the swap of the two high vertices.

Remark B. 4 The same proof works for all standard RFS algebras of type ( $D_{3 m}, s / 3,1$ ) with $3 \nmid s$ and $m \geq 2$, see [6, Remark 4.11] for an explanation. Combing Corollary A.2, we have proved that every stable auto-equivalence also lifts to a standard derived equivalence in this case.

Acknowledgements This research is supported by NSFC (No.12031014). We are very grateful to Hideto Asashiba for valuable comments on our results. We are very grateful to the referee for careful reading and valuable suggestions, which have led to substantial changes and significant improvement on both mathematics and language of this paper.

Data Availability The datasets generated during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

## References

1. Asashiba, H.: The derived equivalence classification of representation-finite self-injective algebras. J. Algebra 214, 182-221 (1999)
2. Asashiba, H.: On a lift of an individual stable equivalence to a standard derived equivalence for representation-finite self-injective algebras. Algebras Represent. Theory 6(4), 427-447 (2003)
3. Auslander, M., Reiten, I., Smalø, S.O.: Representation Theory of Artin Algebras. Cambridge University Press (1995)
4. Bongartz, K., Gabriel, P.: Covering spaces in representation theory. Invent. Math. 65, 331-378 (1982)
5. Bretscher, O., Läser, C., Riedtmann, CH.: Self-Injective ans simply connected algebras. Manuscripta Math 36, 253-307 (1981)
6. Chan, A., Koenig, S., Liu, Y.: Simple-Minded systems, configurations and mutations for representationfinite self-injective algebras. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 219, 1940-1961 (2015)
7. Dugas, A.: Tilting mutation of weakly symmetric algebras and stable equivalence. Algebras Represent. Theory 17, 863-884 (2014)
8. Dugas, A.: Torsion pairs and simple-minded systems in triangulated categories. Appl. Categ. Structures 23, 507-526 (2015)
9. Koenig, S., Liu, Y.: Simple-minded systems in stable module categories. Quart. J. Math. 63(3), 653-674 (2012)
10. Linckelmann, M.: Stable equivalences of morita type for self-injective algebras and P-groups. Math. Zeit. 223, 87-100 (1996)
11. Rickard, J.: Derived equivalences as derived functors. J. London Math. Soc 43, 37-48 (1991)
12. Riedtmann, C.H.: Algebren Darstellungsköcher, Überlagerungen and Zurück. Comment. Math. Helv. 55(2) (199)
13. Riedtmann, C.H.: Representation-finite self-injective algebras of class $A_{n}$. LNM 832. pp. 449-520 (1980)
14. Riedtmann, C.H.: Configurations of $\mathbb{Z} D_{n}$. J. Algebra 82, 309-327 (1983)
15. Riedtmann, C.H.: Representation-finite self-injective algebras of class $D_{n}$. Compositio Math. 49(2), 231-282 (1983)
16. Ringel, C.M.: Tame algebras and integral quadratic forms. LNM 1099. Springer (1984)
17. Waschbüsch, J.: Symmetrische Algebren vom Endlichen Modultyp. J. Reine Angew. Math. 321, 78-98 (1981)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.


[^0]:    Presented by: Christof Geiss
    Yuming Liu
    ymliu@bnu.edu.cn
    Nengqun Li
    wd0843@163.com

    1 School of Mathematical Sciences, Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, 100875, People's Republic of China

