

# Some limit theorems for flows of branching processes<sup>1</sup>

Hui He and Rugang Ma<sup>2</sup>

Beijing Normal University

*Abstract:* We construct two kinds of stochastic flows of discrete Galton-Watson branching processes. Some scaling limit theorems for the flows are proved, which lead to local and nonlocal branching superprocesses over the positive half line.

*Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):* Primary 60J68, 60J80; secondary 60G57

*Key words and phrases:* Stochastic flow, Galton-Watson branching process, continuous-state branching process, superprocess, nonlocal branching.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Continuous-state branching processes (CB-processes) arose as weak limits of rescaled discrete Galton-Watson branching processes; see, e.g., Jiřina (1958) and Lamperti (1967). Continuous-state branching processes with immigration (CBI-processes) are generalizations of them describing the situation where immigrants may come from other sources of particles. Those processes can be obtained as the scaling limits of discrete branching processes with immigration; see, e.g., Kawazu and Watanabe (1971) and Li (2006). A CBI-process was constructed in Dawson and Li (2006) as the strong solution of a stochastic equation driven by Brownian motions and Poisson random measures; see also Fu and Li (2010). A similar construction was given in Li and Ma (2008) using a stochastic equation driven by time-space Gaussian white noises and Poisson random measures.

In the study of scaling limits of coalescent processes with multiple collisions, Bertoin and Le Gall (2006) constructed a flow of jump-type CB-processes as the weak solution flow of a system of stochastic equations driven by Poisson random measures; see also Bertoin and Le Gall (2003, 2005). A more general flow of CBI-processes was constructed in Dawson and Li (2012) as strong solutions of stochastic equations driven by Gaussian white noises and Poisson random measures. The flows in Bertoin and Le Gall (2006) and Dawson and Li (2012) were also treated as path-valued processes with independent increments. Motivated by the works of Aldous and Pitman (1998) and Abraham and Delmas (2010) on tree-valued Markov processes, another flow of CBI-processes was introduced in Li (2012), which was identified as a path-valued branching process. From the flows in Bertoin and Le Gall (2006), Dawson and Li (2012) and Li (2012), one can define some superprocesses or immigration superprocesses over the positive half line with local and nonlocal branching mechanisms. To study the genealogy trees for critical branching processes conditioned on non-extinction, Bakhtin (2011) considered a flow of continuous CBI-processes driven by a time-space Gaussian white noise. He obtained the flow as a rescaling limit of systems of discrete Galton-Watson processes and also pointed out the connection of the model with a superprocess conditioned on non-extinction.

---

<sup>1</sup>Supported by NSFC and 985 Project.

<sup>2</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail: marugang@mail.bnu.edu.cn.

In this paper, we consider two flows of discrete Galton-Watson branching processes and show suitable rescaled sequences of the flows converge to the flows of Dawson and Li (2012) and Li (2012), respectively. The main motivation of the work is to understand the connection between discrete and continuum tree-valued processes. Our results generalize those of Bakhtin (2011) to flows of discontinuous CB-processes. To simplify the presentation, we only treat models without immigration, but the arguments given here carry over to those with immigration. We shall first prove limit theorems for the induced superprocesses, from which we derive the convergence of the finite-dimensional distributions of the path-valued branching processes.

In Section 2, we give a brief review of the flows of Dawson and Li (2012) and Li (2012). In Section 3, we consider flows consisting of independent branching processes and show their scaling limit gives a flow of the type of Dawson and Li (2012). The formulation and convergence of interactive flows were discussed in Section 4, which lead to a flow in the class studied in Li (2012).

Let  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $\mathbb{N}_+ = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ . For any  $a \geq 0$  let  $M[0, a]$  be the set of finite Borel measures on  $[0, a]$  endowed with the topology of weak convergence. We identify  $M[0, a]$  with the set  $F[0, a]$  of positive right continuous increasing functions on  $[0, a]$ . Let  $B[0, a]$  be the Banach space of bounded Borel functions on  $[0, a]$  endowed with the supremum norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Let  $C[0, a]$  denote its subspace of continuous functions. We use  $B[0, a]^+$  and  $C[0, a]^+$  to denote the subclasses of positive elements and  $C[0, a]^{++}$  to denote the subset of  $C[0, a]^+$  of functions bounded away from zero. For  $\mu \in M[0, a]$  and  $f \in B[0, a]$  write  $\langle \mu, f \rangle = \int f d\mu$  if the integral exists.

## 2. LOCAL AND NONLOCAL BRANCHING FLOWS

In this section, we recall some results on constructions and characterizations of the flow of CB-processes and the associated superprocess. It is well-known that the law of a CB-process is determined by its *branching mechanism*  $\phi$ , which is a function on  $[0, \infty)$  and has the representation

$$(2.1) \quad \phi(z) = bz + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 z^2 + \int_0^\infty (e^{-zu} - 1 + zu)m(du),$$

where  $\sigma \geq 0$  and  $b$  are constants and  $(u \wedge u^2)m(du)$  is a finite measure on  $(0, \infty)$ . Let  $W(ds, du)$  be a white noise on  $(0, \infty)^2$  based on  $dsdu$  and  $\tilde{N}(ds, dz, du)$  a compensated Poisson random measure on  $(0, \infty)^3$  with intensity  $dsm(dz)du$ . By Theorem 3.1 of Dawson and Li (2012), a CB-process with branching mechanism  $\phi$  can be constructed as the pathwise unique strong solution  $\{Y_t : t \geq 0\}$  to the stochastic equation:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} Y_t = Y_0 &+ \sigma \int_0^t \int_0^{Y_{s-}} W(ds, du) - \int_0^t bY_{s-} ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \int_0^{Y_{s-}} z \tilde{N}(ds, dz, du). \end{aligned}$$

Let us fix a constant  $a \geq 0$  and a function  $\mu \in F[0, a]$ . Let  $\{Y_t(q) : t \geq 0\}$  denote the solution to (2.2) with  $Y_0(q) = \mu(q)$ . We can consider the solution flow  $\{Y_t(q) : t \geq 0, q \in [0, a]\}$  of (2.2). As observed in Dawson and Li (2012), there is a version of the flow which is increasing in  $q \in [0, a]$ . Moreover, we can regard  $\{(Y_t(q))_{t \geq 0} : q \in [0, a]\}$  as a path-valued stochastic process with independent increments. Let  $\{Y_t : t \geq 0\}$  denote the  $M[0, a]$ -valued process so that  $Y_t[0, q] = Y_t(q)$  for every  $t \geq 0$  and  $q \in [0, a]$ . Then  $\{Y_t : t \geq 0\}$  is a càdlàg superprocess with branching mechanism  $\phi$  and trivial spatial

motion; see Theorems 3.9 and 3.11 in Dawson and Li (2012). For  $\lambda \geq 0$  let  $t \mapsto v(t, \lambda)$  be the unique locally bounded positive solution of

$$(2.3) \quad v(t, \lambda) = \lambda - \int_0^t \phi(v(s, \lambda)) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

For any  $f \in B[0, a]^+$  define  $x \mapsto v(t, f)(x)$  by  $v(t, f)(x) = v(t, f(x))$ . Then the superprocess  $\{Y_t : t \geq 0\}$  has transition semigroup  $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$  on  $M[0, a]$  defined by

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{M[0, a]} e^{-\langle \nu, f \rangle} Q_t(\mu, \nu) = \exp\{-\langle \mu, v(t, f) \rangle\}, \quad f \in B[0, a]^+.$$

By Proposition 3.1 in Li (2011) one can see that  $v(t, f) \in C[0, a]^{++}$  for every  $f \in C[0, a]^{++}$ . Then it is easy to verify that  $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a Feller semigroup.

We can define another branching flow. For this purpose, let us consider an admissible family of branching mechanisms  $\{\phi_q : q \in [0, a]\}$ , where  $\phi_q$  is given by (2.1) with parameters  $(b, m) = (b_q, m_q)$  depending on  $q \in [0, a]$ . Here by an *admissible family* we mean for each  $z \geq 0$ , the function  $q \mapsto \phi_q(z)$  is decreasing and continuously differentiable with the derivative  $\psi_\theta(z) = -(\partial/\partial\theta)\phi_\theta(z)$  of the form

$$(2.5) \quad \psi_\theta(z) = h_\theta z + \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-zu}) n_\theta(du),$$

where  $h_\theta \geq 0$  and  $n_\theta(du)$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite kernel from  $[0, a]$  to  $(0, \infty)$  satisfying

$$\sup_{0 \leq \theta \leq a} \left[ h_\theta + \int_0^\infty u n_\theta(du) \right] < \infty.$$

Then we have

$$(2.6) \quad \phi_q(z) = \phi_0(z) - \int_0^q \psi_\theta(z) d\theta, \quad z \geq 0.$$

Let  $m(dz, d\theta)$  be the measure on  $(0, \infty) \times [0, a]$  defined by

$$m([c, d] \times [0, q]) = m_q[c, d], \quad q \in [0, a], d > c > 0.$$

Suppose that  $W(ds, du)$  is a white noise on  $(0, \infty)^2$  based on  $dsdu$  and  $\tilde{N}(ds, dz, d\theta, du)$  is a compensated Poisson random measure on  $(0, \infty)^2 \times [0, a] \times (0, \infty)$  with intensity  $dsm(dz, d\theta)du$ . By the results in Li (2012), for any  $\mu \in F[0, a]$  the stochastic equation

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} Y_t(q) = & \mu(q) - b_q \int_0^t Y_{s-}(q) ds + \sigma \int_0^t \int_0^{Y_{s-}(q)} W(ds, du) \\ & + \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \int_{[0, q]} \int_0^{Y_{s-}(q)} z \tilde{N}(ds, dz, d\theta, du) \end{aligned}$$

has a unique solution flow  $\{Y_t(q) : t \geq 0, q \in [0, a]\}$ . For each  $q \in [0, a]$ , the one-dimensional process  $\{Y_t(q) : t \geq 0\}$  is a CB-process with branching mechanism  $\phi_q$ . It was proved in Li (2011) that there is a version of the flow which is increasing in  $q \in [0, a]$ . Moreover, we can also regard  $\{(Y_t(q))_{t \geq 0} : q \in [0, a]\}$  as a path-valued branching process. The solution flow of (2.7) also induces a càdlàg superprocess  $\{Y_t : t \geq 0\}$  with state space  $M[0, a]$ . Let  $f \mapsto \Psi(\cdot, f)$  be the operator on  $C^+[0, a]$  defined by

$$(2.8) \quad \Psi(x, f) = \int_{[0, a]} f(x \vee \theta) h_\theta d\theta + \int_{[0, a]} d\theta \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-zf(x \vee \theta)}) n_\theta(dz).$$

The superprocess  $\{Y_t : t \geq 0\}$  has local branching mechanism  $\phi_0$  and nonlocal branching mechanism given by (2.8); see Theorem 6.2 in Li (2012). Then the transition semigroup  $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$  of  $\{Y_t : t \geq 0\}$  is defined by

$$(2.9) \quad \int_{M[0,a]} e^{-\langle \nu, f \rangle} Q_t(\mu, d\nu) = \exp \left\{ -\langle \mu, V_t f \rangle \right\}, \quad f \in C^+[0, a],$$

where  $t \mapsto V_t f$  is the unique locally bounded positive solution of

$$(2.10) \quad V_t f(x) = f(x) - \int_0^t [\phi_0(V_s f(x)) - \Psi(x, V_s f)] ds, \quad t \geq 0, x \in [0, a].$$

To study the scaling limit theorems of the discrete branching flows, we need to introduce a metric on  $M[0, a]$ . Let  $\{h_0, h_1, h_2, \dots\}$  be a countable dense subset of  $\{h \in C[0, a]^+ : \|h\| \leq 1\}$  with  $h_0 \equiv 1$ . For convenience we assume each  $h_i$  is bounded away from zero. Then  $\{h_0, h_1, h_2, \dots\} \subset C[0, a]^{++}$ . Now we define a metric  $\rho$  on  $M[0, a]$  by

$$\rho(\mu, \nu) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} (1 \wedge |\langle \mu, h_i \rangle - \langle \nu, h_i \rangle|), \quad \mu, \nu \in M[0, a].$$

It is easy to see that the metric is compatible with the weak convergence topology of  $M[0, a]$ . In other words, we have  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$  in  $M[0, a]$  if and only if  $\rho(\mu_n, \mu) \rightarrow 0$ . For  $\nu \in M[0, a]$ , set  $e_{h_i}(\nu) = e^{-\langle \nu, h_i \rangle}$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *The metric space  $(M[0, a], \rho)$  is a locally compact Polish (complete and separable) space, and  $\{e_{h_i} : i = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  is strongly separating points of  $M[0, a]$ , that is, for every  $\nu \in M[0, a]$  and  $\delta > 0$ , there exists a finite set  $\{e_{h_{i_1}}, e_{h_{i_2}}, \dots, e_{h_{i_k}}\} \subset \{e_{h_i} : i = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  such that*

$$\inf_{\mu: \rho(\mu, \nu) \geq \delta} \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} |e_{h_{i_j}}(\mu) - e_{h_{i_j}}(\nu)| > 0.$$

*Proof.* By Li (2011, p.4 and p.7) we know  $M[0, a]$  is separable and locally compact, so there is a complete metric on  $M[0, a]$  compatible with the weak convergence topology. The following argument shows the metric  $\rho$  defined above is complete. Suppose  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset M[0, a]$  is a Cauchy sequence under  $\rho$ . Then for every  $m \geq 1$ ,  $\{\langle \mu_n, h_m \rangle\}_{n \geq 1}$  is also a Cauchy sequence. We denote the limit by  $\Phi(h_m)$ . For  $f \in C[0, a]^+$  satisfying  $\|f\| \leq 1$ , let  $\{h_{i_k}\}_{k \geq 1} \subset \{h_0, h_1, h_2, \dots\}$  be a sequence so that  $\|h_{i_k} - f\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . For  $n \geq m \geq 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle \nu_n, f \rangle - \langle \nu_m, f \rangle| &\leq \limsup_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ |\langle \nu_n, f \rangle - \langle \nu_n, h_{i_k} \rangle| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |\langle \nu_n, h_{i_k} \rangle - \langle \nu_m, h_{i_k} \rangle| + |\langle \nu_m, h_{i_k} \rangle - \langle \nu_m, f \rangle| \right] \\ &\leq 2\Phi(1) \|f - h_{i_k}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Then letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  we have

$$\limsup_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle \nu_n, f \rangle - \langle \nu_m, f \rangle| = 0.$$

By linearity the above relation holds for all  $f \in C[0, a]$ , so the limit  $\Phi(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mu_n, f \rangle$  exists for each  $f \in C[0, a]$ . Clearly,  $f \rightarrow \Phi(f)$  is a positive linear functional on  $C[0, a]$ . By the Riesz representation theorem there exists  $\mu \in M[0, a]$  so that  $\langle \mu, f \rangle = \Phi(f)$  for every  $f \in C[0, a]$ . By the construction of  $\Phi$  we have  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ , therefore,  $\rho(\mu_n, \mu) \rightarrow 0$ . That proves the first assertion of the theorem.

For any  $\nu \in M[0, a]$  and  $\delta \geq 0$ , there exists an  $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}_+$  such that  $\sum_{i=N_0+1}^{\infty} 1/2^i < \delta/2$ . Consider  $\{h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{N_0}\}$ , for any  $\mu \in M[0, a]$  satisfying  $\rho(\mu, \nu) \geq \delta$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N_0} \frac{1}{2^i} (1 \wedge |\langle \mu, h_i \rangle - \langle \nu, h_i \rangle|) \geq \frac{\delta}{2},$$

and thus,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N_0} (1 \wedge |\langle \mu, h_i \rangle - \langle \nu, h_i \rangle|) \geq \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

It follows that

$$|\langle \mu, h_j \rangle - \langle \nu, h_j \rangle| \geq \frac{\delta}{2N_0} \text{ for some } 0 \leq j \leq N_0.$$

Since

$$|e^{-x} - e^{-y}| = e^{-y} |e^{y-x} - 1| \geq e^{-y} \left[ (e^{|y-x|} - 1) \wedge (1 - e^{-|y-x|}) \right], \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{\mu: \rho(\mu, \nu) \geq \delta} \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_0} |e_{h_i}(\mu) - e_{h_i}(\nu)| \\ & \geq e^{-\max_{0 \leq i \leq N_0} \langle \nu, h_i \rangle} \left[ (e^{\frac{\delta}{2N_0}} - 1) \wedge (1 - e^{-\frac{\delta}{2N_0}}) \right] \\ & > 0. \end{aligned}$$

That proves the second assertion.  $\square$

### 3. FLOWS OF INDEPENDENT BRANCHING PROCESSES

In this section, we consider some flows of independent Galton-Watson branching processes. We shall study the scaling limit in the setting of superprocesses. Then we derive the convergence of the finite-dimensional distributions of the path-valued processes.

Let  $\{g_i : i = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  be a family of probability generating functions. Given a family of  $\mathbb{N}$ -valued independent random variables  $\{X_0(i) : i = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  suppose that there are  $X_0(i)$  independent Galton-Watson trees originating at time 0 and at place  $i$  with offspring distribution given by  $g_i$ . Let us denote by  $X_n(i)$  the numbers of vertices in the  $n$ -th generation of the trees with root at  $i$ . In addition, we assume  $(X_n(i))_{n \geq 0}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  are mutually independent. It is well-known that for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(X_n(i))_{n \geq 0}$  is a *Galton-Watson branching process* (GW-process) with parameter  $g_i$ ; i.e., a discrete-time  $\mathbb{N}$ -valued Markov chain with  $n$ -step transition matrix  $P^n(j, k)$  defined by

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P^n(j, k) z^k = (g_i^n(z))^j, \quad |z| \leq 1,$$

where  $g_i^n(z)$  is defined by  $g_i^n(z) = g_i(g_i^{n-1}(z))$  successively with  $g_i^0(z) = z$ .

Suppose that for each integer  $k \geq 1$  we have a sequence of GW-processes  $\{(X_n^{(k)}(i))_{n \geq 0} : i \geq 0\}$  with parameter  $g_i^{(k)}$ . Let  $\gamma_k$  be a positive real sequence so that  $\gamma_k \rightarrow \infty$  increasingly as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . For  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define

$$\bar{X}_n^{(k)}(m) = \sum_{i=0}^m X_n^{(k)}(i),$$

and

$$Y_t^{(k)}(x) = \frac{1}{k} \bar{X}_{[\gamma_k t]}^{(k)}([kx]), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $[\cdot]$  denotes the integer part. Then the increasing function  $x \mapsto Y_t^{(k)}(x)$  induces a random measure  $Y_t^{(k)}(dx)$  on  $[0, \infty)$  so that  $Y_t^{(k)}([0, x]) = Y_t^{(k)}(x)$  for  $x \geq 0$ . For convenience we fix a constant  $a \geq 0$  and consider the restriction of  $\{Y_t^{(k)} : t \geq 0\}$  to  $[0, a]$  without changing the notation. Clearly,

$$Y_0^{(k)} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{[ka]} X_0^{(k)}(i) \delta_{\frac{i}{k}}$$

and

$$Y_t^{(k)} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{[ka]} X_{[\gamma_k t]}^{(k)}(i) \delta_{\frac{i}{k}}.$$

In view of (3.1), for each  $i \geq 0$ , given  $X_0^{(k)}(i) = x_i \in \mathbb{N}$ , the conditional distribution  $Q_{i,k}^{[\gamma_k t]}(x_i/k, \cdot)$  of  $\{k^{-1} X_{[\gamma_k t]}^{(k)}(i) : t \geq 0\}$  on  $E_k = \{0, 1/k, 2/k, \dots\}$  is determined by

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{E_k} e^{-\lambda y} Q_{i,k}^{[\gamma_k t]}(x_i/k, dy) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{x_i}{k} v_i^{(k)}(t, \lambda) \right\},$$

where  $v_i^{(k)}(t, \lambda) = -k \log(g_i^{(k)})_{[\gamma_k t]}(e^{-\lambda/k})$ .

Let  $Q_{\mu_k}^{(k)}$  denote the conditional law given  $Y_0^{(k)} = \mu_k = k^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{[ka]} x_i \delta_{i/k} \in M_k[0, a]$ , where  $M_k[0, a] := \{k^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{[ka]} x_i \delta_{i/k} : x_i \in \mathbb{N}, k^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{[ka]} x_i < \infty\}$ . For  $f \in B[0, a]^+$ , from (3.2) we have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} Q_{\mu_k}^{(k)} \exp \left\{ -\langle Y_t^{(k)}, f \rangle \right\} &= Q_{\mu_k}^{(k)} \exp \left\{ -\sum_{i=0}^{[ka]} \frac{1}{k} X_{[\gamma_k t]}^{(k)}(i) f(i/k) \right\} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{[ka]} \int_{E_k} e^{-f(i/k)y} Q_{i,k}^{[\gamma_k t]}(x_i/k, dy) \\ &= \exp \left\{ -\sum_{i=0}^{[ka]} \frac{x_i}{k} v_i^{(k)}(t, f(i/k)) \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ -\langle \mu_k, v^{(k)}(t, f) \rangle \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $x \mapsto v^{(k)}(t, f)(x)$  is defined by  $v^{(k)}(t, f)(x) = v_{[kx]}^{(k)}(t, f(x))$ .

For any  $x, z \geq 0$  define

$$(3.4) \quad \phi_k(x, z) = k \gamma_k [g_{[kx]}^{(k)}(e^{-z/k}) - e^{-z/k}].$$

For convenience of statement of the results, we formulate the following condition:

**Condition (3.A)** For each  $a \geq 0$  the sequence  $\{\phi_k(x, z)\}$  is Lipschitz with respect to  $z$  uniformly on  $[0, \infty) \times [0, a]$  and there is a continuous function  $(x, z) \mapsto \phi(x, z)$  such that  $\phi_k(x, z) \rightarrow \phi(x, z)$  uniformly on  $[0, \infty) \times [0, a]$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

Before giving the limit theorem for the sequence of the rescaled processes, we first introduce the limit process. By Proposition 4.3 in Li (2011), if Condition (3.A) is satisfied,

the limit function  $\phi$  has the representation

$$(3.5) \quad \phi(x, z) = b(x)z + \frac{1}{2}c(x)z^2 + \int_0^\infty (e^{-zu} - 1 + zu)m(x, du), \quad x, z \geq 0.$$

where  $b$  is a bounded function on  $[0, \infty)$  and  $c$  is a positive bounded function on  $[0, \infty)$ .  $(u \wedge u^2)m(x, du)$  is a bounded kernel from  $[0, \infty)$  to  $(0, \infty)$ . Conversely, for any continuous function  $(x, z) \mapsto \phi(x, z)$  given by (3.5), we can construct a family of probability generating functions  $\{g_i^{(k)} : i = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  so that the sequence (3.4) satisfies Condition (3.A); see, e.g., Li (2011, p.93).

For any  $l \geq 0$ , let  $B_l[0, \infty)^+$  be the set of positive bounded functions on  $[0, \infty)$  satisfying  $\|f\| \leq l$ . By a modification of the proof of Theorem 3.42 in Li (2011), it is not hard to show that for each  $T \geq 0$  and  $l \geq 0$ ,  $v^{(k)}(t, f)(x)$  converges uniformly on the set  $[0, T] \times [0, \infty) \times B_l[0, \infty)^+$  of  $(t, x, f)$  to the unique locally bounded positive solution  $(t, x) \mapsto v(t, f)(x)$  of the evolution equation

$$(3.6) \quad v(t, f)(x) = f(x) - \int_0^t \phi(x, v(s, f)(x)) ds.$$

Let  $\{Y_t : t \geq 0\}$  be the superprocess with state space  $M[0, a]$  and transition semigroup  $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$  defined by

$$(3.7) \quad \int_{M[0, a]} e^{-\langle \nu, f \rangle} Q_t(\mu, \nu) = \exp\{-\langle \mu, v(t, f) \rangle\}, \quad f \in B[0, a]^+.$$

Using (3.6) and Gronwall's inequality one can see  $x \mapsto v(t, f)(x)$  is continuous on  $[0, a]$  for every  $f \in C[0, a]^+$ . Then by Proposition 3.1 in Li (2011) it is easy to see that  $v(t, f) \in C[0, a]^{++}$  for every  $f \in C[0, a]^{++}$ . From this and (3.7) it follows that  $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a Feller semigroup. Note that if  $\phi(x, z) = \phi(z)$  independent of  $x \geq 0$ , then  $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is the same transition semigroup as that defined by (2.3) and (2.4). In this case, the corresponding superprocess can be defined by the stochastic integral equation (2.2).

Let  $D([0, \infty), M[0, a])$  denote the space of càdlàg paths from  $[0, \infty)$  to  $M[0, a]$  furnished with the Skorokhod topology. The proof of the next theorem is a modification of that of Theorem 3.43 in Li (2011).

**Theorem 3.1.** *Suppose that Condition (3.A) is satisfied. Let  $\{Y_t : t \geq 0\}$  be a càdlàg superprocess with transition semigroup  $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$  defined by (3.6) and (3.7). If  $Y_0^{(k)}$  converges to  $Y_0$  in distribution on  $M[0, a]$ , then  $\{Y_t^{(k)} : t \geq 0\}$  converges to  $\{Y_t : t \geq 0\}$  in distribution on  $D([0, \infty), M[0, a])$ .*

*Proof.* For  $f \in C[0, a]^{++}$  and  $\nu \in M[0, a]$  set  $e_f(\nu) = e^{-\langle \nu, f \rangle}$ . Clearly, the function  $\nu \mapsto e_f(\nu)$  is continuous in  $\rho$ . We denote by  $D_1$  the linear span of  $\{e_f : f \in C[0, a]^{++}\}$ . By Theorem 2.1 we have  $D_1$  is an algebra strong separating the points of  $M[0, a]$ . Let  $C_0(M[0, a])$  be the space of continuous functions on  $M[0, a]$  vanishing at infinity. Then  $D_1$  is uniformly dense in  $C_0(M[0, a])$  by the Stone-Weierstrass theorem; see, e.g., Hewitt and Stromberg (1975, pp.98-99). On the other hand, for any  $f \in C[0, a]^{++}$ , since  $v(t, f)$  is bounded away from zero and  $v_k(t, f)(x) \rightarrow v(t, f)(x)$  uniformly on  $[0, \infty)$  for every  $t \geq 0$ , we have  $v_k(t, f)$  is also bounded away from zero for  $k$  sufficiently large. Without loss of generality we may assume  $v_k(t, f) \geq c$  and  $v(t, f) \geq c$  for some  $c > 0$ . Let  $Q_t^{(k)}$  denote the transition semigroup of  $Y_t^{(k)}$ . We get from (3.3) and (2.4) that, for any  $M \geq 0$ ,

$$\sup_{\nu \in M_k[0, a]} \left| Q_t^{(k)} e_f(\nu) - Q_t e_f(\nu) \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sup_{\nu \in M_k[0,a]} \left| \exp \left\{ - \langle \nu, v_k(t, f) \rangle \right\} - \exp \left\{ - \langle \nu, v(t, f) \rangle \right\} \right| \\
&\leq \sup_{\substack{\langle \nu, 1 \rangle \leq M \\ \nu \in M_k[0,a]}} \left| \exp \left\{ - \langle \nu, v_k(t, f) \rangle \right\} - \exp \left\{ - \langle \nu, v(t, f) \rangle \right\} \right| \\
&\quad + \sup_{\substack{\langle \nu, 1 \rangle > M \\ \nu \in M_k[0,a]}} \left| \exp \left\{ - \langle \nu, v_k(t, f) \rangle \right\} - \exp \left\{ - \langle \nu, v(t, f) \rangle \right\} \right| \\
&\leq \sup_{\substack{\langle \nu, 1 \rangle \leq M \\ \nu \in M_k[0,a]}} |\langle \nu, v_k(t, f) \rangle - \langle \nu, v(t, f) \rangle| + \sup_{\substack{\langle \nu, 1 \rangle > M \\ \nu \in M_k[0,a]}} 2e^{-\langle \nu, c \rangle} \\
&\leq M \|v_k(t, f) - v(t, f)\| + 2e^{-Mc}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $M \geq 0$  was arbitrary, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\nu \in M_k[0,a]} \left| Q_t^{(k)} e_f(\nu) - Q_t e_f(\nu) \right| = 0$$

for every  $t \geq 0$ . Thus

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\nu \in M_k[0,a]} \left| Q_t^{(k)} F(\nu) - Q_t F(\nu) \right| = 0$$

for every  $t \geq 0$  and  $F \in C_0(M[0, a])$ . By Ethier and Kurtz (1986, p.226 and pp.233-234) we conclude that  $\{Y_t^{(k)} : t \geq 0\}$  converges to  $\{Y_t : t \geq 0\}$  in distribution on  $D([0, \infty), M[0, a])$ .  $\square$

Let  $\{0 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n = a\}$  be an ordered set of constants. Denote by  $\{Y_{t,a_i} : t \geq 0\}$  and  $\{Y_{t,a_i}^{(k)} : t \geq 0\}$  the restriction of  $\{Y_t : t \geq 0\}$  and  $\{Y_t^{(k)} : t \geq 0\}$  to  $[0, a_i]$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , respectively. The following theorem is an extension of Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Suppose that Condition (3.A) is satisfied. If  $Y_{0,a}^{(k)}$  converges to  $Y_{0,a}$  in distribution on  $M[0, a]$ , then  $\{(Y_{t,a_1}^{(k)}, \dots, Y_{t,a_n}^{(k)}) : t \geq 0\}$  converges to  $\{(Y_{t,a_1}, \dots, Y_{t,a_n}) : t \geq 0\}$  in distribution on  $D([0, \infty), M[0, a_1] \times \dots \times M[0, a_n])$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f_i \in C[0, a_i]$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . By Theorem 3.1 we see that for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $\{\langle Y_{t,a_i}^{(k)}, f_i \rangle : t \geq 0\}$  is tight in  $D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ . Thus  $\{\sum_{i=1}^n \langle Y_{t,a_i}^{(k)}, f_i \rangle : t \geq 0\}$  is tight in  $D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ . Then the tightness criterion of Roelly (1986) implies  $\{(Y_{t,a_1}^{(k)}, \dots, Y_{t,a_n}^{(k)}) : t \geq 0\}$  is tight in  $D([0, \infty), M[0, a_1] \times \dots \times M[0, a_n])$ . Let  $\{(Z_{t,a_1}, \dots, Z_{t,a_n}) : t \geq 0\}$  be a weak limit point of  $\{(Y_{t,a_1}^{(k)}, \dots, Y_{t,a_n}^{(k)}) : t \geq 0\}$ . By an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 5.8 in Dawson and Li (2012) one can show that  $\{(Z_{t,a_1}, \dots, Z_{t,a_n}) : t \geq 0\}$  and  $\{(Y_{t,a_1}, \dots, Y_{t,a_n}) : t \geq 0\}$  have the same distributions on  $D([0, \infty), M[0, a_1] \times \dots \times M[0, a_n])$ . That gives the desired result.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *Suppose that Condition (3.A) is satisfied. Let  $\{0 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n = a\}$  be an ordered set of constants. Let  $Y_t(a_i) := Y_t[0, a_i]$  and  $Y_t^{(k)}(a_i) := Y_t^{(k)}[0, a_i]$  for every  $t \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . If  $(Y_0^{(k)}(a_1), \dots, Y_0^{(k)}(a_n))$  converges to  $(Y_0(a_1), \dots, Y_0(a_n))$  in distribution on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ , then  $\{(Y_t^{(k)}(a_1), \dots, Y_t^{(k)}(a_n)) : t \geq 0\}$  converges to  $\{(Y_t(a_1), \dots, Y_t(a_n)) : t \geq 0\}$  in distribution on  $D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}_+^n)$ .*



## 4. FLOWS OF INTERACTIVE BRANCHING PROCESSES

In this section, we prove some limit theorems for a sequence of flows of interactive branching processes, which leads to a superprocesses with local branching and nonlocal branching. From those limit theorems we derive the convergence of the finite-dimensional distributions of the path-valued branching processes.

Let  $g_0$  be a probability generating function and  $\{h_i : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  a family of probability generating functions. For each  $i \geq 1$  define  $g_i := g_0 h_1 \cdots h_i$  and suppose that  $\{\xi_{n,j}(i) : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots; j = 1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $\{\eta_{n,j}(i) : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots; j = 1, 2, \dots\}$  are two independent families of positive integer-valued i.i.d. random variables with distributions given by  $g_i$  and  $h_i$ , respectively. Given another family of positive integer-valued random variables  $\{z_i : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  independent of  $\{\xi_{n,j}(i) : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $\{\eta_{n,j}(i) : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ , we define inductively  $X_0(0) = z_0$  and

$$(4.1) \quad X_{n+1}(0) = \sum_{j=1}^{X_n(0)} \xi_{n,j}(0), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Suppose that  $\{X_n(i) : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  has been constructed for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ , we define  $\{X_n(m) : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  by  $X_0(m) = z_m$  and

$$(4.2) \quad X_{n+1}(m) = \sum_{j=1}^{X_n(m)} \xi_{n,j}(m) + \sum_{j=1}^{\bar{X}_n(m-1)} \eta_{n,j}(m), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $\bar{X}_n(m-1) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} X_n(i)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . It is easy to show that for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\{(X_n(0), X_n(1), \dots, X_n(m)) : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  is a discrete-time  $\mathbb{N}^{m+1}$ -valued Markov chain with one-step transition probability  $Q(x, dy)$  determined by, for  $\lambda, x \in \mathbb{N}^{m+1}$ ,

$$(4.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{N}^{m+1}} e^{-\langle \lambda, y \rangle} Q(x, dy) = \prod_{i=0}^m [g_i(e^{-\lambda_i})]^{x_i} [h_i(e^{-\lambda_i})]^{\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} x_j},$$

where  $x_i$  and  $\lambda_i$  denote the  $i$ -th component of  $x$  and  $\lambda$ , respectively.

Suppose that for each integer  $k \geq 1$  we have two sequence of processes  $\{(X_n^{(k)}(i))_{n \geq 0} : i \geq 0\}$  and  $\{(\bar{X}_n^{(k)}(i))_{n \geq 0} : i \geq 0\}$  with parameters  $g_0^{(k)}$  and  $\{h_i^{(k)} : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Suppose that  $\gamma_k$  is a positive real sequence so that  $\gamma_k \rightarrow \infty$  increasingly as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $[\gamma_k t]$  denote the integer part of  $\gamma_k t \geq 0$ . Define

$$(4.4) \quad Y_t^{(k)}(x) := \frac{1}{k} \bar{X}_{[\gamma_k t]}^{(k)}([kx]) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{[kx]} X_{[\gamma_k t]}^{(k)}(i), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let  $Y_t^{(k)}(dx)$  denote the random measure on  $[0, \infty)$  induced by the random function  $Y_t^{(k)}(x)$ . We are interested in the asymptotic behavior of the continuous-time process  $\{Y_t^{(k)}(dx) : t \geq 0\}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $h_0^{(k)} \equiv 1$ . For any  $z \geq 0$  and  $\theta \geq 0$  set

$$(4.5) \quad \phi_\theta^{(k)}(z) = k\gamma_k \left[ g_{[k\theta]}^{(k)}(e^{-z/k}) - e^{-z/k} \right]$$

and

$$(4.6) \quad \psi_\theta^{(k)}(z) = k^2 \gamma_k \left[ 1 - h_{[k\theta]}^{(k)}(e^{-z/k}) \right].$$

Let us consider the following set of conditions:

**Condition (4.A)** For every  $l \geq 0$ , the sequence  $\{\phi_0^{(k)}\}$  is uniformly Lipschitz on  $[0, l]$  and there is a function  $\phi_0$  on  $[0, \infty)$  such that  $\phi_0^{(k)}(z) \rightarrow \phi_0(z)$  uniformly on  $[0, l]$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Condition (4.B)** There is a function  $\psi$  on  $[0, \infty)^2$  such that, for every  $l \geq 0$ ,  $\psi_\theta^{(k)}(z) \rightarrow \psi_\theta(z)$  uniformly on  $[0, l]^2$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$\sup_{\theta \in [0, a]} \frac{d}{dz} \psi_\theta(z)|_{z=0+} < \infty.$$

**Proposition 4.1.** If Conditions (4.A) and (4.B) hold, then for every  $q \geq 0$  there is a branching mechanism  $\phi_q$  such that  $\phi_q^{(k)}(z) \rightarrow \phi_q(z)$  uniformly on  $[0, l]$  for every  $l \geq 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover, the family of branching mechanisms  $\{\phi_q : q \geq 0\}$  is admissible with  $(\partial/\partial\theta)\phi_\theta(z) = -\psi_\theta(z)$ .

*Proof.* If Conditions (4.A) and (4.B) hold, then the limit function  $\phi_0$  has the representation (2.1) with  $(b, m) = (b_0, m_0)$  and  $\psi_\theta$  has the representation (2.5); see, e.g., Li (2011, p.76). By the definition of  $g_i^{(k)}$  it is simple to check that, for every  $q \geq 0$ ,

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_q^{(k)}(z) &= k\gamma_k [g_0^{(k)}(e^{-z/k}) - e^{-z/k}] \prod_{i=1}^{[kq]} h_i^{(k)}(e^{-z/k}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{[kq]} k\gamma_k [1 - h_i^{(k)}(e^{-z/k})] e^{-z/k} \prod_{j=i+1}^{[kq]} h_j^{(k)}(e^{-z/k}). \end{aligned}$$

By elementary calculations,

$$\prod_{i=1}^{[kq]} h_i^{(k)}(e^{-z/k}) = \exp \left\{ - \sum_{i=1}^{[kq]} \frac{1}{k^2 \gamma_k \zeta_i^{(k)}} \psi_{\frac{i}{k}}^{(k)}(z) \right\},$$

where  $\zeta_i^{(k)} \in [h_i^{(k)}(e^{-z/k}), 1]$ . It is easy to show that  $\prod_{i=1}^{[kq]} h_i^{(k)}(e^{-z/k})$  converges to 1 uniformly on  $[0, l]$  for every  $l \geq 0$  if Condition (4.B) holds, and hence for each  $1 \leq i \leq [kq]$ ,  $\prod_{j=i+1}^{[kq]} h_j^{(k)}(e^{-z/k})$  converges to 1 uniformly on  $[0, l]$  for every  $l \geq 0$ . By letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in (4.7) we see  $\phi_q^{(k)}(z)$  uniformly converge to a function  $\phi_q(z)$  on  $[0, l]$  for every  $l \geq 0$  and (2.6) holds. Then the desired result follows readily.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.** To each admissible family of branching mechanisms  $\{\phi_q : q \geq 0\}$  with  $(\partial/\partial\theta)\phi_\theta(z) = -\psi_\theta(z)$ , there correspond two sequences  $\{\phi_0^{(k)}\}$  and  $\{\psi_\theta^{(k)}\}$  in form of (4.5) and (4.6), respectively, so that Conditions (4.A) and (4.B) are satisfied.

*Proof.* By Li (2011, p.93) there is a sequence  $\{\phi_0^{(k)}\}$  in form of (4.5) satisfying Condition (4.A). By Li (2011, p.102), there is a family of probability generating functions  $\{\bar{h}_\theta^{(k)}\}$  such that

$$k[1 - \bar{h}_\theta^{(k)}(e^{-z/k})] \rightarrow \psi_\theta(z)$$

uniformly on  $[0, l]^2$  for every  $a \geq 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Let

$$\tilde{h}_\theta^{(k)}(z) = 1 + \frac{1}{k\gamma_k} [\bar{h}_\theta^{(k)}(z) - 1], \quad \theta \geq 0, \quad |z| \leq 1.$$

Clearly,  $\{\tilde{h}_\theta^{(k)} : \theta \geq 0\}$  is a family of probability generating functions and

$$k^2 \gamma_k [1 - \tilde{h}_\theta^{(k)}(e^{-z/k})] \rightarrow \psi_\theta(z)$$

uniformly on  $[0, l]^2$  for every  $l \geq 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . For each  $k \geq 1$ , define  $h_i^{(k)} = \tilde{h}_{i/k}^{(k)}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then by the continuity of  $(\theta, z) \mapsto \psi_\theta(z)$  we get the result.  $\square$

Given a constant  $a \geq 0$ , denote by  $\{Y_{t,a}^{(k)} : t \geq 0\}$  the restriction of  $\{Y_t^{(k)} : t \geq 0\}$  to  $[0, a]$ . Then it is easy to see

$$Y_{0,a}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{[ka]} X_0^{(k)}(i) \delta_{\frac{i}{k}} \quad \text{and} \quad Y_{t,a}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{[ka]} X_{[\gamma_k t]}^{(k)}(i) \delta_{\frac{i}{k}}.$$

Then  $\{Y_{t,a}^{(k)} : t \geq 0\}$  is a measure-valued Markov process with state space  $M_k[0, a]$ . From (4.3) one can see the (discrete) generator  $L_k$  of  $\{Y_{t,a}^{(k)} : t \geq 0\}$  is given by, for  $\nu = k^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{[ka]} x_i^{(k)} \delta_{i/k} \in M_k[0, a]$  and  $f \in C[0, a]^{++}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} L_k e^{-\langle \nu, f \rangle} &= \gamma_k \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{[ka]} g_i^{(k)}(e^{-f(\frac{i}{k})/k})^{x_i} h_i^{(k)}(e^{-f(\frac{i}{k})/k})^{\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} x_j} - e^{-\langle \nu, f \rangle} \right] \\ &= e^{-\langle \nu, f \rangle} \gamma_k \left[ \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{[ka]} \log \left( g_i^{(k)}(e^{-f(\frac{i}{k})/k})^{x_i} h_i^{(k)}(e^{-f(\frac{i}{k})/k})^{\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} x_j} \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \langle \nu, f \rangle \right\} - 1 \right] \\ (4.8) \quad &= e^{-\langle \nu, f \rangle} \gamma_k \left[ \exp \{ \alpha_k + \beta_k \} - 1 \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha_k = \sum_{i=0}^{[ka]} x_i \left[ \log g_i^{(k)}(e^{-f(\frac{i}{k})/k}) + f(\frac{i}{k})/k \right], \quad \beta_k = \sum_{i=0}^{[ka]} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} x_j \log h_i^{(k)}(e^{-f(\frac{i}{k})/k}).$$

By the definition of  $g_i^{(k)}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k &= \sum_{i=0}^{[ka]} x_i \left[ \log g_0^{(k)}(e^{-f(\frac{i}{k})/k}) + \sum_{j=0}^i \log h_j^{(k)}(e^{-f(\frac{i}{k})/k}) + f(\frac{i}{k})/k \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{[ka]} x_i \left[ \log g_0^{(k)}(e^{-f(\frac{i}{k})/k}) + f(\frac{i}{k})/k \right] + \sum_{i=0}^{[ka]} \sum_{j=0}^i x_i \log h_j^{(k)}(e^{-f(\frac{i}{k})/k}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k + \beta_k &= \sum_{i=0}^{[ka]} x_i \left[ \log g_0^{(k)}(e^{-f(\frac{i}{k})/k}) + f(\frac{i}{k})/k \right] + \sum_{i=0}^{[ka]} \sum_{j=0}^i x_i \log h_j^{(k)}(e^{-f(\frac{i}{k})/k}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{[ka]} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} x_j \log h_i^{(k)}(e^{-f(\frac{i}{k})/k}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{[ka]} x_i \left[ \log g_0^{(k)}(e^{-f(\frac{i}{k})/k}) + f(\frac{i}{k})/k \right] + \sum_{i=0}^{[ka]} \sum_{j=0}^i x_i \log h_j^{(k)}(e^{-f(\frac{i}{k})/k}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{[ka]} \sum_{j=i+1}^{[ka]-1} x_i \log h_j^{(k)}(e^{-f(\frac{i}{k})/k}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=0}^{[ka]} x_i \left[ \log g_0^{(k)}(e^{-f(\frac{i}{k})/k}) + f(\frac{i}{k})/k \right] + \sum_{i=0}^{[ka]} \sum_{j=0}^{[ka]} x_i \log h_j^{(k)}(e^{-f(\frac{i \vee j}{k})/k}) \\
&= \frac{1}{\gamma_k} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{[ka]} \frac{x_i}{k \zeta_i^{(k)}} \phi_0^{(k)}(f(\frac{i}{k})) - \sum_{i=0}^{[ka]} \frac{x_i}{k} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{[ka]} \frac{1}{k \zeta_{i,j}^{(k)}} \psi_{\frac{j}{k}}^{(k)}(f(\frac{i \vee j}{k})) \right) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\zeta_i^{(k)}$  is between  $e^{-f(\frac{i}{k})/k}$  and  $g_0^{(k)}(e^{-f(\frac{i}{k})/k})$ ,  $\zeta_{i,j}^{(k)} \in [h_j^{(k)}(e^{-f(\frac{i \vee j}{k})/k}), 1]$ . Clearly, both  $\zeta_i^{(k)}$  and  $\zeta_{i,j}^{(k)}$  converge to 1 uniformly as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  if Conditions (4.A) and (4.B) hold. Then the above equality implies

$$(4.9) \quad \alpha_k + \beta_k = \frac{1}{\gamma_k} \left[ \langle \nu, \phi_0^{(k)}(f(\cdot)) \rangle - \langle \nu, \Psi^{(k)}(\cdot, f) \rangle + o(1) \right],$$

where

$$\Psi^{(k)}(\cdot, f) = \sum_{j=0}^{[ka]} \frac{1}{k} \psi_{\frac{j}{k}}^{(k)}(f(\cdot \vee \frac{j}{k})).$$

Let  $\{Y_{t,a} : t \geq 0\}$  be the càdlàg superprocess with transition semigroup  $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$  defined by (2.9) and (2.10).

**Theorem 4.3.** *Suppose that Conditions (4.A) and (4.B) are satisfied. If  $Y_{0,a}^{(k)}$  converges to  $Y_{0,a}$  in distribution on  $M[0, a]$ , then  $\{Y_{t,a}^{(k)} : t \geq 0\}$  converges to  $\{Y_{t,a} : t \geq 0\}$  in distribution on  $D([0, \infty), M[0, a])$ .*

*Proof.* As in the proof of Theorem 2.1 in Li (2006), we shall prove the convergence of the generators. Let  $D_1$  be the algebra as defined in Theorem 3.1. For  $f \in C[0, a]^{++}$ , let

$$L e^{-\langle \nu, f \rangle} = e^{-\langle \nu, f \rangle} \left[ \langle \nu, \phi_0(f(\cdot)) \rangle - \langle \nu, \Psi(\cdot, f) \rangle \right], \quad \nu \in M[0, a],$$

and extend the definition of  $L$  to  $D_1$  by linearity. By (2.9) one can check that  $L$  is a restriction of strong generator of  $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . Note also that  $L := \{(f, Lf) : f \in D_1\}$  is a linear space of  $C_0(M[0, a]) \times C_0(M[0, a])$ . On the other hand, let  $f(x) = \lambda$  in (2.9) and (2.10), we have the function  $\lambda \mapsto V_t(\lambda)$  is strictly increasing on  $[0, \infty)$  for every  $t \geq 0$ ; see, e.g., Li (2011, p.58). Therefore,  $V_t(\lambda) > 0$  for every  $\lambda > 0$  and  $t \geq 0$ . In view of (2.9), for any  $f \in C[0, a]^{++}$  we have  $V_t f \in C[0, a]^{++}$  for every  $t \geq 0$ . Then  $D_1$  is invariant under  $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$ , which is a core of the strong generator of  $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$ ; see, e.g., Ethier and Kurtz (1986, p.17). In other words, the closure of  $L$  generates  $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$  uniquely; see, e.g., Ethier and Kurtz (1986, p.15 and p.17). Based on (4.8) and (4.9) one can see

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\nu \in M_k[0, a]} |L_k e^{-\langle \nu, f \rangle} - L e^{-\langle \nu, f \rangle}| = 0$$

for every  $f \in C[0, a]^{++}$ , which implies

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\nu \in M_k[0, a]} |L_k F(\nu) - L F(\nu)| = 0$$

for every  $F \in D_1$ . By Ethier and Kurtz (1986, p.226 and pp.233-234) we conclude that  $\{Y_{t,a}^{(k)} : t \geq 0\}$  converges to the immigration superprocess  $\{Y_{t,a} : t \geq 0\}$  in distribution on  $D([0, \infty), M[0, a])$ .  $\square$

Let  $\{0 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n = a\}$  be an ordered set of constants. Denote by  $\{Y_{t,a_i} : t \geq 0\}$  and  $\{Y_{t,a_i}^{(k)} : t \geq 0\}$  the restriction of  $\{Y_t : t \geq 0\}$  and  $\{Y_t^{(k)} : t \geq 0\}$

to  $[0, a_i]$ , respectively. Let  $Y_t(a_i) := Y_t[0, a_i]$  and  $Y_t^{(k)}(a_i) := Y_t^{(k)}[0, a_i]$  for every  $t \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . By arguments similar to those in Section 3 we have:

**Theorem 4.4.** *Suppose that Conditions (4.A) and (4.B) are satisfied. If  $Y_{0,a}^{(k)}$  converges to  $Y_{0,a}$  in distribution on  $M[0, a]$ , then  $\{(Y_{t,a_1}^{(k)}, \dots, Y_{t,a_n}^{(k)}) : t \geq 0\}$  converges to  $\{(Y_{t,a_1}, \dots, Y_{t,a_n}) : t \geq 0\}$  in distribution on  $D([0, \infty), M[0, a_1] \times \dots \times M[0, a_n])$ .*

**Corollary 4.5.** *Suppose that Conditions (4.A) and (4.B) are satisfied. If  $(Y_0^{(k)}(a_1), \dots, Y_0^{(k)}(a_n))$  converges to  $(Y_0(a_1), \dots, Y_0(a_n))$  in distribution on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ , then  $\{(Y_t^{(k)}(a_1), \dots, Y_t^{(k)}(a_n)) : t \geq 0\}$  converges to  $\{(Y_t(a_1), \dots, Y_t(a_n)) : t \geq 0\}$  in distribution on  $D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}_+^n)$ .*

**Acknowledgements.** This paper was written under the supervision of Professor Zenghu Li, to whom gratitude is expressed. We also would like to acknowledge the Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems (Ministry of Education, China) for providing us the research facilities.

## References

- [1] Abraham, R. and Delmas, J.-F. (2010): A continuum tree-valued Markov process. *Ann. Probab.* To appear.
- [2] Aldous, D. and Pitman, J. (1998): Tree-valued Markov chains derived from Galton-Watson processes. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* **34**, 637-686.
- [3] Bakhtin, Y. (2011): SPDE approximation for random trees. *Markov Process. Related Fields* **17**, 1-36.
- [4] Bertoin, J. and Le Gall, J.-F. (2003): Stochastic flows associated to coalescent processes. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **126**, 261-288.
- [5] Bertoin, J. and Le Gall, J.-F. (2005): Stochastic flows associated to coalescent processes II: Stochastic differential equations. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* **41**, 307-333.
- [6] Bertoin, J. and Le Gall, J.-F. (2006): Stochastic flows associated to coalescent processes III: Limit theorems. *Illinois J. Math.* **50**, 147-181.
- [7] Dawson, D.A. and Li, Z. (2006): Skew convolution semigroups and affine Markov processes. *Ann. Probab.* **34**, 1103-1142.
- [8] Dawson, D.A. and Li, Z. (2012): Stochastic equations, flows and measure-valued processes. *Ann. Probab.* **40**, 813-857.
- [9] Ethier, S.N. and Kurtz, T.G. (1986): *Markov Processes: Characterization and Convergence*. Wiley, New York.
- [10] Fu, Z. and Li, Z. (2010): Stochastic equations of non-negative processes with jumps. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **120**, 306-330.
- [11] Hewitt, E. and Stronmberg, K. (1975): *Real and Abstract Analysis*. Springer, Berlin.
- [12] Jiřina, M.(1958): Stochastic branching processes with continuous state space. *Czech. Math. J.* **8**, 292-313
- [13] Kawazu, K. and Watanabe, S. (1971): Branching processes with immigration and related limit theorems. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **16**, 36-54.
- [14] Lamperti, J. (1967): The limit of a sequence of branching processes. *Z. Wahrsch. verw. Geb.* **7**, 271-288.
- [15] Li, Z. (2006): A limit theorem for discrete Galton-Watson branching processes with immigration. *J. Appl. Probab.* **43**, 289-295.
- [16] Li, Z. (2011): *Measure-Valued Branching Markov Processes*. Springer, Berlin.
- [17] Li, Z. (2012): Path-valued branching processes and nonlocal branching superprocesses. *Ann. Probab.* To appear.

- [18] Li, Z. and Ma, C. (2008): Catalytic discrete state branching models and related limit theorems. *J. Theoret. Probab.* **21**, 936-965.
- [19] Roelly, S. (1986): A criterion of convergence of measure-valued processes: Application to measure branching processes. *Stochastics* **17**, 43-65.

School of Mathematical Sciences  
Beijing Normal University  
Beijing 100875, P. R. China  
E-mails: [hehui@bnu.edu.cn](mailto:hehui@bnu.edu.cn), [marugang@mail.bnu.edu.cn](mailto:marugang@mail.bnu.edu.cn)