## Hyperhomological Algebra Ten lectures at Beijing Normal University, October & November 2005, by Hans-Bjørn Foxby, University of Copenhagen, Denmark

ABSTRACT. Examples from ring theory will show the power of classical homological algebra ( $H^{1}A$ ). Hyperhomological algebra ( $H^{2}A$ ) will be presented and its strength will be demonstrated by several examples.

Contents

H<sup>0</sup>A: Rings (one lecture). Noetherian rings, Krull dimension, height of ideals, Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem and generalizations, regular local rings, Krull's conjectures: Regularity is stable under localization and implies unique factorization. Gorenstein local rings; do they localize? Depth of rings via regular sequences, Cohen–Macaulay rings; do they localize? Hierarchy: Regularity implies Gorensteinness, which implies Cohen–Macaulayness.

 $H^{1}A$ : Homological Algebra (two lectures). Modules, homomorphism functor, tensor product. Exactness of sequences, functors, projective modules, injective modules, flat modules, homological dimensions. Derived functors, Ext, Tor, homological dimensions revisited. Solutions/answers to the conjectures/questions in  $H^{0}A$ . Auslander's G-dimension. Bass' conjecture, Kaplansky's conjecture, Serre's intersection theorem, the intersection conjectures. The New Intersection Theorem. Section functors, local cohomology.

 $H^{2}A$ : Hyperhomological Algebra (six lectures). Complexes of modules and their morphisms, modules as complexes, quasi-isomorphisms. Homomorphism-complex of two complexes, tensor product of two complexes, canonical morphisms, canonical isomorphisms. The derived category, semi-projectivity, semi-injectivity, semi-flatness, derived functors, RHom functor, Ltensor functor, canonical morphisms and isomorphisms revisited. Section functors revisited, completion functors. Solutions to the homological conjectures in  $H^{1}A$ . Extension of Auslander's G-dimension, Auslander and Bass categories, their equivalence, their relations to G-dimensions. Local homomorphisms. Examples over non-commutative rings.

It turns out that  $H^2A$  is a much stronger tool than  $H^1A$  in the sense that it yields stronger results and/or easier proofs. This is because it deals with a larger class of objects: complexes of modules instead of merely modules (which are just complexes concentrated in degree zero). This can explained as follows.

 $H^{1}A$  has three steps: (1) Take resolution. (2) Apply functor. (3) Take homology.  $H^{2}A$  has only two: (1) Take resolution. (2) Apply functor. Important information is lost in step (3)!

H<sup>3</sup>A: Differential Graded Homological Algebra (one lecture). Differential Graded algebras. Koszul algebras, fiber algebras of local homomorphisms. Differential Graded modules.