

The $M^X/M/c$ queue with catastrophes and state-dependent control at idle time

Li Junping

Joint work with Zhang Lina

Central South University

13th Workshop on Markov Process and Related Topics
July 17-21, 2017, Wuhan



Contents

1 Background

Contents

- 1 Background
- 2 Preliminary

Contents

- 1 Background
- 2 Preliminary
- 3 Conclusions

Contents

- 1 Background
- 2 Preliminary
- 3 Conclusions
- 4 References

Contents

- 1 Background
- 2 Preliminary
- 3 Conclusions
- 4 References
- 5 Acknowledgements

Background

- Generalized $M/M/1$ with disasters:
 - $BMAP/SM/1$ queue with Markovian input of disasters. Dudin A and Karolik A. (2001, Performance Evaluation)
 - The $M/M/1$ queue with mass exodus and mass arrives when empty. Chen A Y, Renshaw E. (1997, J Appl Prob.)
 - BD-processes with catastrophes. Di Crescenzo A, Giorno V, Nobile A G and Ricciardi L M. (SPL, 2008)

Background

- $M_t/M_t/N$ Queue with Catastrophes. Zeifman A and Korotysheva A. (2012, Stochastic Models)

-The $M/M/c$ Queue With Mass Exodus and Mass Arrivals When Empty. Zhang L, Li J. (2015, JAP.)

Background

The model considered in this talk.

- The $M^X/M/c$ queue with catastrophes and control at idle time
- State space: $\mathbf{E} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$

-Definition of Q -matrix $Q = (q_{ij}; i, j \in \mathbf{E})$:

$$Q = Q^* + Q_s + Q_d \quad (1.1)$$

where $Q^* = (q_{ij}^*; i, j \in \mathbf{E})$, $Q_s = (q_{ij}^{(s)}; i, j \in \mathbf{E})$ and

$Q_d = (q_{ij}^{(d)}; i, j \in \mathbf{E})$ are all conservative Q -matrices which are given as follows

Background

$$q_{ij}^* = \begin{cases} \min(i, c)b_0, & \text{if } i \geq 1, j = i - 1, \\ b_1 - [\min(i, c) - 1]b_0, & \text{if } i \geq 1, j = i, \\ b_{j-i+1}, & \text{if } i \geq 1, j \geq i + 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

$$q_{ij}^{(s)} = \begin{cases} -h, & \text{if } i = 0, j = 0, \\ h_j, & \text{if } i = 0, j \geq 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

$$q_{ij}^{(d)} = \begin{cases} \beta, & \text{if } i \geq 1, j = 0, \\ -\beta, & \text{if } i \geq 1, j = i, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

Background

Here $\beta \geq 0$, $h_j \geq 0$ ($j \geq 1$) and $b_j \geq 0$ ($j \neq 1$) with

$$0 \leq h := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} h_j < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < -b_1 = \sum_{j \neq 1} b_j < \infty.$$

Since Q is conservative and bounded, there exists a unique Q -process, i.e., Feller minimal Q -process. We call this process a modified $M^X/M/c$ queueing process and denoted by $\{X_t; t \geq 0\}$.

In order to avoid trivial cases, we assume that $b_0 > 0$ and $\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} b_j > 0$.

Background

- Special Cases:

- $c = 1, \beta = 0$: Chen A Y, Renshaw E. (2004, A Appl. Prob.)

- $c = 1, \beta = 0, h_j = b_{j+1}$: Covers $M^X/M/1$ queue.

- $c = 1, b_j = 0 (j \geq 3)$: Covers Chen A Y, Renshaw E. (1997, J Appl. Prob.)

- $h_1 = b_2$ and $h_j = b_{j+1} = 0 (j \geq 2)$: Covers Zhang and Li (2015, J Appl. Prob.)

Background

- **Problems:**

(1) Case $\beta = 0$: for the $M^X/M/c$ with resurrection, recurrence and ergodicity criteria? Busy period? Equilibrium distribution? Equilibrium size?

(2) Case $\beta > 0$: How about the first catastrophe?

Background

Some notations. Denote $\tilde{Q} = Q^* + Q_s$.

- Q -process $\{X_t\}$: $(p_{ij}(t); i, j \in \mathbf{Z})$ for the Q -function and $(r_{ij}(\lambda); i, j \in \mathbf{Z})$ for the Q -resolvent.
- \tilde{Q} -process $\{\tilde{X}_t\}$: $(\tilde{p}_{ij}(t); i, j \in \mathbf{Z})$ for the Q -function and $(\tilde{r}_{ij}(\lambda); i, j \in \mathbf{Z})$ for the Q -resolvent.
- Q^* -process $\{X_t^*\}$: $(p_{ij}^*(t); i, j \in \mathbf{Z})$ for the Q -function and $(\phi_{ij}^*(\lambda); i, j \in \mathbf{Z})$ for the Q -resolvent.

Preliminary

Define the generating functions

$$B(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j s^j \quad (2.1)$$

$$B_i(s) = B(s) + (i-1)b_0(1-s), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, c. \quad (2.2)$$

$$H(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} h_j s^j \quad (2.3)$$

All the above functions are well defined on $[-1, 1]$.

Preliminary

Lemma 1. The equation $B_c(s) = 0$ has a smallest root u on $[0, 1]$ with $u = 1$ if $B'_c(1) \leq 0$ and $u < 1$ if $B'_c(1) > 0$. More specifically,
(i) if $B'_c(1) \leq 0$, then $B_c(s) > 0$, $s \in [0, 1)$.
(ii) if $B'_c(1) > 0$, then $B_c(s) = 0$ has exactly two roots, u and 1, in $[0, 1]$ such that $B_c(s) > 0$, $0 \leq s < u$ and $B_c(s) < 0$, $u < s < 1$.

We also define for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$U_\lambda(s) := B_c(s) - \lambda s. \quad (2.4)$$

It is clear that for any fixed $\lambda > 0$, the equation $U_\lambda(s) = 0$ has exactly one root $u(\lambda)$ on $[0, 1]$ and $0 < u(\lambda) < 1$.

Preliminary

Lemma 2. For $u(\cdot)$ as defined above.

- (i) $u(\lambda) \in C^\infty(0, \infty)$;
- (ii) $u(\lambda)$ is a decreasing function of $\lambda > 0$;
- (iii) $u(\lambda) \downarrow 0$ and $\lambda u(\lambda) \rightarrow cb_0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$;
- (iv) when $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$,

$$u(\lambda) \uparrow u \begin{cases} = 1 & \text{if } B'_c(1) \leq 0, \\ < 1 & \text{if } B'_c(1) > 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

where u is the smallest root of $B_c(s) = 0$ on $[0, 1]$;

(v) for any positive integer k ,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1 - u(\lambda)^k}{\lambda} = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } B'_c(1) \geq 0, \\ \frac{k}{-B'_c(1)} & \text{if } B'_c(1) < 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

Conclusions

- The stopped $M^X/M/c$ process

Theorem 1. For any $i \geq 0$, $(\phi_{ij}^*(\lambda); 0 \leq j \leq c-1)$ is the unique solution of the equations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\lambda\phi_{i0}^*(\lambda) - \sum_{k=1}^{c-1} u(\lambda)^{k-1} [B_c(u(\lambda)) - B_k(u(\lambda))] \phi_{ik}^*(\lambda) = -u(\lambda)^i, \\ -\lambda\phi_{i0}^*(\lambda) + b_0\phi_{i1}^*(\lambda) = -\delta_{i0}, \\ (b_1 - \lambda)\phi_{i1}^*(\lambda) + 2b_0\phi_{i2}^*(\lambda) = -\delta_{i1}, \\ \dots \\ \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \phi_{ik}^*(\lambda)b_{j-k+1} + [b_1 - (j-1)b_0 - \lambda]\phi_{ij}^*(\lambda) + (j+1)b_0\phi_{i,j+1}^*(\lambda) = -\delta_{ij}, \\ \dots \\ \sum_{k=1}^{c-3} \phi_{ik}^*(\lambda)b_{c-k-1} + [b_1 - (c-3)b_0 - \lambda]\phi_{i,c-2}^*(\lambda) + (c-1)b_0\phi_{i,c-1}^*(\lambda) = -\delta_{i,c-2} \end{array} \right.$$

where $u(\lambda) (\lambda > 0)$ is the unique root of $U_\lambda(s) = 0$ on $[0, 1]$.

Conclusions

Furthermore, by Theorem 1 and Kolmogorov forward equation, we can obtain all the resolvent $(\phi_{ij}^*(\lambda); i, j \in \mathbf{E})$ of the transition probability $(p_{ij}^*(t); i, j \in \mathbf{E})$.

Now denote

$$\tau_0^* = \inf\{t > 0; X_t^* = 0\}$$

$$e_k^* = P(\tau_0^* < \infty | X_0^* = k) \quad (k \geq 1)$$

$$m_i^*(k) = \int_0^\infty p_{ki}^*(t) dt \quad (i \geq 1)$$

Conclusions

Theorem 2. For the Q^* -process $\{X_t^*; t \geq 0\}$, we have

- (i) If $B'_c(1) \leq 0$, then $e_k^* = 1$, ($k \geq 1$);
- (ii) if $B'_c(1) > 0$, then $e_k^* = b_0 m_1^*(k)$ ($k \geq 1$) and for fixed $k \geq 1$, $(m_i^*(k); 1 \leq i \leq c-1)$ is the unique solution of the equations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} b_0 m_1^*(k) = u^k - \sum_{i=1}^{c-1} m_i^*(k) u^{i-1} (c-i) b_0 (1-u), \\ b_1 m_1^*(k) + 2b_0 m_2^*(k) = -\delta_{k1}, \\ \dots \\ \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} b_{j-i+1} m_i^*(k) + [b_1 - (j-1)b_0] m_j^*(k) + (j+1)b_0 m_{j+1}^*(k) = -\delta_{kj}, \\ \dots \\ \sum_{i=1}^{c-3} b_{c-i-1} m_i^*(k) + [b_1 - (c-3)b_0] m_{c-2}^*(k) + (c-1)b_0 m_{c-1}^*(k) = -\delta_{kc-2}, \end{array} \right.$$

where u is the smallest root of $B_c(s) = 0$ on $[0, 1]$.

Conclusions

Moreover, all the $(m_i^*(k); k \geq 1, i \geq 1)$ can be obtained.

(iii) The mean extinction time is

$$E(\tau_0^* | X_0^* = k) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{B'_c(1)} [k + \sum_{i=1}^{c-1} m_i^*(k)(c-i)b_0] & \text{if } B'_c(1) < 0, \\ \infty & \text{if } B'_c(1) \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $(m_i^*(k); 1 \leq i \leq c-1)$ is given by (ii).

Conclusions

- The $M^X/M/c$ process with resurrection (\tilde{Q} -process)

By Theorem 2, we have

Corollary 1. For the $M^X/M/c$ queue with resurrection, the mean busy period is

$$B = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{hB'_c(1)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_k [k + \sum_{i=1}^{c-1} m_i^*(k)(c-i)b_0], & \text{if } B'_c(1) < 0 \\ \infty, & \text{if } B'_c(1) \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$\tilde{P}(t) = (\tilde{p}_{ij}(t); i, j \geq 0)$$

$$\tilde{R}(\lambda) = (\tilde{r}_{ij}(\lambda); i, j \geq 0)$$

be the \tilde{Q} -function and \tilde{Q} -resolvent, respectively.

Conclusions

Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1 in Chen and Renshaw [3], using the resolvent decomposition theorem, we have

Theorem 3. For $\tilde{R}(\lambda) = (\tilde{r}_{ij}(\lambda); i, j \geq 0)$, we have

$$\tilde{r}_{00}(\lambda) = \left[\lambda + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} h_i \phi_{ij}^*(\lambda) \right]^{-1}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\tilde{r}_{i0}(\lambda) = \tilde{r}_{00}(\lambda) b_0 \phi_{i1}^*(\lambda) \quad (i \geq 1), \quad (3.2)$$

$$\tilde{r}_{0j}(\lambda) = \tilde{r}_{00}(\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h_i \phi_{ij}^*(\lambda) \quad (j \geq 1), \quad (3.3)$$

$$\tilde{r}_{ij}(\lambda) = \phi_{ij}^*(\lambda) + \tilde{r}_{i0}(\lambda) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_k \phi_{kj}^*(\lambda) \quad (i, j \geq 1), \quad (3.4)$$

where $\Phi^*(\lambda) = (\phi_{ij}^*(\lambda); i, j \geq 0)$ is the Q^* -resolvent.

Conclusions

Remark 1. $(\tilde{r}_{ij}(\lambda); i, j \geq 0)$ can be obtained. Indeed, by Theorem 1, we can get $(\phi_{ij}^*(\lambda); i, j \geq 1)$. Then by (3.1) and (3.2), we can get $\tilde{r}_{00}(\lambda)$ and $\tilde{r}_{i0}(\lambda)$, hence, by (3.3), we can obtain $\tilde{r}_{0j}(\lambda)$ ($j \geq 1$). Finally, $(\tilde{r}_{ij}(\lambda); i, j \geq 1)$ can be obtained by (3.4).

Conclusions

Theorem 4. For the modified $M^X/M/c$ queueing process with q -matrix \tilde{Q} , we have

- (i) the process is recurrent iff $B'_c(1) \leq 0$,
- (ii) the process is positive recurrent iff $B'_c(1) < 0$ and $H'(1) < \infty$.

Conclusions

Theorem 5. Suppose that $B'_c(1) < 0$ and $H'(1) < \infty$. The equilibrium generating function $\tilde{\Pi}(s)$ takes the form

$$\tilde{\Pi}(s) = \tilde{\pi}_0 \left[1 + \frac{s(h - H(s))}{B_c(s)} \right] + \frac{1}{B_c(s)} \sum_{k=1}^{c-1} \tilde{\pi}_k s^k (c-k)b_0(1-s),$$

where $\tilde{\pi}_0 = -B'_c(1) \left[-B'_c(1) + H'(1) + \sum_{k=1}^{c-1} r_k (c-k)b_0 \right]^{-1}$,
 $\tilde{\pi}_k = \tilde{\pi}_0 r_k$ ($k \geq 1$) and $\{r_k; k = 1, \dots, c+1\}$ are determined by

$$\begin{cases} b_0 r_1 = h, \\ b_1 r_1 + 2b_0 r_2 = -h_1, \\ \dots \\ \sum_{i=1}^{c-1} b_{c-i+1} r_i + [b_1 - (c-1)b_0] r_c + cb_0 r_{c+1} = -h_c, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} b_{j-i+1} r_i + [b_1 - (c-1)b_0] r_j + cb_0 r_{j+1} = -h_j \quad (j \geq c+1). \end{cases}$$

Conclusions

- The $M^X/M/c$ process with resurrection and catastrophes (Q -process)

By Chen and Renshaw [6], we have

Theorem 6. For $R(\lambda) = (r_{ij}(\lambda); i, j \geq 0)$, we have

$$r_{00}(\lambda) = \left[\lambda + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} h_i \phi_{ij}^*(\lambda + \beta) \right]^{-1}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$r_{i0}(\lambda) = r_{00}(\lambda) \left[b_0 \phi_{i1}^*(\lambda + \beta) + \beta \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_{ik}^*(\lambda + \beta) \right] \quad (i \geq 1), \quad (3.6)$$

$$r_{0j}(\lambda) = r_{00}(\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h_i \phi_{ij}^*(\lambda + \beta) \quad (j \geq 1), \quad (3.7)$$

$$r_{ij}(\lambda) = \phi_{ij}^*(\lambda + \beta) + r_{i0}(\lambda) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_k \phi_{kj}^*(\lambda + \beta) \quad (i, j \geq 1), \quad (3.8)$$

where $\Phi^*(\lambda) = (\phi_{ij}^*(\lambda); i, j \geq 0)$ is the Q^* -resolvent.

Conclusions

Lemma 3. For $(\phi_{ij}^*(\lambda); i, j \geq 0)$, denote $L_j(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h_i \phi_{ij}^*(\lambda)$ ($j \geq 0$). Then $(L_j(\lambda); 0 \leq j \leq c-1)$ is the unique solution of the following linear equations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\lambda L_0(\lambda) - \sum_{k=1}^{c-1} u(\lambda)^{k-1} [B_c(u(\lambda)) - B_k(u(\lambda))] L_k(\lambda) = -H(u(\lambda)), \\ -\lambda L_0(\lambda) + b_0 L_1(\lambda) = 0, \\ (b_1 - \lambda) L_1(\lambda) + 2b_0 L_2(\lambda) = -h_1, \\ \dots \\ \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} L_k(\lambda) b_{j-k+1} + [b_1 - (j-1)b_0 - \lambda] L_j(\lambda) + (j+1)b_0 L_{j+1}(\lambda) = -h_j, \\ \dots \\ \sum_{k=1}^{c-3} L_k(\lambda) b_{c-k-1} + [b_1 - (c-3)b_0 - \lambda] L_{c-2}(\lambda) + (c-1)b_0 L_{c-1}(\lambda) = -h_{c-2}, \end{array} \right.$$

where $u(\lambda)$ ($\lambda > 0$) is the unique root of $U_\lambda(s) = 0$ on $[0, 1]$.
Moreover, all the $(L_j(\lambda); j \geq 0)$ can be obtained.

Conclusions

Theorem 7. If $h, \beta > 0$, then the Q -process is always positive recurrent. The equilibrium distribution of the Q -process is given by

$$\pi_0 = \beta \left[\beta + H(1) - H(u(\beta)) + \sum_{k=1}^{c-1} L_j(\beta) u(\beta)^{k-1} (c-k) b_0 (1-u(\beta)) \right]^{-1},$$

$$\pi_j = \pi_0 L_j(\beta) \quad (j \geq 1)$$

and $(L_j(\beta); j \geq 1)$ is given by Lemma 3.

Conclusions

Theorem 8. The equilibrium queue size, N , has expectation

$$E(N) = \pi_0 \frac{(H(u(\beta)) - h - H'(1))(-\beta) - (H(u(\beta)) - h)(B'_c(1) - \beta)}{\beta^2} + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{c-1} \pi_k (c - k) b_0 [\beta + u(\beta)^{k-1} (1 - u(\beta)) B'_c(1)]}{\beta^2}$$

and the equilibrium waiting queue size, L_w , has expectation

$$E(L_w) = \pi_0 \left[\frac{(H(u(\beta)) - h - H'(1))(-\beta) - (H(u(\beta)) - h)(B'_c(1) - \beta)}{\beta^2} + c \right] + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{c-1} \pi_k (c - k) [b_0 (\beta + u(\beta)^{k-1} (1 - u(\beta)) B'_c(1)) + \beta^2]}{\beta^2} - c,$$

where $(\pi_k; 0 \leq k \leq c - 1)$ is given in Theorem 7.

Conclusions

Now, we consider the first effective catastrophe occurrence time of the Q -process $\{X_t; t \geq 0\}$.

The following lemma reveals that $(p_{ij}(t))$ can be expressed in terms of $(\tilde{p}_{ij}(t))$.

Lemma 4. For all $j, n \in \mathbf{E}$, $t > 0$, we have

$$p_{jn}(t) = e^{-\beta t} \tilde{p}_{jn}(t) + \beta \int_0^t e^{-\beta s} \tilde{p}_{0n}(s) ds, \quad (3.9)$$

or

$$r_{jn}(\lambda) = \tilde{r}_{jn}(\lambda + \beta) + \frac{\beta}{\lambda} \tilde{r}_{0n}(\lambda + \beta), \quad \lambda > 0. \quad (3.10)$$

Conclusions

Let C_{j0} denote the first occurrence time of an effective catastrophe when $X_0 = j$ ($j \in \mathbf{E}$), and let $d_{j0}(t)$ ($t > 0$) be the density of C_{j0} .

In order to study C_{j0} , we consider a modified process $\{M_t; t \geq 0\}$. Its behavior is identical to that of $\{X_t; t \geq 0\}$ before catastrophe, the only difference is that the effect of a catastrophe from state $n > 0$ makes a jump from n to the absorbing state -1 .

Let $H(t) = (h_{jn}(t), j, n \in \mathbf{S})$ and $\eta(\lambda) = (\eta_{jn}(\lambda), j, n \in \mathbf{S})$ be the Q_M -function and Q_M -resolvent, respectively.

By the relation of $\{X_t; t \geq 0\}$ and $\{M_t; t \geq 0\}$, we have

$$P(C_{j0} > t) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} h_{jn}(t) = 1 - h_{j,-1}(t), \quad j \in \mathbf{E}. \quad (3.11)$$

Conclusions

Now we need to consider $(\eta_{jn}(\lambda); j, n \in \mathbf{S})$.

Theorem 9. For all $j \in \mathbf{E}$ and $\lambda > 0$, we have

$$\eta_{j,-1}(\lambda) = \frac{\beta}{\lambda + \beta} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{\tilde{r}_{j0}(\lambda + \beta)}{1 - \beta\tilde{r}_{00}(\lambda + \beta)} \right], \quad (3.12)$$

$$\eta_{jn}(\lambda) = \tilde{r}_{jn}(\lambda + \beta) + \beta\tilde{r}_{0n}(\lambda + \beta) \frac{\tilde{r}_{j0}(\lambda + \beta)}{1 - \beta\tilde{r}_{00}(\lambda + \beta)}, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (3.13)$$

where $(\tilde{r}_{jn}(\lambda + \beta); j, n \in \mathbf{E})$ is given by Remark 1.

Conclusions

Sketch of proof.

Step 1. Comparing the Kolmogorov forward equation for $(\eta_{0j}(\lambda); j \geq 0)$ and $(r_{0j}(\lambda); j \geq 0)$, we can get

$$\eta_{0n}(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \beta - \lambda\beta r_{00}(\lambda)} \cdot r_{0n}(\lambda), \quad n \geq 0. \quad (3.14)$$

By Lemma 4, we obtain (3.13) with $j = 0$.

Step 2. Comparing the Kolmogorov forward equation for $(\eta_{jn}(\lambda); n \geq 0)$ and $(r_{jn}(\lambda); n \geq 0)$ with $j \geq 1$, we can get

$$\eta_{jn}(\lambda) = D_j(\lambda)r_{jn}(\lambda) + F_j(\lambda)r_{0n}(\lambda), \quad j \geq 1, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (3.15)$$

where

$$D_j(\lambda) = 1, \quad F_j(\lambda) = \frac{\beta[\lambda r_{j0}(\lambda) - 1]}{\lambda + \beta - \lambda\beta r_{00}(\lambda)}, \quad j \geq 1. \quad (3.16)$$

Conclusions

By Lemma 4, we obtain (3.13) with $j \geq 1$.

Finally, noting $h'_{j,-1}(t) = \beta(1 - h_{j,-1}(t) - h_{j,0}(t))$ and (3.13) with $n = 0$ we get (3.12).

Conclusions

Corollary 2. For all $j \in \mathbf{E}$, we have

$$E(C_{j0}) = \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{\tilde{r}_{j0}(\beta)}{1 - \beta\tilde{r}_{00}(\beta)},$$

$$D(C_{j0}) = \frac{1}{\beta^2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\beta^2 \tilde{r}_{j0}^2(\beta)}{[1 - \beta\tilde{r}_{00}(\beta)]^2} - \frac{2\beta^2}{1 - \beta\tilde{r}_{00}(\beta)} \tilde{r}'_{j0}(\beta) - \frac{2\beta^3 \tilde{r}_{j0}(\beta)}{[1 - \beta\tilde{r}_{00}(\beta)]^2} \tilde{r}'_{00}(\beta) \right\},$$

where $\tilde{r}_{j0}(\beta)$ ($j \in \mathbf{E}$) are given by Remark 1.

Conclusions

Corollary 3. (i) If $B'_c(1) < 0$ and $H'(1) < \infty$, then

$$\lim_{\beta \downarrow 0} \beta E(C_{j0}) = \frac{-B'_c(1) + H'(1) + \sum_{k=1}^{c-1} r_k(c-k)b_0}{H'(1) + \sum_{k=1}^{c-1} r_k(c-k)b_0}, \quad j \in \mathbf{E}; \quad (3.17)$$

(ii) if $B'_c(1) > 0$, then

$$\lim_{\beta \downarrow 0} \left[E(C_{j0}) - \frac{1}{\beta} \right] = \frac{b_0 m_1^*(j)}{h - H(u) + \sum_{k=1}^{c-1} u^k(c-k)b_0(1-u)r_k}, \quad j \geq 0, \quad (3.18)$$

where $m_1^*(0) = b_0^{-1}$, $(m_1^*(j); j \geq 1)$ and $(r_k; 1 \leq k \leq c-1)$ are given by Theorems 2 and 5, respectively.

Conclusions

Corollary 4.

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow +\infty} E(C_{00}) = \frac{1}{h},$$

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow +\infty} \beta E(C_{j0}) = \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{b_0}{h}, & j = 1, \\ 1, & j \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

References



Anderson W.

Continuous-Time Markov Chains: An Applications-Oriented Approach. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1991



Artalejo J.

G-networks: a versatile approach for work removal in queueing networks.
Eur J Oper Res, 2000, 126: 233-249



Asmussen S.

Applied Probability and Queues, 2nd edn. New York: John Wiley, 2003



Bayer N and Boxma O J.

Wiener-Hopf analysis of an $M/G/1$ queue with negative customers and of a related class of random walks.

Queueing Systems, 1996, 23: 301-316



Chen A Y, Pollett P, Li J P and Zhang H J.

Markovian bulk-arrival and bulk-service queues with state-dependent control.

Queueing Systems, 2010, 64: 267-304

References



Chen A Y, Renshaw E.

Markov branching processes with instantaneous immigration.

Prob Theory Relat Fields, 1990, 87: 209–240



Chen A Y, Renshaw E.

The M/M/1 queue with mass exodus and mass arrives when empty.

J Appl Prob, 1997, 34: 192-207



Chen A Y, Renshaw E.

Markov bulk-arriving queues with state-dependent control at idle time.

Adv Appl Prob, 2004, 36: 499-524



Chen M F.

From Markov Chains to Non-Equilibrium Particle Systems. Singapore: World Scientific, 1992



Chen M F.

Eigenvalues, Inequalities, and Ergodic Theory. London: Springer-Verlag, 2004

References



Di Crescenzo A, Giorno V, Nobile A G and Ricciardi L M.

A note on birth-death processes with catastrophes.

Statistics and Probability Letters, 2008, 78: 2248-2257



Dudin A and Karolik A.

BMAP/SM/1 queue with Markovian input of disasters and non-instantaneous recovery.

Performance Evaluation, 2001, 45: 19-32



Gelenbe E.

Product-form queueing networks with negative and positive customers.

J Appl Prob, 1991, 28: 656-663



Gelenbe E, Glynn P and Sigman K.

Queues with negative arrivals.

J Appl Prob, 1991, 28: 245-250



Gross D, Harris C M.

Fundamentals of Queueing Theory. New York: John Wiley, 1985

References



Li J P, Chen A Y.

Markov branching processes with immigration and resurrection.

Markov Processes Relat Fields, 2006, 12: 139–168



Pakes A G.

Killing and resurrection of Markov processes. Commun Statist–Stochastic Models, 1997, 13: 255-269



Parthasarathy P R and Krishna Kumar B.

Density-dependent birth and death processes with state-dependent immigration.

Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 1991, 15: 11-16



Zeifman A and Korotysheva A.

Perturbation Bounds for $M_t/M_t/N$ Queue with Catastrophes.

Stochastic Models, 2012, 28: 49-62



Zhang L, Li J.

The $M/M/c$ Queue With Mass Exodus and Mass Arrivals When Empty.

Journal of Applied Probability, 2015, 52: 990-1002.

Acknowledgements

Thank you!



Email: jpli@mail.csu.edu.cn