

Dynamics of multivariate default system in random environment

Ying Jiao

Université Claude Bernard - Lyon 1

Joint work with Nicole El Karoui (Université Pierre et Marie Curie - Paris 6) and Monique Jeanblanc (Université d'Evry)

18 July 2017, Wuhan
13th Workshop on Markov Process and Related Topics

Introduction

- ▶ In the reliability system, we consider a system of multi-components and study the dynamics of failure times based on the history of failure process: Knight (1975) and Arjas & Norros (1985, 1991).
- ▶ In the credit risk analysis, we are also interested in failure times – the defaults on the financial market. However the environmental information is important.
- ▶ Default modelling is based on the theory the enlargement of filtrations developed by Jacod, Jeulin & Yor...in the 70s-80s.
- ▶ Literature on multi-default modelling with two main approaches: bottom-up and top-down models for respectively non-ordered and ordered defaults.

Plan of our work

- ▶ Consider a multivariate system in a general setting of enlargement of filtrations in presence of environmental information.
- ▶ Use a random variable χ to describe all default risks and to study the dependence between the multi-default system and the environmental information.
- ▶ This general setting can be applied flexibly to diverse situations, including bottom-up and top-down models.
- ▶ The dependence structure between the default system and the market environment can be described in a dynamic manner and represented by a change of probability.

The multi-default system

Basic setting

- ▶ $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$: probability space for the market
- ▶ E : a Polish space
- ▶ $\chi : \Omega \rightarrow E$ random variable describing all default uncertainties

Very flexible framework

- ▶ Permits to consider both bottom-up and top-down models:
 - ▶ $E = \mathbb{R}_+^n$, $\chi = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^n$ models the default times of n firms.
 - ▶ $E = (\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})^n$, $\chi = (\tau_i, L_i)_{i=1}^n : \Omega \rightarrow E$ models the default times with corresponding loss (or gain).
 - ▶ $E = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \mid x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n\}$, $\chi = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) : \Omega \rightarrow E$ models the successive default times.

Modelling of the default filtration

- ▶ The observable default information can be induced by a filtration $(\mathcal{N}_t^E)_{t \geq 0}$ of $\mathcal{B}(E)$.
- ▶ The default filtration $(\mathcal{N}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on (Ω, \mathcal{A}) is defined as the inverse image given by $\mathcal{N}_t = \chi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_t^E)$.
- ▶ If $(\mathcal{N}_t^E)_{t \geq 0}$ is generated by some observation process $(N_t)_{t \geq 0}$, then $(\mathcal{N}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is generated by $(N_t \circ \chi)_{t \geq 0}$.

Examples

- ▶ If $\mathcal{N}_t^E = \mathcal{E}$ for all t , then $\mathcal{N}_t = \chi^{-1}(\mathcal{E}) = \sigma(\chi)$: initial enlargement of filtration
- ▶ If $\chi = \tau$ and \mathcal{N}_t^E is generated by the functions of the form $\mathbf{1}_{[0,s]}$ with $s \leq t$, then $\mathcal{N}_t = \sigma(\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq s\}}, s \leq t)$: progressive enlargement of filtration
- ▶ In general, $(\mathcal{N}_t^E)_{t \geq 0}$ can be any filtration on (E, \mathcal{E}) which can differ from the initial and progressive enlargements.

The prediction process

- ▶ Complete information on χ is not observable.
- ▶ $(\mathcal{N}_t)_{t \geq 0}$: filtration of \mathcal{A} representing the information related to defaults observable on the market.

Definition (Norros)

Let η_t be the \mathcal{N}_t -conditional law of χ , $t \geq 0$. The measure-valued process $(\eta_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is called the **prediction process** of χ .

- ▶ $(\eta_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is an $(\mathcal{N}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ -adapted process valued in the space $\mathcal{P}(E)$ of Borel probability measures on E .
- ▶ Existence of a càdlàg version which is unique up to indistinguishability.
- ▶ Martingale with respect to the weak topology on $\mathcal{P}(E)$: for any bounded Borel function h on E

$$\left(\int_E h(x) \eta_t(dx), t \geq 0 \right) \text{ is an } (\mathcal{N}_t)_{t \geq 0}\text{-martingale.}$$

Example: one default

- ▶ $E = \mathbb{R}_+$, $\chi = \tau : \Omega \rightarrow E$.
- ▶ $(\mathcal{N}_t)_{t \geq 0}$: filtration generated by $(\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} = \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]} \circ \chi)_{t \geq 0}$.
- ▶ η : probability law of τ .

Prediction process

$$\eta_t(dx) = \frac{\mathbf{1}_{]t, +\infty[}(x) \eta(dx)}{\eta(]t, +\infty[)} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} + \delta_\tau(dx) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}}.$$

Remark

Let η_t^E be the random measure

$$\frac{\mathbf{1}_{]t, +\infty[}(x) \eta(dx)}{\eta(]t, +\infty[)} \mathbf{1}_{]t, +\infty[}(\cdot) + \delta_{(\cdot)}(dx) \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(\cdot) \quad \text{on } E.$$

One has $\int_E h(x) \eta_t(dx) = \left(\int_E h(x) \eta_t^E(dx) \right) \circ \tau$ for any bounded Borel function h on E .

Example: successive defaults

- ▶ $E = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \mid x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n\}$, $\chi = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) : \Omega \rightarrow E$.
- ▶ $(\mathcal{N}_t)_{t \geq 0}$: filtration generated by $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma_i \leq t\}}$

Prediction process

$$\eta_t(dx) = \sum_{i=0}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma_i \leq t < \sigma_{i+1}\}} \frac{\eta_{|\sigma(i)}(\mathbf{1}_{\{t < u_{i+1}(x)\}} \cdot dx)}{\eta_{|\sigma(i)}(\mathbf{1}_{\{t < u_{i+1}(\cdot)\}})}$$

or equivalently

$$\eta_t(dx) = \frac{\eta_{|\sigma(N_t)}(\mathbf{1}_{\{t < u_{N_t+1}(x)\}} \cdot dx)}{\eta_{|\sigma(N_t)}(\mathbf{1}_{\{t < u_{N_t+1}(\cdot)\}})}.$$

- ▶ $\eta_{|\sigma(i)}$ is the conditional law of χ given $\sigma(i) := (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_i)$
- ▶ $\eta_{|\sigma(i)}(\mathbf{1}_{\{t < u_{i+1}(x)\}} \cdot dx)$ denotes the random measure on E sending a bounded Borel function $h : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to

$$\int_E h(x) \eta_{|\sigma(i)}(\mathbf{1}_{\{t < u_{i+1}(x)\}} \cdot dx) := \mathbb{E}[h(\chi) \mathbf{1}_{\{t < \sigma_{i+1}\}} \mid \sigma(i)].$$

Interaction with environmental information - product space

We distinguish two sources of risks

- ▶ $(\Omega^\circ, (\mathcal{F}_t^\circ)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}^\circ)$: the market without default
- ▶ χ valued in $(E, \mathcal{B}(E))$: the default information

We model the global market by the **product space**

$$(\Omega, \mathcal{A}) := (\Omega^\circ \times E, \mathcal{F}_\infty^\circ \otimes \mathcal{B}(E))$$

- ▶ $\chi: \Omega = \Omega^\circ \times E \rightarrow E$ given by projection to the 2nd coordinate.

Filtrations of \mathcal{A}

- ▶ Default-free filtration: $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with $\mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{F}_t^\circ \otimes \{\emptyset, E\}$.
- ▶ Default filtration: $(\mathcal{N}_t)_{t \geq 0} = (\chi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_t^E))_{t \geq 0}$, where $(\mathcal{N}_t^E)_{t \geq 0}$ is a filtration of $\mathcal{B}(E)$.
- ▶ Market filtration: $\mathbb{G} = (\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with $\mathcal{G}_t = \mathcal{F}_t \vee \mathcal{N}_t = \mathcal{F}_t^\circ \otimes \mathcal{N}_t^E$.
(progressive enlargement of filtrations)
- ▶ Global filtration: $\mathbb{H} = (\mathcal{H}_t)_{t \geq 0}$, $\mathcal{H}_t = \mathcal{F}_t \vee \sigma(\chi) = \mathcal{F}_t^\circ \otimes \mathcal{B}(E)$.
(initial enlargement of filtrations)

The probability measures

- ▶ Let the law of χ be a Borel probability measure η on E .
- ▶ Let $\bar{\mathbb{P}} = \mathbb{P}^\circ \otimes \eta$ be the **product measure** on Ω .
 - ▶ η and \mathbb{F} are independent under $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$.
 - ▶ The probability law of χ under $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$ is η .

Change of probability measure

Consider the probability \mathbb{P} given by a change of probability measure

$$\mathbb{P}(d\omega, dx) = \beta_t(\omega, x) \bar{\mathbb{P}}(d\omega, dx) \quad \text{on } \mathcal{F}_t \vee \sigma(\chi)$$

where β is a positive $(\mathbb{H}, \bar{\mathbb{P}})$ -martingale with $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}^\circ}[\beta_t(x)] = 1$ for $x \in E$ and $t \geq 0$ (in particular, $\beta_0(x) = 1$).

- ▶ The probability law of χ under \mathbb{P} is unchanged and remains η .
- ▶ The \mathcal{N}_t -conditional law η_t of χ (the prediction process) is the same under \mathbb{P} and $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$.
- ▶ The marginal law of \mathbb{P} on Ω° equals \mathbb{P}° if and only if $\int_E \beta_t(x) \eta(dx) = 1$ for any $t \geq 0$.

\mathbb{G} -conditional law under $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$

- ▶ Under $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$, the \mathcal{G}_t -conditional law of χ is still η_t by the independence of χ and \mathbb{F} .
- ▶ For any bounded \mathcal{H}_t -mesurable function $Y_t(\cdot)$,

$$\eta_t(Y_t(\cdot)) := \int_E Y_t(x) \eta_t(dx) = \mathbb{E}_{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}[Y_t(\chi) | \mathcal{G}_t].$$

- ▶ More generally, for any bounded \mathcal{H}_∞ -mesurable function $Y_\infty(\cdot)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_\infty(\chi) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}_t = \mathcal{F}_t \vee \sigma(\chi)} & \mathbb{E}_{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}[Y_\infty(\chi) | \mathcal{H}_t] \\ \mathcal{F}_\infty \vee \mathcal{N}_t \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{G}_t \\ \mathbb{E}_{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}[Y_\infty(\chi) | \mathcal{F}_\infty \vee \mathcal{N}_t] & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}_t} & \mathbb{E}_{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}[Y_\infty(\chi) | \mathcal{G}_t] \end{array}$$

leading to

$$\mathbb{E}_{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}[Y_\infty(\chi) | \mathcal{G}_t] = \eta_t(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}^\circ}[Y_\infty(\cdot) | \mathcal{F}_t^\circ])$$

\mathbb{G} -conditional law under \mathbb{P}

Proposition

- ▶ The \mathcal{G}_t -conditional law $\eta_t^{\mathbb{G}}$ under \mathbb{P} is given by

$$\eta_t^{\mathbb{G}}(dx) = \frac{\eta_t(\beta_t(x) \cdot dx)}{\eta_t(\beta_t(\cdot))}.$$

- ▶ Let $T \geq t \geq 0$ and $Y_T(\cdot)$ be a bounded \mathcal{H}_T -mesurable function. One has

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[Y_T(\chi)|\mathcal{G}_t] = \int_E \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}^\circ} \left[\frac{Y_T(x)\beta_T(x)}{\beta_t(x)} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t^\circ \right] \eta_t^{\mathbb{G}}(dx),$$

or equivalently,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[Y_T(\chi)|\mathcal{G}_t] = \frac{\eta_t(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}^\circ}[Y_T(\cdot)\beta_T(\cdot)|\mathcal{F}_t^\circ])}{\eta_t(\beta_t(\cdot))}.$$

Exemple: non-ordered defaults

- ▶ $E = \mathbb{R}_+^n$, $\chi = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$
- ▶ For $t \geq 0$ and $J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, define the set E_t^J to be

$$\{x_J \leq t, x_{J^c} > t\} := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E \mid x_i \leq t \text{ for } i \in J, x_j > t \text{ for } j \in J^c\}.$$

- ▶ Default observations: \mathcal{N}_t^E generated by $(E_s^J, s \leq t)$ on E , and $\mathcal{N}_t = \chi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_t^E)$ generated by $(\mathbf{1}_{E_s^J} \circ \chi, s \leq t)$ on \mathcal{A}
- ▶ Prediction process :

$$\eta_t(dx) = \sum_{J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_J \leq t, \tau_{J^c} > t\}} \frac{\eta_J(\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_{J^c} > t\}} \cdot dx)}{\eta_J(\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_{J^c} > t\}})},$$

where η_J is the conditional law of χ with respect to τ_J .

- ▶ \mathbb{G} -conditional law of χ

$$\eta_t^{\mathbb{G}} = \sum_{J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_J \leq t, \tau_{J^c} > t\}} \frac{\eta_J(\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_{J^c} > t\}} \beta_t(x) \cdot dx)}{\eta_J(\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_{J^c} > t\}} \beta_t(\cdot))}.$$

Martingale characterization

The previous proposition allows to characterize \mathbb{G} martingale processes. Recall that $\eta_t(\beta_t(\cdot)) = \int_E \beta_t(x) \eta_t(dx)$.

Theorem

Let $(M_t(\cdot))_{t \geq 0}$ be a \mathbb{G} -adapted process. It is a (\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{P}) -martingale if the process

$$\tilde{M}_t(\cdot) := M_t(\cdot) \int_E \beta_t(x) \eta_t(dx), \quad t \geq 0$$

is a $(\mathbb{G}, \bar{\mathbb{P}})$ -martingale, or equivalently if

$$\forall T \geq t \geq 0, \quad \int_E \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[\tilde{M}_T(x) | \mathcal{F}_t] \eta_t(dx) = \tilde{M}_t(\cdot).$$

Change of probability vs Density

Change of probability \Rightarrow \mathbb{F} -conditional density

The \mathbb{F} -conditional law of χ under \mathbb{P} admit a density w.r.t. η .

$$\mathbb{P}(\chi \in dx | \mathcal{F}_t) = \frac{\beta_t(x) \eta(dx)}{\int_E \beta_t(x) \eta(dx)}$$

Proof. Under $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$, we have by independence of η and \mathbb{F}

$$\mathbb{E}_{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}[\beta_t(\chi) | \mathcal{F}_t] = \int_E \beta_t(x) \eta(dx).$$

For a non-negative Borel function f on E , by Bayes formula

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[f(\chi) | \mathcal{F}_t] = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}[f(\chi) \beta_t(\chi) | \mathcal{F}_t]}{\mathbb{E}_{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}[\beta_t(\chi) | \mathcal{F}_t]} = \frac{\int_E f(x) \beta_t(x) \eta(dx)}{\int_E \beta_t(x) \eta(dx)}.$$

Density \Rightarrow change of probability

Jacod's hypothesis

We suppose that the \mathcal{F}_t -conditional law of χ has a density $\alpha_t(\chi)$ w.r.t. a σ -finite Borel measure ν on E , i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}(\chi \in dx | \mathcal{F}_t) = \alpha_t(x) \nu(dx).$$

In multi-default modelling, adopted by El Karoui, Jeanblanc & J. and Kchia, Larsson & Protter.

Consequences:

- ▶ $\eta(dx) = \alpha_0(x) \nu(dx)$.
- ▶ The density $(\alpha_t(\cdot), t \geq 0)_{x \in E}$ is an (\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P}) -martingale.

Proposition

The probability measure \mathbb{P} is absolutely continuous w.r.t. $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$ (with \mathbb{P}° the marginal law of \mathbb{P} on Ω°) and the Radon-Nikodym derivative on \mathcal{H}_t is

$$\beta_t(\chi) := \frac{\alpha_t(\chi)}{\alpha_0(\chi)}.$$

Example: ordered defaults

- ▶ $E = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \mid x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n\}$, $\chi = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) : \Omega \rightarrow E$
- ▶ Assume that the probability measure η admits a density $\alpha_0(x)$ w.r.t. Lebesgue measure. For any $t \geq 0$,

$$\alpha_t(x) = \alpha_0(x)\beta_t(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$$

is the (\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P}) -conditional density of $\chi = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$.

Proposition

The \mathbb{G} -intensity of the counting process $(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma_i \leq t\}})_{t \geq 0}$ is

$$\lambda_t = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma_i \leq t < \sigma_{i+1}\}} \frac{\int_t^\infty \dots \int_t^\infty \alpha_t(\sigma_{(i)}, t, x_{i+2}, \dots, x_n) dx_{i+2} \dots dx_n}{\int_t^\infty \dots \int_t^\infty \alpha_t(\sigma_{(i)}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) dx_{i+1} \dots dx_n},$$

where $\sigma_{(i)} = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_i)$.

Impact of the default events

Proposition

Let $Y_T(\cdot)$ be a bounded \mathcal{H}_T -mesurable function. One has

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_T(\chi) | \mathcal{G}_t] = \sum_{i=0}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma_i \leq t < \sigma_{i+1}\}} \frac{\int_t^\infty \mathbb{E}[Y_T(x) \alpha_T(x) | \mathcal{F}_t] dx_{(i+1:n)}}{\int_t^\infty \alpha_t(x) dx_{(i+1:n)}} \Bigg|_{x_{(i)} = \sigma_{(i)}},$$

where $x_{(i+1:n)} = (x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$.

- ▶ Regime switching on each scenario of default.
- ▶ Jump of the firm value at the default time σ_j .

Back to the general setting

In practice, the market together with different types of information is modelled by a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ which is not necessarily a product space:

- ▶ $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$: probability space for the market
- ▶ $\chi : \Omega \rightarrow E$ default uncertainty random variable
- ▶ $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ filtration of \mathcal{A} with \mathcal{F}_0 being the trivial σ -algebra: default free informations.
- ▶ $(\mathcal{N}_t^E)_{t \geq 0}$ filtration of $\mathcal{B}(E)$ and $(\mathcal{N}_t)_{t \geq 0} = (\chi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_t^E))_{t \geq 0}$ filtration of \mathcal{A} : default informations.
- ▶ $\mathbb{G} = (\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ the enlargement of \mathbb{F} by $(\mathcal{N}_t)_{t \geq 0}$: global market information.

However, the previous results with the product space can be useful tools in the general setting.

Decoupling measure under equivalence hypothesis

- ▶ In the classic setting of initial enlargement of filtration, Gorud and Pontier (2001) have proved that if the \mathcal{F}_t -conditional law of χ is equivalent to its probability law η , i.e. $\beta_t(\cdot) > 0$ a.s., then there exists a probability measure $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}$ defined by

$$\frac{d\widehat{\mathbb{P}}}{d\mathbb{P}} \Big|_{\mathcal{H}_t} = \frac{1}{\beta_t(\chi)}$$

under which χ is independent of \mathbb{F} .

- ▶ In the general case where $\beta_t(\cdot)$ is not necessarily strictly positive, that is, the \mathcal{F}_t -conditional probability law of χ is absolutely continuous but not equivalent w.r.t. η , we can no longer use the above approach since $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}$ is not well defined.

Main tool to link the product space

Idea: extend the original probability space by introducing an auxiliary product space $(\Omega \times E, \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{E})$ equipped with a product probability measure $\bar{\mathbb{P}} = \mathbb{P} \otimes \eta$ and then use the graph map of χ .

Definition

Let $\Gamma_\chi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega \times E$ be the graph map sending $\omega \in \Omega$ to $(\omega, \chi(\omega))$.

- ▶ $\forall \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{A}$, any $\mathcal{F} \vee \sigma(\chi)$ -measurable function can be written as $Y(\chi) := Y(\cdot) \circ \Gamma_\chi$, where $Y(\cdot)$ is an $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}(E)$ -measurable function on $\Omega \times E$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_\chi} & \Omega \times E & \xrightarrow{Y(\cdot)} & \mathbb{R} . \\ & & \searrow & \nearrow & \\ & & & & Y(\chi) \end{array}$$

Proposition

Let $(Y_t(\cdot), t \geq 0)$ be a process adapted to the filtration $\mathbb{F} \otimes \mathcal{N}^E$, then $(Y_t(\chi), t \geq 0)$ is a \mathbb{G} -adapted process.

Remarks on \mathbb{G} -adapted processes

Recall that $\mathcal{G}_t = \mathcal{F}_t \vee \mathcal{N}_t$, $t \geq 0$ where $\mathcal{N}_t = \chi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_t^E)$.

- ▶ If $\mathcal{N}_t^E = \mathcal{B}(E)$ for all t , then $\mathcal{N}_t = \chi^{-1}(\mathcal{E}) = \sigma(\chi)$. So \mathbb{G} coincides with the initial enlargement of filtration. The previous lemma is similar as in Grorud & Pontier.
- ▶ If $\chi = \tau$ and \mathcal{N}_t^E is generated by the functions of the form $\mathbf{1}_{[0,s]}$ with $s \leq t$, then \mathbb{G} is the progressive enlargement.
- ▶ In general, \mathbb{G} can be different since $(\mathcal{N}_t^E)_{t \geq 0}$ can be any filtration on (E, \mathcal{E}) . So the measurability of $Y_t(\cdot)$ is possibly different with the classic initial and progressive enlargements.

The induced probability of Γ_χ

- ▶ Let \mathbb{P}' be the probability related to $\Gamma_\chi : \omega \rightarrow (\omega, \chi(\omega))$, i.e., for non-negative $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{E}$ -measurable function f on $\Omega \times E$,

$$\int_{\Omega \times E} f(\omega, x) \mathbb{P}'(d\omega, dx) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[f(\chi)].$$

Density assumption

The \mathbb{F} -conditional law of χ has a positive density $(\alpha_t(\cdot))_{t \geq 0}$ with respect to a σ -finite Borel measure ν on E .

- ▶ Recall that $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$ denotes the product probability measure $\mathbb{P} \otimes \eta$, then

$$\frac{d\mathbb{P}'}{d\bar{\mathbb{P}}} = \frac{\alpha_t(x)}{\alpha_0(x)} =: \beta_t(x), \quad \text{on } \mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{B}(E).$$

Evaluation formula in the general setting

Lemma

Let $Y(\cdot)$ be a bounded $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}(E)$ -measurable function on $\Omega \times E$.
One has

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[Y(\chi)|\mathcal{G}_t] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}'}[Y(\cdot)|\mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{N}_t^E](\chi).$$

Theorem

Let $Y_T(\cdot)$ be a non-negative $\mathcal{F}_T \otimes \mathcal{E}$ -measurable function on $\Omega \times E$
and $t \leq T$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[Y_T(\chi)|\mathcal{G}_t] = \frac{\int_E \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[Y_T(x)\beta_T(x)|\mathcal{F}_t]\eta_t(dx)}{\int_E \beta_t(x)\eta_t(dx)}(\chi),$$

Example: non-ordered multi-defaults

We consider $E = \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and $\chi = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) : \Omega \rightarrow E$, with $\nu = dx$.

- ▶ progressive enlargement of filtration: $\mathcal{G}_t = \mathcal{F}_t \vee \mathcal{N}_t$ where $\mathcal{N}_t = \chi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_t^E)$ is generated by $(\mathbf{1}_{E_s^J} \circ \chi, s \leq t)$ with

$$E_t^J = \{x \in E \mid x_J \leq t, x_{J^c} > t\}$$

- ▶ prediction process:

$$\eta_t(dx) = \sum_{J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{\mathbf{1}_{\{x_{J^c} > t\}} \alpha_0(\cdot, x_{J^c}) \delta(\cdot)(dx_J) dx_{J^c}}{\int_t^\infty \alpha_0(\cdot, x_{J^c}) dx_{J^c}} \mathbf{1}_{E_t^J} \circ \chi,$$

- ▶ evaluation formula:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[Y_T(\chi) | \mathcal{G}_t] = \sum_{J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_J \leq t, \tau_{J^c} > t\}} \frac{\int_t^\infty \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[Y_T(x) \alpha_T(x) | \mathcal{F}_t] dx_{J^c}}{\int_t^\infty \alpha_t(x) dx_{J^c}} \Big|_{x_J = \tau_J}.$$

Martingale characterization in the general setting

Theorem

Let $(M_t(\cdot), t \geq 0)$ be an $(\mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{N}_t^E)_{t \geq 0}$ -adapted process. Then $(M_t(\chi), t \geq 0)$ is a (\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{P}) -martingale if

$$\tilde{M}_t(\cdot) := M_t(\cdot) \int_E \frac{\alpha_t(x)}{\alpha_0(x)} \eta_t(dx), \quad t \geq 0$$

is an $((\mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{N}_t^E)_{t \geq 0}, \bar{\mathbb{P}})$ -martingale, or equivalently

$$\forall T \geq t \geq 0, \quad \int_E \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[\tilde{M}_T(x) | \mathcal{F}_t] \eta_t(dx) = \tilde{M}_t(\cdot). \quad (*)$$

Example: non-ordered defaults

- ▶ We write $M_t(x)$ as $\sum_{J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} M_t^J(x_J) \mathbf{1}_{E_t^J}(x)$.

- ▶ One has $\int_E \frac{\alpha_t(x)}{\alpha_0(x)} \eta_t(dx) = \sum_{J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \frac{\int_t^\infty \alpha_t(\cdot, x_{J^c}) dx_{J^c}}{\int_t^\infty \alpha_0(\cdot, x_{J^c}) dx_{J^c}} \mathbf{1}_{E_t^J}(\cdot)$.

- ▶ Get

$$\tilde{M}_t(\cdot) = \sum_{J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} M_t^J(\cdot) \frac{\int_t^\infty \alpha_t(\cdot, x_{J^c}) dx_{J^c}}{\int_t^\infty \alpha_0(\cdot, x_{J^c}) dx_{J^c}} \mathbf{1}_{E_t^J}(\cdot)$$

- ▶ (*) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \sum_{I \supset J} \frac{\int_t^T \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[M_T^I(x_I) \int_T^\infty \alpha_T(x) dx_{I^c} | \mathcal{F}_t] dx_{I \setminus J}}{\int_t^\infty \alpha_0(x) dx_{J^c}} \mathbf{1}_{E_t^J}(x) \\ &= \sum_{J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} M_t^J(x_J) \frac{\int_t^\infty \alpha_t(x) dx_{J^c}}{\int_t^\infty \alpha_0(x) dx_{J^c}} \mathbf{1}_{E_t^J}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary

With the notation of the example of non-ordered defaults.

The \mathbb{G} -adapted process $(M_t(\chi))_{t \geq 0}$ is a (\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{P}) -martingale if for any $J \subset \{0, \dots, n\}$ and any $x_J \in \mathbb{R}_+^J$, the process

$$M_t^J(x_J) \int_t^\infty \alpha_t(x) dx_{J^c} - \sum_{k \in J^c} \int_{x_J^{\max}}^t M_{x_k}^{J \cup \{k\}}(x_{J \cup \{k\}}) \int_{x_k}^\infty \alpha_{x_k}(x) dx_{J^c \setminus \{k\}} du_k$$

is an (\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P}) -martingale on $[x_J^{\max}, +\infty[$, where $x_J^{\max} = \max_{j \in J} x_j$.

Conclusion

- ▶ We consider a general multi-default system with environmental information.
- ▶ Two key elements are:
 - ▶ the prediction process $(\eta_t, t \geq 0)$ conditional on the observable default information;
 - ▶ the Radon-Nikodym derivative $(\beta_t(\cdot), t \geq 0)$ w.r.t. the product measure.
- ▶ We establish a link with the density approach modelling.
- ▶ The technical tools by using the product space allows to obtain general results in a unified setting.

Thanks for your attention !