The Seneta-Heyde Scaling for a Stable Branching Random Walk

Zhang Mei (cooperated with He Hui and Liu Jingning)

Beijing Normal University

July 13, 2016 @ JSNU

Contents

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- Model and motivation
- Main theorems
- Sketch of proofs

Branching random walk

- It starts with an initial particle located at the origin.
- At time 1, the particle dies, producing some new particles, positioned according to Θ.
- At time 2, these particles die, each giving birth to new particles positioned (with respect to the birth place) according to Θ.
- The process goes on with the same mechanism. We assume the particles produce new particles independently.

For each vertex x on the branching tree, We denote the position by V(x). The family of random variables (V(x)) is referred as a branching random walk (Biggins (2010)). Throughout the paper, we assume (Biggins and Kyprianou (2005)):

$$\mathbf{E}(\sum_{|x|=1} 1) > 1,$$
 (supercritical)

$$\mathbf{E}(\sum_{|x|=1} e^{-V(x)}) = 1, \quad \mathbf{E}(\sum_{|x|=1} V(x)e^{-V(x)}) = 0, \quad \text{(boundary case)}$$
(1)

where |x| denotes the generation of x. Every branching random walk satisfying certain integrability assumptions can be reduced to this case by some renormalization, if Θ is not bounded from below. (see Jaffuel (2012)).

Additive martingale

$$W_n := \sum_{|x|=n} e^{-V(x)},$$
 (additive martingale)

- $V(x) \equiv 0$: (W_n) degenerates to a supercritical GW process (Z_n) . $c_n^{-1}Z_n \to W$ with P(W = 0) < 1 (Seneta (1968), Heyde (1970), Kesten and Stigum (1966)).
- $V(x) \neq 0$: (W_n) converges almost surely to W. Under (1), W = 0, a.s. (Biggins (1977), Lyon (1997)).

It is natural to ask

At which rate W_n goes to 0?

Related work:

- Galton-Watson processes: Seneta (1968), Heyde (1970).
- Branching random walk:
 - General case: Biggins and Kyprianou (1996, 1997).
 - Boundary case: Liu (2000), Biggins and Kyprianou (2005), Hu and Shi (2009), Aidekon and Shi (2014) (under weaker integrability assumption than Hu and Shi (2009)).
 (finite 1+δ order moment for ∑_{|x|=1} 1 and exponential moment

condition for $V(x) \Rightarrow$ certain 2-order moment condition)

Derivative martingale

$$D_n := \sum_{|x|=n} V(x) e^{-V(x)},$$
 (derivative martingale)

Related work: Barral (2000), Biggins (1991,1992) for nonboundary cases, Kyprianou (1998), Biggins and Kyprianou (2004) for the boundary case. Chen (2015) gave the necessary and sufficient condition for the non-trival limit of (D_n) .

Related results on derivative martingale

Suppose that

$$\mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{|x|=1} V^2(x)e^{-V(x)}\right) < \infty. (\Leftrightarrow E(S_1^2) < \infty)$$
(2)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Theorem A (Biggins and Kyprianou (2004))

Assume (1) and (2) hold. Then there exists a nonnegative random variable D_{∞} such that

$$D_n \to D_\infty, \ \mathbf{P}-a.s.$$

Theorem B (Chen (2015)) Assume (1) and (2) hold. Then $P(D_{\infty} > 0) > 0$ if and only if the following condition holds:

$$\mathbf{E}[X\log_{+}^{2} X + \widetilde{X}\log_{+} \widetilde{X}] < \infty,$$
(3)

where $\log_+ y := \max\{0, \log y\}$, $\log_+^2 y := (\log_+ y)^2$ for any y > 0, and

$$X := \sum_{|x|=1} e^{-V(x)}, \quad \widetilde{X} := \sum_{|x|=1} V(x)_+ e^{-V(x)}, \tag{4}$$

with $V(x)_+ := \max\{V(x), 0\}.$

When D_{∞} is nontrivial, $\mathbf{P}(D_{\infty} > 0)$ equals to the nonextinction probability of the branching tree. Define

 $\mathbf{P}^*(\cdot) := \mathbf{P}(\cdot | \text{non extinction})$

Obviously $W_n \to 0$, $\mathbf{P}^* - a.s.$

Theorem C (Aidekon and Shi (2014)) Assume (1), (2) and (3) hold. Under \mathbf{P}^* , we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n^{1/2} W_n = \left(\frac{2}{\pi \sigma^2}\right)^{1/2} D_{\infty} \text{ in probability},$$

where $D_{\infty} > 0$ is the random variable in Theorem A, and

$$\sigma^2 := \mathbf{E} \Big(\sum_{|x|=1} V(x)^2 e^{-V(x)} \Big) < \infty.$$

In this paper, instead of

$$\mathbf{E}(\sum_{|x|=1} V^2(x)e^{-V(x)}) < \infty, \ \mathbf{E}[X\log_+^2 X + \widetilde{X}\log_+\widetilde{X}] < \infty,$$

we shall study W_n under (1) and ($\alpha \in (1,2)$):

(i)
$$\mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{|x|=1} I_{\{V(x)\leq -y\}}e^{-V(x)}\right) = o(y^{-\alpha}), \quad y \to +\infty,$$
 (5)
(ii) $\mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{|x|=1} I_{\{V(x)\geq y\}}e^{-V(x)}\right) \sim Cy^{-\alpha}, \quad y \to +\infty,$ (6)
(iii) $\mathbf{E}(X(\log_+ X)^{\alpha} + \widetilde{X}(\log_+ \widetilde{X})^{\alpha-1}) < \infty.$ (7)

Under (5) and (6), the step of the one-dimensional random walk (S_n) associated with (V(x)) belongs to the domain of attraction of a stable law.

(The many-to-one formula)

$$\mathbf{E}\Big[\sum_{|x|=n}g(V(x_1),\ldots,V(x_n))\Big]=\mathbf{E}\Big[e^{S_n}g(S_1,\ldots,S_n)\Big],$$

where (S_n) is a random walk, and S_1 belongs to the domain of attraction of a stable law with characteristic function (spectrally positive). see Biggins and Kyprianou (1997), Lyons (1997), Lyons et al (1995).

$$G_{\alpha,-1}(t) := \exp\left\{-c|t|^{\alpha} \left(1 - i\frac{t}{|t|} \tan\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\right\}, \ c > 0.$$

(5) and (6)

 $\Leftrightarrow P(S_1 > y) \sim Cy^{-\alpha}, \ P(S_1 < -y) = o(y^{-\alpha}), \quad y \to +\infty$

Main results – derivative martingale

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Theorem 1 Assume (1), (5), (6). Then there exists a nonnegative random variable D_{∞} such that

$$D_n \to D_\infty, \ \mathbf{P}-a.s.$$

Moreover, if condition (7) holds, then $\mathbf{P}^*(D_{\infty} > 0) = 1$.

Main results – additive martingale

Theorem 2 Assume (1), (5), (6) and (7). We have, under P^*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} W_n = \frac{\theta}{\Gamma(1-1/\alpha)} D_{\infty}, \text{ in probability.}$$

where $D_{\infty} > 0$ is given in Theorem 1, and θ is a positive constant. **Theorem 3** Assume (1), (5), (6) and (7). We have

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} W_n = \infty \ \mathbf{P}^* - a.s.$$
(8)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Sketch of proofs – estimates for (S_n)

In the proofs, we depend heavily on the probability estimations for (S_n) . For example,

$$\sum_{l\geq 0} \mathbf{P}_z(S_l \leq x, \underline{S}_l \geq 0) \leq c \, (1+x)^{\alpha-1} (1+\min(x,z));$$

and some properties for (S_n) conditioned to stay in $[-x,\infty)$:

$$E\left(f\left(\frac{S_n+x}{n^{1/\alpha}}\right)\mathbf{1}_{\{\underline{S}_n\geq -x\}}\right) = \frac{R(x)}{\Gamma(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})n^{1/\alpha}} \left(\int_0^\infty f(t)p_\alpha(t)dt + o_n(1)\right)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

uniformly in $x \in [0, d_n]$ with $d_n = o(n^{1/\alpha})$. And $\mathbf{E}(M_\alpha) = \frac{\Gamma(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\theta} (M_\alpha \leftrightarrow p_\alpha)$.

It originated from Harris (1999), was formalized for BBM by Kyprianou (2004), and later be used for BRW by Biggins and Kyprianou (2004) and then Aidekon and Shi (2014).

Define $\underline{V}(x) := \min_{y \in \langle \emptyset, x \rangle} V(y)$. We use the renewal function R(u) of (S_n) to introduce the truncated processes ($\beta > 0$):

$$W_n^{\beta} := \sum_{|x|=n} e^{-V(x)} \mathbf{1}_{\{\underline{V}(x) \ge -\beta\}}, \qquad (\sim W_n)$$
$$D_n^{\beta} := \sum_{|x|=n} R(V(x) + \beta) e^{-V(x)} \mathbf{1}_{\{\underline{V}(x) \ge -\beta\}}. \quad (\sim \theta D_n)$$

Note that $\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{R(u)}{u} = \theta \in (0,\infty)$ for $S_1 \in D(\alpha,-1)$.

Sketch of proofs – change of probabilities

$$\left. \frac{d \mathbf{P}^{\beta}}{d \mathbf{P}} \right|_{\mathcal{F}_n} := \frac{D_n^{\beta}}{R(\beta)}, \quad \text{(change of probabilities)}$$

We consider the random walk (V(x)) under \mathbf{P}^{β} . Now (V(x), |x| = 1) are distributed as another point process Θ^{a} under \mathbf{P}^{β} . And there is a "spine" in the branching tree. For each generation n, there is a w_{n}^{β} which takes the branching \mathbf{P}^{β} , see Biggins and Kyprianou (2004).

Kanhane and Peyrieye (1976), Lyons et al (1995)

(1) Proof of Theorem 1:

- prove $D_n^\beta \to D_\infty^\beta$ (truncated martingale convergence)
- prove $D_n \to D_\infty$.
- prove $\mathbf{P}(D_{\infty}^{\beta} > 0) > 0$. ((D_{n}^{β}) is uniformly integrable)

• prove $\mathbf{P}^*(D_\infty > 0) = 1$. $(D_\infty^\beta \le c D_\infty$, a.s.)

(2) Proof of Theorem 2: We first have

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}^{\beta} & \left(\frac{W_{n}^{\beta}}{D_{n}^{\beta}}\right) \sim \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-1/\alpha)n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}, \\ & \mathbf{E}^{\beta} \left(\left(\frac{W_{n}^{\beta}}{D_{n}^{\beta}}\right)^{2}\right) \sim \frac{1}{\left(\Gamma(1-1/\alpha)\right)^{2}n^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}}. \end{split}$$

Therefore $\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left(\frac{W_n^{\beta}}{D_n^{\beta}} \right) = \Gamma(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha})$, in probability (\mathbf{P}^{β}) Finally, we manage to change the setting from \mathbf{P}^{β} to \mathbf{P} .

(3) Proof of Theorem 3:

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{\exists x: |x| \in [n, \alpha n], V(x) \in \left[\frac{1}{\alpha} \log n, \frac{1}{\alpha} \log n + C\right]\right\} \ge c_0.$$

$$\underline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} \left(\min_{|x|=n} V(x) - \frac{1}{\alpha} \log n \right) = -\infty, \ \mathbf{P}^* - a.s.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

(For $\alpha = 2$, $\min_{|x|=n} V(x) \sim \frac{3}{2} \log n$, Hu and Shi (2009)).

- Aidekon, E.: Convergence in law of the minimum of a branching random walk. *Ann. Probab.* **41** 1362-1426. (2013)
- Aidekon, E. and Shi, Z.: The Seneta-Heyde scaling for the branching random walk. *Ann. Probab.* **42** 959-993. (2014)
- Aidekon, E. and Shi, Z.: Weak convergence for the minimal position in a branching random walk: asimple proof. *Pre. Math. Hung.* 61(1-2) 43-54. (2010)
- Biggins, J. D.: Martingale convergence in the branching random walk. *J. Appl. Probab.* **14** 25-37. (1977)

- Biggins, J. D.: Uniform convergence of martingales in the onedimensional branching random walk. In: Selected Proc. Sheffield Symp. Appl. Prob. 1989. IMS Lecture Notes Monogr. Ser. 18. eds I. V. Basawa and R. L. Taylor, Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Hayward, CA. 159-173. (1991)
- Biggins, J. D.: Uniform convergence of martingales in the branching random walk. *Ann. Prob.* **20** 137-151. (1992)
- Biggins, J. D.: Random walk conditioned to stay positive. J. London Math. Soc. 2(67) 259-272. (2003)
- Biggins, J. D.: Branching out. In Probability and Mathematical Genetics (N. H. Bingham and C. M. Goldie, eds.). London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 378 113-134. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge. (2010)

- Biggins, J. D. and Kyprianou, A. E.: Branching random walk: Seneta-Heyde norming. In: *Trees (Versailles, 1995)* eds.: B. Chauvin et al. *Progr. Probab.* 40 31-49. Birkhäuser, Basel. (1996)
- Biggins, J. D. and Kyprianou, A. E.: Measure change in multitype branching random walk. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.* **36** 544-581. (2004)
- Biggins, J. D. and Kyprianou, A. E.: Fixed points of the smoothing transform: The boundary case. *Electron. J. Probab.* **10** 609-631. (2005)
- Biggins, J. D. and Kyprianou, A. E.: Seneta-Heyde norming in the branching random walk. *Ann. Probab.* **25** 337-360. (1997)

- Chen, X. X.: A necessary and sufficient condition for the nontrivial limit of the derivative martingale in a branching random walk. Adv. Appl. Prob. 47 741-760. (2015)
- Heyde, C. C.: Extension of a result of Seneta for the supercritical Galton-Watson process. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **41** 739-742. (1970)
- Hu, Y. and Shi, Z.: Minimal position and critical martingale convergence in branching random walks, and directed polymers on disordered trees. *Ann. Probab.* **37** 742-789. (2009)
- Jaffuel, B.: The critical barrier for the survival of the branching random walk with absorption. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. 48 989-1009. (2012)

- Kyprianou, A. E.: Slow variation and uniqueness of solutions to the functional equation in the branching random walk. J. Appl. Prob. 35 795-802. (1998)
- Seneta, E.: On recent theorems concerning the supercritical Galton-Watson process. *Ann. Math. Statist.* 39 2098-2102. (1968)
- Vatutin, V. A. and Wachtel, V.: Local probabilities for random walks conditioned to stay positive. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* 143 177-217. (2009)

Thank you !