

Singular Stochastic differential equations driven by Markov processes

Longjie Xie

Jiangsu Normal University
Joint work with Lihu Xu and Xicheng Zhang

July 16, 2016

Overview

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Background
- 3 Main result and its proof
- 4 Reference

Motivation

Generally, a Markov process X in \mathbb{R}^d has the generator of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}\varphi(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \varphi(x) + b(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) + c(x)\varphi(x) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [\varphi(x+z) - \varphi(x) - \mathbf{1}_{\{|z| \leq 1\}} z \cdot \nabla \varphi(x)] \mu(x, dz), \end{aligned}$$

where for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

- $a(x) = (a_{i,j}(x))$ is a non-negative real symmetric $d \times d$ -matrix,
- $b(x)$ is a real vector-valued function,
- $c(x) \geq 0$,
- the measure $\mu(x, dz)$ satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 \wedge |z|^2) \mu(x, dz) < \infty.$$

Motivation

Consider the following non-local and non-symmetric operator:

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu \varphi(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [\varphi(x+z) - \varphi(x) - \mathbf{1}_{\{|z| \leq 1\}} z \cdot \nabla \varphi(x)] \mu(x, dz).$$

In particular, when

$$\mu(x, dz) = a(x) \frac{dz}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}, \quad \alpha \in (0, 2),$$

we have

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu = a(x) \Delta^{\alpha/2}.$$

Motivation

The operator \mathcal{L}_μ was studied mainly by the theory of PDEs. Under certain assumptions, there exists a Markov process X_t with \mathcal{L}_μ as its generator. We want to study X_t via Itô's SDE.

Motivation

The operator \mathcal{L}_μ was studied mainly by the theory of PDEs. Under certain assumptions, there exists a Markov process X_t with \mathcal{L}_μ as its generator. **We want to study X_t via Itô's SDE.**

Notice that the usual SDEs driven by Lévy processes does not fit here.

$$dX_t = \int_{|z| \leq 1} \sigma(X_t, z) \tilde{N}(dz, ds) + \int_{|z| > 1} \sigma(X_t, z) \tilde{N}(dz, ds)$$

\Updownarrow

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [\varphi(x + \sigma(x, z)) - \varphi(x) - \mathbf{1}_{\{|z| \leq 1\}} \sigma(x, z) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x)] \nu(dz)$$

Let m the Lebesgue measure, and N be a Poisson random measure on $\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$ with mean measure $\nu \times m \times m$, where ν is a Lévy measure. Set for $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty))$,

$$\tilde{N}(A) := N(A) - \nu \times m \times m(A).$$

Consider the following SDE:

$$\begin{aligned} X_t = x &+ \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \int_{|z| \leq 1} \mathbf{1}_{[0, \sigma(X_{s-}, z)]}(r) z \tilde{N}(dz \times dr \times ds) \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \int_{|z| > 1} \mathbf{1}_{[0, \sigma(X_{s-}, z)]}(r) z N(dz \times dr \times ds) + \int_0^t b(X_s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

The infinitesimal generator of X_t is given by

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[f(x+z) - f(x) - \mathbf{1}_{\{|z| \leq 1\}} z \cdot \nabla f(x) \right] \sigma(x, z) \nu(dz) + b(x) \cdot \nabla f(x).$$

The infinitesimal generator of X_t is given by

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[f(x+z) - f(x) - \mathbf{1}_{\{|z| \leq 1\}} z \cdot \nabla f(x) \right] \sigma(x, z) \nu(dz) + b(x) \cdot \nabla f(x).$$

Aim: Solve the SDE with singular coefficients which has \mathcal{L} as generator.

Background

◇ T. Kurtz and P. Protter (1996), T. Kurtz (2010):

Conditions:

- b is bounded and global Lipschitz continuous;
- σ satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\sigma(x, z) - \sigma(y, z)| \cdot |z| \nu(dz) \leq C_1 |x - y|,$$

and with some other assumptions.

Background

◇ T. Kurtz and P. Protter (1996), T. Kurtz (2010):

Conditions:

- b is bounded and global Lipschitz continuous;
- σ satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\sigma(x, z) - \sigma(y, z)| \cdot |z| \nu(dz) \leq C_1 |x - y|,$$

and with some other assumptions.

Notice that when $\sigma \equiv 0$, it is an ODE:

$$x'(t) = b(x(t)), \quad x(0) = x_0,$$

which is in general ill-posed if b is not Lipschitz continuous.

Background

◇ T. Kurtz and P. Protter (1996), T. Kurtz (2010):

Conditions:

- b is bounded and global Lipschitz continuous;
- σ satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\sigma(x, z) - \sigma(y, z)| \cdot |z| \nu(dz) \leq C_1 |x - y|,$$

and with some other assumptions.

Notice that when $\sigma \equiv 0$, it is an ODE:

$$x'(t) = b(x(t)), \quad x(0) = x_0,$$

which is in general ill-posed if b is not Lipschitz continuous.

It is interesting to find that **noises may produce some regularization effects.**

SDEs driven by Brownian motion:

- N. V. Krylov and M. Röckner (2005, PTRF):

$$dX_t = dW_t + b(X_t)dt, \quad X_0 = x.$$

Condition: $b \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $p > d$.

- X. Zhang (2005, SPA):

$$dX_t = \sigma(X_t)dW_t + b(X_t)dt, \quad X_0 = x.$$

Condition: σ is uniformly continuous in x , bounded and uniformly elliptic and $\nabla\sigma \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\underline{p > d}$.

SDEs driven by pure jump Lévy process:

$$dX_t = dL_t + b(X_t)dt, \quad X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where L_t is a symmetric α -stable process with $\alpha \in (0, 2)$.

- [Tanaka, Tsuchiya and Watanabe \(1974, JMKU\)](#):

When $d = 1$, $\alpha < 1$, b is bounded and β -Hölder continuous with $\alpha + \beta < 1$, SDE may not have pathwise uniqueness strong solutions.

SDEs driven by pure jump Lévy process:

$$dX_t = dL_t + b(X_t)dt, \quad X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where L_t is a symmetric α -stable process with $\alpha \in (0, 2)$.

- **Tanaka, Tsuchiya and Watanabe (1974, JMKU):**

When $d = 1$, $\alpha < 1$, b is bounded and β -Hölder continuous with $\alpha + \beta < 1$, SDE may not have pathwise uniqueness strong solutions.

- **Priola (2012, OJM):**

Condition: $\alpha \geq 1$, b is bounded and β -Hölder continuous with $\beta > 1 - \alpha/2$.

- **Zhang (2013, Poincare):**

Condition: $\alpha > 1$, $b \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap W^{\beta,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $p > 2d/\alpha$ and $\beta \in (1 - \alpha/2, 1)$.

Main result

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} X_t = x &+ \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \int_{|z| \leq 1} 1_{[0, \sigma(X_{s-}, z)]}(r) z \tilde{N}(dz \times dr \times ds) \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \int_{|z| > 1} 1_{[0, \sigma(X_{s-}, z)]}(r) z N(dz \times dr \times ds) + \int_0^t b(X_s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Its generator is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}f(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[f(x+z) - f(x) - 1_{\{|z| \leq 1\}} z \cdot \nabla f(x) \right] \sigma(x, z) \nu(dz) \\ &\quad + b(x) \cdot \nabla f(x) \\ &=: \mathcal{L}_\nu f(x) + b(x) \cdot \nabla f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Conditions 1.:

- ◇ For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\sigma(x, z) = \sigma(x, -z), \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (3.2)$$

and for all $x, y \in B_n$, there exists $\beta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$k_0^n \leq \sigma(x, z) \leq k_1^n, \quad |\sigma(x, z) - \sigma(y, z)| \leq C_n |x - y|^\beta, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (3.3)$$

- ◇ There exists a function κ such that

$$\nu(dz) = \frac{\kappa(z)}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} dz, \quad \kappa(z) = \kappa(-z), \quad \kappa_0 \leq \kappa(z) \leq \kappa_1, \quad (3.4)$$

with $\alpha \in (1, 2)$.

Conditions 2.:

- ◇ There exists a function $g \in L^q(B_n)$ with $q > d/\alpha$, such that for almost all $x, y \in B_n$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\sigma(x, z) - \sigma(y, z)| (|z| \wedge 1) \nu(dz) \leq |x - y| (g(x) + g(y)). \quad (3.5)$$

- ◇ $b \in L^\infty(B_n) \cap W^{\theta, p}(B_n)$ with $p > 2d/\alpha$ and $\theta \in (1 - \alpha/2, 1)$.

Theorem 1

For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists an stopping time $\zeta(x)$ (called the explosion time) and a unique strong solution $X_t(x)$ to SDE (3.1) such that

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \zeta(x)} X_t(x) = \infty, \quad a.s.. \quad (3.6)$$

Theorem 1

For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists an stopping time $\zeta(x)$ (called the explosion time) and a unique strong solution $X_t(x)$ to SDE (3.1) such that

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \zeta(x)} X_t(x) = \infty, \quad a.s.. \quad (3.6)$$

For a example of σ , we can take

$$\sigma(x, z) = K(z) + \tilde{\sigma}(x)|z|^\gamma \quad \text{for } |z| \leq 1,$$

with $0 < K_1 \leq K(z) \leq K_2$, $\gamma > \alpha - 1$ and

$$\nabla \tilde{\sigma} \in L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad q > d/\alpha.$$

Since we assume $\alpha > 1$, our theorem can cover the regime $q \in (d/\alpha, d]$.

Proof of the main result

- Basic idea: use Zvonkin's transformation and transform SDE (3.1) into a new one with more regular coefficients.

Proof of the main result

- Basic idea: use Zvonkin's transformation and transform SDE (3.1) into a new one with more regular coefficients.
- Difficulties:
 1. The operator \mathcal{L}_v is non-local and non-symmetric.

Proof of the main result

- Basic idea: use Zvonkin's transformation and transform SDE (3.1) into a new one with more regular coefficients.
- Difficulties:
 1. The operator \mathcal{L}_V is non-local and non-symmetric.
 2. Proving the Krylov's estimate and solving the resolvent equation of \mathcal{L}_V in the framework of Sobolev space.

Proof of the main result

- Basic idea: use Zvonkin's transformation and transform SDE (3.1) into a new one with more regular coefficients.
- Difficulties:
 1. The operator \mathcal{L}_v is non-local and non-symmetric.
 2. Proving the Krylov's estimate and solving the resolvent equation of \mathcal{L}_v in the framework of Sobolev space.
 3. New challenges appear when dealing with the factor $1_{[0,\sigma(x,z)]}$ — L_1 -estimate is essential.

Lemma 1 – Krylov's estimate

Let X_t be a strong solution of SDE (3.1). Then, for any $T > 0$, there exist a constant C_T such that for any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $p > d/\alpha$, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T f(X_s) ds \right) \leq C_T \|f\|_p. \quad (3.7)$$

Proof of the main result

Instead of studying the elliptic equation

$$\lambda u - \mathcal{L}_\nu u - b \cdot \nabla u = b,$$

we consider the following integral equation:

$$u(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T_t(b \cdot \nabla u + b)(x) dt, \quad (3.8)$$

where T_t is the semigroup corresponding to \mathcal{L}_ν .

Proof of the main result

Instead of studying the elliptic equation

$$\lambda u - \mathcal{L}_\nu u - b \cdot \nabla u = b,$$

we consider the following integral equation:

$$u(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T_t(b \cdot \nabla u + b)(x) dt, \quad (3.8)$$

where T_t is the semigroup corresponding to \mathcal{L}_ν .

Lemma 2 – Resolvent equation

Let $1 < \gamma < \alpha$. Suppose that for some $p > \frac{d}{\gamma}$ and $0 < \theta \in (1 - \gamma + \frac{d}{p}, 1)$,

$$b \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathbb{W}_p^\theta.$$

Then, there exists a function $u \in \mathbb{H}_p^{\gamma+\theta}$ satisfying the integral equation (3.8). Moreover, $\|u\|_\infty + \|\nabla u\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof of the main result

Define $\Phi(x) := x + u(x)$. Then, $x \rightarrow \Phi(x)$ forms a C^1 -diffeomorphism.

Lemma 3 – Zvonkin transformation

Let X_t solve SDE (3.1). Then, $Y_t := \Phi(X_t)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t = & \Phi(x) + \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \int_{|z| \leq 1} \tilde{g}(Y_{s-}, z) 1_{[0, \tilde{\sigma}(Y_{s-}, z)]}(r) \tilde{N}(dz \times dr \times ds) \\ & + \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \int_{|z| > 1} \tilde{g}(Y_{s-}, z) 1_{[0, \tilde{\sigma}(Y_{s-}, z)]}(r) N(dz \times dr \times ds) \\ & + \int_0^t \tilde{b}(Y_s) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Proof of the main result

The new coefficients:

$$\tilde{g}(x, z) := \Phi(\Phi^{-1}(x) + z) - x, \quad \tilde{\sigma}(x, z) := \sigma(\Phi^{-1}(x), z).$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{b}(x) = \lambda u(\Phi^{-1}(x)) - \int_{|z|>1} & [u(\Phi^{-1}(x) + z) \\ & - u(\Phi^{-1}(x))] \sigma(\Phi^{-1}(x), z) \nu(dz). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of the main result

Let Y_t and \hat{Y}_t be two strong solutions for SDE (3.9). Define

$$Z_t := Y_t - \hat{Y}_t,$$

Then,

$$Z_t = \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \int_{|z| \leq 1} \left[\tilde{g}(Y_{s-}, z) 1_{[0, \tilde{\sigma}(Y_{s-}, z)]}(r) - \tilde{g}(\hat{Y}_{s-}, z) 1_{[0, \tilde{\sigma}(\hat{Y}_{s-}, z)]}(r) \right] \tilde{N}(dz \times dr \times ds) + \dots$$





Proof of the main result





We arrive at that for any stopping time τ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, \tau]} |Z_t| \right] \leq C_0 \mathbb{E} \int_0^\tau |Z_s| dA(s) + C_0 \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^\tau |Z_s|^2 dA(s) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $t \mapsto A(t)$ is a continuous strictly increasing process.

This implies that $Z_t \equiv 0, a.e.$

-  Chen Z. and Zhang X.: Heat kernel and analyticity of non-symmetric jump diffusion semigroups. Prob. Theory and Related Fields.
-  Krylov N. V. and Röckner M.: Strong solutions of stochastic equations with singular time dependent drift. Probab. Theory Related Fields.
-  Kurtz T. G.: Equivalence of Stochastic Equations and Martingale Problems. Stochastic Analysis.
-  Kurtz T. G. and Protter P. E.: Weak convergence of stochastic integrals and differential equations. II. Infinite-dimensional case. Lecture Notes in Math..

-  Priola E.: Pathwise uniqueness for singular SDEs driven by stable processes. Osaka Journal of Mathematics.
-  Tanaka H., Tsuchiya M. and Watanabe S.: Perturbation of drift-type for Lévy processes. J. Math. Kyoto Univ..
-  Zhang X.: Strong solutions of SDEs with singular drift and Sobolev diffusion coefficients. Stoch. Proc. Appl..
-  Zhang X.: Stochastic differential equations with Sobolev drifts and driven by α -stable processes. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist..

Thank You !