

# Dissipation in parabolic SPDEs

Shang-Yuan Shiu

joint work with D. Khoshnevisan, K.W. Kim and C. Mueller

Department of Mathematics  
National Central University

12th Workshop on Markov Processes and Related Topics  
July 14, 2016

# Parabolic Anderson Model [PAM] - from the point view of particle system

Consider the following PAM:

$$\frac{\partial u_t(x)}{\partial t} = \Delta u_t(x) + \xi(x)u_t(x) \quad (t \in \mathbf{R}^+, x \in \mathbf{Z}^d),$$

with initial  $u_0(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{Z}^d$ ,

# Parabolic Anderson Model [PAM] - from the point view of particle system

Consider the following PAM:

$$\frac{\partial u_t(x)}{\partial t} = \Delta u_t(x) + \xi(x)u_t(x) \quad (t \in \mathbf{R}^+, x \in \mathbf{Z}^d),$$

with initial  $u_0(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{Z}^d$ , where  $\xi(x)$  is a function and  $\Delta$  is the discrete Laplacian:

$$\Delta g(x) := \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{|y-x|=1} [g(y) - g(x)].$$

# Parabolic Anderson Model [PAM] - from the point view of particle system

Consider the following PAM:

$$\frac{\partial u_t(x)}{\partial t} = \Delta u_t(x) + \xi(x)u_t(x) \quad (t \in \mathbf{R}^+, x \in \mathbf{Z}^d),$$

with initial  $u_0(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{Z}^d$ , where  $\xi(x)$  is a function and  $\Delta$  is the discrete Laplacian:

$$\Delta g(x) := \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{|y-x|=1} [g(y) - g(x)].$$

- On each site  $x \in \mathbf{Z}^d$ , we put a particle.

# Parabolic Anderson Model [PAM] - from the point view of particle system

Consider the following PAM:

$$\frac{\partial u_t(x)}{\partial t} = \Delta u_t(x) + \xi(x)u_t(x) \quad (t \in \mathbf{R}^+, x \in \mathbf{Z}^d),$$

with initial  $u_0(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{Z}^d$ , where  $\xi(x)$  is a function and  $\Delta$  is the discrete Laplacian:

$$\Delta g(x) := \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{|y-x|=1} [g(y) - g(x)].$$

- On each site  $x \in \mathbf{Z}^d$ , we put a particle.
- At site  $x$ , the particle splits into two identical particles with rate  $\xi^+(x)$  or dies with rate  $\xi^-(x)$ .

# Parabolic Anderson Model [PAM] - from the point view of particle system

Consider the following PAM:

$$\frac{\partial u_t(x)}{\partial t} = \Delta u_t(x) + \xi(x)u_t(x) \quad (t \in \mathbf{R}^+, x \in \mathbf{Z}^d),$$

with initial  $u_0(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{Z}^d$ , where  $\xi(x)$  is a function and  $\Delta$  is the discrete Laplacian:

$$\Delta g(x) := \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{|y-x|=1} [g(y) - g(x)].$$

- On each site  $x \in \mathbf{Z}^d$ , we put a particle.
- At site  $x$ , the particle splits into two identical particles with rate  $\xi^+(x)$  or dies with rate  $\xi^-(x)$ .
- Let  $n(t, x)$  denote the number of particles at time  $t$  and at site  $x$ .

# Parabolic Anderson Model [PAM] - from the point view of particle system

Consider the following PAM:

$$\frac{\partial u_t(x)}{\partial t} = \Delta u_t(x) + \xi(x)u_t(x) \quad (t \in \mathbf{R}^+, x \in \mathbf{Z}^d),$$

with initial  $u_0(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{Z}^d$ , where  $\xi(x)$  is a function and  $\Delta$  is the discrete Laplacian:

$$\Delta g(x) := \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{|y-x|=1} [g(y) - g(x)].$$

- On each site  $x \in \mathbf{Z}^d$ , we put a particle.
- At site  $x$ , the particle splits into two identical particles with rate  $\xi^+(x)$  or dies with rate  $\xi^-(x)$ .
- Let  $n(t, x)$  denote the number of particles at time  $t$  and at site  $x$ .
- Define  $u_t(x) = E[n(t, x)]$ , then  $u_t(x)$  solves the PAM.

# Stochastic Heat Equations [SHE]

Consider the following SHE:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_t(x) = \Delta u_t(x) + \sigma(u_t(x)) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x} W(t, x), \quad x \in D \subseteq \mathbf{R}$$



# Stochastic Heat Equations [SHE]

Consider the following SHE:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_t(x) = \Delta u_t(x) + \sigma(u_t(x)) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x} W(t, x), \quad x \in D \subseteq \mathbf{R}$$

(with boundary condition if  $D$  is an interval),

# Stochastic Heat Equations [SHE]

Consider the following SHE:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_t(x) = \Delta u_t(x) + \sigma(u_t(x)) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x} W(t, x), \quad x \in D \subseteq \mathbf{R}$$

(with boundary condition if  $D$  is an interval), where

- $\Delta$  is the Laplacian (the generator of Brownian motion  $X_t$ .)

# Stochastic Heat Equations [SHE]

Consider the following SHE:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_t(x) = \Delta u_t(x) + \sigma(u_t(x)) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x} W(t, x), \quad x \in D \subseteq \mathbf{R}$$

(with boundary condition if  $D$  is an interval), where

- $\Delta$  is the Laplacian (the generator of Brownian motion  $X_t$ .)
- $\sigma : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is globally Lipschitz continuous.

# Stochastic Heat Equations [SHE]

Consider the following SHE:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_t(x) = \Delta u_t(x) + \sigma(u_t(x)) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x} W(t, x), \quad x \in D \subseteq \mathbf{R}$$

(with boundary condition if  $D$  is an interval), where

- $\Delta$  is the Laplacian (the generator of Brownian motion  $X_t$ .)
- $\sigma : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is globally Lipschitz continuous.
- $\{W(t, x), t > 0, x \in [-1, 1]\}$  is a Brownian sheet

# Stochastic Heat Equations [SHE]

Consider the following SHE:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_t(x) = \Delta u_t(x) + \sigma(u_t(x)) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x} W(t, x), \quad x \in D \subseteq \mathbf{R}$$

(with boundary condition if  $D$  is an interval), where

- $\Delta$  is the Laplacian (the generator of Brownian motion  $X_t$ .)
- $\sigma : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is globally Lipschitz continuous.
- $\{W(t, x), t > 0, x \in [-1, 1]\}$  is a Brownian sheet  
 $(\partial_t \partial_x W(t, x))$  is a centered generalized Gaussian random field with covariance  

$$\text{Cov}(\partial_t \partial_x W(t, x), \partial_t \partial_x W(s, y)) = \delta_0(t - s) \delta(x - y).$$

# Stochastic Heat Equations [SHE]

Consider the following SHE:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_t(x) = \Delta u_t(x) + \sigma(u_t(x)) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x} W(t, x), \quad x \in D \subseteq \mathbf{R}$$

(with boundary condition if  $D$  is an interval), where

- $\Delta$  is the Laplacian (the generator of Brownian motion  $X_t$ .)
- $\sigma : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is globally Lipschitz continuous.
- $\{W(t, x), t > 0, x \in [-1, 1]\}$  is a Brownian sheet  
 $(\partial_t \partial_x W(t, x))$  is a centered generalized Gaussian random field with covariance  

$$\text{Cov}(\partial_t \partial_x W(t, x), \partial_t \partial_x W(s, y)) = \delta_0(t - s) \delta(x - y).$$
- $u_0$  is nonrandom, measurable and  $u_0(x)$  is uniformly bounded away from zero and infinity.

# Mild solution

Solution in mild form

$$u_t(x) = \int_D p_t(x, y) u_0(y) dy + \int_{(0, t] \times D} p_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma(u_s(y)) W(ds dy).$$

# Mild solution

Solution in mild form

$$u_t(x) = \int_D p_t(x, y) u_0(y) dy + \int_{(0, t] \times D} p_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma(u_s(y)) W(ds dy).$$

- SHE on the whole domain  $\mathbf{R}$ ,



# Mild solution

Solution in mild form

$$u_t(x) = \int_D p_t(x, y) u_0(y) dy + \int_{(0, t] \times D} p_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma(u_s(y)) W(ds dy).$$

- SHE on the whole domain  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $p_t(x, y)$  is the transition density of Brownian motion.

# Mild solution

Solution in mild form

$$u_t(x) = \int_D p_t(x, y) u_0(y) dy + \int_{(0, t] \times D} p_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma(u_s(y)) W(ds dy).$$

- SHE on the whole domain  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $p_t(x, y)$  is the transition density of Brownian motion.
- SHE with Dirichlet boundary condition on  $[a, b]$   
( $u_t(a) = u_t(b) = 0$ ,  $t > 0$ ),

# Mild solution

Solution in mild form

$$u_t(x) = \int_D p_t(x, y) u_0(y) dy + \int_{(0, t] \times D} p_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma(u_s(y)) W(ds dy).$$

- SHE on the whole domain  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $p_t(x, y)$  is the transition density of Brownian motion.
- SHE with Dirichlet boundary condition on  $[a, b]$  ( $u_t(a) = u_t(b) = 0, t > 0$ ),  $p_t(x, y)$  is the transition density of Killed Brownian motion.

# Mild solution

Solution in mild form

$$u_t(x) = \int_D p_t(x, y) u_0(y) dy + \int_{(0, t] \times D} p_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma(u_s(y)) W(ds dy).$$

- SHE on the whole domain  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $p_t(x, y)$  is the transition density of Brownian motion.
- SHE with Dirichlet boundary condition on  $[a, b]$  ( $u_t(a) = u_t(b) = 0, t > 0$ ),  $p_t(x, y)$  is the transition density of Killed Brownian motion.
- SHE with Neumann boundary condition on  $[a, b]$  ( $\partial_x u_t(a) = \partial_x u_t(b) = 0, t > 0$ ),

# Mild solution

Solution in mild form

$$u_t(x) = \int_D p_t(x, y) u_0(y) dy + \int_{(0, t] \times D} p_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma(u_s(y)) W(ds dy).$$

- SHE on the whole domain  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $p_t(x, y)$  is the transition density of Brownian motion.
- SHE with Dirichlet boundary condition on  $[a, b]$  ( $u_t(a) = u_t(b) = 0, t > 0$ ),  $p_t(x, y)$  is the transition density of Killed Brownian motion.
- SHE with Neumann boundary condition on  $[a, b]$  ( $\partial_x u_t(a) = \partial_x u_t(b) = 0, t > 0$ ),  $p_t(x, y)$  is the transition density of reflected Brownian motion.

# Mild solution

Solution in mild form

$$u_t(x) = \int_D p_t(x, y) u_0(y) dy + \int_{(0, t] \times D} p_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma(u_s(y)) W(ds dy).$$

- SHE on the whole domain  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $p_t(x, y)$  is the transition density of Brownian motion.
- SHE with Dirichlet boundary condition on  $[a, b]$  ( $u_t(a) = u_t(b) = 0, t > 0$ ),  $p_t(x, y)$  is the transition density of Killed Brownian motion.
- SHE with Neumann boundary condition on  $[a, b]$  ( $\partial_x u_t(a) = \partial_x u_t(b) = 0, t > 0$ ),  $p_t(x, y)$  is the transition density of reflected Brownian motion.
- SHE with periodic boundary condition on  $[a, b]$  ( $u_t(a) = u_t(b), \partial_x u_t(a) = \partial_x u_t(b), t > 0$ ),

# Mild solution

Solution in mild form

$$u_t(x) = \int_D p_t(x, y) u_0(y) dy + \int_{(0, t] \times D} p_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma(u_s(y)) W(ds dy).$$

- SHE on the whole domain  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $p_t(x, y)$  is the transition density of Brownian motion.
- SHE with Dirichlet boundary condition on  $[a, b]$  ( $u_t(a) = u_t(b) = 0, t > 0$ ),  $p_t(x, y)$  is the transition density of Killed Brownian motion.
- SHE with Neumann boundary condition on  $[a, b]$  ( $\partial_x u_t(a) = \partial_x u_t(b) = 0, t > 0$ ),  $p_t(x, y)$  is the transition density of reflected Brownian motion.
- SHE with periodic boundary condition on  $[a, b]$  ( $u_t(a) = u_t(b), \partial_x u_t(a) = \partial_x u_t(b), t > 0$ ),  $p_t(x, y)$  is the transition density of the Brownian motion on the torus.

# Markov Property

$$u_t(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} p_t(y-x) u_0(y) dy + \int_{(0,t] \times \mathbf{R}} p_{t-r}(y-x) \sigma(u_r(y)) W(dr dy)$$



# Markov Property

$$u_t(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} p_t(y-x) u_0(y) dy + \int_{(0,t] \times \mathbf{R}} p_{t-r}(y-x) \sigma(u_r(y)) W(dr dy)$$

- Does  $u_t(x)$  have Markov property?

# Markov Property

$$u_t(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} p_t(y-x) u_0(y) dy + \int_{(0,t] \times \mathbf{R}} p_{t-r}(y-x) \sigma(u_r(y)) W(dr dy)$$

- Does  $u_t(x)$  have Markov property?
- If not,

# Markov Property

$$u_t(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} p_t(y-x) u_0(y) dy + \int_{(0,t] \times \mathbf{R}} p_{t-r}(y-x) \sigma(u_r(y)) W(dr dy)$$

- Does  $u_t(x)$  have Markov property?
- If not, this talk ends.

# Markov Property

$$u_t(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} p_t(y-x) u_0(y) dy + \int_{(0,t] \times \mathbf{R}} p_{t-r}(y-x) \sigma(u_r(y)) W(dr dy)$$

- Does  $u_t(x)$  have Markov property?
- If not, this talk ends.
- Thank you for your attention.

# Markov Property

$$u_t(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} p_t(y-x) u_0(y) dy + \int_{(0,t] \times \mathbf{R}} p_{t-r}(y-x) \sigma(u_r(y)) W(dr dy)$$

- Does  $u_t(x)$  have Markov property?
- If not, this talk ends.
- Thank you for your attention.
- BUT...

# Markov Property

$$u_t(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} p_t(y-x) u_0(y) dy + \int_{(0,t] \times \mathbf{R}} p_{t-r}(y-x) \sigma(u_r(y)) W(dr dy)$$

- Does  $u_t(x)$  have Markov property?
- If not, this talk ends.
- Thank you for your attention.
- BUT...

$$u_{s+t}(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} p_t(y-x) u_s(y) dy + \int_{(0,t] \times \mathbf{R}} p_{t-r}(y-x) \sigma(u_{s+r}(y)) W_s(dr dy)$$

where  $W_s(r, y) = W(s+r, y)$ .

# Lyapunov exponent and Intermittency

- Upper  $p$ th-moment Lyapunov exponent  $\bar{\gamma}(p)$  of  $u$  at  $x_0$ :

$$\bar{\gamma}(p) := \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log E(|u(t, x_0)|^p) \text{ for all } p \in (0, \infty).$$

# Lyapunov exponent and Intermittency

- Upper  $p$ th-moment Lyapunov exponent  $\bar{\gamma}(p)$  of  $u$  at  $x_0$ :

$$\bar{\gamma}(p) := \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log E(|u(t, x_0)|^p) \text{ for all } p \in (0, \infty).$$

- 

$$p \rightarrow \frac{\bar{\gamma}(p)}{p} \text{ is nondecreasing.}$$



# Lyapunov exponent and Intermittency

- Upper  $p$ th-moment Lyapunov exponent  $\bar{\gamma}(p)$  of  $u$  at  $x_0$ :

$$\bar{\gamma}(p) := \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log E(|u(t, x_0)|^p) \text{ for all } p \in (0, \infty).$$

- 

$$p \rightarrow \frac{\bar{\gamma}(p)}{p} \text{ is nondecreasing.}$$

- Zeldovich, Molchanov, Ruzmajkin and Sokolov proposed a rigorous and constructive definition of asymptotic (as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ) intermittency.

# Lyapunov exponent and Intermittency

- Upper  $p$ th-moment Lyapunov exponent  $\bar{\gamma}(p)$  of  $u$  at  $x_0$ :

$$\bar{\gamma}(p) := \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log E(|u(t, x_0)|^p) \text{ for all } p \in (0, \infty).$$

- 

$$p \rightarrow \frac{\bar{\gamma}(p)}{p} \text{ is nondecreasing.}$$

- Zeldovich, Molchanov, Ruzmajkin and Sokolov proposed a rigorous and constructive definition of asymptotic (as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ) intermittency.
- Full intermittency: if, regardless of the value of  $x_0$ ,

$$p \rightarrow \frac{\bar{\gamma}(p)}{p} \text{ is strictly increasing for all } p \geq 2.$$

# What does intermittency mean?

Remind that

$$\bar{\gamma}(p) := \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log E(|u(t, x_0)|^p) \text{ for all } p \in (0, \infty).$$

# What does intermittency mean?

Remind that

$$\bar{\gamma}(p) := \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log E(|u(t, x_0)|^p) \text{ for all } p \in (0, \infty).$$

Suppose that  $\bar{\gamma}(p)/p < \bar{\gamma}(q)/q$  if  $p < q$ .

# What does intermittency mean?

Remind that

$$\bar{\gamma}(p) := \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log E(|u(t, x_0)|^p) \text{ for all } p \in (0, \infty).$$

Suppose that  $\bar{\gamma}(p)/p < \bar{\gamma}(q)/q$  if  $p < q$ .

- Pick  $\ell \in (\bar{\gamma}(p)/p, \bar{\gamma}(q)/q)$ .

# What does intermittency mean?

Remind that

$$\bar{\gamma}(p) := \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log E(|u(t, x_0)|^p) \text{ for all } p \in (0, \infty).$$

Suppose that  $\bar{\gamma}(p)/p < \bar{\gamma}(q)/q$  if  $p < q$ .

- Pick  $\ell \in (\bar{\gamma}(p)/p, \bar{\gamma}(q)/q)$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{E}_t := \{|u_t(x_0)| > \exp(t\ell)\}$ .

# What does intermittency mean?

Remind that

$$\bar{\gamma}(p) := \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log E(|u(t, x_0)|^p) \text{ for all } p \in (0, \infty).$$

Suppose that  $\bar{\gamma}(p)/p < \bar{\gamma}(q)/q$  if  $p < q$ .

- Pick  $\ell \in (\bar{\gamma}(p)/p, \bar{\gamma}(q)/q)$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{E}_t := \{|u_t(x_0)| > \exp(t\ell)\}$ .
- Chebychev's inequality gives

$$P(\mathcal{E}_t) \leq \exp(-pt\ell) \exp(\log E|u_t(x_0)|^p)$$

# What does intermittency mean?

Remind that

$$\bar{\gamma}(p) := \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log E(|u(t, x_0)|^p) \text{ for all } p \in (0, \infty).$$

Suppose that  $\bar{\gamma}(p)/p < \bar{\gamma}(q)/q$  if  $p < q$ .

- Pick  $\ell \in (\bar{\gamma}(p)/p, \bar{\gamma}(q)/q)$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{E}_t := \{|u_t(x_0)| > \exp(t\ell)\}$ .
- Chebychev's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} P(\mathcal{E}_t) &\leq \exp(-pt\ell) \exp(\log E|u_t(x_0)|^p) \\ &= \exp(-t[p\ell - t^{-1} \log E|u_t(x_0)|^p]) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$



# What does intermittency mean?

Remind that

$$\bar{\gamma}(p) := \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log E(|u(t, x_0)|^p) \text{ for all } p \in (0, \infty).$$

Suppose that  $\bar{\gamma}(p)/p < \bar{\gamma}(q)/q$  if  $p < q$ .

- Pick  $\ell \in (\bar{\gamma}(p)/p, \bar{\gamma}(q)/q)$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{E}_t := \{|u_t(x_0)| > \exp(t\ell)\}$ .
- Chebychev's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} P(\mathcal{E}_t) &\leq \exp(-pt\ell) \exp(\log E|u_t(x_0)|^p) \\ &= \exp(-t[p\ell - t^{-1} \log E|u_t(x_0)|^p]) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

- $E[|u_t(x_0)|^q 1_{\mathcal{E}_t^c}] \leq e^{tq\ell} < e^{t\bar{\gamma}(q)} \approx E[|u_t(x_0)|^q]$

# What does intermittency mean?

Remind that

$$\bar{\gamma}(p) := \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log E(|u(t, x_0)|^p) \text{ for all } p \in (0, \infty).$$

Suppose that  $\bar{\gamma}(p)/p < \bar{\gamma}(q)/q$  if  $p < q$ .

- Pick  $\ell \in (\bar{\gamma}(p)/p, \bar{\gamma}(q)/q)$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{E}_t := \{|u_t(x_0)| > \exp(t\ell)\}$ .
- Chebychev's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} P(\mathcal{E}_t) &\leq \exp(-pt\ell) \exp(\log E|u_t(x_0)|^p) \\ &= \exp(-t[p\ell - t^{-1} \log E|u_t(x_0)|^p]) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

- $E[|u_t(x_0)|^q 1_{\mathcal{E}_t^c}] \leq e^{tq\ell} < e^{t\bar{\gamma}(q)} \approx E[|u_t(x_0)|^q]$
- $E[|u_t(x_0)|^q 1_{\mathcal{E}_t}] \approx E[|u_t(x_0)|^q]$ .

# Moments

Remind that  $\mathcal{E}_t := \{|u_t(x_0)| > \exp(t\ell)\}$ .

# Moments

Remind that  $\mathcal{E}_t := \{|u_t(x_0)| > \exp(t\ell)\}$ .

- High peaks with small probability.

# Moments

Remind that  $\mathcal{E}_t := \{|u_t(x_0)| > \exp(t\ell)\}$ .

- High peaks with small probability.
- High peaks contribute the moment.

# Moments

Remind that  $\mathcal{E}_t := \{|u_t(x_0)| > \exp(t\ell)\}$ .

- High peaks with small probability.
- High peaks contribute the moment.
- The  $p$ -th moment  $E|u_t(x)|^p$  is approximately  $\exp(p^k t)$  where  $k > 1$ .

# Moments

Remind that  $\mathcal{E}_t := \{|u_t(x_0)| > \exp(t\ell)\}$ .

- High peaks with small probability.
- High peaks contribute the moment.
- The  $p$ -th moment  $E|u_t(x)|^p$  is approximately  $\exp(p^k t)$  where  $k > 1$ .

SHE with domain  $\mathbf{R}$ .

# Moments

Remind that  $\mathcal{E}_t := \{|u_t(x_0)| > \exp(t\ell)\}$ .

- High peaks with small probability.
- High peaks contribute the moment.
- The  $p$ -th moment  $E|u_t(x)|^p$  is approximately  $\exp(p^k t)$  where  $k > 1$ .

SHE with domain  $\mathbf{R}$ .

- $E[|u_t(x)|^k] \leq C^k \exp(Ck^3 t)$ .



# Moments

Remind that  $\mathcal{E}_t := \{|u_t(x_0)| > \exp(t\ell)\}$ .

- High peaks with small probability.
- High peaks contribute the moment.
- The  $p$ -th moment  $E|u_t(x)|^p$  is approximately  $\exp(p^k t)$  where  $k > 1$ .

SHE with domain  $\mathbf{R}$ .

- $E[|u_t(x)|^k] \leq C^k \exp(Ck^3 t)$ .
- PAM,  $E[|u_t(x)|^k] \approx C^k \exp(Ck^3 t)$  [Bertini-Cancrini, 1995].

# Moments

Remind that  $\mathcal{E}_t := \{|u_t(x_0)| > \exp(t\ell)\}$ .

- High peaks with small probability.
- High peaks contribute the moment.
- The  $p$ -th moment  $E|u_t(x)|^p$  is approximately  $\exp(p^k t)$  where  $k > 1$ .

SHE with domain  $\mathbf{R}$ .

- $E[|u_t(x)|^k] \leq C^k \exp(Ck^3 t)$ .
- PAM,  $E[|u_t(x)|^k] \approx C^k \exp(Ck^3 t)$  [Bertini-Cancrini, 1995].
- $\sigma$  is bounded,  $E|u_t(x)|^k = o(t^{k/2})$  [Foondun-Khoshnevisan, 2009].

# Chaotic Behaviors

$$c < \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \sup_{|x| \leq R} u_t(x)}{(\log R)^d} < C,$$

# Chaotic Behaviors

$$c < \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \sup_{|x| \leq R} u_t(x)}{(\log R)^d} < C,$$

- PAM,  $d = 2/3$  [Conus-Joseph-Khoshnevisan, 2013].

# Chaotic Behaviors

$$c < \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \sup_{|x| \leq R} u_t(x)}{(\log R)^d} < C,$$

- PAM,  $d = 2/3$  [Conus-Joseph-Khoshnevisan, 2013].
- PAM, Xia Chen found the value of the limit superior

# Chaotic Behaviors

$$c < \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \sup_{|x| \leq R} u_t(x)}{(\log R)^d} < C,$$

- PAM,  $d = 2/3$  [Conus-Joseph-Khoshnevisan, 2013].
- PAM, Xia Chen found the value of the limit superior (his talk in on SUNDAY).

# Chaotic Behaviors

$$c < \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \sup_{|x| \leq R} u_t(x)}{(\log R)^d} < C,$$

- PAM,  $d = 2/3$  [Conus-Joseph-Khoshnevisan, 2013].
- PAM, Xia Chen found the value of the limit superior (his talk in on SUNDAY).

Apply the Hopf-Cole transformation  $u_t(x) := \exp(h_t(x))$ .  $\{h_t(x)\}$  satisfies the following KPZ equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} h_t(x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} h_t(x) + \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} h_t(x) \right)^2 + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x} W(t, x).$$

# Chaotic Behaviors

$$c < \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \sup_{|x| \leq R} u_t(x)}{(\log R)^d} < C,$$

- PAM,  $d = 2/3$  [Conus-Joseph-Khoshnevisan, 2013].
- PAM, Xia Chen found the value of the limit superior (his talk in on SUNDAY).

Apply the Hopf-Cole transformation  $u_t(x) := \exp(h_t(x))$ .  $\{h_t(x)\}$  satisfies the following KPZ equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} h_t(x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} h_t(x) + \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} h_t(x) \right)^2 + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x} W(t, x).$$

The chaotic estimates for SHE give

$$a_t < \limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h_t(x)}{(\log |x|)^{2/3}} < A_t.$$



# Moments

Consider

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_t(x) = \Delta u_t(x) + \lambda \sigma(u_t(x)) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x} W(t, x), \quad x \in [-1, 1]$$

# Moments

Consider

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_t(x) = \Delta u_t(x) + \lambda \sigma(u_t(x)) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x} W(t, x), \quad x \in [-1, 1]$$

with Neumann boundary condition and  $\sigma$  is approximately linear.

# Moments

Consider

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_t(x) = \Delta u_t(x) + \lambda \sigma(u_t(x)) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x} W(t, x), \quad x \in [-1, 1]$$

with Neumann boundary condition and  $\sigma$  is approximately linear.

$$ct \leq \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \sqrt{\int_{-1}^1 E |u_t(x)|^2 dx}}{\lambda^4} \leq \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \sqrt{\int_{-1}^1 E |u_t(x)|^2 dx}}{\lambda^4} \leq Ct$$

[K.W. Kim-Khoshnevisan, 2015].

# Moments

Consider

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_t(x) = \Delta u_t(x) + \lambda \sigma(u_t(x)) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x} W(t, x), \quad x \in [-1, 1]$$

with Neumann boundary condition and  $\sigma$  is approximately linear.

$$ct \leq \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \sqrt{\int_{-1}^1 E |u_t(x)|^2 dx}}{\lambda^4} \leq \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \sqrt{\int_{-1}^1 E |u_t(x)|^2 dx}}{\lambda^4} \leq Ct$$

[K.W. Kim-Khoshnevisan, 2015].

$$\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \inf_x E |u_t(x)|^2}{\log \lambda} = \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \sup_x E |u_t(x)|^2}{\log \lambda} = 4$$

[Foondun-Joseph, 2014].

Dissipation Theorem. When  $\lambda$  goes to  $\infty$ 

Consider

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_t(x; \lambda) = \Delta u_t(x; \lambda) + \lambda \sigma(u_t(x; \lambda)) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x} W(t, x), \quad x \in [-1, 1]$$

# Dissipation Theorem. When $\lambda$ goes to $\infty$

Consider

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_t(x; \lambda) = \Delta u_t(x; \lambda) + \lambda \sigma(u_t(x; \lambda)) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x} W(t, x), \quad x \in [-1, 1]$$

with periodic boundary condition.

Dissipation Theorem. When  $\lambda$  goes to  $\infty$ 

Consider

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_t(x; \lambda) = \Delta u_t(x; \lambda) + \lambda \sigma(u_t(x; \lambda)) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x} W(t, x), \quad x \in [-1, 1]$$

with periodic boundary condition.

Recall that SHE with Neumann boundary condition

$E|u_t(x; \lambda)|^2 \approx \exp(C\lambda^4 t)$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ .

# Dissipation Theorem. When $\lambda$ goes to $\infty$

Consider

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_t(x; \lambda) = \Delta u_t(x; \lambda) + \lambda \sigma(u_t(x; \lambda)) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x} W(t, x), \quad x \in [-1, 1]$$

with periodic boundary condition.

Recall that SHE with Neumann boundary condition

$E|u_t(x; \lambda)|^2 \approx \exp(C\lambda^4 t)$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Theorem (Khoshnevisan-K.W. Kim-Mueller-S, 2016+)**

*For fixed  $t > 0$*

$$\sup_{x \in [-1, 1]} u_t(x; \lambda) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in probability as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$



Dissipation Theorem. When  $t \rightarrow \infty$ 

$$E|u_t(x; \lambda)|^2 \geq C \exp(C\lambda^4 t) \text{ [Foondun-E.Nualart, 2015].}$$

Dissipation Theorem. When  $t \rightarrow \infty$ 

$E|u_t(x; \lambda)|^2 \geq C \exp(C\lambda^4 t)$  [Foondun-E.Nualart, 2015].

Theorem (Khoshnevisan-K.W. Kim-Mueller-S, 2016+)

For all  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} -\infty &< \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \inf_{x \in [-1,1]} u_t(x; \lambda) \\ &\leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \sup_{x \in [-1,1]} u_t(x; \lambda) < 0 \text{ a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

# Dissipation Theorem, Hohenberg-Swift

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_t(x; \lambda) &= \Delta \psi_t(x; \lambda) + \psi_t(x; \lambda) - \psi_t^3(x; \lambda) \\ &\quad + \lambda \sigma(\psi_t(x; \lambda)) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x} W(t, x), x \in [-1, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

with periodic boundary condition.

# Dissipation Theorem, Hohenberg-Swift

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_t(x; \lambda) &= \Delta \psi_t(x; \lambda) + \psi_t(x; \lambda) - \psi_t^3(x; \lambda) \\ &\quad + \lambda \sigma(\psi_t(x; \lambda)) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x} W(t, x), x \in [-1, 1].\end{aligned}$$

with periodic boundary condition.

**Theorem (Khoshnevisan-K.W. Kim-Mueller-S, 2016+)**

*There exists non-random constants  $0 < \lambda_1 < \infty$  such that:  
whenever  $\lambda > \lambda_1$ ,*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \sup_{x \in [-1, 1]} \psi_t(x, \lambda) < 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

Thank you