

# Determinants of Correlation Matrices with Applications

Tiefeng Jiang

School of Statistics, University of Minnesota

July 14, 2016

- Correlation matrix

- Correlation matrix
- What we know about it?

- Correlation matrix
- What we know about it?
- Why study determinant?

- Correlation matrix
- What we know about it?
- Why study determinant?
- Our result

- Correlation matrix
- What we know about it?
- Why study determinant?
- Our result
- Application

- Correlation matrix
- What we know about it?
- Why study determinant?
- Our result
- Application
- Proof

# Correlation Matrix

Pearson's correlation coefficient:

$$\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n); \quad \mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$$



# Correlation Matrix

Pearson's correlation coefficient:

$$\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n); \quad \mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{a}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i; \quad \bar{\mathbf{b}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i$$

# Correlation Matrix

Pearson's correlation coefficient:

$$\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n); \quad \mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{a}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i; \quad \bar{\mathbf{b}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i$$

$$r_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}} = \frac{\sum (a_i - \bar{\mathbf{a}})(b_i - \bar{\mathbf{b}})}{\sqrt{\sum (a_i - \bar{\mathbf{a}})^2} \sqrt{\sum (b_i - \bar{\mathbf{b}})^2}}$$

# Correlation Matrix

Pearson's correlation coefficient:

$$\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n); \quad \mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{a}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i; \quad \bar{\mathbf{b}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i$$

$$r_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}} = \frac{\sum (a_i - \bar{\mathbf{a}})(b_i - \bar{\mathbf{b}})}{\sqrt{\sum (a_i - \bar{\mathbf{a}})^2} \sqrt{\sum (b_i - \bar{\mathbf{b}})^2}}$$

$r_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}$  is cosine of angle between

$(a_1 - \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \dots, a_n - \bar{\mathbf{a}})$  and  $(b_1 - \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \dots, b_n - \bar{\mathbf{b}})$

$\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$  i.i.d from  $N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$ ,  $\Sigma = (\sigma_{ij})_{p \times p}$ .

$\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$  i.i.d from  $N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$ ,  $\Sigma = (\sigma_{ij})_{p \times p}$ .

• Correlation matrix  $\mathbf{R}_n = (r_{ij})_{p \times p}$ , where

$$r_{ii} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad r_{ij} = \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{ii}\sigma_{jj}}}$$

$\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$  i.i.d from  $N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$ ,  $\Sigma = (\sigma_{ij})_{p \times p}$ .

• Correlation matrix  $\mathbf{R}_n = (r_{ij})_{p \times p}$ , where

$$r_{ii} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad r_{ij} = \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{ii}\sigma_{jj}}}$$

• Sample correlation matrix  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n := (\hat{r}_{ij})_{p \times p}$ , where

$\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$  i.i.d from  $N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$ ,  $\Sigma = (\sigma_{ij})_{p \times p}$ .

• Correlation matrix  $\mathbf{R}_n = (r_{ij})_{p \times p}$ , where

$$r_{ii} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad r_{ij} = \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{ii}\sigma_{jj}}}$$

• Sample correlation matrix  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n := (\hat{r}_{ij})_{p \times p}$ , where

$\hat{r}_{ij}$  is Pearson's correlation coefficient of  $i$ th row and  $j$ th row of  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)_{p \times n}$

$\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$  i.i.d from  $N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$ ,  $\Sigma = (\sigma_{ij})_{p \times p}$ .

• Correlation matrix  $\mathbf{R}_n = (r_{ij})_{p \times p}$ , where

$$r_{ii} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad r_{ij} = \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{ii}\sigma_{jj}}}$$

• Sample correlation matrix  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n := (\hat{r}_{ij})_{p \times p}$ , where

$\hat{r}_{ij}$  is Pearson's correlation coefficient of  $i$ th row and  $j$ th row of  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)_{p \times n}$

Remember difference between  $\mathbf{R}_n$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n$



- Largest entry of  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n$  test independence of entries of  $N(\mu, \Sigma)$   
Cai and J. (2011)

- Largest entry of  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n$  test independence of entries of  $N(\mu, \Sigma)$   
Cai and J. (2011)
- Largest entry of  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n$  is a statistic in Compressed Sensing; MIP  
Cai and J. (2011)

- Largest entry of  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n$  test independence of entries of  $N(\mu, \Sigma)$   
Cai and J. (2011)
- Largest entry of  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n$  is a statistic in Compressed Sensing; MIP  
Cai and J. (2011)
- Random packing on sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{p-1}$   
Cai, Fan and J. (2013).

# What We Know about Sample Correlation Matrix?

Assume  $\Sigma = \mathbf{I}$ .

- Joint pdf of eigenvalues unknown, no invariance

# What We Know about Sample Correlation Matrix?

Assume  $\Sigma = \mathbf{I}$ .

- Joint pdf of eigenvalues unknown, no invariance
- Empirical dist. of eigenvalues of  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n$  is asymptotically Marchenko-Pastur law. J. (2004)

# What We Know about Sample Correlation Matrix?

Assume  $\Sigma = \mathbf{I}$ .

- Joint pdf of eigenvalues unknown, no invariance
- Empirical dist. of eigenvalues of  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n$  is asymptotically Marchenko-Pastur law. J. (2004)
- Largest eigenvalue of  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n \rightarrow$  Tracy-Widom law  
 Bao, Pan & Zhou. (2012)

# What We Know about Sample Correlation Matrix?

Assume  $\Sigma = \mathbf{I}$ .

- Joint pdf of eigenvalues unknown, no invariance
- Empirical dist. of eigenvalues of  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n$  is asymptotically Marchenko-Pastur law. J. (2004)
- Largest eigenvalue of  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n \rightarrow$  Tracy-Widom law  
Bao, Pan & Zhou. (2012)
- $\log |\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n|$  satisfies CLT  
Jiang and Yang (2013) and Jiang and Qi (2015)

In particular, Jiang, Yang & Qi proved

### Theorem

Assume  $p := p_n$  satisfy that  $n > p + 4$  and  $p \rightarrow \infty$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_n &= \left(p - n + \frac{3}{2}\right) \log \left(1 - \frac{p}{n-1}\right) - \frac{n-2}{n-1}p; \\ \sigma_n^2 &= -2 \left[ \frac{p}{n-1} + \log \left(1 - \frac{p}{n-1}\right) \right].\end{aligned}$$

Then,  $(\log |\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n| - \mu_n) / \sigma_n \rightarrow N(0, 1)$ .



Little is known when  $\mathbf{R}_n \neq \mathbf{I}$ .

# Why study $|\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n|$ under $\mathbf{R}_n \neq \mathbf{I}$ ?

- New understanding of sample correlation matrix  $|\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n|$

# Why study $|\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n|$ under $\mathbf{R}_n \neq \mathbf{I}$ ?

- New understanding of sample correlation matrix  $|\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n|$
- Recently, Tao and Vu (2012); Nguyen & Vu (2014): CLT for determinant of Wigner matrix

# Why study $|\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n|$ under $\mathbf{R}_n \neq \mathbf{I}$ ?

- New understanding of sample correlation matrix  $|\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n|$
- Recently, Tao and Vu (2012); Nguyen & Vu (2014): CLT for determinant of Wigner matrix
- Cai, Liang, Zhou (2015) study CLT for determinant of Wishart matrix

# Why study $|\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n|$ under $\mathbf{R}_n \neq \mathbf{I}$ ?

- New understanding of sample correlation matrix  $|\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n|$
- Recently, Tao and Vu (2012); Nguyen & Vu (2014): CLT for determinant of Wigner matrix
- Cai, Liang, Zhou (2015) study CLT for determinant of Wishart matrix
- We have a problem from high-dimensional statistics on  $|\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n|$

High-dimensional statistics + Machine Learning = Big Data

What kind of  $\mathbf{R}_n$  are interesting?

What kind of  $\mathbf{R}_n$  are interesting?

- Compound symmetry structure

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & a & \cdots & a \\ a & 1 & a & \cdots & a \\ a & a & 1 & \cdots & a \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a & a & a & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

What kind of  $\mathbf{R}_n$  are interesting?

- Compound symmetry structure

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & a & \cdots & a \\ a & 1 & a & \cdots & a \\ a & a & 1 & \cdots & a \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a & a & a & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Autoregressive process of order 1

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho & \rho^2 & \cdots & \rho^{p-1} \\ \rho & 1 & \rho & \cdots & \rho^{p-2} \\ \rho^2 & \rho & 1 & \cdots & \rho^{p-3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \rho^{p-1} & \rho^{p-2} & \rho^{p-3} & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



## Banded matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{12} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & 1 & a_{23} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{32} & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & a_{p-1p} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{pp-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

## Banded matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{12} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & 1 & a_{23} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{32} & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & a_{p-1p} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{pp-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Other important matrices:

Toeplitz, Hankel, symmetric circulant matrices

Brockwell and Davis (2002)

$\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$  i.i.d from  $N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$ ,  $\Sigma = (\sigma_{ij})_{p \times p}$ .

- Correlation matrix  $\mathbf{R}_n = (r_{ij})_{p \times p}$ , where

$$r_{ii} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad r_{ij} = \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{ii}\sigma_{jj}}}$$

- Sample correlation matrix  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n := (\hat{r}_{ij})_{p \times p}$ , where

$\hat{r}_{ij}$  is Pearson's correlation coefficient of  $i$ th row and  $j$ th row of  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)_{p \times n}$

## Theorem

Assume  $p := p_n$ :

$n > p + 4 \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\inf_{n \geq 6} \lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{R}_n) > \frac{1}{2}$ . Set

$$\mu_n = \left(p - n + \frac{3}{2}\right) \log \left(1 - \frac{p}{n-1}\right) - \frac{n-2}{n-1}p + \log |\mathbf{R}_n|;$$

$$\sigma_n^2 = -2 \left[ \frac{p}{n-1} + \log \left(1 - \frac{p}{n-1}\right) \right] + \frac{2}{n-1} \text{tr} [(\mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{I})^2].$$

Then,  $(\log |\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n| - \mu_n) / \sigma_n \rightarrow N(0, 1)$  if

$$\frac{p}{n} \rightarrow c > 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \sup_{n \geq 6} \frac{p_n \|\mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{I}\|_{\infty}}{\|\mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{I}\|_2} < \infty$$

$$\frac{0}{0} := 1$$

Why we need  $\inf_{n \geq 6} \lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{R}_n) > \frac{1}{2}$ ?

- Essentially, it is from  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}$
- Technically, it will be clear latter
- If  $\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{R}_n) < \frac{1}{2}$ , a different limit dist. is possible

- Compound symmetry structure

$$\mathbf{R}_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & a & \cdots & a \\ a & 1 & a & \cdots & a \\ a & a & 1 & \cdots & a \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a & a & a & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Smallest eigenvalue is  $1 - a$ .

$\|\mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{I}\|_\infty = a$  and  $\|\mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{I}\|_2 = a\sqrt{p(p-1)}$ . Then

$\|\mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{I}\|_\infty = a$  and  $\|\mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{I}\|_2 = a\sqrt{p(p-1)}$ . Then

$$\frac{p\|\mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{I}\|_\infty}{\|\mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{I}\|_2} = \sqrt{\frac{p}{p-1}} < 2$$



$\|\mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{I}\|_\infty = a$  and  $\|\mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{I}\|_2 = a\sqrt{p(p-1)}$ . Then

$$\frac{p\|\mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{I}\|_\infty}{\|\mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{I}\|_2} = \sqrt{\frac{p}{p-1}} < 2$$

### Corollary

Assume  $p := p_n$  satisfy  $n > p + 4 \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $a \in (0, 1/2)$ . Then,  $(\log |\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n| - \mu_n)/\sigma_n \rightarrow N(0, 1)$ , where

$$|\mathbf{R}_n| = (1 + a(p-1))(1-a)^{p-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{tr}[(\mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{I})^2] = p(p-1)a^2$$

- Autoregressive process of order 1
- Banded matrix

- Autoregressive process of order 1
- Banded matrix

### Corollary

Assume  $\frac{p}{n} \rightarrow c > 0$ . Then

(i) If  $\mathbf{R}_n = (r_{ij})_{p \times p}$  with  $r_{ij} = \rho^{|i-j|}$  and  $|\rho| < \frac{1}{5}$  then CLT holds

- Autoregressive process of order 1
- Banded matrix

### Corollary

Assume  $\frac{p}{n} \rightarrow c > 0$ . Then

(i) If  $\mathbf{R}_n = (r_{ij})_{p \times p}$  with  $r_{ij} = \rho^{|i-j|}$  and  $|\rho| < \frac{1}{5}$  then CLT holds

(ii) Let  $\mathbf{R}_n = (r_{ij})_{p \times p}$  satisfy  $r_{ij} = 0$  for  $|j - i| > k$  and  $\max_{i \neq j} |r_{ij}| < \frac{1}{4k}$ . Then, CLT holds

# Application

$\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$  are i.i.d. from  $N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$  with large  $p$ . Consider

$$H_0 : \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I}_p \text{ vs } H_a : \mathbf{R} \neq \mathbf{I}_p.$$

Entries of  $\mathbf{x}_1$  are independent.

$\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$  are i.i.d. from  $N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$  with large  $p$ . Consider

$$H_0 : \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I}_p \text{ vs } H_a : \mathbf{R} \neq \mathbf{I}_p.$$

Entries of  $\mathbf{x}_1$  are independent.

Likelihood Ratio Test: rejection region  $\{|\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n| \leq c_\alpha\}$ ,  
where  $c_\alpha = \mu_{n,0} + \sigma_{n,0}\Phi^{-1}(\alpha)$  and

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{n,0} &= \left(p - n + \frac{3}{2}\right) \log\left(1 - \frac{p}{n-1}\right) - \frac{n-2}{n-1}p; \\ \sigma_{n,0}^2 &= -2 \left[ \frac{p}{n-1} + \log\left(1 - \frac{p}{n-1}\right) \right].\end{aligned}$$

Jiang, Qi and Yang (2013, 2015).

To evaluate test, need to compute power:

$P(\text{reject} \mid \text{null hypothesis is wrong})$ . That is,

$$\beta(\mathbf{R}) = P(\log |\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n| \leq c_\alpha \mid \mathbf{R})$$

To evaluate test, need to compute power:  
 $P(\text{reject} \mid \text{null hypothesis is wrong})$ . That is,

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(\mathbf{R}) &= P(\log |\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n| \leq c_\alpha \mid \mathbf{R}) \\ &\sim \Phi\left(\frac{c_\alpha - \mu_n}{\sigma_n}\right)\end{aligned}$$

where



To evaluate test, need to compute power:

$P(\text{reject} \mid \text{null hypothesis is wrong})$ . That is,

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(\mathbf{R}) &= P(\log |\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n| \leq c_\alpha | \mathbf{R}) \\ &\sim \Phi\left(\frac{c_\alpha - \mu_n}{\sigma_n}\right)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_n &= \left(p - n + \frac{3}{2}\right) \log\left(1 - \frac{p}{n-1}\right) - \frac{n-2}{n-1}p + \log |\mathbf{R}_n|; \\ \sigma_n^2 &= -2\left[\frac{p}{n-1} + \log\left(1 - \frac{p}{n-1}\right)\right] + \frac{2}{n-1} \text{tr}[(\mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{I})^2].\end{aligned}$$

# Sketch of Proof

- $Z_n \rightarrow N(0, 1)$  if  $Ee^{tZ_n} \rightarrow e^{t^2/2}$  for  $|t| \leq 1$

The above may fail if  $Ee^{tZ_n} \rightarrow e^{t^2/2}$  for  $0 < t \leq 1$  only.

# Sketch of Proof

- $Z_n \rightarrow N(0, 1)$  if  $Ee^{tZ_n} \rightarrow e^{t^2/2}$  for  $|t| \leq 1$

The above may fail if  $Ee^{tZ_n} \rightarrow e^{t^2/2}$  for  $0 < t \leq 1$  only.

- So to prove  $(\log |\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n| - \mu_n)/\sigma_n \rightarrow N(0, 1)$ , need to evaluate  $E(|\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n|^s)$

# Sketch of Proof

- $Z_n \rightarrow N(0, 1)$  if  $Ee^{tZ_n} \rightarrow e^{t^2/2}$  for  $|t| \leq 1$

The above may fail if  $Ee^{tZ_n} \rightarrow e^{t^2/2}$  for  $0 < t \leq 1$  only.

- So to prove  $(\log |\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n| - \mu_n)/\sigma_n \rightarrow N(0, 1)$ , need to evaluate  $E(|\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n|^s)$
- Generalized Gamma function:

$$\Gamma_p(z) := \pi^{p(p-1)/4} \prod_{i=1}^p \Gamma\left(z - \frac{1}{2}(i-1)\right)$$

for  $z$  with  $\operatorname{Re}(z) > \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ .

## Proposition

$\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$ : i.i.d. with  $N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$  and  $n = m + 1 > p$ .

Set  $\Delta_n = \mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{I}$ . Then

$$E[|\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n|^t] = \left( \frac{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2} + t)} \right)^p \cdot \frac{\Gamma_p(\frac{m}{2} + t)}{\Gamma_p(\frac{m}{2})} \\ \cdot |\mathbf{R}_n|^t \cdot E[|\mathbf{I} + \Delta_n \cdot \text{diag}(V_1, \dots, V_p)|^{-(m/2)-t}]$$

for  $t > 0$ , where  $V_1, \dots, V_p$ : i.i.d.  $\text{Beta}(t, \frac{m}{2})$ -dist.

## Proposition

$\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$ : i.i.d. with  $N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$  and  $n = m + 1 > p$ .

Set  $\Delta_n = \mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{I}$ . Then

$$E[|\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n|^t] = \left( \frac{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2} + t)} \right)^p \cdot \frac{\Gamma_p(\frac{m}{2} + t)}{\Gamma_p(\frac{m}{2})} \\ \cdot |\mathbf{R}_n|^t \cdot E[|\mathbf{I} + \Delta_n \cdot \text{diag}(V_1, \dots, V_p)|^{-(m/2)-t}]$$

for  $t > 0$ , where  $V_1, \dots, V_p$ : i.i.d. Beta( $t, \frac{m}{2}$ )-dist.

- Drawback: Beta( $t, \frac{m}{2}$ )-dist forces  $t > 0$ . Traditional method not work; Moments not explicit

## Proposition

$\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$ : i.i.d. with  $N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$  and  $n = m + 1 > p$ .

Set  $\Delta_n = \mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{I}$ . Then

$$E[|\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n|^t] = \left( \frac{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2} + t)} \right)^p \cdot \frac{\Gamma_p(\frac{m}{2} + t)}{\Gamma_p(\frac{m}{2})} \\ \cdot |\mathbf{R}_n|^t \cdot E[|\mathbf{I} + \Delta_n \cdot \text{diag}(V_1, \dots, V_p)|^{-(m/2)-t}]$$

for  $t > 0$ , where  $V_1, \dots, V_p$ : i.i.d.  $\text{Beta}(t, \frac{m}{2})$ -dist.

- Drawback:  $\text{Beta}(t, \frac{m}{2})$ -dist forces  $t > 0$ . Traditional method not work; Moments not explicit
- Chance: i.i.d.!

If  $\mathbf{R}_n = (r_{ij})$  with  $r_{ij} = a$  for  $i \neq j$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{I} + \Delta_n \cdot \text{diag}(V_1, \dots, V_p)| \\ &= \left[ \prod_{i=1}^p (1 - aV_i) \right] \cdot \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{aV_i}{1 - aV_i} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $V_1, \dots, V_p$ : i.i.d. Beta( $t, \frac{m}{2}$ )-dist.



## Proposition

$\{Z_n; n \geq 1\}$ :  $\sup_{n \geq 0} E(|Z_n|^p) < \infty$  for  $p \geq 1$  and  
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ee^{tZ_n} = Ee^{tZ_0}$  for  $t \in [0, \delta]$ .

## Proposition

$\{Z_n; n \geq 1\}$ :  $\sup_{n \geq 0} E(|Z_n|^p) < \infty$  for  $p \geq 1$  and  
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ee^{tZ_n} = Ee^{tZ_0}$  for  $t \in [0, \delta]$ .

*If dist. of  $Z_0$  can be determined uniquely by moments  
 $\{E(Z_0^p); p = 1, 2, \dots\}$ , then  $Z_n \rightarrow Z_0$*

- Step 1

$$|\mathbf{I} + \Delta_n \cdot \text{diag}(V_1, \dots, V_p)|^{-(m/2)-t} \sim e^{-\frac{t^2}{m}} \cdot \text{tr}(\Delta_n^2)$$

in probability as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

- Step 1

$$|\mathbf{I} + \Delta_n \cdot \text{diag}(V_1, \dots, V_p)|^{-(m/2)-t} \sim e^{-\frac{t^2}{m}} \cdot \text{tr}(\Delta_n^2)$$

in probability as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

- Step 2 (most efforts)

$$\left\{ \frac{LHS}{RHS}; n \geq 6 \right\} \text{ is uniformly integrable}$$

- Step 1

$$|\mathbf{I} + \Delta_n \cdot \text{diag}(V_1, \dots, V_p)|^{-(m/2)-t} \sim e^{-\frac{t^2}{m}} \cdot \text{tr}(\Delta_n^2)$$

in probability as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

- Step 2 (most efforts)

$$\left\{ \frac{LHS}{RHS}; n \geq 6 \right\} \text{ is uniformly integrable}$$

Then

$$E[|\mathbf{I} + \Delta_n \cdot \text{diag}(V_1, \dots, V_p)|^{-(m/2)-t}] \sim e^{-\frac{t^2}{m}} \cdot \text{tr}(\Delta_n^2)$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned} & E[|\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n|^t] \\ = & E[|\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{n,0}|^t] \cdot |\mathbf{R}_n|^t \cdot E[|\mathbf{I} + \Delta_n \cdot \text{diag}(V_1, \dots, V_p)|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}-t}] \end{aligned}$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned} & E[|\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n|^t] \\ = & E[|\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{n,0}|^t] \cdot |\mathbf{R}_n|^t \cdot E[|\mathbf{I} + \Delta_n \cdot \text{diag}(V_1, \dots, V_p)|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}-t}] \end{aligned}$$

where  $E[|\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{n,0}|^t]$  is the case when  $\mathbf{R}_n = \mathbf{I}$ . By earlier result, we know behavior of  $E[|\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{n,0}|^t]$ .

Write

$$\begin{aligned} & E[|\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n|^t] \\ = & E[|\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{n,0}|^t] \cdot |\mathbf{R}_n|^t \cdot E[|\mathbf{I} + \Delta_n \cdot \text{diag}(V_1, \dots, V_p)|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}-t}] \end{aligned}$$

where  $E[|\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{n,0}|^t]$  is the case when  $\mathbf{R}_n = \mathbf{I}$ . By earlier result, we know behavior of  $E[|\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{n,0}|^t]$ .

Combine them to have

$$E \exp\left(\frac{\log |\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n| - \mu_n}{\sigma_n} s\right) \rightarrow e^{s^2/2}$$

for  $0 \leq s \leq \delta$ .



- Step 3

$$\sup_{n \geq 6} E \left[ \left( \frac{\log |\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n| - \mu_n}{\sigma_n} \right)^{2k} \right] < \infty$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$

- Step 3

$$\sup_{n \geq 6} E \left[ \left( \frac{\log |\hat{\mathbf{R}}_n| - \mu_n}{\sigma_n} \right)^{2k} \right] < \infty$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$

- $N(0, 1)$  is uniquely determined by its moments.

The proof is complete.

# Two Concentration Inequalities

## Lemma

Given  $s > 0$ , define  $t = t_n = \frac{s}{\sigma_n}$ .

$V_1, \dots, V_p$ : i.i.d.  $\text{Beta}(t, \frac{m}{2})$ -dist.

Then, for  $\rho \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , there exist  $M > 0$  and  $n_0 \geq 1$  s.t.

$$P\left(\sum_{i=1}^p V_i > y\right) \leq e^{-\rho my}$$

as  $y \geq M \frac{pt}{m}$  and  $n \geq n_0$

Not tandard Chernoff bound

## Lemma

Given  $s > 0$ , define  $t = \frac{s}{\sigma_n}$ . Recall  $\mathbf{R}_n = (r_{ij})$ .

$V_1, \dots, V_p$ : i.i.d.  $\text{Beta}(t, \frac{m}{2})$ -dist.

Assume  $\inf_{n \geq 6} \frac{p_n}{n} > 0$ . Then, there exists  $\delta > 0$  s.t.

$$P\left(\sum_{i \neq j} r_{ij}^2 V_i V_j \geq y\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{1}{256} \cdot \frac{m^2 y}{pt + m\sqrt{y}}\right)$$

for all  $y > \frac{1}{m}$ ,  $s \in (0, \delta]$  and  $n \geq 6$

The proof is based on Yurinskii's ineq. + matrix tricks

Hanson-Wright ineq. is not enough (Rudelson, Vershynin, 2013)

Let  $Q_n = |\mathbf{I} + \Delta_n \cdot \text{diag}(V_1, \dots, V_p)|^{-\frac{m}{2}-t}$ . Then

$$Q_n \preceq \exp\left(m \frac{1 - \lambda_{\min}}{2\lambda_{\min}} \sum_{i=1}^p V_i\right).$$

$\lambda_{\min} = \lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{R}_n)$ . To bound  $EQ_n$ , use formula

Let  $Q_n = |\mathbf{I} + \Delta_n \cdot \text{diag}(V_1, \dots, V_p)|^{-\frac{m}{2}-t}$ . Then

$$Q_n \preceq \exp\left(m \frac{1 - \lambda_{\min}}{2\lambda_{\min}} \sum_{i=1}^p V_i\right).$$

$\lambda_{\min} = \lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{R}_n)$ . To bound  $EQ_n$ , use formula

$$Ee^{\gamma H} \leq c + \int_0^{\infty} e^{\gamma x} P(H > x) dx$$

where  $H$  is r.v. and  $\gamma > 0$  is const.

By earlier concentration ineq., this forces  $\lambda_{\min} > \frac{1}{2}$ .

**The End!**