

# Spatial asymptotics for parabolic Anderson equations with white noise

Xia Chen

University of Tennessee/Jilin University

July 13-17, 2016, The 12th International Workshop on Markov processes and Related Topics, Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou

# The main topic

The Parabolic Anderson Model (PAM) is formulated in the form

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta u(t, x) + V(t, x) u(t, x) \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) \end{cases}$$

where  $\{V(t, x); x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$  is a random field called potential. In this talk, we are interested in the asymptotic behavior of

$$\max_{|x| \leq R} u(t, x) \quad (R \rightarrow \infty)$$

in the cases when  $V(t, x) = \dot{W}(t, x)$  is a  $(1 + 1)$ -white noise.

# Association to KPZ equation

A recently hot topic is the study of Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) equation in the case  $d = 1$ :

$$\partial_t h = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x^2} + \dot{W}(t, x)$$

where  $\dot{W}(t, x)$  is the time-space white noise decided by the covariance

$$\text{Cov}(\dot{W}(0, 0), \dot{W}(t, x)) = \delta_0(t)\delta_0(x)$$

KPZ equation describes the stochastic growth of the interface. An recent important progress is the mathematical treatment by Martin Hairer (Ann. Math. (2013)).

# PAM with white noise

Under the Hopf-Cole transform

$$h(t, x) = \log u(t, x)$$

KPZ equation is formally transformed into the parabolic Anderson equation with  $V = \dot{W}$  being a  $(1 + 1)$ -white noise. In this setting, the solution  $u(t, x)$  of the parabolic Anderson equation is mild in the sense that

$$u(t, x) = p_t * u_0(x) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{t-s}(y - x) u(s, x) W(ds dx)$$

where  $u_0(x)$  is the initial value,  $p_t$  is the density of Brownian semi-group.

# PAM with white noise

The parabolic Anderson equation becomes

$$\partial_t u = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \dot{W}(t, x)u$$

The solution  $u(t, x)$  can be formally written as

$$u(t, x) = \mathbb{E}_x \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \dot{W}(t-s, B(s)) ds - \frac{1}{2} t \delta_0(0) \right\}$$

where  $B(s)$  is a 1-dimensional Brownian motion independent of  $W$  with  $B(0) = x$ .

# PAM with white noise

Theorem (Conus, Joseph and Koshnevisan (2013),  
Ann. Probab.)

*Under the initial condition*

$$0 < \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} u_0(x) \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} u_0(x) < \infty$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \liminf_{R \rightarrow \infty} (\log R)^{-2/3} \log \max_{|x| \leq R} u(t, x) \\ \leq \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} (\log R)^{-2/3} \log \max_{|x| \leq R} u(t, x) < \infty \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

# PAM with white noise

This result shows that for the Hopf-Cole solution  $h(t, x)$ ,

$$\max_{|x| \leq R} h(t, x) \asymp R^{2/3} \quad (R \rightarrow \infty)$$

**Question.** Does the limit exist? If so, what is the value of the limit?

## Theorem

*Under the same initial condition,*

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} (\log R)^{-2/3} \log \max_{|x| \leq R} u(t, x) = \frac{3}{4} \sqrt[3]{\frac{2t}{3}} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

*Thus, the Hopf-Cole solution  $h(t, x)$  of KPZ equation satisfies*

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} (\log R)^{-2/3} \max_{|x| \leq R} h(t, x) = \frac{3}{4} \sqrt[3]{\frac{2t}{3}} \quad \text{a.s.}$$



# Idea of the proof

By Borel-Cantelli lemma all we need is to show

$$\sum_k \mathbb{P} \left\{ \log \max_{|x| \leq 2^k} u(t, x) \geq \lambda (\log 2^k)^{2/3} \right\} < \infty$$

for  $\lambda > \frac{3}{4} \sqrt[3]{\frac{2t}{3}}$ , and

$$\sum_k \mathbb{P} \left\{ \log \max_{|x| \leq 2^k} u(t, x) \leq \lambda (\log 2^k)^{2/3} \right\} < \infty$$

for  $\lambda < \frac{3}{4} \sqrt[3]{\frac{2t}{3}}$ .

# Idea of the proof

The road map is as follows: First, by comparison we can reduce the problem to the initial condition  $u_0(x) = 1$ .

Consequently,  $u(t, x)$  is stationary in  $x$ .

In a suitable sense

$$\max_{|x| \leq 2^k} u(t, x) \approx \max_{|j| \leq 2^k} u(t, j)$$

By stationarity of  $u(t, x)$  in  $x$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left\{ \log \max_{|j| \leq 2^k} u(t, j) \geq \lambda (\log 2^k)^{2/3} \right\} \\ & \leq 2^{k+1} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \log u(t, 0) \geq \lambda (\log 2^k)^{2/3} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

# Idea of the proof

On the other hand,  $u(t, j)$  ( $j = 0, \pm 1, \dots, 2^k$ ) are “nearly” i.i.d. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left\{ \log \max_{|j| \leq 2^k} u(t, j) \leq \lambda (\log 2^k)^{2/3} \right\} \\ & \approx \left( 1 - \mathbb{P} \left\{ \log u(t, 0) \geq \lambda (\log 2^k)^{2/3} \right\} \right)^{2^{k+1}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the problem is to show

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left\{ \log u(t, 0) \geq \left( \frac{3}{4} \sqrt[3]{\frac{2t}{3}} \pm \epsilon \right) (\log 2^k)^{2/3} \right\} \\ & \approx \exp \left\{ - (1 \pm \delta) \log 2^k \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for large  $k$ .

# Idea of the proof

So the key estimate needed is the large deviation

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\log u(t, 0) \geq \lambda a\right\} \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow \infty$$

More precisely, we claim that for any  $\lambda > 0$

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} a^{-3/2} \log \mathbb{P}\left\{\log u(t, 0) \geq \lambda a\right\} = -4 \left(\frac{6}{t}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\lambda}{3}\right)^{3/2}$$

By Gärtner-Ellis theorem, this is reduced to the proof of the high moment asymptotics

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-3} \log \mathbb{E} u(t, 0)^n = \frac{t}{24}.$$

# Idea of the proof

Our starting point is the moment representation

$$\mathbb{E} u(t, 0)^n = \mathbb{E}_0 \exp \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \int_0^t \delta_0(B_j(s) - B_k(s)) ds \right\}$$

where  $B_k(s)$  are independent Brownian motions starting at 0, and “ $\mathbb{E}_0$ ” is for the expectation with respect to the Brownian motions. Therefore, the problem is to show for any  $\theta > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-3} \log \mathbb{E}_0 \exp \left\{ \theta \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \int_0^t \delta_0(B_j(s) - B_k(s)) ds \right\} = \frac{t\theta^2}{24}$$

The proof we present here is not the one originally given. It adopted from Bertini and Cancrini (1994) where the critical idea is Tanaka's formula.

# Lower bounds

Indeed, applying Tanaka formula to the Brownian motion  $2^{-1/2}(\mathbf{B}_j(s) - \mathbf{B}_k(s))$  leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\mathbf{B}_j(t) - \mathbf{B}_k(t)}{\sqrt{2}} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(\mathbf{B}_j(s) - \mathbf{B}_k(s)) d(\mathbf{B}_j(s) - \mathbf{B}_k(s)) \\ &+ \sqrt{2} \int_0^t \delta_0(\mathbf{B}_j(s) - \mathbf{B}_k(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \delta_0(\mathbf{B}_j(s) - \mathbf{B}_k(s)) ds \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(\mathbf{B}_j(s) - \mathbf{B}_k(s)) d(\mathbf{B}_k(s) - \mathbf{B}_j(s)) \end{aligned}$$

# Lower bounds

Summing up, the lower bound follows from

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \int_0^t \delta_0(\mathbf{B}_j(s) - \mathbf{B}_k(s)) ds \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(\mathbf{B}_j(s) - \mathbf{B}_k(s)) d(\mathbf{B}_k(s) - \mathbf{B}_j(s)) \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t \left( \sum_{k \neq j} \operatorname{sgn}(\mathbf{B}_j(s) - \mathbf{B}_k(s)) \right) d\mathbf{B}_j(s) \\ & \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{B} \left( t \frac{n(n^2 - 1)}{3} \right) \end{aligned}$$

# Lower bounds

where the identity in law follows from the time-change Brownian representation for martingale and the fact that for any distinct real numbers  $b_1, \dots, b_n$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \left( \sum_{k \neq j} \operatorname{sgn}(b_j - b_k) \right)^2 = \frac{1}{3} n^2 (n-1)$$

and therefore

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t \left( \sum_{k \neq j} \operatorname{sgn}(B_j(s) - B_k(s)) \right)^2 ds = \frac{t}{3} n^2 (n-1) \quad \text{a.s.}$$



# Upper bounds

By Tanaka formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} |B_j(t) - B_k(t)| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(B_j(s) - B_k(s)) d(B_j(s) - B_k(s)) \\ &+ \int_0^t \delta_0(B_j(s) - B_k(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Skorokhod lemma

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \delta_0(B_j(s) - B_k(s)) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sup_{s \leq t} \int_0^s \operatorname{sgn}(B_j(s) - B_k(s)) d(B_k(s) - B_s(s)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sup_{s \leq t} B_{j,k}(s) \quad (\text{say}). \end{aligned}$$

# Upper bounds

Summing up

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \int_0^t \delta_0(B_j(s) - B_k(s)) ds &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \sup_{s \leq t} B_{j,k}(s) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sup_{\mathbf{s} \in [0,t]^{\mathcal{A}}} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} B_{j,k}(s_{j,k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sup_{\mathbf{s} \in [0,t]^{\mathcal{A}}} G(\mathbf{s}) \quad (\text{say}). \end{aligned}$$

Here we adopt the notations

$$\mathcal{A} = \{(j, k); 1 \leq j < k \leq n\}, \quad \mathbf{s} = (s_{j,k}; 1 \leq j < k \leq n).$$

# Upper bounds

Let  $m \geq 1$  be an integer and let  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m = t$  be a uniform partition. Set  $\Pi_m = \{1, \dots, m\}^{\mathcal{A}}$  and partition  $[0, t]^{\mathcal{A}}$  into  $m^{\#\mathcal{A}}$  small boxes  $B_\pi$  labeled by  $\pi \in \Pi_m$  such that  $B_\pi$  is a  $\mathcal{A}$ -product of the intervals of the form  $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ).

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ \theta \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \int_0^t \delta_0(B_j(s) - B_k(s)) ds \right\} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{2}} \max_{\pi \in \Pi_m} \sup_{\mathbf{s} \in B_\pi} G(\mathbf{s}) \right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_m} \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{2}} \sup_{\mathbf{s} \in B_\pi} G(\mathbf{s}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

# Upper bounds

Let the box  $B_\pi$  be fixed and write

$$B_\pi = \prod_{(j,k) \in \mathcal{A}} [s_{j,k}, t_{j,k}] = [\mathbf{s}_\pi, \mathbf{t}_\pi].$$

By Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{2}} \sup_{\mathbf{s} \in B_\pi} G(\mathbf{s}) \right\} &\leq \left( \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ \frac{p\theta}{\sqrt{2}} G(\mathbf{s}_\pi) \right\} \right)^{1/p} \\ &\times \left( \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ \frac{q\theta}{\sqrt{2}} \sup_{\mathbf{s} \in B_\pi} (G(\mathbf{s}) - G(\mathbf{s}_\pi)) \right\} \right)^{1/q} \end{aligned}$$

where  $p, q > 1$  are fixed conjugate numbers with  $p$  close to 1.

# Upper bounds

Using Hölder inequality again

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ \frac{q\theta}{\sqrt{2}} \sup_{\mathbf{s} \in B_\pi} \left( G(\mathbf{s}) - G(\mathbf{s}_\pi) \right) \right\} \\ & \leq \prod_{(j,k) \in \mathcal{A}} \left( \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ \frac{qn(n-1)\theta}{2\sqrt{2}} \sup_{s \in [s_{j,k}, t_{j,k}]} \left( B_{j,k}(s) - B_{j,k}(s_{j,k}) \right) \right\} \right)^{\frac{2}{n(n-1)}} \\ & = \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ \frac{qn(n-1)\theta}{2\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{t}{m}} |B(1)| \right\} \leq 2 \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{16} (qn(n-1)\theta)^2 \frac{t}{m} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

where the second step follows from the fact that  $B_{j,k}(s)$  is a Brownian motion.

# Upper bounds

In addition, write

$$\begin{aligned} G(\mathbf{s}_\pi) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \int_0^{s_{j,k}} \operatorname{sgn}(B_k(u) - B_j(u)) d(B_j(u) - B_k(u)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k \neq j} \int_0^{s_{j,k}} \operatorname{sgn}(B_k(u) - B_j(u)) dB_j(u) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t \left( \sum_{k \neq j} \operatorname{sgn}(B_k(u) - B_j(u)) \mathbf{1}_{[0, s_{j,k}]}(u) \right) dB_j(u). \end{aligned}$$

Here we extend the definition of  $s_{j,k}$  as  $s_{j,k} = s_{k,j}$  for  $j > k$ .

# Upper bounds

By Ito's formula,

$$\mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ \frac{p\theta}{\sqrt{2}} G(\mathbf{s}_\pi) - \frac{(p\theta)^2}{8} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^t \left( \sum_{k \neq j} \operatorname{sgn} (B_k(u) - B_j(u)) \mathbf{1}_{[0, s_{j,k}]}(u) \right)^2 du \right\} = 1.$$

Here we point out that for each  $0 \leq u \leq t$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \sum_{k \neq j} \operatorname{sgn} (B_k(u) - B_j(u)) \mathbf{1}_{[0, s_{j,k}]}(u) \right)^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \sum_{k \neq j} \operatorname{sgn} (B_k(u) - B_j(u)) \right)^2 = \frac{1}{3} n(n^2 - 1). \end{aligned}$$

# Upper bounds

Consequently,

$$\mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ \frac{p\theta}{\sqrt{2}} G(\mathbf{s}_\pi) \right\} \leq \exp \left\{ \frac{(p\theta)^2}{24} n(n^2 - 1)t \right\}.$$

Summarizing our steps,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ \theta \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \int_0^t \delta_0(B_j(s) - B_k(s)) ds \right\} \\ & \leq 2m^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{16} q(n(n-1)\theta)^2 \frac{t}{m} \right\} \exp \left\{ \frac{p\theta^2}{24} n(n^2 - 1)t \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to the upper bounds with  $m = n^2$ ,  $p > 1$  is close to 1.

□



## Further remark.

By an obvious modification of the above proof, we can have the following moment asymptotics:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E} u(t, x)^n = \frac{1}{24} n(n^2 - 1) \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

which, together with the high moment asymptotics established above, appears as the weak version of the false claim of Bertini and Cancrini (1994).

$$\mathbb{E} u(t, x)^n = 2 \exp \left\{ \lambda^4 t \frac{n(n^2 - 1)}{24} \right\} \Phi \left( \left( t \lambda^2 \left( \frac{n(n^2 - 1)}{12} \right)^{1/2} \right) \right)$$

where  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is the standard normal distribution function.

## Further remark.

As to the time asymptotics for the parabolic Anderson model, Amir, Corwin and Quastel (2011), who claim that under the initial condition  $u_0(x) = \delta_0(x)$

$$t^{-1/3} \left\{ \log u(t, x) + \frac{t}{24} \right\} \xrightarrow{d} 2^{-1/3} \text{GUE} \quad (t \rightarrow \infty)$$

where GUE represents Tracy-Widom law. So we expect that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} l(t)^{-1} \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ \theta \left( \frac{l(t)}{t} \right)^{1/3} \left\{ \log u(t, x) + \frac{t}{24} \right\} \right\} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} l(t)^{-1} \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ \theta \left( \frac{l(t)}{2} \right)^{1/3} \text{GUE} \right\} = \frac{\theta^3}{24} \quad (\theta > 0) \end{aligned}$$

for any slow varying function  $l(t) \rightarrow \infty$ .

## Further remark.

In the following, we show how it morally link to our moment asymptotics which can be rewritten into

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ n \left( \log u(t, x) + \frac{t}{24} \right) \right\} = \frac{n^3}{24}$$

Indeed, if  $n$  can be replaced by  $\theta(l(t)/t)^{1/3}$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} l(t)^{-1} \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ \theta \left( \frac{l(t)}{t} \right)^{1/3} \left\{ \log u(t, x) + \frac{t}{24} \right\} \right\} = \frac{\theta^3}{24}$$

## Further remark.

By Gärtner-Ellis theorem, we expect some thing like

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{I(t)} \log \mathbb{P} \left\{ \log u(t, x) + \frac{t}{24} \geq \lambda t^{1/3} I(t)^{2/3} \right\} = -\frac{2\sqrt{8}}{3} \lambda^{2/3}$$

for  $\lambda > 0$ .

The above analysis shows that the precise Lyapunov exponent may suggest the pattern of the quenched long term asymptotics of the system.

## Further remark.

Consider the Parabolic Anderson equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta u(t, x) + V(t, x)u(t, x) \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) \end{cases}$$

and assume that the Gaussian potential  $V(t, x)$  is white in time and colored in space. More precisely, it has the covariance function

$$\text{Cov} \left( V(t, x), V(s, y) \right) = \delta_0(t - s) \gamma(x - y) \quad (t, x), (s, y) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

with  $\gamma(\cdot) \geq 0$  and the space homogeneity  $\gamma(cx) = |c|^{-\alpha} \gamma(x)$  for some  $0 < \alpha < 2$ .

# Further remark.

As a generalization, it has been shown that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} (\log R)^{-\frac{2}{4-\alpha}} \max_{|x| \leq R} u(t, x) = \frac{4-\alpha}{4} \left( \frac{4t\mathcal{E}}{2-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{2-\alpha}{4-\alpha}} d^{\frac{2}{4-\alpha}} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

where  $\mathcal{E}$  is the Hartree energy defined as

$$\mathcal{E} = \sup_g \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \gamma(x-y) g^2(x) g^2(y) dx dy - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla g(x)|^2 dx \right\}$$

and the supremum is over all  $g \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\nabla g \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\|g\|_2 = 1$ .

## Further remark.

This result is based on the high moment asymptotics

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-\frac{4-\alpha}{2-\alpha}} \log \mathbb{E} u(t, 0)^n = t \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}} \mathcal{E}$$




which follows from a different approach.

As for the Lyapunov exponent, we conjecture that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E} u(t, 0)^n = \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}} n \left( n^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}} - 1 \right) \mathcal{E}$$



for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .

# References



-  Amir, G. Corwin, I. and Quastel, J. (2011). Probability distribution of the free energy of the continuum directed random polymer in  $1 + 1$  dimensions. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **64** 466-537.
-  Bertini, L. and Cancrini, N. (1995). The stochastic heat equation: Feynman-Kac formula and intermittence. *J. Statist. Phys.* **78** 1377-1401.
-  Chen, X. Spatial asymptotics for the parabolic Anderson models with generalized time-space Gaussian noise. *Ann. Probab.* (to appear)



# References

-  Chen, X. (2015). Precise intermittency for the parabolic Anderson equation with an  $(1 + 1)$ -dimensional time-space white noise. *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincare* **51** 1486-1499.
-  Conus, D., Joseph, M., and Khoshnevisan, D. (2013). On the chaotic character of the stochastic heat equation, before the onset of intermittency. *Ann. Probab.* **41** 2225-2260.

# References

-  Hairer, M. (2013). Solving the KPZ equation. *Ann. Math.* **178** 559-664.
-  Kardar, M., Parisi, G. and Zhang, Y. C. (1986). Dynamic scaling of growing interface. *Phys. Rev. Letters* **56** 889-892.

Thank you!