

The contact process on the regular tree with random vertex weights

Dayue Chen, Yu Pan(潘育) & Xiaofeng Xue(薛晓峰)

Peking University

- Background and Motivations
- Model and the Main Results
- Proof of Two Lemmas

Background and Motivations

The contact process is an **interacting particle system**. (不忘初心)

T is the underlying graph, Z^d , T_d

The state space $X = \{0, 1\}^T$ with the product topology.

$\eta \in X$, $\eta = \{\eta(x), x \in T\}$.

The process $\{\eta_t, t \geq 0\}$ is formally defined by a collection of infinitesimal rates

$$c(x, \eta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \eta(x) = 1 \\ \lambda \sum_{y: y \sim x} \eta(y), & \text{if } \eta(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where λ is a nonnegative parameter.

Background and Motivations

This process may serve as a model of the spread of an infection.

An individual at $x \in T$ is **infected** if $\eta(x)=1$ and **healthy** if $\eta(x)=0$.

A healthy individual x is infected by some infected neighbor y at rate λ .

Infected individuals recover at a constant rate, normalized to be 1.

Background and Motivations

Phase Transition.

- As λ increases, it is more likely to get infected.
- $A_t = \{x \in T, \eta_t(x) = 1\}$
- Two different limiting behaviors:
 - the infection **dies out** $A_t = \phi$ for some t ,
 - or **persists** forever $A_t \neq \phi$ for all t .
- Critical point $\lambda_c = \inf\{\lambda, A_t \neq \phi \text{ for all } t\}$
- $0 < \lambda_c < \infty$, largely unknown for $T = \mathbb{Z}^d$. $\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} d \lambda_c(d) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Background and Motivations

If $T = \mathbb{Z}^d$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} A_t = \phi \iff \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \eta_t(x) = 0$ for any $x \in T$

If T is a regular tree

$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} A_t = \phi \implies \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \eta_t(x) = 0$ for any $x \in T$

Two critical points

$\lambda_1 = \inf\{\lambda, A_t \neq \phi \text{ for all } t\},$

$\lambda_2 = \inf\{\lambda, \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \eta_t(x) = 1 \text{ for all } x\}.$

$0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \infty, \quad 0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \infty,$

Background and Motivations

$0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \infty$ verified for regular trees by Pemantle, Liggett & Stacey

infinitely many invariant measures for $\lambda_1 < \lambda < \lambda_2$,

one invariant measure for $\lambda < \lambda_1$

two invariant measures for $\lambda > \lambda_2$

Background and Motivations

Two formulations of random environments.

(1) Birth rate (or death rate) are i.i.d.

sufficient conditions for survival/dying out.

(2) random graph, Galton-Watson tree

For each GW tree T , there are also $0 \leq \lambda_1(T) \leq \lambda_2(T) \leq \infty$

easy to see that $\lambda_1(T)$ and $\lambda_2(T)$ are independent of T .

Easy $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \infty$, difficult $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \infty$,

Model and the main results

\mathcal{T}^d = regular tree where the root O has degree d and other vertices have degree $d+1$.

omit d for simplicity if there is no confusion.

random weight nonnegative r. v. $\rho(x)$ for each vertex $x \in \mathcal{T}^d$,

Assumption $\{\rho(x), x \in \mathcal{T}\}$ are i.i.d.

$\{\rho(x), x \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is uniformly bounded by a positive number M .

Model and the main results

This ensures the process is a spin system.

$$c(x, \eta) = 1 \quad \text{if } \eta(x)=1$$
$$\lambda \sum_{y:y \sim x} \rho(x)\rho(y) \eta(y), \quad \text{if } \eta(x)=0$$

where λ is a nonnegative parameter.

The contact process on the regular tree with random vertex weights.

Graphical construction.

Model and the main results

The random weights $\{\rho(x), x \in T\}$ are defined on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$.

E = expectation operator w.r.t. measure μ .

For any $\omega \in \Omega$, P_λ^ω = the **quenched** law of the contact process on T with vertex weights $\{\rho(x, \omega), x \in T\}$ and infection rate λ .

The expectation operator w.r.t. P_λ^ω is denoted by E_λ^ω

Annealed measure $\mathbf{P}_\lambda(\cdot) = E P_\lambda^\omega(\cdot) = \int P_\lambda^\omega(\cdot) d\mu$

\mathbf{E}_λ = expectation operator w.r.t. \mathbf{P}_λ .

Model and the main results

$\lambda_1(\omega) = \inf\{\lambda, A_t \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } t\}$ is independent of ω ,

Easy to prove. So write $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(\mathcal{T}^d) = \lambda_c(d)$.

Theorem: $\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} d \lambda_c(d) = \frac{1}{E\rho^2}$

Recall that for $T = \mathbb{Z}^d$, $\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} d \lambda_c(d) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Model and the main results

Lemma 1. If $\frac{(1+\lambda M)^2}{\lambda E\rho^2} < d$ for some $\lambda > 0$, then $\lambda_c(d) \leq \lambda$.

Recall that $\mu(\rho < M) = 1$

Let $\lambda_e(d, \omega) = \sup\{ \lambda, \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbf{P}_\lambda (A_t \neq \phi) < 0 \}$.

Again $\lambda_e(d, \omega)$ is independent of ω , so write $\lambda_e(d)$

$\lambda_e(d) \leq \lambda_c(d)$

Lemma 2. $\lambda_e(d) \geq \left(dE\rho^2 + \frac{M^4}{E\rho^2} \right)^{-1}$.

Proof of Lemma 2

Comparison with a linear system $\{\xi_t\} = \{\xi_t(x), x \in T\}$.

$$\xi_0(x) = 1, \quad \xi_t(x) \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \dots\},$$

$$\mathcal{A}f(\xi) =$$

$$\sum_{x, x \in T} |f(\xi_{x\delta}) - f(\xi)| + \sum_{x, x \in T} \sum_{y: y \sim x} \lambda \rho(x) \rho(y) |f(\xi_{xy}) - f(\xi)|$$

where $\xi_{x\delta}(z) = \xi(x)$ if $z \neq x$, or 0 if $z = x$;

$\xi_{xy}(z) = \xi(x)$ if $z \neq x$, or $\xi(x) + \xi(y)$ if $z = x$

Proof of Lemma 2

the finite contact process A_t starting with one particle on the root,

- self-duality

the infinite contact process η_t starting with $\mathbf{1}$ (a particle at every site)

- coupling

The linear system $\{\xi_t\}$ starting with $\mathbf{1}$

$$P_\lambda^\omega (A_t \neq \phi) = P_\lambda^\omega (\eta_t(O) = 1) \leq P_\lambda^\omega (\xi_t(O) = 1) \leq E_\lambda^\omega \xi_t(O),$$

$$\mathbf{P}_\lambda (A_t \neq \phi) = \mathbf{P}_\lambda (\eta_t(O) = 1) \leq \mathbf{E}_\lambda \xi_t(O)$$

Proof of Lemma 2

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{E}_{\lambda}^{\omega} \xi_t(x) = - \mathbf{E}_{\lambda}^{\omega} \xi_t(x) + \sum_{y:y \sim x} \lambda \rho(x, \omega) \rho(y, \omega) \mathbf{E}_{\lambda}^{\omega} \xi_t(y),$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{E}_{\lambda}^{\omega} \xi_t = (\mathbf{G}_{\omega} - I) \mathbf{E}_{\lambda}^{\omega} \xi_t$$

where \mathbf{G}_{ω} is a matrix , $\mathbf{G}_{\omega}(x,y) = \lambda \rho(x, \omega) \rho(y, \omega)$ if $x \sim y$
 0 otherwise

$$\mathbf{E}_{\lambda}^{\omega} \xi_t(0) = e^{-t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{x, x \in T} \frac{t^n \mathbf{G}_{\omega}^n(0, x)}{n!}$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{\lambda} \xi_t(0) = e^{-t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n \lambda^n M^{2n} (d+1)^n}{n! a} \mathbf{E} a^{|X^n|} \quad \text{where } a = \mathbf{E}_{\lambda} \rho(x)^2 / M^2$$

Proof of Lemma 2

$$E a^{|Xn|} \leq [\lambda (dE\rho^2 + \frac{M^4}{E\rho^2}) - 1]^n$$

by a martingale argument

exponential convergence to 0 occurs if $\lambda < \left(dE\rho^2 + \frac{M^4}{E\rho^2}\right)^{-1}$.

Therefore $\lambda_e(d) \geq \left(dE\rho^2 + \frac{M^4}{E\rho^2}\right)^{-1}$.

Proof of Lemma 1

SIR model $\{\zeta_t, t \geq 0\}$, $\zeta_t(x) = 0, 1, \text{ or } -1$

$S_t = \{x \in T, \zeta_t(x) = 0\}$ susceptible

$I_t = \{x \in T, \zeta_t(x) = 1\}$ infected

$R_t = \{x \in T, \zeta_t(x) = -1\}$ removed

Initially $\zeta_0(0) = 1, \zeta_0(x) = 0$ for all $x \neq 0$

$1 \rightarrow -1$ at rate 1.

$0 \rightarrow 1$ at rate $\lambda \rho(x, \omega) \rho(y, \omega)$ if $\zeta(x) = 1, \zeta(y) = 0$, y is a son of x

forward spreading of disease

Proof of Lemma 1

If initially both in the SIR model and in the contact process, only the root of tree T is infected.

By coupling $I_t \leq A_t$

$$I_\infty = \bigcup \{I_t, t \geq 0\}$$

$$\{I_t \neq \emptyset, \text{ for all } t \geq 0\} = \{|I_\infty| = \infty\}$$

$$P_\lambda^\omega (A_t \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } t \geq 0) \geq P_\lambda^\omega (I_t \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } t \geq 0) = P_\lambda^\omega (|I_\infty| = \infty),$$

$$\mathbf{P}_\lambda (A_t \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } t \geq 0) \geq \mathbf{P}_\lambda (I_t \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } t \geq 0) = \mathbf{P}_\lambda (|I_\infty| = \infty),$$

Proof of Lemma 1

$$L_n = \{x \in T, |x| = n, x \in I_\infty\}$$

$$\{|I_\infty| = \infty\} = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \{|L_n| > 0\}$$

$$\mathbf{P}_\lambda (|I_\infty| = \infty) = \mathbf{P}_\lambda (\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \{|L_n| > 0\}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}_\lambda (|L_n| > 0)$$

Second moment method

$$\mathbf{P}_\lambda (|L_n| > 0) \geq \frac{(\mathbf{E}_\lambda |L_n|)^2}{\mathbf{E}_\lambda |L_n|^2}$$

Proof of Lemma 1

Suffices to prove $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\mathbf{E}_\lambda |L_n|)^2}{\mathbf{E}_\lambda |L_n|^2} > 0$

Or equivalently $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbf{E}_\lambda |L_n|^2}{(\mathbf{E}_\lambda |L_n|)^2} < \infty$

To compute $\mathbf{E}_\lambda |L_n|$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_\lambda |L_n| &= \mathbf{E}_\lambda \sum_{x:|x|=n} 1\{x \in I_\infty\} = \sum_{x:|x|=n} \mathbf{P}_\lambda(x \in I_\infty) \\ &= d^n \mathbf{P}_\lambda(x \in L_n) = d^n \mathbb{E} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda \rho(x_i, \omega) \rho(x_{i+1}, \omega)}{1 + \lambda \rho(x_i, \omega) \rho(x_{i+1}, \omega)} \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 1

To compute $\mathbf{E}_\lambda |L_n|^2$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}_\lambda |L_n|^2 &= \sum_{x:|x|=n} \sum_{y:|y|=n} \mathbf{P}_\lambda(x \in I_\infty, y \in I_\infty) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{x,y} \mathbf{P}_\lambda(x \in L_n, y \in L_n, |x \wedge y|=k) \\ &= \sum_{x:|x|=n} \mathbf{P}_\lambda(x \in I_\infty) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{x,y} \mathbf{P}_\lambda(x \in L_n, y \in L_n, |x \wedge y|=k) \\ &= d^n \mathbf{P}_\lambda(x \in L_n) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d^k \sum_{x,y} \mathbf{P}_\lambda(x \in L_{n-k}, y \in L_{n-k}, |x \wedge y|=k) \\ &= d^n \mathbf{P}_\lambda(x \in L_n) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d^k d^{n-k} d^{n-k-1} (d-1) \mathbf{P}_\lambda(x \in L_k) [\mathbf{P}_\lambda(x \in L_{n-k})]^2 \\ &= d^n \mathbf{P}_\lambda(x \in L_n) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d^{2n-k-1} (d-1) \mathbf{P}_\lambda(x \in L_n) \mathbf{P}_\lambda(x \in L_{n-k})\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}_\lambda |L_n|^2 &= \mathbf{E}_\lambda |L_n| \times (1 + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d^{n-k-1} (d-1) \mathbf{P}_\lambda(x \in L_{n-k})) \\ &= \mathbf{E}_\lambda |L_n| \times (1 + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - \frac{1}{d}) \mathbf{E}_\lambda |L_{n-k}|)\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{E}_\lambda |L_n|^2}{(\mathbf{E}_\lambda |L_n|)^2} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{E}_\lambda |L_n|} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - \frac{1}{d}) \frac{\mathbf{E}_\lambda |L_{n-k}|}{\mathbf{E}_\lambda |L_n|}$$

$\frac{(1+\lambda M)^2}{\lambda E \rho^2} < d$ is a sufficient condition to ensure convergence.

Reference

- D. Chen, P. Yu & XF. Xue, (2016), The contact process on the regular tree with random vertex weights, *Preprint*.
- XF. Xue, (2013). Contact processes with random connection weights on regular graphs. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Application*, **392**(20), 4749-4759.
- XF. Xue, (2015). Contact processes with random vertex weights on oriented lattices. *Latin American Journal of Probability and Mathematical Statistics*, **12**, 245-259.
- XF. Xue, (2015). Critical value for the contact process with random edge weights on regular tree. arXiv: 1508.03707v1.

Thanks for your attention!