Convergence of EM Scheme for SDEs with Irregular Coefficients

Jianhai Bao

July, 2016



Convergence of EM Scheme for SDEs with In

- Motivations
- Convergence of EM scheme for SDEs with
 - bounded Dini-continuous drift
 - unbounded Dini-continuous drift
- Convergence of EM scheme for SPDEs with multiplicative noise and Dini-continuous drift

Consider an SDE on $(\mathbb{R}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, |\cdot|)$

 $dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t)dW_t, \quad t > 0, \quad X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$ (1)

Herein, $b: [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $\sigma: [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$, and $(W_t)_{t \ge 0}$ is an *m*-dimensional Brownian motion defined on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Discrete time Euler-Maruyama (EM) scheme:

$$\overline{Y}_{(k+1)\delta} = \overline{Y}_{k\delta} + b(k\delta, \overline{Y}_{k\delta})\delta + \sigma(k\delta, \overline{Y}_{k\delta}) \triangle W_{k\delta}, \ k \ge 0,$$

with $Y_0 = X_0 = x$, where $riangle W_{k\delta} := W_{(k+1)\delta} - W_{k\delta}$.

Continuous time EM scheme:

$$Y_t = Y_0 + \int_0^t b(\eta_s, Y_{\eta_s}) \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \sigma(\eta_s, Y_{\eta_s}) \mathrm{d}W_s,$$

where $\eta_t := \lfloor t/\delta \rfloor \delta$. Jianhai Bao () Convergence lf

$$|b(t,x) - b(t,y)| + \|\sigma(t,x) - \sigma(t,y)\| \le K|x-y|, \quad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

for some K > 0, then

$$\mathbb{E}\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|X_t-Y_{\eta_t}|^p\Big)\lesssim \delta^{p/2}.$$

- The convergence above is called strong convergence;
- The convergence rate is 1/2.

Assume that

b satisfies a one-side Lipschitz condition in a domain D in \mathbb{R}^n and σ is Lipschitzian. Then,

$$\sup_{t \leq T} |X_t - Y_{\eta_t}| \leq \xi \delta^{\gamma}, \quad \text{a.s.}, \quad \gamma \in (0, 1/4),$$

where and ξ is a finite random variable.

Remark: The equation involved admits an invariance set.

5 / 27

Assuem that there exist $c > 0, \ 0 \le \alpha \le 1/2$, $0 \le \beta_1, \beta_2 \le 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |b(t,x) - b(s,y)| &\lesssim |x-y| + |t-s|^{\beta_1}, \\ |\sigma(t,x) - \sigma(s,y)| &\lesssim |x-y|^{1/2+\alpha} + |t-s|^{\beta_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{E}|X_t - Y_{\eta_t}| \lesssim \delta^{\gamma},$$

where $\gamma := \beta \wedge \alpha \wedge \frac{4\alpha\beta_2}{1+2\alpha}$.

Tools: Meyer-Tanaka formula & estimates for local time.

Let b = f + g, where g is monotone decreasing and assume further that there exist $\alpha \in [0, 1/2]$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\begin{split} |f(t,x) - f(t,y)| &\lesssim |x-y|, \qquad |g(t,x) - g(t,y)| \lesssim |x-y|^{\gamma}, \\ |\sigma(t,x) - \sigma(t,y)| &\lesssim |x-y|^{1/2+\alpha}. \end{split}$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{E}\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|X_t-Y_{\eta_t}|\Big)\lesssim\begin{cases}\frac{1}{(\log\delta^{-1})^{1/2}},&\alpha=0,\\\delta^{2\alpha^2}+\delta^{\alpha\gamma},&\alpha\in(0,1/2].\end{cases}$$

Approach: Yamada-Watanabe approximation approach.

Jianhai Bao ()

Convergence of EM Scheme for SDEs with In

Irregular coefficients(Ngo & Taguchi, Math. Comp., 2016)

Assume that

•
$$\langle x-y, b(t,x) - b(t,y) \rangle \lesssim |x-y|^2;$$

- $\langle (\sigma\sigma^*)(t,x)\xi,\xi\rangle \asymp |\xi|^2;$
- $|\sigma(t,x) \sigma(t,y)| \lesssim |x-y|^{1/2+\alpha}, \alpha \in [0,1/2];$
- $\bullet \ |b(t,x)-b(s,x)|+|\sigma(t,x)-\sigma(s,x)| \lesssim |t-s|^{\beta}, \beta \geq 1/2;$
- $b^{(i)} \in \mathcal{A}$ (the set, roughly speaking, of bounded variation with respect to a Gaussian measure on \mathbb{R}^n). Then,

$$\mathbb{E}\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|X_t-Y_{\eta_t}|\Big)\lesssim\begin{cases}\frac{1}{(\log\delta^{-1})^{1/2}},&\alpha=0,\\\delta^{2\alpha^2},&\alpha\in(0,1/2].\end{cases}$$

Key tools: Yamada-Watanabe approach and heat kernel estimate.Jianhai Bao ()Convergence of EM Scheme for SDEs with InJuly, 20168 / 27

Irregular coeff. (Pamen & Taguchi, arXiv1508.07513v1)

Consider an SDE $dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + dL_t$, t > 0, $X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where b is bounded and

 $|b(t,x) - b(t,y)| \lesssim |x-y|^{\beta}, \ \beta \in (0,1), \ |b(t,x) - b(s,x)| \lesssim |x-y|^{\eta}, \ \eta \in [1/2,1], \ \beta \in (0,1), \ |b(t,x) - b(s,x)| \lesssim |x-y|^{\eta}, \ \eta \in [1/2,1], \ \beta \in (0,1), \ |b(t,x) - b(s,x)| \lesssim |x-y|^{\eta}, \ \eta \in [1/2,1], \ \beta \in (0,1), \ |b(t,x) - b(s,x)| \lesssim |x-y|^{\eta}, \ \eta \in [1/2,1], \ \beta \in (0,1), \ |b(t,x) - b(s,x)| \lesssim |x-y|^{\eta}, \ \eta \in [1/2,1], \ |b(t,x) - b(s,x)| \lesssim |x-y|^{\eta}, \ \eta \in [1/2,1], \ |b(t,x) - b(s,x)| \lesssim |x-y|^{\eta}, \ \eta \in [1/2,1], \ |b(t,x) - b(s,x)| \lesssim |x-y|^{\eta}, \ \eta \in [1/2,1], \ |b(t,x) - b(s,x)| \lesssim |x-y|^{\eta}, \ \eta \in [1/2,1], \ |b(t,x) - b(s,x)| \lesssim |x-y|^{\eta}, \ \eta \in [1/2,1], \ |b(t,x) - b(s,x)| \lesssim |x-y|^{\eta}, \ \eta \in [1/2,1], \ |b(t,x) - b(s,x)| \lesssim |x-y|^{\eta}, \ \eta \in [1/2,1], \ |b(t,x) - b(s,x)| \lesssim |x-y|^{\eta}, \ \eta \in [1/2,1], \ |b(t,x) - b(s,x)| \lesssim |x-y|^{\eta}, \ \eta \in [1/2,1], \ |b(t,x) - b(s,x)| \lesssim |x-y|^{\eta}, \ \eta \in [1/2,1], \ |b(t,x) - b(s,x)| \lesssim |x-y|^{\eta}, \ \eta \in [1/2,1], \ |b(t,x) - b(s,x)| \lesssim |x-y|^{\eta}, \ \eta \in [1/2,1], \ |b(t,x) - b(s,x)| \lesssim |x-y|^{\eta}, \ \eta \in [1/2,1], \ |b(t,x) - b(s,x)| \lesssim |x-y|^{\eta}, \ \eta \in [1/2,1], \ |b(t,x) - b(s,x)| \lesssim |x-y|^{\eta}, \ \eta \in [1/2,1], \ |b(t,x) - b(s,x)| \lesssim |x-y|^{\eta}, \ \eta \in [1/2,1], \ |b(t,x) - b(s,x)| \lesssim |x-y|^{\eta}, \ \eta \in [1/2,1], \ |b(t,x) - b(s,x)| \lesssim |x-y|^{\eta}, \ \eta \in [1/2,1], \ |b(t,x) - b(s,x)| \lesssim \|x-y\|^{\eta}, \ \eta \in [1/2,1], \ \|x-y\|^{\eta}, \ \|$

• Then,
$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |X_t - Y_{\eta_t}|^p\right) \lesssim \delta^{\frac{p\beta}{2}}$$
 whenever $L =$ Wiener process.

Moreover,

$$\mathbb{E}\Big(\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |X_t - Y_{\eta_t}|^p\Big) \lesssim \begin{cases} \delta, & p \ge 2, p\beta \ge 2, \\ \delta^{\frac{p\beta}{2}}, & p \ge 2, 1 \le p\beta < 2 \text{ or } p \in [1, 2) \end{cases}$$

whenever L = truncated symmetric α -stable process with $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ and $\alpha + \beta > 2$. The mapping $\phi: E \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+$ is Dini-continuous if $\int_0^1 \frac{\omega_{\phi}(t)}{t} dt < \infty$, where

$$\omega_{\phi}(t) := \sup_{|x-y| \le t} \{ |\phi(x) - \phi(y)| \},\$$

modulus of continuity.

- Every Dini continuous function is continuous;
- Every Lipschitz continuous function is Dini-continuous;
- Every Hölder continuous function is Dini-continuous;
- There are numerous Dini-continuous functions which are not Hölder continuous as the example below shows.

An Example (Dini-continuous but not Hölder continuous)

Let

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x = 0\\ (\log(c + x^{-1}))^{-(1+\delta)}, & x > 0 \end{cases}$$
(2)

for some constants $\delta>0$ and $c\geq {\rm e}^{2+\delta}.$ For any $\alpha\in(0,1),$ note that

$$\lim_{x \to 0+} \frac{|\phi(x) - \phi(0)|}{x^{\alpha}} = \lim_{x \to 0+} \frac{(\log(c + x^{-1}))^{-(1+\delta)}}{x^{\alpha}} = \infty$$

So ϕ is not $\alpha\text{-H\"ölder}$ continuous for any $\alpha\in(0,1).$ We can show that, for any $t\geq 0,$

$$0 \le \phi(y) - \phi(x) \le \phi(x+t) - \phi(x) = \int_0^t \phi'(x+s) ds \le \int_0^t \phi'(s) ds = \phi(t),$$

where $0 \le x < y \le x+t$. Consequently, $\omega_{\phi}(t) \le \phi(t)$. As a result, the

function ϕ defined in (2) is Dini-continuous.

Jianhai Bao 🕧

Setup I

Consider an SDE on $(\mathbb{R}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, |\cdot|)$

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t)dW_t, \quad t > 0, \quad X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$
(3)

Introduce the following class

$$\mathscr{D} := \Big\{ \phi : [0,\infty) \mapsto [0,\infty) \text{ is increasing}, \phi^2 \text{ is concave}, \int_0^1 \frac{\phi(s)}{s} \mathrm{d}s < \infty \Big\}.$$

Clearly, ϕ constructed as in (2) above belongs to \mathscr{D} . EM scheme associated with (3) is

$$dY_t = b(\eta_t, X_{\eta_t})dt + \sigma(\eta_t, X_{\eta_t})dW_t, \ t > 0, \ Y_0 = x,$$
(4)

where $\eta_t := \lfloor t/\delta \rfloor \delta$. Jianhai Bao () Convergence of EM Scheme for SDEs with In July, 2016 12 / 27

Setup I

We assume that

(A1) $\sigma \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^{n \times m})$ and

$$\|b\|_{T,\infty} + \|\sigma\|_{T,\infty} + \sum_{i=0}^{2} \|\nabla^{i}\sigma\|_{T,\infty} + \|(\sigma\sigma^{*})^{-1}\|_{T,\infty} < \infty.$$

(A2) There exists $\phi \in \mathscr{D}$ such that

$$|b(t,x) - b(t,y)| \le \phi(|x-y|)$$

and

$$|b(s,x) - b(t,x)| + |\sigma(s,x) - \sigma(t,x)| \le \phi(|s-t|), s, t \in [0,T], x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

For instance,

- Luo, D., arXiv:1605.02820, 2016.
- Krylov, N. V. & Röckner, M., PTRF, 2005.
- Veretennikov, A. J., Mat. Sbornik, 1980.
- Wang, F.-Y., JDE, 2016.
- Wang, F.-Y. & Zhang, X.-C., SIAM J. Math. Anal., 2016.
- Wang, F.-Y. & Zhang, X.-C.,, IAQP, 2016.
- Zhang, X.-C., SPA, 2005.
- Zvonkin, A. K., Mat. Sbornik, 1974.

Main result I: bounded drift

Theorem

Under (A1) and (A2),

$$\mathbb{E}\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|X_t-Y_t|^2\Big)\lesssim_T \phi(\sqrt{\delta})^2.$$

- The proof is based on Zvonkin's transform and regularity of Kolmogrov equation.
- The convergence rate is half for $\phi(x) = \sqrt{x}$.

For $\lambda > 0$, consider the following partial differential equation:

$$\partial_t u_t^{\lambda}(x) + L_t u_t^{\lambda}(x) + b_t(x) + \nabla_{b_t(x)} u_t^{\lambda}(x) = \lambda u_t^{\lambda}(x), \quad u_T^{\lambda}(x) = 0, \quad (5)$$

where

$$L_t := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \langle (\sigma_t \sigma_t^*) (\cdot) e_i, e_j \rangle \nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{e_j}.$$

The unique mild solution of (5) is

$$u_{s}^{\lambda}(x) = \int_{s}^{T} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} P_{s,t}^{0}\{b(x) - \nabla_{b(x)} u_{t}^{\lambda}(x)\} dt,$$
(6)

where $(P^0_{s,t})_{0\leq t\leq r}$ is the semigroup generated by $(X^{s,x}_t)$ solving

$$\mathrm{d}X_t^{s,x} = \sigma(X_t^{s,x})\mathrm{d}W_t, \quad X_s^{s,x} = x.$$

Regularity of Kolmogrov equation (2)

Under (A1),

- (i) There exists $\lambda(T) > 0$ such that, for any $\lambda \ge \lambda(T)$, (6) has a unique solution $u^{\lambda} \in C([0,T]; C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))$;
- (ii) If (A2) further holds, then

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \{ \| \nabla u^{\lambda} \|_{T,\infty} + \| \nabla^2 u^{\lambda} \|_{T,\infty} \} = 0.$$

(iii) $\theta_t = x + u_t(x)$ is a C^1 -diffeomorphism with

$$\lambda_1 \leq \|\nabla \theta\|_{T,\infty} \leq \lambda_2, \qquad \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in (0,1).$$

Remark: The infinite-dimensional counterpart is due to Wang, F.-Y. (JDE, 2016).

Zvonkin's transform

By Zvonkin's transform, the solutions to (3) and (4) can be rewritten respectively as

$$X_t + u_t^{\lambda}(X_t) = x + u_0^{\lambda}(x) + \lambda \int_0^t u_s^{\lambda}(X_s) \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \{\sigma_s + (\nabla u_s^{\lambda})\sigma_s\}(X_s) \mathrm{d}s$$

and

٥

$$\begin{split} Y(t) &+ u_t^{\lambda}(Y_t) \\ &= x + u_0^{\lambda}(x) + \lambda \int_0^t u_s^{\lambda}(Y_s) \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \{I_{n \times n} + \nabla u_s^{\lambda}(\cdot)\}(Y_s) \sigma_{\eta_s}(Y_{\eta_s}) \mathrm{d}W_s \\ &+ \int_0^t \{I_{n \times n} + \nabla u_s^{\lambda}(\cdot)\}(Y_s) \{b_{\eta_s}(Y_{\eta_s}) - b(Y(s))\} \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^t \langle \{(\sigma_{\eta_s} \sigma_{\eta_s}^*)(Y_{\eta_s}) - (\sigma_s \sigma_s^*)(Y_s)\} e_k, e_j \rangle (\nabla_{e_k} \nabla_{e_j} u_s^{\lambda})(Y_s) \mathrm{d}s. \end{split}$$

Setup II

We still focus on (3) and (4).

(H1) There exists an increasing function $C_{b,\sigma}: [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ such that

$$|b(t,x)| + \sum_{i=0}^{2} |\nabla^{i}\sigma(t,x)| + |(\sigma\sigma^{*})^{-1}(t,x)| \le C_{b,\sigma}(t)(1+|x|).$$

(H2) For any $m \ge 1$, there exists $\phi_m \in \mathscr{D}$ satisfying $\phi_m \le C_{\phi}(m)\phi_1$ for a non-negative function $C_{\phi}(x) = O(e^{e^{x^4}})$ on $[0,\infty)$ such that

$$|b_t(x) - b_t(y)| \le \phi_m(|x - y|), \ t \in [0, m], |x| \lor |y| \le m,$$

and

$$|b_s(x) - b_t(x)| + |\sigma_s(x) - \sigma_t(x)| \le \phi_m(|s - t|), \quad s, t \in [0, m], |x| \le m.$$
Jianhai Bao () Convergence of EM Scheme for SDEs with In July, 2016 19 / 27

19.

Convergence of EM Scheme for SDEs with

Main result II: unbounded drift

Theorem

Under (H1) and (H2),

$$\mathbb{E}\Big(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|X_t-Y_t|^2\Big)\to 0.$$

- The proof is based on the truncation argument.
- Refine the proof of Lemma 2.1 (Wang, F.-Y., JDE, 2016) on the estimates for

$$\begin{split} |\nabla P_{s,t}^{0}f|(x), \quad \|\nabla^{2}P_{s,t}^{0}f\|_{\infty}, \quad \|\nabla u\|_{T,\infty}, \quad \|\nabla^{2}u\|_{T,\infty}. \end{split}$$

$$\text{We have } |X_{t}-X_{t}^{n}|^{2} \lesssim |X_{t}-X_{t}^{(m)}|^{2}+|X_{t}^{(m)}-X_{t}^{(m),\delta}|^{2}+|X_{t}^{(m),\delta}-X_{t}^{\delta}|_{2,\infty}^{2}. \end{split}$$

$$\text{Jianhai Bao} \quad () \qquad \text{Convergence of EM Scheme for SDEs with Interpretent of S$$

Setup III

Consider an SPDE on \mathbb{H} :

$$dX_t = \{AX_t + b_t(X_t)\}dt + \sigma_t(X_t)dW(t), \quad t > 0, \quad X_0 = x.$$
(7)

Assume that

(a1) (A, D(A)) is a negative definite self-adjoint operator on ℍ such that (-A)^{ε-1} is of trace class for some ε ∈ (0,1);
(a2) ||b||_{T,∞} + ∑²_{i=0} ||∇ⁱσ||_{T,∞} + ||(σσ*)⁻¹||_{T,∞} < ∞.
(a3) For any T > 0, there exist φ ∈ D and K > 0 such that |b_t(x) - b_t(y)| ≤ φ(|x - y|), |b_s(x) - b_t(x)| + |σ_s(x) - σ_t(x)| ≤ φ(|s - t|).

Setup III

(a4) Moreover for any $x \in \mathbb{H}$ and $t \ge 0$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\sigma_t(x) - \sigma_t(\pi_n x)\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^2 := \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k \ge 1} |[\sigma_t(x) - \sigma_t(\pi_n x)]\overline{e}_k|^2 = 0$$

(a5) (Initial value) There exists $\alpha \in (0,1]$ such that $X_0 : \Omega \to \mathscr{D}((-A)^{\alpha})$ is $\mathscr{F}_0/\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{D}((-A)^{\alpha}))$ measurable with $\mathbb{E}||X_0||_{\alpha}^4 < \infty$.

- Under (a1)-(a4), (7) has a unique non-explosive mild solution, see Theorem 1.1 due to Wang, F.-Y. (JDE, 2016).
- (a5) is imposed just for the convergence of EM scheme.

The exponential integrator scheme associated with (7) is

$$Y_t = e^{At}Y_0 + \int_0^t e^{A(t-\eta_s)} b_{\eta_s}(Y_{\eta_s}) ds$$
$$+ \int_0^t e^{A(t-\eta_s)} \sigma_{\eta_s}(Y_{\eta_s}) dW(s).$$

Convergence of numerical scheme for SPDEs with regular coefficients. For instance,

- Hutzenthaler et al., AAP, 2012;
- Jentzen, A., SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 2011;
- Jentzen, A., Röckner, M., Found. Comput. Math., 2015.

Main result III: infinite-dimensional setting

Theorem

Under (a1)-(a5),

$$\mathbb{E}|X_t - Y_{\eta_t}|^2 \to 0.$$

- The proof is based on Zvonkin's transform and regularity of Kolmogrov equation.
- Difficulty: A is an unbounded operator.
- The convergence rate can also be revealed.

Zvonkin's transform

$$X_t = e^{At}(x + u_0(x)) - u_t(X_t) + \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)}(\lambda - A)u_s(X_s)ds$$
$$+ \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)}(\sigma_s + (\nabla u_s)\sigma_s)(X_s)dW(s).$$

 and

$$Y_{t} = e^{At}\theta_{0}(X_{0}) - u_{t}(Y_{t}) + \int_{0}^{t} e^{A(t-s)}(\lambda - A)u_{s}(Y_{s})ds + \int_{0}^{t} e^{A(t-s)}(\nabla\theta_{s})(Y_{s})[e^{A(s-\eta_{s})}b_{\eta_{s}}(Y_{\eta_{s}}) - b_{s}(Y_{s})]ds + \frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{t} e^{A(t-s)}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\nabla_{\{e^{A(s-\eta_{s})}Q_{\eta_{s}}(Y_{\eta_{s}}) - Q_{s}(y_{s})\}\overline{e}_{k}}u_{s})(Y_{s})ds + \int_{0}^{t} e^{A(t-s)}(\nabla\theta_{s})(Y_{s})e^{A(s-\eta_{s})}Q_{\eta_{s}}(Y_{\eta_{s}})dW(s).$$
Jianhai Bao () Convergence of EM Scheme for SDEs with In 2002 25 / 27

- Bao, J., Huang, X., Yuan, C., 2016.
- Luo, D., arXiv:1605.02820, 2016.
- Gyöngy, I., PA, 1998.
- Gyöngy & Rásonyi, SPA, 2011.
- Ngo, H-L. & Taguchi, D., Math. Comp., 2016.
- Wang, F.Y., JDE, 2016.
- Wang, F.-Y. & Zhang, X.-C., SIAM J. Math. Anal., 2016.
- Wang, F.-Y. & Zhang, X.-C., IAQP, 2016.
- Yan, L.-Q., AOP, 2002.

Thanks A Lot !

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・