

Quasi-invariance of the stochastic flow associated to Itô's SDE with singular time-dependent drift

Dejun Luo (罗德军)

中科院 数学院 应用数学所

10th Workshop on Markov Processes and Related Topics

Xidian and BNU, Aug 16, 2014

Outline

- 1 Backgrounds and Main Result
- 2 Sketch of Proof

Outline

1 Backgrounds and Main Result

2 Sketch of Proof

Itô's SDE

- $\sigma : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$ matrix-valued function,
- $b : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ vector field,
- $(W_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$: m -dimensional standard Brownian motion.

Consider Itô's SDE

$$dX_t = \sigma(t, X_t) dW_t + b(t, X_t) dt, \quad X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (1)$$

Two types of conditions insuring **existence of strong solution**:

- modulus of continuity on the coefficients σ, b ,
- non-degenerate diffusion σ and irregular drift b .

Conditions on modulus of continuity of coefficients

- σ and b are globally Lipschitz continuous in x , uniformly in t
 \implies SDE (1) has a unique strong solution X_t which is Hölder continuous w.r.t. x .
- In the 1-dim case: σ is $(1/2)$ -Hölder continuous and b is Lipschitz.
- For $d \geq 1$, S. Fang and T. Zhang (PTRF, 2005):

$$\|\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)\|^2 \leq C|x - y|^2 \log \frac{1}{|x - y|},$$
$$|b(x) - b(y)| \leq C|x - y| \log \frac{1}{|x - y|}.$$

-

The non-degenerate case

Non-degeneracy: $\exists \lambda > 0$ s.t. $\sigma(t, x)\sigma(t, x)^* \geq \lambda, \forall (t, x)$.

- **Veretennikov (1979)**: $\sigma(t, \cdot)$ is non-degenerate and bounded Lipschitz continuous, and b is bounded measurable.
- **Gyöngy–Martinez (2001)**: $\sigma(t, \cdot)$ is locally Lipschitz continuous, and $|b(t, x)| \leq C + F(t, x)$, where $F \in L^{d+1}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$.
- **X. Zhang (2005)**: replacing the locally Lipschitz continuity of $\sigma(t, \cdot)$ with some integrability of $\nabla_x \sigma(t, \cdot)$.
- **Krylov–Röckner (2005)**: $\sigma \equiv Id$ and $b \in L^q([0, T], L^p(\mathbb{R}^d))$, i.e.

$$\int_0^T \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |b(t, x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} dt < +\infty \quad (2)$$

where $\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1$. (LPS-type condition).

Regularity of Krylov–Röckner's flow

From now on, we focus on the case studied by Krylov–Röckner. That is, we consider

$$dX_t = dW_t + b(t, X_t) dt, \quad X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (3)$$

where $b \in L^q([0, T], L^p(\mathbb{R}^d))$ with $\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1$.

- Fedrizzi–Flandoli (Stoch Anal Appl, 2013): X_t is indeed a **stochastic flow of homeomorphisms** on \mathbb{R}^d which are **Hölder continuous**, by Kolmogorov's theorem.
- Fedrizzi–Flandoli (JFA, 2013): if $v_0 \in \cap_{r \geq 1} W^{1,r}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $v(t, x) := v_0(X_t^{-1}(x))$ is the unique weakly differentiable solution to the **stochastic transport eq.**

$$dv + \langle b, \nabla v \rangle dt + \langle \nabla v, \circ dW_t \rangle = 0, \quad v|_{t=0} = v_0.$$

Main result

\mathcal{L}^d : Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^d .

Since $X_t : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is a.s. a homeomorphism, the push-forward $\mathcal{L}^d \circ X_t^{-1}$ is well defined.

What is the relation between $\mathcal{L}^d \circ X_t^{-1}$ and \mathcal{L}^d ?

Theorem 1

Assume $b \in L^q([0, T], L^p(\mathbb{R}^d))$ with $\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1$. Then $\forall t \in [0, T]$, $\mathcal{L}^d \circ X_t^{-1}$ is equivalent to \mathcal{L}^d almost surely.

In other words, the Lebesgue measure \mathcal{L}^d is *quasi-invariant* under the flow X_t of homeomorphisms generated by (3).

Outline

1 Backgrounds and Main Result

2 Sketch of Proof

Main idea

Recall that if $b \in L^q([0, T], L^p(\mathbb{R}^d))$ with $\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1$, then

$$dX_t = dW_t + b(t, X_t) dt$$

generates a flow X_t of homeomorphisms on \mathbb{R}^d . Our purpose is to show $\mathcal{L}^d \circ X_t^{-1} \sim \mathcal{L}^d$. This is well known when b is smooth.

Indeed, if $\sigma(t, \cdot), b(t, \cdot) \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, uniformly in t , then SDE

$$dX_t = \sigma(t, X_t) dW_t + b(t, X_t) dt$$

generates a flow X_t of diffeomorphisms on \mathbb{R}^d . Let

$$\rho_t = \frac{d(\mathcal{L}^d \circ X_t^{-1})}{d\mathcal{L}^d} = |\det(\nabla X_t^{-1})|, \quad \bar{\rho}_t = \frac{d(\mathcal{L}^d \circ X_t)}{d\mathcal{L}^d} = |\det(\nabla X_t)|.$$

Then we have $\rho_t(x) = [\bar{\rho}_t(X_t^{-1}(x))]^{-1}$ and

$$\bar{\rho}_t(x) = \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \langle \operatorname{div}(\sigma)(s, X_s(x)), dW_s \rangle + \int_0^t \left[\operatorname{div}(b) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla \sigma, (\nabla \sigma)^* \rangle \right](s, X_s(x)) ds \right\}. \quad (4)$$

If $\sigma \equiv Id$, the above identity reduces to

$$\bar{\rho}_t(x) = \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \operatorname{div}(b)(s, X_s(x)) ds \right\}.$$

When $b \in L^q([0, T], L^p(\mathbb{R}^d))$, it is natural to

- approximate b with smooth vector fields b^n , and
- prove $\bar{\rho}_t^n(x) \rightarrow ?$ by limit theorem.

However, the divergence $\operatorname{div}(b)(s, \cdot)$ does not exist. Therefore, we cannot directly consider the approximation equations of

$$dX_t = dW_t + b(t, X_t) dt.$$

Preliminary results

Some functional spaces:

- $L_p^q(T) := L^q([0, T], L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)),$
- $\mathbb{H}_{2,p}^q(T) = L^q([0, T], W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)).$

Theorem 2

Fix $\lambda > 0$. Assume $b \in L_p^q(T)$ with $\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1$. Then \exists a unique solution in $\mathbb{H}_{2,p}^q(T)$ to the backward parabolic system

$$\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2} \Delta u + b \cdot \nabla u = \lambda u - b, \quad u(T, x) = 0. \quad (5)$$

Moreover, $\exists C > 0$ depending on d, p, q, T, λ and $\|b\|_{L_p^q(T)}$ s.t.

$$\|\partial_t u\|_{L_p^q(T)} + \|u\|_{\mathbb{H}_{2,p}^q(T)} \leq C \|b\|_{L_p^q(T)}.$$

Some properties of the solution

Lemma 3

Let u_λ be the solution of (5). Then

$$\sup_{t \leq T} \|\nabla u_\lambda\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is the supremum norm in $C(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

In view of the above lemma, we fix $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{t \leq T} \|\nabla u_\lambda\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Define $\phi_\lambda(t, x) = x + u_\lambda(t, x)$, $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

Zvonkin type transform

Proposition 4

The following statements hold:

- (i) uniformly in $t \in [0, T]$, $\phi_\lambda(t, \cdot)$ has bounded first derivatives which are Hölder continuous;
- (ii) for every $t \in [0, T]$, $\phi_\lambda(t, \cdot)$ is a C^1 -diffeomorphism on \mathbb{R}^d ;
- (iii) $\phi_\lambda^{-1}(t, \cdot) := (\phi_\lambda(t, \cdot))^{-1}$ has bounded first spatial derivatives, uniformly in t ;
- (iv) ϕ_λ and ϕ_λ^{-1} are jointly continuous in (t, x) .

In the following, we omit λ and write $\phi_t(x) = x + u(t, x)$. Define

$$Y_t = \phi_t(X_t) = X_t + u(t, X_t).$$

As ϕ_t is smooth, it is enough to show $\mathcal{L}^d \circ Y_t^{-1} \sim \mathcal{L}^d$.

Equation for Y_t

Since $u(t, x)$ solves the equation (5):

$$\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2} \Delta u + b \cdot \nabla u = \lambda u - b,$$

Itô's formula leads to

$$\begin{aligned} dY_t &= (Id + \nabla u(t, X_t)) dW_t + \lambda u(t, X_t) dt \\ &= \tilde{\sigma}(t, Y_t) dW_t + \tilde{b}(t, Y_t) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}(t, y) = Id + \nabla u(t, \phi_t^{-1}(y))$, $\tilde{b}(t, y) = \lambda u(t, \phi_t^{-1}(y))$.

Proposition 5

We have $\nabla \tilde{b} \in C([0, T], C_b(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and

$$\tilde{\sigma} \in C([0, T], C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^q(0, T, W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

We still cannot directly apply **density formula (4)** to **SDE (6)** for Y_t . Nevertheless, $\operatorname{div}(\tilde{\sigma})$ and $\operatorname{div}(\tilde{b})$ make sense.

We need an approximation argument.

Let b^n be a sequence of **smooth compactly supported** vector fields converging to b in $L_p^q(T)$. Consider

$$dX_t^n = dW_t + b^n(t, X_t^n) dt.$$

An approximation result

Lemma 6

Let b^n be a sequence of *smooth compactly supported* vector fields converging to b in $L_p^q(T)$, and u^n the solution to

$$\partial_t u^n + \frac{1}{2} \Delta u^n + b^n \cdot \nabla u^n = \lambda u^n - b^n.$$

Then we have

- (i) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $u^n(t, x) \rightarrow u(t, x)$ and $\nabla u^n(t, x) \rightarrow \nabla u(t, x)$ locally uniformly;
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u^n - u\|_{\mathbb{H}_{2,p}^q(T)} = 0$;
- (iii) $\sup_{n \geq 1} \sup_{t,x} |\nabla u^n(t, x)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for λ big enough;

We fix $\lambda > 0$ s.t. (iii) holds.

Approximating SDEs

Let $\phi_t^n(x) = x + u^n(t, x)$ and $Y_t^n = \phi_t^n(X_t^n)$. Then we have

$$dY_t^n = \tilde{\sigma}^n(t, Y_t^n) dW_t + \tilde{b}^n(t, Y_t^n) dt$$

with

$$\tilde{\sigma}^n(t, y) = Id + \nabla u^n(t, \phi_t^{n,-1}(y)), \quad \tilde{b}^n(t, y) = \lambda u^n(t, \phi_t^{n,-1}(y)).$$

Let

$$\rho_t^n := \frac{d(\mathcal{L}^d \circ Y_t^{n,-1})}{d\mathcal{L}^d} = |\det(\nabla Y_t^{n,-1})|,$$
$$\bar{\rho}_t^n := \frac{d(\mathcal{L}^d \circ Y_t^n)}{d\mathcal{L}^d} = |\det(\nabla Y_t^n)|.$$

Convergence of densities

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\rho}_t^n(x) = \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \langle \operatorname{div}(\tilde{\sigma}^n)(s, Y_s^n(x)), dW_s \rangle \right. \\ \left. + \int_0^t \left[\operatorname{div}(\tilde{b}^n) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla \tilde{\sigma}^n, (\nabla \tilde{\sigma}^n)^* \rangle \right](s, Y_s^n(x)) ds \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 6, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\rho}_t^n(x) \rightarrow \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \langle \operatorname{div}(\tilde{\sigma})(s, Y_s(x)), dW_s \rangle \right. \\ \left. + \int_0^t \left[\operatorname{div}(\tilde{b}) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla \tilde{\sigma}, (\nabla \tilde{\sigma})^* \rangle \right](s, Y_s(x)) ds \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\mathcal{L}^d \circ Y_t^{-1} \sim \mathcal{L}^d$

Thanks for your attention!