# Perturbation analysis for continuous-time Markov chains

Liu Yuanyuan (刘源远)

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central South University, Changsha

The Tenth Workshop on Markov Processes and Related Topics, Aug 14-20, 2014, Xidian University



### Outline

#### **1** Introduction

- 2 Main results
- **3** Augmented truncation of invariant probability measures
- **4** Proof of the main results
- **5** Future research

#### Perturbed Markov chains

Let  $\Phi_t$  be a time-homogeneous continuous-time Markov chain (CTMC) on a countable state space  $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{Z}_+$ , with the irreducible and regular intensity matrix  $Q = (q_{ij})$  (Q is regular means that Q is conservative and Q-process is unique). The unique Q-function (transition function) is denoted by  $P^t = (P_{ij}^t)$ . Suppose that  $\Phi_t$  is positive recurrent with the unique invariant probability measure  $\pi$ . We suppose that Q is perturbed to be another irreducible intensity matrix  $\tilde{Q} = (\tilde{q}_{ij})$ . Let  $\Delta = \tilde{Q} - Q$ .

Note:

▷ A typical perturbation form:  $\tilde{Q} = Q + \varepsilon G$ .

 $\triangleright$  Throughout we assume the unperturbed component Q is irreducible. This type of perturbation is called regular perturbation, otherwise is called singular perturbation. For singular perturbation, please refer to the book G.G. Yin and Q. Zhang (2013).

#### We are interested in two things:

 $\triangleright$ (i) Condition sufficient for the regularity of  $\tilde{Q}$  and then the stability of the perturbed  $\tilde{Q}$ -process;

 $\triangleright$ (ii) Computable bounds on  $\nu - \pi$  when  $\tilde{Q}$ -process is ergodic with the invariant distribution  $\nu$ .

The perturbation  $\Delta$  is supposed to be small. However small perturbation may result in a big change of the stability of a process.

#### **Illustrative Example:**

Consider a birth-death process whose intensity matrix  $Q = (q_{ij})$  is conservative and its birth (death) coefficients  $b_i$  ( $a_i$ ) are given by:

$$b_0=1, \hspace{0.2cm} b_i=a_i=i^\gamma, i\geq 1,$$

where  $\gamma \geq 0$ .

From M.F.Chen (2003), we know that the Q-process is

- ergodic if and only if  $\gamma > 1$ ,
- exponentially ergodic if and only if  $\gamma \geq$  2,
- and strongly ergodic if and only if  $\gamma > 2$ .

#### Cont'd

Now we consider another intensity matrix  $ilde{Q} = ( ilde{q}_{ij})$  given by

$$\tilde{q}_{ij} = \begin{cases} q_{i0} + \varepsilon, & \text{if } i \ge 1, j = 0, \\ q_{ii} - \varepsilon, & \text{if } i \ge 1, j = i, \\ q_{ij}, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

or in matrix form  $\tilde{Q} = Q + \varepsilon G$ , where

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

4 ロ ト 4 部 ト 4 差 ト 4 差 ト 差 の 4 で
6 / 32

٠

### Cont'd

It can be shown that  $\tilde{Q}$  is also regular (see also Zhang Y.H. 1998). Define a drift function V by  $V_0 = 0$  and  $V_i = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ ,  $i \ge 1$ . Then it is easy to show

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{E}}q_{ij}V_j\leq -1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}I_{\{0\}}(i),$$

where  $I_A(\cdot)$  denotes the indicator function about the set A. From M.F.Chen (2003), we know that the  $\tilde{Q}$ -process is always strongly ergodic (independently of  $\gamma$ ) whenever  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Observe that both Q and  $\tilde{Q}$  can be understood to be a perturbed matrix from each other. The value of  $\varepsilon$  reflects the size of the perturbation. By the above analysis, a very small perturbation may change a non-ergodic Markov chain into a strongly ergodic one and vice versa. Hence the small perturbation may cause drastic influence on the stability and the invariant measure of a process.

## Types of the bounds

Three types of bounds: component-wise,  $|\nu_k - \pi_k|$ ; norm-wise,  $||\nu - \pi||_1$ ; *V*-norm-wise bounds  $||\nu - \pi||_V$ ,

For a finite measure  $\mu$ , define  $\|\mu\|_V = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{E}} |\mu_i| V_i$ . The V-norm for any matrix  $L = (L_{ij})$  on  $\mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E}$  is given by

$$\|L\|_{V} = \sup_{i\in\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{V_i} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{R}} |L_{ij}| V_j.$$

The V-normwise perturbation bounds enable us to measure the perturbation of the moments of the invariant distribution, which causes an essential difference from the component-wise or normwise bounds.

For example, let  $\Phi_t$  be the queue length of the M/M/1 queue and  $V_i = i$ , then

$$|\nu(V)-\pi(V)|\leq \|\nu-\pi\|_V$$

## Review the literature: discrete-time case

For discrete-time Markov chains:

(1) finite state space:

▷ C.D. Meyer (1980), G.E.Cho and Meyer (2000), [group inverse, norm-wise bounds]

▷ E. Seneta (1988, 1991) [ergodicity coefficient, group inverse, norm-wise bounds]

 $\triangleright$  J.J. Hunter (2003, 2006) [first hitting times component-wise bounds]

(2) Infinitely many state space

▷ N.V. Kartashov (1980, 1986, 1996), Aissani and Kartashov (1983) [Lyapunov-like method, *V*-norm bounds]

▷ Aissani D. and his students (2008, 2010...)

▶ Y.Y. Liu (2012) [Proposing two new norm-wise bounds and one V-normwise bound in sprit of Lyapunov-like method.]

## **CTMCs**

- (1) finite state space:
  - ▷ A.Y. Mitrophanov (2004, 2006)[ convergence rates]

(2) countable state space with bounded intensity matrices:

▷ E. Altman, C.E. Avrachenkov, R. Núñez-Queija (2004) [regular and singular perturbation]

- ▷ \* Heidergott B, Hordijk A, Leder N. (2009,2010) [series expansion]
- ▷ A.I. Zeifman (1995, 2009, 2014,...) [non-homogeneous CTMCs]
- $\triangleright~$  All these bounds depend on the accuracy of the convergence rate

▷ Y.Y. Liu (2012) [extend the Lyapunov-like method to continuous-time case. The bounds are better.]

(3) infinitely countable state space with general intensity matrices:

▶ R.L. Tweedie (1980) [ the component-wise bounds, specific perturbation]

### Outline

#### **1** Introduction

#### 2 Main results

#### **3** Augmented truncation of invariant probability measures

#### **4** Proof of the main results

#### **5** Future research

## **Deviation matrix**

#### Our focus: V-norm bounds for general CTMCs

To perform the perturbation analysis, one key tool is the deviation matrix  $D = (D_{ij})$ , defined by

$$D_{ij}=\int_0^\infty (P_{ij}^t-\pi_j)dt$$

The formulas

$$\nu \tilde{Q} = 0, \quad QD = \Pi - I$$

leads to a key fact:

$$\nu - \pi = \nu (\tilde{Q} - Q)D = \nu \Delta D.$$

This is the starting point for perturbation analysis.

### Drift condition for exponential ergodicity

**D**( $V, \lambda, b, C$ ): There exist a finite function V satisfying  $V \ge 1$ , some finite set C, and positive constants  $\lambda, b < \infty$  such that

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{E}}q_{ij}V_j\leq -\lambda V_i+bI_{\mathcal{C}}(i), \quad i\in\mathbb{E}.$$

**Remark:** (i) The drift condition is equivalent to exponential ergodicity. The explicit drift function V has been found for many continuous-time Markov models, see, e.g. Y.H. Mao and Y.H. Zhang (2004) for single birth process, multidimensional Q-processes, and branching processes.

(ii) From P. Coolen-Schrijner and E A.Van Doorn (2003), we know that the deviation matrix exists (i.e.  $D < \infty$ ) if and only if the process is  $\ell$ -ergodic of order 2 (see, M.F. Chen and Y.Z. Wang (2013), Y.H. Mao (2004) or Y.Y. Liu et al (2010)). Obviously, the drift condition is sufficient for the existence of the deviation matrix.

14/32

## **Theorem 1: bound on** $\|\Delta D\|_V$ .

The first result gives the V-norm-wise bound on  $\|\Delta D\|_V$  in terms of the drift condition.

Theorem 1. (Y.Y. Liu 2014) Let Q be irreducible and regular, and let  $i_0$  be any fixed state in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Suppose that Q satisfies the drift condition  $D(V, \lambda, b, C)$  for  $C = \{i_0\}$  and  $V_{i_0} = 1$ . Let  $c = 1 + \pi(V)$ . Then we have

$$\|\Delta D\|_{V} \leq \frac{c}{\lambda} \|\Delta\|_{V}$$
$$\leq \frac{\lambda+b}{\lambda^{2}} \|\Delta\|_{V}$$

#### **Theorem 2: bound on** $\|\nu - \pi\|_V$ .

Theorem 2. (Y.Y. Liu 2014) Let Q be irreducible and conservative, and let  $i_0$  be any fixed state in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Suppose that Q satisfies the drift condition  $\mathbf{D}(V, \lambda, b, C)$  for  $C = \{i_0\}$ ,  $V_{i_0} = 1$  and  $\lim_{i\to\infty} V_i = \infty$ . Let  $c = 1 + \pi(V)$ . (i) If  $\|\Delta\|_V < \frac{\lambda}{c}$ , then  $\tilde{Q}$  is regular, the  $\tilde{Q}$ -process  $\tilde{\Phi}(t)$  is exponentially ergodic,  $\nu = \pi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\Delta D)^n$ , and

$$\|
u - \pi\|_V \leq rac{c\pi(V)\|\Delta\|_V}{\lambda - c\|\Delta\|_V}.$$

(ii) If  $\|\Delta\|_V < \frac{\lambda^2}{b+\lambda}$ , then  $\tilde{Q}$  is regular, the  $\tilde{Q}$ -process  $\tilde{\Phi}(t)$  is exponentially ergodic,  $\nu = \pi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\Delta D)^n$ , and

$$\|\nu - \pi\|_{V} \leq \frac{b[(b+\lambda)\|\Delta\|_{V}]}{\lambda^{3} - \lambda[(b+\lambda)\|\Delta\|_{V}]}$$

## Remark on Theorem 2

#### Remark:

 $\triangleright(i)$  In this theorem, the drift function V is required to satisfy  $\lim_{i\to\infty} V_i = \infty$ , which however is not needed for the other two theorems. This condition is imposed for guaranteeing the regularity of Q and  $\tilde{Q}$ .

 $\triangleright$ (ii) Theorem 1 reveals an interesting phenomenon that the exponentially ergodic process  $\Phi_t$  displays good stability:

♦ (i)  $\Phi_t$  is always strongly stable (i.e. every intensity matrix  $\tilde{Q}$  in the set  $\{\tilde{Q} : \|\Delta\|_V < \varepsilon\}$  is regular and has a unique invariant probability measure  $\nu$  such that  $\|\nu - \pi\|_V \to 0$  as  $\|\Delta\|_V \to 0$ );

 $\diamond$  (ii) the perturbed process  $\tilde{\Phi}_t$  is also exponentially ergodic with respect to small perturbation in the sense of *V*-norm.

イロン 不良 とくほど 不良 とうほう

### Cont'd

 $\triangleright$ (iii) We could have obtained bounds on  $||D||_V$  more directly through the estimate of the convergence rates. If Q satisfies  $D(V, \lambda, b, \{i_0\})$ , then it follows from S.P Myen and R.L. Tweedie (1993) that there exist positive constants  $\alpha, \beta$  such that

$$|P^t - \Pi||_V \le \alpha e^{-\beta t},$$

which implies that  $\|D\|_V \leq \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ . However, the constants  $\alpha, \beta$  are difficult to determine except for some special cases, for example, Q is monotone.

Suppose that Q is monotone and that Q satisfies  $D(V, \lambda, b, \{0\})$  for a non-decreasing function V, then it follows from R.B. Lund etal (1996) that (17) holds for  $\alpha = 2(1 + \frac{b}{\lambda})$  and  $\beta = \lambda$ , which implies  $\|D\|_{V} \leq \frac{2(\lambda+b)}{\lambda^{2}}, \|\Delta D\|_{V} \leq \frac{2(\lambda+b)}{\lambda^{2}} \|\Delta\|_{V}$ . Hence if  $\|\Delta\|_{V} < \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2(\lambda+b)}$ , then

$$\|\nu - \pi\|_{V} \leq \frac{b[2(b+\lambda)\|\Delta\|_{V}]}{\lambda^{3} - \lambda[2(b+\lambda)\|\Delta\|_{V}]}$$

17/32

#### **Theorem 3: bound on** $||D||_V$ .

Theorem 3. (Y.Y. Liu 2014) Let Q be irreducible and regular, and let  $i_0$  be any fixed state in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Suppose that Q satisfies the drift condition  $\mathbf{D}(V, \lambda, b, C)$  for  $C = \{i_0\}$  and  $V_{i_0} = 1$ . Let  $c = 1 + \pi(V)$ . Then we have

$$\|D\|_V \leq \frac{c^2}{\lambda} \leq \frac{(\lambda+b)^2}{\lambda^3}.$$

Remark: Let  $|g| \le V$ . Then h = Dg is a solution of the Poisson equation  $Qh = -[g - \pi(g)]$ , and

$$|h_i| = |\sum_{j\in\mathbb{R}} D_{ij}g_j| \leq \sum_{j\in\mathbb{R}} |D_{ij}|V_j| \leq ||D||_V V_i.$$

While by P. Glynn and S. Meyn (1996), we can only show that  $|h_i| \le d(1 + V_i)$  for an existing but unknown positive constant *d*.

### Outline

#### **1** Introduction

#### 2 Main results

#### **3** Augmented truncation of invariant probability measures

- **4** Proof of the main results
- **5** Future research

## Augmented truncation

Suppose that  $\Phi_t$  is ergodic with intensity matrix Q and the unique invariant probability measure  $\pi$ . Let  ${}_{(n)}\mathbb{E} = \{0, 1, 2, \cdots, n\}$  and let K be any fixed state in  $\mathbb{E}$ . Denote by  ${}_{(n)}Q$ ,  $n \geq K$ , the  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  northwest corner truncation of Q. The (K+1)-th column augmentation, denoted by  ${}_{(n,K)}Q = {}_{(n,K)}q_{ij}$ , is given by

$${}_{(n,\mathcal{K})}q_{ij} = \begin{cases} q_{ij} + I_{\{\mathcal{K}\}}(j) \cdot \sum_{j \notin \mathbb{E}_n} q_{ij}, & \text{if } i, j \in \mathbb{E}_n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From the special construction, we know that  $_{(n,K)}Q$  has a unique invariant probability measure  $_{(n,K)}\pi$ .

#### Does $(n,K)\pi$ converge to $\pi$ for an arbitrary K? Not always!

#### Non-convergence example

Consider a CTMC with the following conservative intensity matrix

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} q_0 & p_0 - 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \\ q_1 & 0 & p_1 - 1 & 0 & \cdots & \\ q_2 & 0 & 0 & p_2 - 1 & \cdots & \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $p_0 = rac{1}{2}$  and for any  $n \geq 1$ 

$$p_n=\left\{egin{array}{ccc} rac{1}{2},&n\ ext{is an odd},\ 1-rac{1}{3^{rac{n}{2}}},&n\ ext{is an even}. \end{array}
ight.$$

*Q* is irreducible, regular and *Q*-process is strongly ergodic. If we perform the last column augmentation (i.e. K = n) of the truncated intensity matrix, then we know that  $_{(n,n)}\pi \nleftrightarrow \pi$ . (Reason: Consider P = Q + I and use Example 2.1 in Y.Y. Liu (2010).)

A basic question is: what conditions ensure that  $(n)_{n} \rightarrow \pi$ .

21/32

### Basic properties about augmented truncation

**Proposition:** Let Q be irreducible and regular. Suppose that Q satisfies the drift condition  $D(V, \lambda, b, \{i_0\})$  with  $V_{i_0} = 1$ . Then we have

(i)  $_{(n,i_0)}Q$  satisfy  $\mathbf{D}(V, \lambda, b, \{i_0\})$  for any  $n \ge i_0$ ; (ii)  $_{(n,i_0)}P_{ij}(t) \rightarrow P_{ij}(t), \quad n \rightarrow \infty$ ; (iii)  $\|_{(n,i_0)}\pi - \pi\|_V \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(i) follows from

$$\sum_{j \in (n)^{\mathbb{E}}} {}_{(n,i_0)} q_{ij} V_j = \sum_{j \in (n)^{\mathbb{E}}, j \neq i_0} q_{ij} V_j + q_{ii_0} V_{i_0} + \sum_{k \ge n+1}^{\infty} q_{ik} V_{i_0} \le \sum_{k \in \mathbb{E}}^{\infty} q_{ik} V_k.$$

▷ Hart and Tweedie (2012) proved (ii) and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|_{(n,i_0)} \pi - \pi\| = 0$ . proved. Actually their arguments can be modified easily to obtain the stronger convergence (iii). Key step: For any fixed  $i \in (n)\mathbb{E}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|_{(n,i_0)}\pi - \pi\|_{V} &\leq \|_{(n,i_0)}R_{i\cdot}^m - {}_{(n,i_0)}\pi\|_{V} + \|R_{i\cdot}^m - \pi\|_{V} + \|_{(n,i_0)}R_{i\cdot}^m - R_{i\cdot}^m\|_{V} \\ &\leq 2MV(i)\rho^m + \sum_{s=0}^{m-1}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{R}}R_{ik}^s (\frac{\beta+b}{\beta+\lambda})_{n-1-s}^{m-1-s}\|R_{k\cdot} - {}_{(n,i_0)}R_{k\cdot}\|_{V} \\ &\leq 2MV(i)\rho^m + \sum_{s=0}^{m-1}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{R}}R_{ik}^s (\frac{\beta+b}{\beta+\lambda})_{n-1-s}^{m-1-s}\|R_{k\cdot} - {}_{(n,i_0)}R_{k\cdot}\|_{V} \end{aligned}$$

### Outline

#### **1** Introduction

**2** Main results

#### **3** Augmented truncation of invariant probability measures

- **4** Proof of the main results
- 5 Future research

## Proof of Theorem 1: Step 1

$$\Rightarrow \|\Delta D\|_{V} \leq \frac{c}{\lambda} \|\Delta\|_{V} \leq \frac{\lambda+b}{\lambda^{2}} \|\Delta\|_{V}.$$

We only need to prove the first inequality. The second inequality follows the first one and that  $c = 1 + \pi(V) \le 1 + \frac{b}{\lambda}$  (by the drift condition).

(1) We prove the result for the case that Q is bounded, i.e. there exists a positive constant h such that  $\sup_{i \in \mathbb{E}} (-q_{ii}) \leq \frac{1}{h}$ . Let  $P_h = I + hQ$ ,  $\tilde{P}_h = I + h\tilde{Q}$ , and  $\Delta_h = \tilde{P}_h - P_h = h\Delta$ . Denote by  $D_h$  the deviation matrix of  $P_h$ . Note that  $P_h$  has the same invariant probability measure as Q and  $D_h = \frac{D}{h}$ . From N.V. Kartashov (1986), we know that

$$D_h = (I - \Pi) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_h^n (I - \Pi).$$

where  $T_h$  is the the same as  $P_h$  except the first row (all changed into 0).

# Since Q satisfies $D(V, \lambda, b, \{i_0\})$ , we have

$$\|T_h\|_V \leq 1 - \lambda h.$$

Since  $\Delta_h \Pi = 0$ , we have

$$\|\Delta D\|_{V} = \|\Delta_{h}D_{h}\|_{V} = \left\|\Delta_{h}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}T_{h}^{n}(I-\Pi)\right\|_{V}$$
$$\leq \|\Delta\|_{V}\frac{c}{\lambda}.$$

25 / 32

## Step 2

(2) Performing the augmented truncations to both Q and  $\tilde{Q}$  obtain  $_{(n)}Q$ and  $_{(n)}\tilde{Q}$ , respectively. Take  $_{(n)}\Delta = _{(n)}Q - _{(n)}\tilde{Q}$ . Let  $_{(n)}\pi$  and  $_{(n)}\nu$  be the invariant probability measure of  $_{(n)}Q$  and  $_{(n)}\tilde{Q}$ , respectively. Let  $_{(n)}D$  be the deviation matrix with respect to  $_{(n)}Q$ .

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{j\in (n)\mathbb{E}}|(_{(n)}\Delta_{(n)}D)_{ij}|V_j=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{E}}|(\Delta D)_{ij}|V_j.$$

To prove the above fact, we need to show  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (n)D_{ij} = D_{ij}$ . Since (n)Q satisfies  $D(V, \lambda, b, \{i_0\})$  uniformly for any  $n > i_0$ , there exist positive constants  $d, \alpha$  such that

$$\sum_{j\in (n)^{\mathbb{E}}}|_{(n)}P_{ij}^{t}-_{(n)}\pi_{j}|V_{j}\leq dV(i)e^{-\alpha t}.$$

Since  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (n)P_{ij}^t = P_{ij}^t$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (n)\pi_j = \pi_j$ , by the bounded convergence theorem, we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} {}_{(n)}D_{ij} = \int_0^\infty \lim_{n\to\infty} [{}_{(n)}P^t_{ij} - {}_{(n)}\pi_j] dt = D_{ij}.$$

(3) There exists big enough N such that for any  $n \ge N$ 

$$\|_{(n)}\Delta_{(n)}D\|_{V}\geq \|\Delta D\|_{V}-\varepsilon.$$

Since (n)Q satisfies  $D(V, \lambda, b, \{i_0\})$  uniformly for any  $n > i_0$ , applying the fist part, we

$$\|_{(n)}\Delta_{(n)}D\|_{V}\leq \|\Delta\|_{V}rac{c}{\lambda}.$$

Thus by (2) and (3), we have

$$\|\Delta D\|_V \leq rac{c}{\lambda} \|\Delta\|_V$$

because  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrarily small.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

## **Proof of Theorem 2:**

(i) Since  $\|\Delta\|_V < \frac{\lambda}{c}$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{E}} ilde{q}_{ij} V_j \leq -rac{(c-1)\lambda}{c} V_i + b I_{\{i_0\}}(i).$$

By M.F. Chen (2003), we know that both Q and  $\tilde{Q}$  are regular due to the extra assumption that  $\lim_{i\to\infty} V_i = \infty$ . Moreover, the  $\tilde{Q}$ -process  $\tilde{\Phi}(t)$  is exponentially ergodic. Since  $\|\Delta\|_V < \frac{\lambda}{c}$ , by Theorem 1, we have  $\|\Delta D\|_V < 1$ . Since  $\nu(I - \Delta D) = \pi$ , we have

$$\nu = \pi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\Delta D)^n,$$

which follows

$$\|
u - \pi\|_{V} \leq \pi(V) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\Delta D\|_{V}^{n} \leq \frac{c\pi(V)\|\Delta\|_{V}}{\lambda - c\|\Delta\|_{V}}.$$

(ii) Since  $\frac{c\pi(V)\|\Delta\|_V}{\lambda - c\|\Delta\|_V}$  is increasing of c, the statements of (ii) hold when cand  $\pi(V)$  are changed into  $\frac{b+\lambda}{\lambda}$  and  $\frac{b}{\lambda}$ , respectively.

### Outline

#### **1** Introduction

- **2** Main results
- **3** Augmented truncation of invariant probability measures
- **4** Proof of the main results
- **5** Future research

#### **Future research**

Developing the technique of augmented truncation for CTMCs:

▷ reducing the exponential ergodicity condition

extending to high-dimensional CTMCs

 Establishing the lower bound for the error. (By far, all the known perturbation bounds are upper bounds)

- Chen M F. From Markov Chains to Non-equilibrium Particle Systems, second ed. World Scientific, Singapore, 2003
- Chen M F. and Wang Y.Z. Algebraic convergence of Markov chains. Annals of Applied Probability, 2003, 13:2, 604-627
- Mao Y H. Ergodic degrees for continuous-time Markov chains. Science in China, Series A, 2004, 47: 161–174.
- Mao Y H, Zhang Y H. Exponential ergodicity for single birth processes. Journal of Applied Probability, 2004, 41: 1022–1032
- Zhang Y.H. Regularity of Q-matrices being linear combinations of two regular Q-matrices. Journal of Bejing Normal University, 1998, 34: 292-296. (In Chinese)
- Kartashov N V. Strongly stable Markov chains. Journal of Soviet Mathematics, 1986, 34: 1493–149
- Altman E, Avrachenkov CE, Núñez-Queija R. Perturbation analysis for denumerable Markov chains with application to queueing models. Advances in Applied Probability, 2004, 36: 839–853

- Glynn P W, Meyn S P. A Liapounov bound for solutions of the Poisson equation. Annals of Probability, 1996, 24: 916–931
- Hart A G, Tweedie R L. Convergence of invariant measures of truncation approximations to Markov processes. Applied Mathematics, 2012, 3: 2205–2215
- Tweedie R L. Perturbations of countable Markov chains and processes. Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics, 1980, 32: 283–290
- Liu Y. Augmented truncation approximations of discrete-time Markov chains. Operation Research Letters, 2010, 38: 218–222
- Liu Y. Perturbation bounds for the stationary distributions of Markov chains. SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications, 2012, 33: 1057–1074
- Liu Y, Zhang H J, Zhao Y Q. Subexponential ergodicity for continuous-time Markov chains. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 2010, 368: 178–189
- Liu Y. Perturbation analysis for continuous-time Markov chains. ومرد Submitted, 2014.