[Introduction](#page-1-0) [Main results](#page-4-0)

Law of large numbers for some Markov chains along non-homogeneous genealogies

# Chunmao Huang

(A joint work with Vincent Bansaye)

Harbin institute of technology at Weihai



The 10th Workshop on Markov processes and related topics August 14-18, 2014, Xian

イロメ イ押 メイヨメ イヨメ

 $2Q$ 

Chunmao HUANG

[Introduction](#page-1-0)

[Main results](#page-4-0)

# **Model – Markov Chain indexed by the genealogical tree**

**•** Genealogical tree T



We consider a population with non-overlapping generations. The genealogical tree T describes the genealogy of the population in discrete time, and the nodes of the tree are the individuals. イロメ 不優 トメ ヨ メ ス ヨ メー  $\equiv$ 

<span id="page-1-0"></span> $2990$ 



• Markov Chain indexed by the genealogical tree

We consider a trait in the population. Let  $(\mathcal{X}, B_{\mathcal{X}})$  be the state space of this trait. For each  $u \in \mathbb{T}$ , denote its trait by  $X(u) \in \mathcal{X}$ . The process  $X = (X(u))_{u \in \mathbb{T}}$  satisfies: for *u* of generation *n*,

$$
\mathbb{P}(X(u1)\in dx_1,\cdots,X(uk)\in dx_k|N(u)=k,X(u)=x)\\ =p_n^{(k)}(x,dx_1,\cdots,dx_k),
$$

イロメ イ押メ イヨメ イヨメー

G.  $QQQ$ 

where for each  $k, n$  and  $x, p_n^{(k)}(x, \cdot)$  is a probability on  $\mathcal{X}^k.$ 

We call  $X = (X(u))_{u \in \mathbb{T}}$  a Markov Chain indexed by the genealogical tree T.

**•** Objective

Let  $\mathbb{T}_n := \{u \in \mathbb{T} : |u| = n\}$  be the set of all individuals in generation *n* and

$$
Z_n := \sum_{u \in \mathbb{T}_n} \delta_{X(u)}
$$

be the counting measure of individuals of generation *n*. In fact, for  $A \in B_{\mathcal{X}}$ .

$$
Z_n(A)=\#\{u\in\mathbb{T}_n:X(u)\in A\}
$$

denotes the number of individuals of generation *n* whose traits belong to *A*. In particular, we write

$$
N_n:=Z_n(\mathcal{X})=\#\mathbb{T}_n.
$$

Objective:

$$
\frac{Z_n(A)}{N_n}\rightarrow ?
$$

**≮ロ ▶ ⊀ 御 ▶ ⊀ ヨ ▶ ⊀ ヨ ▶** 

ミー  $QQQ$ 

## • Case I: fixed genealogical tree

We first consider the case where  $T$  is fixed (no random).

#### Theorem 1.1

Let  $A \in B_{\mathcal{X}}$ . We assume that

- (i)  $N_n \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ ;
- $\mathcal{L}(\mathsf{ii})$  lim sup  $\mathbb{P}(|U_n \wedge V_n| \geq K) \to 0$  as  $K \to \infty$ , where  $U_n$ ,  $V_n$  are two individuals *n*→∞ uniformly and independently chosen in T*n*;
- (iii) there exists  $\mu(A) \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for all  $u \in \mathbb{T}$  satisfying  $N_n(u) > 0$  for all  $n > 1$ , and for all  $x\in\mathcal{X},$  where  $\mathcal{U}^{(u)}_n$  denotes an individual uniformly chosen in  $\mathbb{T}_{|u|+n},$

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{P}\left(X(U_n^{(u)})\in A\bigg|X(u)=x\right)=\mu(A).
$$

4 ロ ) (何 ) (日 ) (日 )

 $\equiv$ 

<span id="page-4-0"></span> $290$ 

#### Then

$$
\frac{Z_n(A)}{N_n} \to \mu(A) \quad \text{in $\mathbb{P}$-probability.}
$$

Assumptions (i) and (ii) hold for many genealogies, such as supercritical branching genealogies. The assumption (iii) is difficult to obtain in general. Here we provide a simple example where it holds.

#### Example 1: Homogeneous kernels

Assume that

$$
\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^k p_n^{(k)}(x, x^{i-1}dy x^{k-i}) =: p(x, dy)
$$

depends neither of *k* nor of *n*. Let  $Y = (Y_n)$  be the Markov chain with transition kernel *p*. The assumption (iii) in fact is

$$
\mathbb{P}_x(Y_n \in A) \to \mu(A)
$$

for every  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . This convergence is related to the ergodicity of the Markov chain *Y*, for which sufficient conditions are known, see e.g.[\[4\]](#page-14-0).

4 ロ ) (何 ) (日 ) (日 )

 $QQQ$ 



## Case II: in random environment

• In random environment

Let  $\xi = (\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots)$  be a stationary and ergodic process. Each  $\xi_n$ corresponds to a distribution  $p(\xi_n) = (p_k(\xi_n))_{k=0}^{\infty}$  on  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, \dots\}$  and a class of probabilities  $p_{\xi_n}^{(k)}(x, dx_1, \dots, dx_k)$ on  $\mathcal{X}^k$  for each  $k, n, x$ . Such  $\xi$  is called a random environment.

We consider the case where the population evolves following a branching process in a random environment (so that  $T$  is random). Given ξ, the offspring number *N*(*u*) of individual *u* of generation *n* is distributed as  $p(\xi_n)$  and its offspring traits  $\{X(u) \}$  are determined by

$$
\mathbb{P}_{\xi}(X(u1) \in dx_1, \cdots, X(uk) \in dx_k | N(u) = k, X(u) = x)
$$
  
=  $p_{\xi_n}^{(k)}(x, dx_1, \cdots, dx_k),$ 

**K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶ K ヨ ▶ K ヨ ▶** 

G.  $QQQ$ 

where  $\mathbb{P}_{\xi}$  represents the conditional probability given  $\xi$  and is usually called quenched law.



• Branching process in a random environment (BPRE) The population of generation *n*, *Nn*, is a BPRE. Put

$$
m_n=\sum_{k}kp_k(\xi_n)\ (n\geq 0)\quad\text{and}\quad P_n=m_0\cdots m_{n-1}\ (n\geq 1).
$$

It is well known that the normalized population

$$
W_n=\frac{N_n}{P_n}
$$

is a non-negative martingale and its limit  $W = \lim\limits_{n \to \infty} W_n$  exists a.s. Consider the supercritical non-degenerated case

$$
0<\mathbb{E}(\log m_0)<\infty\quad\text{and}\quad\mathbb{E}\left(\log\mathbb{E}_\xi W_1^2\right)<\infty.\hspace{1cm}(\mathsf{A})
$$

This assumption ensures that  $W_n \to W$  in  $L^2$  under  $\mathbb{P}_\xi$  and so the limit *W* > 0 on the non-extinction event  $\{N_n \to \infty\}$ , see [\[2\]](#page-14-1).

 $($  ロ )  $($   $($  $)$   $)$   $($   $)$ 

 $QQQ$ 



• The auxiliary Markov Chain  $Y = (Y_n)$ Let

$$
P_{\xi_n}^{(k,i)}(x,\cdot)=p_{\xi_n}^{(k)}(x,x^{i-1}\times\cdot\cdot\times x^{k-i})
$$

be the *i*th marginal distribution of  $p_{\xi_n}^{(k)}(x, \cdot)$  and we introduce the random transition probability

$$
Q_n(x,\cdot):=\frac{1}{m_n}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}p_k(\xi_n)\sum_{i=1}^k P_{\xi_n}^{(k,i)}(x,\cdot).
$$

Given the environment  $\xi$ , we define an auxiliary Markov chain in varying environment  $Y = (Y_n)$ , whose transition probability in time *j* is *Q<sup>j</sup>* :

$$
\mathbb{P}_{\xi}(Y_{j+1}\in dy|Y_j=x)=Q_j(x,dy).
$$

**K ロ ト K 伺 ト K ヨ ト K ヨ ト** 

G.  $QQQ$ 

We'll see that the convergence of the measure  $Z_n(\cdot)/N_n$  comes from the ergodic behavior of *Yn*.



Law of large numbers in generation *n* Similarly to the result of Delmas and Marsalle [\[1\]](#page-14-2) for deterministic environment case, we have

#### Theorem 2.1 Law of large numbers in generation *n*

Let  $A \in B_{\mathcal{X}}$ . We assume that there exists a sequence  $(\mu_{\mathcal{E},n}(A))_n \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that for almost all  $\xi$  and for each  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
\lim_{n\to\infty} \left( \mathbb{P}_{T^r\xi,x}(Y_{n-r}\in A) - \mu_{\xi,n}(A) \right) = 0 \quad \text{for every } x\in\mathcal{X}.
$$
 (1)

Then we have for almost all  $\xi$ , conditionally on the non-extinction event,

$$
\frac{Z_n(A)}{N_n}-\mu_{\xi,n}(A)\to 0\qquad\text{in }\mathbb{P}_{\xi}\text{-probability.}
$$

 $\mathbb{P}_{\xi,x}$  denotes the quenched law when the process Y starts from the initial value *x* and  $T\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \cdots)$  if  $\xi = (\xi_0, \xi_1, \cdots)$ . The condition [\(1\)](#page-9-0) holds if *Y* is weakly ergodic. For sufficient conditions of weak ergodicity in the non-homogeneous case, see Mukhamedov [\[4\]](#page-14-0).

イロメ 不優 トメ ヨ メ ス ヨ メー

 $2Q$ 

## **Corollary**

Let  $A \in B_{\mathcal{X}}$ . We assume that there exists  $\mu(A) \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for almost all  $\xi$ ,

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{P}_{\xi,x}(Y_n\in A)=\mu(A)\qquad\text{for every }x\in\mathcal{X}.\tag{2}
$$

Then we have for almost all  $\xi$ , conditionally on the non-extinction event,

$$
\frac{Z_n(A)}{N_n} \to \mu(A) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{P}_{\xi} \text{-probability.}
$$

The condition [\(2\)](#page-10-0) holds if *Y* is ergodic. However, the ergodicity in the non-homogeneous case is difficult to get under general assumptions. If *Y* is homogeneous, the sufficient conditions are known, see e.g.[\[4\]](#page-14-0).

 $($  ロ )  $($   $($  $)$   $)$   $($   $)$ 

ă.  $QQQ$ 



#### ● Law of large numbers on the whole tree

#### Theorem 2.2 Law of large numbers on the whole tree

Let  $A \in B_{\mathcal{X}}$ . We assume that there exists  $\mu(A) \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for almost all  $\xi$ ,

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n\mathbb{P}_{\xi,x}(Y_k\in A)=\mu(A)\qquad\text{for every }x\in\mathcal{X}.\tag{3}
$$

**K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶ K ヨ ▶ K ヨ ▶** 

ミー  $QQQ$ 

Then we have for almost all  $\xi$ , conditionally on the non-extinction event,

$$
\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{Z_k(A)}{N_k} \to \mu(A) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{P}_{\xi} \text{-probability.}
$$

A sufficient condition for [\(3\)](#page-11-0) was shown in Seppäläinen [\[5\]](#page-14-3).

Chunmao HUANG



**•** Central limit theorem

When the auxiliary Markov chain *Y* satisfies a central limit theorem, the measure  $Z_n(\cdot)/N_n$  maybe also satisfies a central limit theorem.

#### Theorem 2.3 Central limit theorem

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}$ . We assume that for almost all  $\xi$ ,  $Y_n$  satisfies a central limit theorem: there exits a sequence of random variables  $\{(a_n(\xi), b_n(\xi)\})$ satisfying  $b_n(\xi) > 0$  such that

<span id="page-12-0"></span>
$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{P}_{\xi,x}\left(\frac{Y_n-a_n(\xi)}{b_n(\xi)}\leq y\right)=\Phi(y)\qquad\text{for every }x\in\mathcal{X},\qquad\qquad(4)
$$

 $($  ロ )  $($   $($  $)$   $)$   $($   $)$ 

 $\equiv$ 

 $290$ 

where  $\Phi$  is a continuous function on R. If for each  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  fixed,

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{b_n(\xi)}{b_{n-r}(T^r\xi)}=1\qquad\text{and}\qquad\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{a_n(\xi)-a_{n-r}(T^r\xi)}{b_{n-r}(T^r\xi)}=0\quad a.s.,\quad (5)
$$

then we have for almost all  $\xi$ , conditionally on the non-extinction event,

$$
\frac{Z_n(-\infty,b_n(\xi)y+a_n(\xi)]}{N_n}\to\Phi(y)\qquad\text{in }\mathbb{P}_\xi\text{-probability.}
$$

[Introduction](#page-1-0) [Main results](#page-4-0)

## Example 2: Branching random walk with a random environment in time (Huang and Liu [\[3\]](#page-14-4))

Given the environment  $\xi = (\xi_n)$ , each particle *u* of generation *n*, located at  $X(u) \in \mathbb{R}$ , is independently replaced by  $N(u)$  new particles of generation  $n + 1$  which scatter on  $\mathbb R$  with positions determined by

 $X(ui) = X(u) + L_i(u)$ ,

where the point process  $(N(u); L_1(u), L_2(u), \cdots)$  has the normalized intensity measure  $q_n = q(\xi_n)$  for  $u \in \mathbb{T}_n$ . In this model, we can see that

 $Q_n(x, dy) = q_n(dy - x)$ .

Let  $\mu_n=\int_{\mathbb{R}}t\bm{q}_n(dt)$  and  $\sigma_n^2=\int_{\mathbb{R}}(t-\mu_n)^2\bm{q}_n(dt).$  Huang and Liu [\[3\]](#page-14-4) obtained (under certain assumptions) the condition [\(4\)](#page-12-0), with

$$
a_n(\xi) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mu_i, \qquad b_n(\xi) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sigma_n^2\right)^{1/2}.
$$

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

G.  $QQQ$ 

# **References**

<span id="page-14-2"></span>

### J-F. Delmas, L. Marsalle.

Detection of cellular aging in a Galton-Watson process. *Stoch. Proc. Appl. 120 (2010), 2495-2519.*

<span id="page-14-1"></span>

#### C. Huang, Q. Liu.

Convergence in L<sup>p</sup> and its exponential rate for a branching process in a random environment. *Available via http://arxiv.org/abs/1011.0533.*

<span id="page-14-4"></span>

#### C. Huang, Q. Liu.

Branching random walk with a random environment in time. *Available via http://arxiv.org/abs/1407.7623.*

<span id="page-14-0"></span>

#### F. Mukhamedov.

On *L*1-weak ergodicity of nonhomogeneous discrete Markov processes and its applications. *Rev. Mat. Complut. 26 (2013) 799-813.*

<span id="page-14-3"></span>

#### T. Seppäläinen.

Large deviations for Markov chains with Random Transitions. *Ann. Prob. 22 (1994), 713-748.*

イロメ イ押 メイヨメ イヨメ

 $2Q$ 

[Introduction](#page-1-0) [Main results](#page-4-0)

# Thank you !

cmhuang@hitwh.edu.cn

メロメメ 御きメモ メモ おく

高山  $2990$ 

Chunmao HUANG