Limit theory of pruning processes for Galton-Watson trees

Hui HE (何 辉)

Beijing Normal University

August 14, 2014

Hui He (Beijing Normal University) Limit theory of pruning processes for Galton-W

- Aldous and Pitman's pruning
- Poissonnian marks on Lévy trees
- Pruning at branching points
- Abraham and Delmas' pruning

Given a tree \mathcal{G} ,

- Associate each edge an uniformly distributed r.v. ξ_e on [0, 1].
- For $u \in [0, 1]$, an edge *e* is marked and then removed if $\xi_e > u$.

• $\mathcal{G}(u)$ = remaining tree at time u.



Given a tree \mathcal{G} ,

- Associate each edge an uniformly distributed r.v. ξ_e on [0, 1].
- For $u \in [0, 1]$, an edge *e* is marked and then removed if $\xi_e > u$.
- $\mathcal{G}(u)$ = remaining tree at time u.



Given a tree G,

- Associate each edge an uniformly distributed r.v. ξ_e on [0, 1].
- For $u \in [0, 1]$, an edge *e* is marked and then removed if $\xi_e > u$.
- G(u) = remaining tree at time u.



Given a tree G,

- Associate each edge an uniformly distributed r.v. ξ_e on [0, 1].
- For $u \in [0, 1]$, an edge *e* is marked and then removed if $\xi_e > u$.
- $\mathcal{G}(u)$ = remaining tree at time u.



- $\{\mathcal{G}(u): 0 \le u \le 1\}$: pruning process of \mathcal{G} .
- $\mathcal{G}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{G}(\beta)$ for $0 \le \alpha \le \beta \le 1$.
- If \mathcal{G} is a Galton-Watson tree, then $\mathcal{G}(u)$ is also a Galton-Watson tree.

- $\{\mathcal{G}(u): 0 \le u \le 1\}$: pruning process of \mathcal{G} .
- $\mathcal{G}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{G}(\beta)$ for $0 \le \alpha \le \beta \le 1$.
- If \mathcal{G} is a Galton-Watson tree, then $\mathcal{G}(u)$ is also a Galton-Watson tree.

- $\{\mathcal{G}(u): 0 \le u \le 1\}$: pruning process of \mathcal{G} .
- $\mathcal{G}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{G}(\beta)$ for $0 \le \alpha \le \beta \le 1$.
- If \mathcal{G} is a Galton-Watson tree, then $\mathcal{G}(u)$ is also a Galton-Watson tree.

Roughly, a Lévy tree is a random locally compact metric space without

loops, locally isometric to the real line.



Roughly, a Lévy tree is a random locally compact metric space without loops, locally isometric to the real line.



Roughly, a Lévy tree is a random locally compact metric space without loops, locally isometric to the real line.



Roughly, a Lévy tree is a random locally compact metric space without loops, locally isometric to the real line.



Lévy trees: Scaling limits of GW trees

Aldous (90, 92, AOP); Le Gall and Le Jan (98a,b, AOP); Duquesne and Le Gall (02,Asterisque):

Theorem

Under some regular conditions, for $\gamma_k \to \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{\gamma_k}\mathcal{G}^{(k)} \longrightarrow a \ L\acute{e}vy \ tree.$$

 $\mathcal{G}^{(k)} = \bigcup k$ independent GW trees.

Aldous (90, 92, AOP); Le Gall and Le Jan (98a,b, AOP); Duquesne and Le Gall (02,Asterisque):

Theorem

Under some regular conditions, for $\gamma_k \to \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{\gamma_k}\mathcal{G}^{(k)} \longrightarrow a \ L\acute{e}vy \ tree.$$

$$\mathcal{G}^{(k)} = \bigcup k$$
 independent GW trees.

Question?

How to generalize Aldous and Pitman's pruning of GW trees to Lévy trees?

- In Aldous and Pitman (1998, AOP), it says that "In the CRT, the analog of deleting randomly chosen edges is to cut the skeleton by a Poisson process of cuts with some rate per unit length."
- We will call the procedure as Poissonian marks on trees.

Question?

How to generalize Aldous and Pitman's pruning of GW trees to Lévy trees?

- In Aldous and Pitman (1998, AOP), it says that "In the CRT, the analog of deleting randomly chosen edges is to cut the skeleton by a Poisson process of cuts with some rate per unit length."
- We will call the procedure as Poissonian marks on trees.

Question?

How to generalize Aldous and Pitman's pruning of GW trees to Lévy trees?

- In Aldous and Pitman (1998, AOP), it says that "In the CRT, the analog of deleting randomly chosen edges is to cut the skeleton by a Poisson process of cuts with some rate per unit length."
- We will call the procedure as Poissonian marks on trees.

- At time θ_i , there is a drop of sulfuric acid falling on the tree at $x_i \in \mathcal{T}$.
- We cut the tree at x_i .
- {θ₁ < θ₂ < · · · ,} is a Poisson process and {x_i, i = 1, 2, · · · } are uniformly distributed on *T*.
- $\mathcal{T}(\theta)$ = remaining tree after time θ .

- At time θ_i , there is a drop of sulfuric acid falling on the tree at $x_i \in \mathcal{T}$.
- We cut the tree at x_i .
- {θ₁ < θ₂ < · · · ,} is a Poisson process and {x_i, i = 1, 2, · · ·} are uniformly distributed on *T*.
- $\mathcal{T}(\theta)$ = remaining tree after time θ .

- At time θ_i , there is a drop of sulfuric acid falling on the tree at $x_i \in \mathcal{T}$.
- We cut the tree at x_i .
- {θ₁ < θ₂ < · · · , } is a Poisson process and {x_i, i = 1, 2, · · · } are uniformly distributed on *T*.
- $\mathcal{T}(\theta)$ = remaining tree after time θ .

- At time θ_i , there is a drop of sulfuric acid falling on the tree at $x_i \in \mathcal{T}$.
- We cut the tree at x_i .
- {θ₁ < θ₂ < · · · , } is a Poisson process and {x_i, i = 1, 2, · · · } are uniformly distributed on *T*.
- $\mathcal{T}(\theta) =$ remaining tree after time θ .

Answer to Aldous and Pitman's question

• Recall $\mathcal{G}^{(k)} = \bigcup k$ independent GW trees.

• { $\mathcal{G}^{(k)}(u): 0 \le u \le 1$ }: Aldous and Pitman's pruning process.

Theorem (H. and Winkel (2014+))

$$\frac{1}{\gamma_k}\mathcal{G}^{(k)} \longrightarrow a \ L\acute{e}vy \ tree \ \mathcal{T},$$

then

$$\{\frac{1}{\gamma_k}\mathcal{G}^{(k)}(e^{-\theta/\gamma_k}): 0 \le \theta < \infty\} \longrightarrow \{\mathcal{T}(\theta): 0 \le \theta < \infty\},\$$

in $D([0,\infty),\mathbb{T})$ and \mathbb{T} is the space of locally compact real trees equipped with Gromov-Hausdroff topology.

• We solve the question in Aldous and Pitman (1998, AOP).

Answer to Aldous and Pitman's question

- Recall $\mathcal{G}^{(k)} = \bigcup k$ independent GW trees.
- $\{\mathcal{G}^{(k)}(u): 0 \le u \le 1\}$: Aldous and Pitman's pruning process.

Theorem (H. and Winkel (2014+))

$$\frac{1}{\gamma_k}\mathcal{G}^{(k)} \longrightarrow a \ L\acute{e}vy \ tree \ \mathcal{T},$$

then

$$\{\frac{1}{\gamma_k}\mathcal{G}^{(k)}(e^{-\theta/\gamma_k}): 0 \le \theta < \infty\} \longrightarrow \{\mathcal{T}(\theta): 0 \le \theta < \infty\},\$$

in $D([0,\infty),\mathbb{T})$ and \mathbb{T} is the space of locally compact real trees equipped with Gromov-Hausdroff topology.

• We solve the question in Aldous and Pitman (1998, AOP).

Answer to Aldous and Pitman's question

- Recall $\mathcal{G}^{(k)} = \bigcup k$ independent GW trees.
- $\{\mathcal{G}^{(k)}(u): 0 \le u \le 1\}$: Aldous and Pitman's pruning process.

Theorem (II. and Winkel (2014+))

$$\frac{1}{\gamma_k}\mathcal{G}^{(k)} \longrightarrow a \ L\acute{e}vy \ tree \ \mathcal{T},$$

then

If

$$\{rac{1}{\gamma_k}\mathcal{G}^{(k)}(e^{- heta/\gamma_k}): 0\leq heta<\infty\}{\longrightarrow}\{\mathcal{T}(heta): 0\leq heta<\infty\},$$

in $D([0,\infty),\mathbb{T})$ and \mathbb{T} is the space of locally compact real trees equipped with Gromov-Hausdroff topology.

• We solve the question in Aldous and Pitman (1998, AOP).

- A motivation of pruning trees is to study the fragmentation and coalescent; see Evans and Pitman (1998, AIHP); Aldous and Pitman (1998, AOP) for pruning Brownian trees (Poissonian marks).
- Miermont (2003, PTRF) introduced the pruning at branching points of stable trees to obtain some new fragmentations.
- Abraham and Delmas (2007, PTRF) considered the pruning at branching points of Lévy trees.
- In Abraham and Delmas (2012, AOP), a general pruning= Poissonian marks+pruning at branching points.

- A motivation of pruning trees is to study the fragmentation and coalescent; see Evans and Pitman (1998, AIHP); Aldous and Pitman (1998, AOP) for pruning Brownian trees (Poissonian marks).
- Miermont (2003, PTRF) introduced the pruning at branching points of stable trees to obtain some new fragmentations.
- Abraham and Delmas (2007, PTRF) considered the pruning at branching points of Lévy trees.
- In Abraham and Delmas (2012, AOP), a general pruning= Poissonian marks+pruning at branching points.

- A motivation of pruning trees is to study the fragmentation and coalescent; see Evans and Pitman (1998, AIHP); Aldous and Pitman (1998, AOP) for pruning Brownian trees (Poissonian marks).
- Miermont (2003, PTRF) introduced the pruning at branching points of stable trees to obtain some new fragmentations.
- Abraham and Delmas (2007, PTRF) considered the pruning at branching points of Lévy trees.
- In Abraham and Delmas (2012, AOP), a general pruning= Poissonian marks+pruning at branching points.

- A motivation of pruning trees is to study the fragmentation and coalescent; see Evans and Pitman (1998, AIHP); Aldous and Pitman (1998, AOP) for pruning Brownian trees (Poissonian marks).
- Miermont (2003, PTRF) introduced the pruning at branching points of stable trees to obtain some new fragmentations.
- Abraham and Delmas (2007, PTRF) considered the pruning at branching points of Lévy trees.
- In Abraham and Delmas (2012, AOP), a general pruning= Poissonian marks+pruning at branching points.

- associate an r.v. ξ_{ν} with $P(\xi_{\nu} \leq u) = u^{n(\nu)-1}$, $0 \leq u \leq 1$, $n(\nu)$ the number of children of ν .
- For $0 \le u \le 1$, if $\xi_v > u$, then we mark it and remove all its offsprings (sons, grandsons, \cdots).



- associate an r.v. ξ_{ν} with $P(\xi_{\nu} \leq u) = u^{n(\nu)-1}$, $0 \leq u \leq 1$, $n(\nu)$ the number of children of ν .
- For $0 \le u \le 1$, if $\xi_v > u$, then we mark it and remove all its offsprings (sons, grandsons, \cdots).



- associate an r.v. ξ_{ν} with $P(\xi_{\nu} \leq u) = u^{n(\nu)-1}$, $0 \leq u \leq 1$, $n(\nu)$ the number of children of ν .
- For $0 \le u \le 1$, if $\xi_v > u$, then we mark it and remove all its offsprings (sons, grandsons, \cdots).



- associate an r.v. ξ_{ν} with $P(\xi_{\nu} \leq u) = u^{n(\nu)-1}$, $0 \leq u \leq 1$, $n(\nu)$ the number of children of ν .
- For $0 \le u \le 1$, if $\xi_v > u$, then we mark it and remove all its offsprings (sons, grandsons, \cdots).



- Pruning process of \mathcal{G} : { $\mathcal{G}(u) : 0 \le u \le 1$ };
- $\mathcal{G}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{G}(\beta)$ for $\alpha \leq \beta$;
- If \mathcal{G} is a Galton-Waston tree, then $\mathcal{G}(u)$ is a Galton-Watson tree.

- Pruning process of \mathcal{G} : { $\mathcal{G}(u) : 0 \le u \le 1$ };
- $\mathcal{G}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{G}(\beta)$ for $\alpha \leq \beta$;
- If \mathcal{G} is a Galton-Waston tree, then $\mathcal{G}(u)$ is a Galton-Watson tree.

- Pruning process of \mathcal{G} : { $\mathcal{G}(u) : 0 \le u \le 1$ };
- $\mathcal{G}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{G}(\beta)$ for $\alpha \leq \beta$;
- If \mathcal{G} is a Galton-Waston tree, then $\mathcal{G}(u)$ is a Galton-Watson tree.

In Abraham and Delmas (2012, AOP), a general pruning= Poissonian marks+pruning at branching points.

It says that

"The CRT-valued Markov process constructed here can be viewed as the continuous analog of the discrete models of [11] and [4] (or maybe a mixture of both constructions). However, no link is actually pointed out between the discrete and the continuous frameworks."

[4] Abraham, Delmas and He (2012, AIHP);

[11] Aldous and Pitman (1998, AIHP).

In Abraham and Delmas (2012, AOP), a general pruning= Poissonian marks+pruning at branching points.

It says that

"The CRT-valued Markov process constructed here can be viewed as the continuous analog of the discrete models of [11] and [4] (or maybe a mixture of both constructions). However, no link is actually pointed out between the discrete and the continuous frameworks."

[4] Abraham, Delmas and He (2012, AIHP);

[11] Aldous and Pitman (1998, AIHP).

In Abraham and Delmas (2012, AOP), a general pruning= Poissonian marks+pruning at branching points.

It says that

"The CRT-valued Markov process constructed here can be viewed as the continuous analog of the discrete models of [11] and [4] (or maybe a mixture of both constructions). However, no link is actually pointed out between the discrete and the continuous frameworks."

[4] Abraham, Delmas and He (2012, AIHP);

[11] Aldous and Pitman (1998, AIHP).

In Abraham and Delmas (2012, AOP), a general pruning= Poissonian marks+pruning at branching points.

It says that

"The CRT-valued Markov process constructed here can be viewed as the continuous analog of the discrete models of [11] and [4] (or maybe a mixture of both constructions). However, no link is actually pointed out between the discrete and the continuous frameworks."

[4] Abraham, Delmas and He (2012, AIHP);

[11] Aldous and Pitman (1998, AIHP).

Our answer

Let $\{\mathcal{G}^{(k)}(u) : 0 \le u \le 1\}$ be the pruning process obtained by pruning $\mathcal{G}^{(k)}$ at branching points.

Theorem (H. and Winkel (2014+))

If $\frac{1}{\gamma_k}\mathcal{G}^{(k)} \longrightarrow a L \acute{e}vy tree \mathcal{T}$, then

$$\{\frac{1}{\gamma_k}\mathcal{G}^{(k)}(e^{-\theta/k}): 0 \le \theta < \infty\} \longrightarrow \{\mathcal{T}(\theta): 0 \le \theta < \infty\}$$

in $D([0,\infty),\mathbb{T})$.

- $\mathcal{T}(\theta)$ is the pruning process constructed in Abraham and Delmas (2012, AOP).
- We give an answer to the problem in Abraham and Delmas (2012, AOP).

References

- R. Abraham and J.-F. Delmas (2012): A continuum-tree-valued Markov process. *Ann. Probab.*
- R. Abraham, J.-F. Delmas, H. He (2012): Pruning Galton-Watson trees and tree-valued Markov processes. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.
- D. Aldous and J. Pitman (1998): Tree-valued Markov chains derived from Galton-Watson processes. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.
- D. Aldous and J. Pitman (1998): A standard additive coalescent Ann. Probab.
- Some H. He and M. Winkel (2014+): Limit theory of pruning processes for Galton-Watson trees. *Preprint*.

Thanks!

Hui He (Beijing Normal University) Limit theory of pruning processes for Galton-W

э

A B > A
 A
 B > A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A