Some Results on Evolutionary Prisoner's Dilemma Games

Yunshyong Chow

Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica Taipei,Taiwan Email: chow@math.sinica.edu.tw

10th Workshop Markov Processes and Related Topics Xidian and BNU Xian, China August 14-18, 2014

Prisoner's Dilemma game

Two prisoners are in custody.

| | | Defect | | | Cooperation |
|-------------|----|----------|---|----|-------------|
| Defect | 1. | 6 yrs | | 1. | 3 months |
| | 2. | 6 yrs | > | 2. | 10 yrs |
| Cooperation | 1. | 10 yrs | | 1. | 1 yr |
| | 2. | 3 months | > | 2. | 1 yr |

- Defect=Confess. Cooperation=Not Confess
- {Nash Equilibruim} = {(Defect, Defect)}
- Q : Any way out of the dilemma?
- 2, n or ∞ players. Repeated play. Local or global interaction.
- Strategy updating scheme.

Prisoner's Dilemma Game 2

More generally, payoff paremeters satisfy b > d > a > c

| | | Defect | | | Cooperation |
|-------------|----|--------|---|----|-------------|
| Defect | 1. | а | | 1. | b |
| | 2. | а | > | 2. | С |
| Cooperation | 1. | С | | 1. | d |
| | 2. | b | > | 2. | d |

Set up: n players sitting around a circle.

- Nearest neighborhood structure $N(i) = \{i 1, i + 1\}$
- Strategy updating scheme.
 Imitation-best-player. Imitation-best-strategy.
 Best response.

▲□ → ▲ □ → ▲ □ →

Best response with local interaction

Strategy updating from time *t* to t + 1:

- Assume $\vec{s}(t) = (s_1(t), s_2(t), \cdots, s_n(t)) \in \{D, C\}^n$
- *z_i*(*š*(*t*)) = total payoff that player i will get after playing once with each of his neighbors.
- player i will adopt a strategy that maximum his payoff.
- $z_i(\cdots C, C, C, \cdots) = 2d < 2b = z_i(\cdots C, D, C \cdots)$
- Let $r_i(\vec{s}(t))$ be the rational choice for player *i* under Q_0 .
- Then $r_i(\vec{s}(t)) = D$. Hence, $\vec{r}(\vec{s}) = \vec{D}$ under Q_0 .
- No way to get out of \vec{D} even with mutation!
- Mutation means $s_i(t+1) = C$ with probability ε^1 indep.
- Sequential updating or parallel updating.

New strategy updating

- For $\cdots C \ C \ C \cdots \longrightarrow \cdots C \ D \ C \cdots$, Δ total payoff for these 3 players = 2b + 2c - 4d
- change of bond energy
- social consciousness or warrantor or family interest.
- change of state: $\vec{s}(t) || t_i = (s_1(t), s_2(t), \cdots, s_{i-1}(t), t_i, s_{i+1}(t), \cdots, s_n(t))$
- $p_{\varepsilon}(\vec{s}(t), \vec{s}(t) || t_i) \approx \varepsilon^{(-\Delta \text{ total payoff})^+} = \varepsilon^{(-U(\vec{s}(t) || t_i) + U(\vec{s}(t)))^+}$
- Mutation cost is no longer 1.
- Hence, $U(\vec{s}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i(\vec{s})$ is a potential function.
- Let P_{ε} be the Markov transition probability matrix.
- Let $\vec{\mu}_{\varepsilon} = \vec{\mu}_{\varepsilon} P_{\varepsilon}$ be the ergodic distribution.
- Let $\vec{\mu}_* = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \vec{\mu}_{\varepsilon}$
- Goal: $S_* =$ support of $\vec{\mu}_*$. Long run Equilibria

sequential updating without mutation cost = 1

In the potential case, $S_* = \{\vec{s} : U(\vec{s}) = \min U\}$

Theorem 1

(*i*) If b + c < 2d, then $S_* = \{\vec{C}\}$. (*ii*) If b + c > 2d, then

 $S_* = \{\vec{A} = CDCD \cdots CD, \vec{B} = DCDC \cdots DC\} \text{ if } n \text{ is even,} \\ = \{\vec{A}_i = CDCD \cdots CD \stackrel{i}{C} : 1 \le i \le n\} \text{ if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

(iii) If b + c = 2d, then $S_* = \{\vec{s} : all \ d_i = 1\} \cup \{\vec{C}\}$. Here d_i means the length of the *i*-th *D*-string.

Then the average payoff of each player can be computed.

parallel updating without mutation cost = 1

 $p_{\varepsilon}(\vec{s}, \vec{t}) \approx \varepsilon \sum (-\Delta \text{ total payoff at player } i)^+ \stackrel{def}{=} \varepsilon^{\nu(\vec{s}, \vec{t})}.$ Here $\nu(\vec{s}, \vec{t})$ means the cost jumping from \vec{s} to \vec{t}

Theorem 2

(i) If
$$b + c < 2d$$
, then $S_* = \{\vec{C}\}$.
(ii) If $b + c > 2d$, then
 $S_* = \{\vec{A} = CDCD \cdots CD, \vec{B} = DCDC \cdots DC\}$ if n is even,
 $= \{\vec{A}_i = CDCD \cdots CD \stackrel{i}{C} : 1 \le i \le n\}$ if n is odd.
(iii) If $b + c = 2d$, then $S_* = \{C, D\}^n$

Sequential updating and parallel updating could be different.

updating rule for player i



Freidlin-Wentzel Method

General procedure: Step 1. Find $S_0 = \{ \text{ergodic states} \}$ under Q_0 Step 2. $\forall \vec{s} \in S_0$, find $C(\vec{s}) = \text{minimum cost of all spanning trees rooted at } \vec{s}$ Or equivalently, find how states in S_0 reach each other. Step 3. Then $S_* = \{ \vec{s} \in S_0 : C(\vec{s}) = \min C \}$. Moreover, the order in ε of the waiting time to hit S_* can be obtained

$$\cdots CDD \cdots \stackrel{2(d-a)}{\leftarrow} \cdots CDD \cdots \stackrel{2(d-a)}{\underset{0}{\leftrightarrows}} \cdots CCD \cdots \bigcirc$$

Hence, any C-string with length \geq 2 can hold under Q_0

Case(i) b + c < 2d with 2a > b + c.

- $\vec{C} \xrightarrow{2(4d-2b-2c)}$ at least 2 mutations from C to D to get out.
- Easy to escape from \vec{A} , \vec{B} by making 1 mutation from *DCD* to *CCC* at cost (4a 2b 2c).
- $S_* = \{\vec{C}\}$

Case(i) b + c < 2d with 2a < b + c.

- Any C-string with length \geq 2 can can grow to \vec{C} under Q_0 .
- $\cdots DDD \cdots \rightarrow \cdots DCD \cdots \bigcirc$ allowed. Hence, Any C-string can can grow to \vec{C} under Q_0 . • $\vec{D} \longrightarrow \vec{C}$. In fact, any $\vec{S} \longrightarrow \vec{C}$ in one step under Q_0 .

•
$$S_0 = \{\dot{C}\}$$
 and then $S_* = \{\dot{C}\}$.

Case(ii) b + c = 2d > 2a

- Any C-string with length \geq 2 can can grow to \vec{C} under Q_0 .
- $\bigcirc \cdots CCC \cdots \leftrightarrow \cdots CDC \cdots \bigcirc$ with equal probability. Hence, \vec{C} can reach \vec{S} in one step under Q_0 .
- $\cdots CDD \cdots \longrightarrow \cdots CCD \cdots \bigcirc$
- $\cdots DDD \cdots \longrightarrow \cdots DCD \cdots \bigcirc$ Hence, any \vec{S} can reach \vec{C} in one step under Q_0 .

•
$$S_0 = \{C, D\}^n = S_*$$

Note that $S_* = \{\vec{s} : \text{all } d_i = 1\}$ in sequential updating.

Case(iii) b + c > 2d

- $\cdots C D D \cdots \longrightarrow \cdots C C D \cdots \bigcirc$
- $\cdots C C C \cdots \longrightarrow \cdots C D C \cdots \bigcirc$
- $\cdots DDD \cdots \longrightarrow \cdots DCD \cdots \bigcirc$

 S_0 is complicated.

- Any D-string with length $\geq 2 \longrightarrow C$ -string. Hence, $\vec{D} \leftrightarrow \vec{C}$.
- \vec{A}, \vec{B} (if n even) are absorbing states under Q_0
- \vec{A}_i (if n odd) are absorbing states under Q_0
- String *CDC* remains unchanged under under *Q*₀.
- String *CDC*(*C*)*DC* remains unchanged under under *Q*₀.
- \cdots *DC CD* $\cdots \longrightarrow \cdots *$ *CDC* $* \cdots$ Hence, no C-string with length 3 can exist in $\vec{s} \in S_0$.

・ ロ ト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト

Case(iii) b + c > 2d continued

1

• ...
$$C$$
 $\overrightarrow{D\cdots D}$ \overrightarrow{C} \overrightarrow

•
$$\cdots$$
 (C)C \cdots will be eliminated unless it is \cdots CD(C)CDC \cdots
 $\stackrel{\geq 2}{\longrightarrow}$ \cdots C $\stackrel{\geq 2}{\longrightarrow}$ (C)CDD $\cdots \rightarrow \cdots$ CC \cdots C(C)CCC \cdots
 $\rightarrow \cdots * D \cdots D(D)DD * \cdots$

$$\begin{array}{l} S_0 = S_a \cup S_f, \text{ where} \\ S_a = \{ \vec{s} : c_i = 1 \text{ or } 2, \text{ and } d_i = 1 \} \\ S_f = \{ \vec{s} \leftrightarrow \vec{t} : \text{ all } c_i \neq 3 \text{ and some } c_i \geq 4 \text{ in } \vec{s} \text{ on in } \vec{t}, \\ d_{i-1} = d_i = 1 \text{ in case } c_i < 3 \}. \end{array}$$
Note both $\vec{D}, \vec{C} \in S_f$ as $\vec{D} \leftrightarrow \vec{C}$.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● ● ● ●

Step 2. How states in S_0 communicate with each other

- $\delta = \min(2d 2a, b + c 2d) = \min$. cost to escape.
- Any mixed state in S_f can reach \vec{A} or \vec{B} at cost δ .

•
$$\vec{s} = \cdots C \overrightarrow{D} \cdots \overrightarrow{DD} \overrightarrow{CCC} \cdots \overrightarrow{CC} \overrightarrow{D} \cdots \leftrightarrow C \overrightarrow{C} \overrightarrow{CDD} \cdots \overrightarrow{D} *$$

• $\vec{s} = \cdots C \overrightarrow{D} \cdots \overrightarrow{DD} \overrightarrow{CCC} \cdots \overrightarrow{CC} \overrightarrow{D} \cdots \leftrightarrow C \overrightarrow{CDD} \cdots \overrightarrow{D} *$
• $\vec{s} \xrightarrow{2d-2a} C \overrightarrow{C} \cdots \overrightarrow{CD} \overrightarrow{CD} \cdots \overrightarrow{DC} *$
• $\vec{s} \xrightarrow{b+c-2d} C \overrightarrow{C} \cdots \overrightarrow{C} \overrightarrow{CDC} \overrightarrow{D} \cdots \overrightarrow{D} *$
• \vec{c} can reach out at cost $b + c - 2d$.
• $\vec{c} \leftrightarrow \overrightarrow{D} \xrightarrow{b+c-2d} \overrightarrow{CDCC} \overrightarrow{C} \cdots \overrightarrow{C} = \overrightarrow{t} \leftrightarrow \overrightarrow{CDCDD} \cdots \overrightarrow{D} = \overrightarrow{s}$
 $\vec{c} \xleftarrow{b+c-2d} \overrightarrow{s} \xrightarrow{2d-2a} \overrightarrow{CDCDC} \cdots \overrightarrow{C}$ for $n \ge 5$
 $\vec{t} \xrightarrow{b+c-2d} \overrightarrow{CDCD} \overrightarrow{C} \overrightarrow{DD} \cdots \overrightarrow{D}$

String *CC* can be moved to either side at cost δ .

- $\vec{u} = * CDCC \ \underline{p} \ CDC^* \stackrel{b+c-2d}{\longrightarrow} * CDCC \ \underline{c} \ CDC^* \leftrightarrow \vec{t}$
 - $\vec{t} \leftrightarrow * CDCC \ \underline{C} \ \underline{CDC} * \overset{b+c-2d}{\longrightarrow} * \underline{CDC} \ \underline{D} \ CCDC *$
- $\vec{u} \xrightarrow{2d-2a} \vec{t} = *CDC \ p \ DCDC * \xrightarrow{2d-2a} *CDC \ p \ CCDC *$

Hence, all strings *CC* can be moved together at cost δ .

• Image: A image:

States in $S_a \setminus \{\vec{A}, \vec{B}\}$ for n even: $c_i = c_{i+1} = 2$

These states can reach each other and $\{\vec{A}, \vec{B}\}$ at cost δ .

• $\vec{u} = *CDC \ cp \ CCDC * \xrightarrow{b+c-2d} *CDCC \ c \ CCDC * = \vec{s}$ *CDCD $p \ DCDC * \leftrightarrow \vec{s} \xrightarrow{b+c-2d} *CDCD \ c \ DCDC *$

$$\stackrel{b+c-2d}{\longrightarrow} \cdots \stackrel{b+c-2d}{\longrightarrow} \cdots \longrightarrow \vec{A}$$

• $\vec{u} \xrightarrow{2d-2a} *CDC \ \underline{D} \ DCCDC * \longrightarrow *CDC \ \underline{C} \ CCCDC * \leftrightarrow \vec{w}$

 $\vec{w} = *CDC \ p \ DDCDC * \xrightarrow{2d-2a} *CDC \ p \ CCCDC * \longrightarrow *CDC \ p \ CDCDC *$

(日)

E 990

Min. cost to reach out is $b + c - 2a > b + c - 2d \ge \delta$.

- \vec{A} has no CCD or CDD string.
- $\vec{A} \xrightarrow{b+c-2d} *CDC \subset CDC * \longrightarrow \vec{A}$ no escape!
- $\vec{A} \xrightarrow{b+c-2a} *CDCD \stackrel{D}{\to} DCDC * \leftrightarrow *CDCC \stackrel{C}{\leftarrow} CCDC *$ a mixed state in S_f .
- Hence, $S_* = \{\vec{A}, \vec{B}\}.$

The case *n* that is odd can be dealt with similarly.

$$S_* = \{ \vec{A}_i = CDCD \cdots CD \stackrel{'}{C} : 1 \le i \le n \}.$$

The proof is more complicated.

Ellison's Radius and Co-radius Theorem is useful. The basin of $\{\vec{A}, \vec{B}\}$ is deeper.

Parallel updating with mutation cost - 1

- Previously, $p_{\varepsilon}(\vec{s}, \vec{t}) \approx \varepsilon^{\sum(-\Delta \text{ total payoff at player } i)^+}$.
- In literature, $p_{\varepsilon}(\vec{s}, \vec{t}) = (1 \varepsilon)^{n-dist(\vec{s}, \vec{t})} \varepsilon^{dist(\vec{s}, \vec{t})}$. Here $dist(\vec{s}, \vec{t}) = \#\{1 \le i \le n : t_i \ne r_i(\vec{s})\}$ and $r_i(\vec{s})$ is the rational choice for player *i* at state \vec{s} .

Theorem 3

(i) If b + c < 2d, then $S_* = \{\vec{C}\}$. (ii) If b + c > 2d, then $S_* = S_a \cup S_f$ defined in Theorem 2 (ii). (iii) If b + c = 2d, then $S_* = \{C, D\}^n$.

- For (i), it takes 2 mutations for C
 to reach out.
- For (ii), 1 mutation for any state in S₀ to reach each other.
 Too cheap to make a mistake.
 Confucius says think thrice...
- For (iii), all states can reach each other under Q₀.

Sequential updating with mutation cost = 1

Theorem 4

(i) If
$$b + c < 2d$$
, then $S_* = \{\vec{C}\}$.
(ii) If $b + c > 2d$, then $S_* = S_a$ defined in Theorem 2 (ii).
(iii) If $b + c = 2d$, then $S_* = \{\vec{C}\} \cup \{\vec{s} : all \ d_i = 1\}$.

•
$$S_a = \{ \vec{s} : \text{ all } d_i = 1, c_i = 1 \text{ or } 2 \}.$$

- Results in (ii) and (iii) are better than those in Theorem 3.
- Theorem 3 (ii). If b + c > 2d, then $S_* = S_a \cup S_f$ defined in Theorem 2 (ii).
- Theorem3 (iii). If b + c = 2d, then $S_* = \{C, D\}^n$.
- Being a potential function in Theorem 1, we get $S_* = \{\vec{s} : U(\vec{s}) = \min U\}$ without doing anything.
- Now we have to sweat a little.

References

- Ellison, Learning, local interaction, and coordination, *Econometrica* **61** (1993), 1047-1071.
- Ellison, Basins of attraction, long-run stochastic stability, and the speed of step-by-step evolution. *Review of Economic Studies* **67** (2000), 17-45.
- Eshel, Samuelson, and Shaked, Altruists, egoists, and hooligans in a local interaction model, *American Economic Review* **88** (1998), 157-179.
- Freidlin and Wentzell, Random Perturbations of Dynamical Systems. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- Chiang and Chow, A limit theorem for a class of inhomogeneous Markov processes, Ann. Probab. 17 (1989), 1483-1502.
- Chiang and Chow, Optimal Ventcel graphs, minimal cost spanning trees and asymptotic probabilities, *Applicable Analysis and Discrete Mathematics*, **1** (2007), 265-275.