Quasi-stationarity and Quasi-ergodicity of Markov Processes

Jinwen Chen

Tsinghua University

August 18, 2014

Jinwen Chen (THU)

Quasi-stationarity and Quasi-ergodicity

August 18, 2014 1 / 24

A .

- Background
- Quasi-stationarity
- Quasi-ergodicity
- A Variational representation of decay parameter
- The limiting process

4 A N

Let $X = \{X_t, t \ge 0\}$ be a homogeneous and strong Markov process on a Polish space *E* with the Borel σ -algebra \mathcal{E} , P(t) be the corresponding semigroup and $\{P_x, x \in E\}$ the Markov family.

• Ergodicity: \exists a unique stationary ν ,s.t. starting from any initial μ ,

 $\mu P(t) \rightarrow \nu$ weakly as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Furthermore, $\forall f \in L(\nu)$,

$$t^{-1}\int_0^t f(X_s)ds \to \nu(f) \ a.s.$$
 and in L^1 as $t \to \infty$.

If P(t) is sub-Markovian, by adding an extra point ""0" into E, we can assume P(t) transient on $E_0 = E \setminus \{0\}$, with Δ as a unique absorbing state. Define

$$\tau = \inf\{t \ge 0, \ X_t = 0\}.$$

• Assumption: $P_x(\tau < \infty) = 1 \quad \forall x \in E.$

We are interested in the long-time behavior of the process conditional on $\{\tau > t\}$. The motivation comes from the study of conditional large deviations for non-ergodic Markov processes.

• Quasi-stationary distribution(QSD): A probability measure ν on E_0 satisfying that

$$P_{\nu}(X_t \in \cdot | \tau > t) = \nu. \tag{1}$$

• Quasi-limiting distribution(QLD): A probability measure ν on E_0 for which there is an initial distribution μ , s.t.

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} P_{\mu}(X_t \in B|\tau > t) = \nu(B) \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{E} \cap E_0.$$
(2)

• Yaglom limit: A probability measure ν on E_0 satisfying

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} P_x(X_t \in B|\tau > t) = \nu(B) \ \forall B \in \mathcal{E} \cap E_0, \ \forall x.$$
(3)

Yaglom limit \Rightarrow QSD \Leftrightarrow QLD.

Quasi-stationary distribution

Proposition

If ν is a QSD, then there is a $\lambda \ge 0$, s.t.

$$\boldsymbol{P}_{\nu}(\tau > t) = \boldsymbol{e}^{-\lambda t}, \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$
(4)

• To study: The existence of a QSD, its description, the convergence to it of conditioned processes, domain of attraction, its role in the process conditioned on $\{\tau > t\}$, and the behavior of τ .

Notice that if $\mathcal{B}_b(E)$ denote the set of bounded and measurable functions on *E*, then (2) \Leftrightarrow

$$E_{\mu}[f(X_t)|\tau > t] \to \nu(f) \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E).$$
(5)

• Question:

$$E_{\mu}[rac{1}{t}\int_{0}^{t}f(X_{s})ds| au>t]
ightarrow?~(t
ightarrow\infty).$$

• Quasi-ergodic distribution: A probability measure ν on E_0 for which

$$E_{x}[\frac{1}{t}\int_{0}^{t}f(X_{s})ds|\tau>t]\rightarrow\nu(f)(t\rightarrow\infty) \ \forall f\in\mathcal{B}_{b}(E_{0}),\ \forall x.$$
(6)

• Fractional Yaglom limit: A probability measure ν on E_0 for which

$$P_x(X_{qt} \in B | \tau > t) \rightarrow \nu(B) \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{E} \cap E_0, \ 0 < q < 1, \ x \in E_0.$$
(7)

A B A B A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

• Irreducibility: \exists a reference measure π on \mathcal{E} s.t. $\forall h > 0, x \in E_0$,

$$\pi(B) > 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_x(X_{nh} \in B; \ \tau > t) > 0 \ B \in \mathcal{E} \cap E_0.$$

• Decay parameter:

$$\lambda = \inf\{\rho \ge \mathbf{0} : \int_0^\infty e^{\rho t} \mathcal{P}_x(X_t \in \mathcal{B}; \ \tau > t) dt = \infty \ \pi - a.e.x\},\$$

which is independent of *B* with $\pi(B) > 0$.

< 🗇 🕨 < 🖃 🕨

The process is said to be \bullet λ -recurrent: if

$$\int_0^\infty e^{\lambda t} P_x(X_t \in B; \ \tau > t) dt = \infty$$

 $\forall B \text{ with } \pi(B) > 0 \text{ and for } \pi - a.e.x;$

• λ -transient: otherwise.

Quasi-ergodicity

Proposition

If the process is λ -recurrent, then there is a measure α on E_0 and a non-negative and measurable function β on E_0 , such that

$$(\alpha P_t)(B) \triangleq \int P_x(X_t \in B; \tau > t) \alpha(dx) = e^{-\lambda t} \alpha(B)$$

 $\forall t > 0, \ B \in \mathcal{E} \cap E_0,$

and that

$$P_t\beta(x) \triangleq \int \beta(y)P_x(X_t \in dy, \tau > t) = e^{-\lambda t}\beta(x) \ \forall x \in E_0.$$

- $\alpha: \lambda$ -invariant measure
- β : λ -invariant function

Jinwen Chen (THU)

Quasi-stationarity and Quasi-ergodicity

August 18, 2014 10 / 24

both unique

Quasi-ergodicity

 λ -positive recurrence: λ -recurrence and

$$\alpha(\beta) \triangleq \int \beta(\mathbf{x}) \alpha(\mathbf{dx}) < \infty.$$

Theorem

Suppose that $\{X_t, t \ge 0\}$ is λ -positive and α is finite. Normalize α and β so that

$$\alpha(\mathbf{1}) = \alpha(\beta) = \mathbf{1},$$

and define $dm = \beta d\alpha$. Then α is a QSD, whereas for any bounded, measurable *f*,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} E_x[\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t f(X_s)ds|\tau>t]=m(f).$$

э

イロン イ理 とくほと くほとう

Theorem

Under the same conditions as in the previous theorem, for any bounded, measurable $f,\ g$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} E_x[f(X_{pt})g(X_{qt})|\tau > t] = \begin{cases} m(f)m(g), & \text{if } 0 < p, \ q < 1\\ m(f)\alpha(g) & \text{if } 0 < p < q = 1 \end{cases},$$
(8)

In particular,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} E_x[g(X_{qt})|\tau > t] = \begin{cases} m(g), & \text{if } 0 < q < 1\\ \alpha(g) & \text{if } q = 1 \end{cases},$$
(9)

• Example 1. Linear birth-death process on Z_+ , with birth and death rates given by

$$b_n = nb, n \ge 0, d_n = nd, n \ge 1,$$

where b, d > 0. 0 is the only absorbing state. τ is the absorbing time. The decay parameter $\lambda = |b - d|$. Then chain is always λ -positive for $b \neq d$. However the λ -invariant measure α is summable if and only if b < d. • Example 2. Killed BM on \mathbb{R}^d . Let $\{X_t, t \ge 0\}$ be a standard d-dimensional Brownian motion, $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is connected, bounded and open. Consider the Brownian motion killed outside D. Let $\{p^D(t, x, y), t \ge 0\}$ be the transition density of the killed BM with respect to the Lebesgue measure, then it is well known that it admits an eigen-expansion

$$p^{D}(t, x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda_{n} t) \varphi_{n}(x) \varphi_{n}(y),$$

where $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \cdots$ are the (nondecreasing) Dirichlet eigenvalues of $-\frac{\Delta}{2}$ counting multiplicity, φ_n are the corresponding eigenfunctions which form a complete orthonormal system of $L^2(D)$. Then $\lambda = \lambda_1$, and the conditions needed are fulfilled with $d\alpha = \varphi_1 dx$ and $dm = \varphi_1^2 dx$.

(Example 2 continued) Let $\{Y_t, 0 \le t < \infty\}$ be the diffusion on *D* with transition densities given by

$$Q(t; x, y) = \exp(\lambda_1 t) \frac{\varphi_1(y)}{\varphi_1(x)} P^D(t; x, y).$$

Corollary

Given $x \in D$, define

$$k = k(x) = \min\{n \ge 2 : \varphi_n(x) \neq 0\}$$

Then

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{(\lambda_k-\lambda_1)t} || P^Q_x(Y_t\in \cdot) - m ||_{Var} > 0.$$

3

(I) < ((()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) <

Now let $\{X_t, t \ge 0\}$ be a Markov chain on $E = E_0 \cup \{0\}$, irreducible on E_0 , with the *Q*-matrix

$$Q = (q_{i,j}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{0}' \\ \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{0}} & Q_{\mathbf{1}} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (10)

 $q \triangleq \{q_i = \sum_{j \neq i} q_{i,j}, i \in E\}$. Let *L* be the generator of the process, with domain $\mathcal{D}(L)$.

$$\mathcal{D}^+(L) = \{ u \in \mathcal{D}(L), \text{ inf } u > 0 \}.$$

For $A \in \mathcal{E}$, let $M_1(A)$ be the space of probability measures on A.

$$M_1^q(E) = \{ \mu \in M_1(E) : \ \mu(q) < \infty \}.$$

A Variational representation of decay parameter

Define for $\mu \in M_1(E)$

J

$$I(\mu) = -\inf_{u \in \mathcal{D}^{+}(L)} \int \frac{Lu}{u} d\mu.$$
(11)
$$I(\mu) = \begin{cases} -\inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \int \frac{Qu}{u} d\mu & \mu \in M_{1}^{q}(E) \\ +\infty & otherwise \end{cases},$$
(12)

where $\mathcal{U} = \{u : \text{ measurable on } E_0 \text{ with } \inf u > 0\}.$

Theorem

(1) $\lambda = \inf_{\mu \in M_1(E_0)} I(\mu) = \inf_{\mu \in M_1(E_0)} J(\mu);$ (2) the infimum in the above formula is attained at some μ iff P(t) is λ -positive, in this case, the μ is unique and is given by $\mu = m$, the quasi-ergodic distribution.

Jinwen Chen (THU)

August 18, 2014 17 / 24

э

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Corollary

For any irreducible transition function on E,

$$-\lambda = \sup_{\mu \in M_1^q(E)} \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \int \frac{Qu}{u} d\mu$$
(13)
$$= \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \sup_{\mu \in M_1^q(E)} \int \frac{Qu}{u} d\mu = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \sup_{j \in E} \frac{(Qu)_j}{u_j}$$
(14)

Applying it to a birth-death chain with birth rates $\{b_n\}$ and death rates $\{d_n\}$, we see that

$$\lambda = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \inf_{n} \{ b_{n} + d_{n} - b_{n} \frac{u_{n+1}}{u_{n}} - d_{n} \frac{u_{n-1}}{u_{n}} \}$$
(15)

The above approach can be generalized to give variational representation for decay parameter of *Q*-matrix with certain potential. Given $V \in C_b(E)$, let $Q + V = (q_{ij}^V)_{i,j \in E}$, with $q_{ij}^V = q_{ij} + \delta_{ij}V(i)$. Q + V is a "quasi" *q*-matrix in the sense that for some constant *C*,

$$\sum_{j} q_{ij}^{m{V}} \leq m{C} \quad orall i$$

A decay parameter $\lambda(V)$ can be defined for Q + V, and we have the following

$$-\lambda(V) = \sup_{\mu \in M_1(E_0)} \left\{ \int V d\mu - I(\mu) \right\}.$$
 (16)

Let $\Omega = D([0, \infty), E)$. Given a path $\omega \in \Omega$, the corresponding empirical processes are defined by

$$R_t = R_t(\omega) = rac{1}{t} \int_0^t \delta_{ heta_s(\omega^{(t)})} ds, \quad t > 0,$$

where θ_s is the usual shift operator defined by $(\theta_s \omega)_t = \omega_{t+s}$, and $\omega^{(t)}$ is the *t*-periodic version of ω given by

$$\omega_{kt+s}^{(t)} = \omega_s$$
 for integers $k \ge 0$, and $0 \le s < t$.

Denote by $M_s(\Omega)$ the space of all stationary probability measures on Ω , then $R_t \in M_s(\Omega)$ for each t > 0.

H = D-V entropy functional for Markov process.

$$H_{\tau}(R) = \begin{cases} H(R) - \lambda_1 & \text{if } \mu_R(E_0) = 1, \\ +\infty & \text{if } \mu_R(E_0) < 1 \end{cases}$$

 μ_R = the single time marginal of Q. For $i \in E_0$, we define for each t > 0

$$\rho_{t,i} = P_i(R_t \in \cdot | \tau > t)$$

and

$$\mu_{t,i} = E_i[R_t | \tau > t]$$

which are probability measures on $M_s(\Omega)$ and on Ω , respectively.

• • • • • • • • • • • •

The limiting process

Theorem

Under the above definitions and notations, for $i \in E_0$, (1) if $\{\rho_{t,i}, t > 0\}$ are tight, any weak limit of them is supported on M_0 ; (2) any weak limit \mathbb{R}^* of $\{\mu_{t,i}, t > 0\}$ admits a representation

$$R^* = \int_{M_0} R
ho(dR)$$

for some weak limit ρ of $\rho_{t,i}$. Hence $H_{\tau}(R^*) = 0$. If in addition, $M_0 = \{R^*\}$ is a singleton, then

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\rho_{t,i}=\delta_{R^*}\quad weakly$$

and

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\mu_{t,i}=\mathbf{R}^*\quad \textit{weakly}.$$

The limiting process

Next we connect H_{τ} with the entropy functional H^* for some unconditional Markov chain. To this end, we define a chain X^* on E_0 as follows:

$$\mathcal{P}^*_{i,j}(t)=rac{e^{\lambda_1 t}\mathcal{P}_{i,j}(t)eta_j}{eta_i},\quad i,j\in E_0.$$

Then X^* is irreducible on E_0 . Note that the path space of X^* is $\Omega^* = D([0, \infty), E_0)$, thus $\tau = \infty$. For this chain, let H^* be defined in the same way as for H.

Theorem

5 If the eigenfunction $\{\beta_i, i \in E_0\}$ is bounded, then

$$H^* = H_\tau = H - \lambda_1.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Thank you!

æ