



澳門大學

UNIVERSIDADE DE MACAU

Three SPDEs from branching interacting particle systems

Jie Xiong

Faculty of Science and Technology

University of Macau

Taipa Macau, China

and

Department of Mathematics

The University of Tennessee, Knoxville

[SWJTU and BNU, July 6-13, 2013]



Outline

- 1 BIPS
- 2 Stochastic log-Laplace equation
- 3 SPDE for density field
- 4 SPDE for “distribution” process



1. BIPS

At time $t = 0$, k_n particles at locations

$$x_i^n \in \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k_n.$$

- Each has $expo.(n)$ clock (indep. from each other).



1. BIPS

At time $t = 0$, k_n particles at locations

$$x_i^n \in \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k_n.$$

- Each has $\text{expo.}(n)$ clock (indep. from each other).
- When time-up, the particle will split/die w/ equal prob.



1. BIPS

At time $t = 0$, k_n particles at locations

$$x_i^n \in \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k_n.$$

- Each has $\text{expo.}(n)$ clock (indep. from each other).
- When time-up, the particle will split/die w/ equal prob.
- Denote particle by a multi-index α .



1. BIPS

At time $t = 0$, k_n particles at locations

$$x_i^n \in \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k_n.$$

- Each has $expo.(n)$ clock (indep. from each other).
- When time-up, the particle will split/die w/ equal prob.
- Denote particle by a multi-index α .
- Between branching times, particle α moves according to

$$dx_t^\alpha = b(x_t^\alpha)dt + c(x_t^\alpha)dW_t + e(x_t^\alpha)dB_t^\alpha$$

W, B^α indep. B.M.



Define **measure-valued process**

$$\mu_t^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha \sim t} \delta_{x_\alpha^n(t)}$$

where $\alpha \sim t$ means particle α is alive at time t .



Convergence Theorem

$(\mu_t^n) \Rightarrow (\mu_t)$ unique sol. to **MP**: μ_t is $\mathcal{M}(R)$ -valued

$$M_t(f) \equiv \langle \mu_t, f \rangle - \langle \mu, f \rangle - \int_0^t \langle \mu_s, bf' + af'' \rangle ds$$

continuous martingale with

$$\langle M(f) \rangle_t = \int_0^t \left(\langle \mu_s, f^2 \rangle + \langle \mu_s, cf' \rangle^2 \right) ds$$

where $a(x) = \frac{1}{2}(e(x)^2 + c(x)^2)$.

Studied by **Adler and Skoulakis (2001)** and **Wang (1998)** among others.



(CMP)

$$\begin{aligned} N_t(f) \equiv & \langle \mu_t, f \rangle - \langle \mu, f \rangle - \int_0^t \langle \mu_s, bf' + df'' \rangle ds \\ & - \int_0^t \langle \mu_s, cf' \rangle dW_s \end{aligned}$$

P^W -martingale w/

$$\langle N(f) \rangle_t = \int_0^t \langle \mu_s, f^2 \rangle ds$$



Formally,

$$\begin{aligned} N_t(f) &= \langle \mu_t, f \rangle - \langle \mu, f \rangle \\ &\quad - \int_0^t \left\langle \mu_s, (b + c\dot{W}_s)f' + df'' \right\rangle ds, \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$E^W \exp(-\langle \mu_t, f \rangle) = \exp(-\langle \mu, y_{0,t} \rangle),$$



where

$$y_{s,t} = f + \int_s^t c \partial_x y_{r,t} \dot{W}_r dr \\ + \int_s^t (b \partial_x y_{r,t} + a \partial_x^2 y_{r,t} - y_{r,t}(x)^2) dr.$$

Stochastic integral is backward Itô integral.



Theorem (Xiong, 2004, AP)

$$E^W \exp(-\langle \mu_t, f \rangle) = \exp(-\langle \mu, y_{0,t} \rangle),$$

where $Lf = af'' + bf'$,

$$y_{s,t} = f + \int_s^t c \partial_x y_{r,t} \hat{d}W_r \\ + \int_s^t (Ly_{r,t} - y_{r,t}(x)^2) dr.$$

Idea: Existence Particle representation (Kurtz & Xiong, 1999, SPA) Conditional Laplace transform Divide $[0, t]$ into small ones. Alternatively, without W or without branching.



2. SPDE for density field

Random measure

Let (U, \mathcal{U}, μ) be a measure space. A mapping

$$W : \Omega \times (\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+) \times \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is a *random measure* if

- $W(\omega, \cdot)$ is a signed measure on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times U$ for each ω
- $W(\cdot, B)$ is a r.v. for each $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+) \times \mathcal{U}$.



White noise random measure

r.m. W is *independently scattered* if for any disjoint $B_1, \dots, B_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+) \times \mathcal{U}$, r.v.'s

$$W(\cdot, B_1), \dots, W(\cdot, B_n)$$

are independent.

An independently scattered r.m. is a *white noise random measure* if for any $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+) \times \mathcal{U}$ with $(dtd\mu)(B) < \infty$,

$$W(\cdot, B) \sim N(0, (dtd\mu)(B)).$$

μ is the *intensity measure* of W .



Recall CMP:

$$N_t(f) \equiv \langle \mu_t, f \rangle - \langle \mu, f \rangle - \int_0^t \langle \mu_s, Lf \rangle ds \\ - \int_0^t \langle \mu_s, cf' \rangle dW_s$$

P^W -martingale w/



$$\begin{aligned}\langle N(f) \rangle_t &= \int_0^t \langle \mu_s, f^2 \rangle ds \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\sqrt{X_s(x)} f(x) \right)^2 dx ds.\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$N_t(f) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{X_s(x)} f(x) B(dx ds),$$

where B is WNRM.



Theorem (Li, Wang, Xiong, Zhou, 2012, PTRF)

μ_t has density $X_t(x)$ satisfying SPDE

$$\partial_t X_t(x) = L^* X_t(x) - \partial_x (c X_t(x)) \dot{W}_t + \sqrt{X_t(x)} \dot{B}_{tx}. \quad (2.1)$$

How about continuity?



Using Krylov's L_p theory

Lee-Mueller-Xiong (AIHP, 2008) proved, for a.e. t , $X_t \in C(\mathbb{R})$

When $c = 0$, X_t Dawson-Watanabe process, the joint continuity studied by Konno-Shiga (PTRF, 1988) using a convolution technique.



If we apply the same technique here, then the density can be represented as

$$\begin{aligned} X_t(x) &= \int \varphi(t, x, y) \mu(y) dy \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} c(z) X_s(z) \partial_z \varphi(t-s, x, z) dz dW_s \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{X_s(z)} \varphi(t-s, x, z) B(ds dz). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$



The second term is roughly equal to

$$\int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2} dW_s$$

divergent.

Therefore, the convolution argument of Konno-Shiga **does not** apply to our model. Actually, this means that the SPDE (2.1) does not have a mild solution.



Let $\{T_{r,t}(x) : r \leq t\}$ be the unique solution to

$$\begin{aligned} T_{r,t}(x) &= f(x) + \int_r^t LT_{s,t}(x)ds \\ &\quad + \int_r^t \partial_x T_{s,t}(x)c(x)\hat{d}W_s. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Lemma 1

$$\langle X_t, f \rangle = \langle X_0, T_{0,t} \rangle + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} T_{s,t}(x) \sqrt{X_s(x)} B(dsdx).$$



Lemma 2

Let $p^W(s, x; t, y)$ be the sol. of (2.3) with $f = \delta_y$. Then,

$$X_t(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} X_0(x) p^W(s, x; t, y) dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} p^W(s, x; t, y) \sqrt{X_s(x)} B(ds dx). \quad (2.4)$$



Theorem (Li-Wang-X-Zhou, PTRF, 2012)

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}|X_{t_1}(y_1) - X_{t_2}(y_2)|^{2p} \\ & \leq K (|t_1 - t_2| + |y_1 - y_2|)^{2+\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark

Our Holder exponent is not optimal. Improved by Hu, Lu and Nualart (2012, PTRF) using Malliavin's calculus.



4. SPDE for “distribution” process

How about strong uniqueness?

Open even for $c = 0$

- ① Single point: Feller’s diffusion



4. SPDE for “distribution” process

How about strong uniqueness?

Open even for $c = 0$

- 1 Single point: Feller’s diffusion
- 2 Color in space: Mytnik-Perkins-Sturm (2006, AP)



4. SPDE for “distribution” process

How about strong uniqueness?

Open even for $c = 0$

- 1 Single point: Feller’s diffusion
- 2 Color in space: Mytnik-Perkins-Sturm (2006, AP)
- 3 $v_t(x)^\alpha$, $\alpha \geq \frac{3}{4}$: Mytnik-Perkins (2010, PTRF)



4. SPDE for “distribution” process

How about strong uniqueness?

Open even for $c = 0$

- 1 Single point: Feller’s diffusion
- 2 Color in space: Mytnik-Perkins-Sturm (2006, AP)
- 3 $v_t(x)^\alpha$, $\alpha \geq \frac{3}{4}$: Mytnik-Perkins (2010, PTRF)
- 4 non-uniqueness, $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, Burdzy-Mueller-Perkins (2012, IJM)



4. SPDE for “distribution” process

How about strong uniqueness?

Open even for $c = 0$

- 1 Single point: Feller’s diffusion
- 2 Color in space: Mytnik-Perkins-Sturm (2006, AP)
- 3 $v_t(x)^\alpha$, $\alpha \geq \frac{3}{4}$: Mytnik-Perkins (2010, PTRF)
- 4 non-uniqueness, $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, Burdzy-Mueller-Perkins (2012, IJM)
- 5 signed solution, non-uniqueness, $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha < \frac{3}{4}$, Mueller-Mytnik-Perkins (2012)



Define

$$\tilde{u}_t(y) = \mu_t((-\infty, y]), \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.5)$$

Consider SPDE

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_t(y) = & F(y) + \int_0^t \int_0^{\tilde{u}_s(y)} \tilde{B}(dsdu) \\ & + \int_0^t L_2 \tilde{u}_s(y) ds + \int_0^t L_1 \tilde{u}_s(y) d\tilde{W}_s, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$



where

$$F(y) = \int_{-\infty}^y \mu(x) dx,$$

and $\tilde{B}(dsdu)$ is white noise on $(0, \infty)^2$,

$$L_2 f = a f'' + (a' - b) f', \quad L_1 f = -c f'.$$



When $c = 0$, L_2 is replaced by the bounded operator

$$Af(x) = (\gamma(x) - f(x))b,$$

the equation (3.6) is studied by Dawson-Li (2010, AP).
When $c = 0$ and $L_2 = \Delta$, studied by Xiong (2013, AP).



Theorem

$\{\tilde{u}_t\}$ is a weak solution to the SPDE (3.6) if and only if $\{\mu_t\}$, defined by (3.5), is a SBMRE.



Theorem

$\{\tilde{u}_t\}$ is a weak solution to the SPDE (3.6) if and only if $\{\mu_t\}$, defined by (3.5), is a SBMRE.

Key in the proof

$$\langle \mu_t, f \rangle = - \langle \tilde{u}_t, f' \rangle$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty f(\tilde{u}_s^{-1}(u))^2 du = \langle \mu_s, f^2 \rangle.$$



Consider general SPDE

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{u}_t(y) &= F(y) + \int_0^t \int_0^\infty G(u, \tilde{u}_s(y)) \tilde{B}(dsdu) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t L_2 \tilde{u}_s(y) ds + \int_0^t L_1 \tilde{u}_s(y) d\tilde{W}_s.\end{aligned}\quad (3.7)$$

Special case,

$$G(u, v) = 1_{u \leq v}.$$



Conditions:

$$\int_0^{\infty} |G(u, y_1) - G(u, y_2)|^2 du \leq K|y_1 - y_2|, \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\int_0^{\infty} |G(u, y)|^2 du \leq K(1 + |y|^2). \quad (3.9)$$



Backward SPDE

$$u_t(y) = F(y) + \int_t^T \int_0^\infty G(u, u_s(y)) B(\hat{d}sdu) \\ + \int_t^T L_2 u_s(y) ds + \int_t^T L_1 u_s(y) \hat{d}W_s. \quad (3.10)$$

For simplicity of notation, take $a = \frac{1}{2}$ and $b = 0$.



Consider the following BDSDE: $t \leq s \leq T$

$$Y_s = f(X_T) + \int_s^T \int_0^\infty G(u, Y_r) B(\hat{d}r du) \quad (3.11) \\ - \int_s^T c Z_r \hat{d}W_r - \int_s^T Z_r dB_r^1,$$

where

$$X_s = X_s^{t,x} = x + B_s^1 - B_t^1.$$

Denote solution as $(Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{t,x})$.

Pardoux-Peng (1994, PTRF), Xiong (2013, AP)



Definition

The pair (X_t, Y_t, Z_t) solution to (3.11) if they are \mathcal{G}_t -adapted and $\forall t \in [0, T]$, (3.11) holds a.s., where

$$\mathcal{G}_t = \sigma(B_s^1, s \leq t; B([r, T] \times A), W_T - W_r, r \in [t, T], A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})).$$

Note that \mathcal{G}_t is the σ -algebra generated by B before time t and by W after time t .



The following backward Itô's formula is useful.

Lemma (Pardoux-Peng)

Suppose

$$y_t = \xi + \int_t^T \int_U \alpha(s, u) W(\hat{d}sdu) - \int_t^T z_s dB_s,$$

where $\alpha : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a \mathcal{G}_t -adapted random field.
Then, $\forall f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(y_t) &= f(\xi) - \int_t^T \int_U f'(y_s) \alpha(s, u) W(\hat{d}sdu) - \int_t^T z_s f'(y_s) dB_s \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \int_U f''(y_s) \alpha(s, u)^2 duds - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T z_s^2 f''(y_s) ds. \end{aligned}$$



Here is one of the main results.

Theorem

The FBDSDE (3.11) has at most one solution.

Key to proof Yamada-Watanabe argument.



By Pardoux-Peng's formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_k(Y_t^1 - Y_t^2) \\ = & - \int_t^T \int_0^\infty \phi'_k(Y_s^1 - Y_s^2) (G(u, Y_s^1) - G(u, Y_s^2)) W(\hat{d}sdu) \\ & - \int_t^T \phi'_k(Y_s^1 - Y_s^2) (Z_s^1 - Z_s^2) dB_s \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \int_0^\infty \phi''_k(Y_s^1 - Y_s^2) (G(u, Y_s^1) - G(u, Y_s^2))^2 dud s \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \phi''_k(Y_s^1 - Y_s^2) (Z_s^1 - Z_s^2)^2 ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$



Theorem

If $\{u_t(x)\}$ is a solution to (3.10), then

$$u_t(x) = Y_t^{t,x},$$

where $Y_s^{t,x}$ is a solution to the BDSDE (3.11).

Sketch of proof Let

$$Y_s^{t,x} = u_s(X_s^{t,x}) \text{ and } Z_s^{t,x} = \nabla u_s(X_s^{t,x}), \quad t \leq s \leq T. \quad (3.13)$$



Uniqueness

Theorem

Under (3.8) and (3.9), the SPDE (3.7) has at most one solution such that $u \in C^{0,1}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$ a.s.



澳門大學

UNIVERSIDADE DE MACAU

Thanks!
