

Mixing Time of Random Walk on Poisson Geometry Small World

Xian-Yuan Wu

School of Mathematical Sciences, Capital Normal University,
Beijing, 100048, P. R. China

July 9, 2013

- **Introduction**
- **Results**
- **On the proofs**
- **References**

1 Small world effect

Small world effect, the fact that the **diameters** of most networks are **considerably smaller than their sizes**, is one of the most important features of real-world complex networks.

See graph $G = (V, E)$ as a network, and suppose that $|V|$ is large enough. We say G exhibits the small world effect, if the diameter of G is at most polynomially large in $\lg |V|$. Namely, for some polynomial function f , one has

$$\text{diam}(G) \leq f(\lg |V|).$$

History

1. [Karinthy 1929](#), a remarkable short story in his book: *Chains*.
2. [Milgram 1960s](#), “six degrees of separation”: Milgram carried out his famous “small-world” experiments, in which letters passed from person to person were able to reach a designated target individual within **six** steps.
3. [Watts and Strogatz 1998](#), *Collective dynamics of ‘small-world’ networks*, Nature **393**, pp 440-442: WS small world.

.....

2 Why small world?—Modeling!

Three important models

1. **BC small world 1988**: B. Bollobás and F. Chung *The diameter of a cycle plus a random matching*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **1**, pp 328-333
2. **WS small world 1998**: D. J. Watts and S. H. Strogatz *Collective dynamics of 'small-world' networks*, Nature **393**, pp 440-442
3. **NW small world 1999**: M. E. J. Newman and D. J. Watts *Renormalization group analysis of the small-world network model*, Phys. Lett. A **263**, pp 341-346

Other models

LCD Model 2004: B. Bollobás and O. Riordan *The diameter of a scale-free random graph*, Combinatorica **24**, pp 5-34

Remarks

1. 1-3 small world models revealed a common fact: that is, **adding “long edges” to a regularly constructed (lattice-like) graph will make the resulted graph a small world—“adding long edges” mechanism.**

The 1-dimensional lattice ring with n vertices is chosen to be the regularly constructed graph in all the three models.

2. Only the BC small world provided rigorous mathematical results.

3. A **NEW** mechanism other than the one working in BC, NW and WS small world makes the ‘LCD’ model a small world—we will discuss this aspect in another paper.

3 Our model: Poisson geometry small world.

We consider the **supercritical** Poisson continuous percolation on d -dimensional torus T_n^d with volume n^d .

By adding “long edges” randomly to the largest percolation cluster, we obtain a random graph \mathcal{G}_n .

It can be proved that the diameter of \mathcal{G}_n grows at most polynomially fast in $\lg n$ and we call \mathcal{G}_n the *Poisson geometry small world*.

Poisson continuous percolation on \mathbb{R}^d

Let \mathcal{P} denote the homogeneous Poisson point process of rate 1 on \mathbb{R}^d . Given $r > 0$. We define a random graph $G = (V, E)$, where $V = \mathcal{P}$ and E consists of the edges which connect all Poisson point pairs lying in distance $2r$.

Theorem A R.Meester and R.Roy (1995) Suppose $d \geq 2$. Let $\psi(r)$ denote the probability that there exists a **unique** infinite connected component, write as C_∞ , in G , let $\theta(r)$ denote the probability that the distance between the origin 0 and some vertex of C_∞ is less than $2r$. Then there exists $0 < r_c < \infty$ such that

$$\psi(r) \begin{cases} = 1, & \text{if } r > r_c \\ = 0, & \text{if } r < r_c \end{cases}, \quad \theta(r) \begin{cases} > 0, & \text{if } r > r_c \\ = 0, & \text{if } r < r_c \end{cases}.$$

Where $\theta(r)$ is called the **percolation probability**.

Continuous percolation on $B_n := [0, n]^d$

Let \mathcal{P}_n denote the homogeneous Poisson point process of rate 1 on B_n .

Given $r > r_c$. We define a random graph $G_n = (V_n, E_n)$, where $V_n = \mathcal{P}_n \cap B_n$ and E_n consists of the edges which connect all \mathcal{P}_n point pairs lying in distance $2r$.

A connected component C of G_n is called **crossing** for B_n , if the distance between C and any of the face of B_n is less than r .

For continuous percolation on $B_n := [0, n]^d$, we have the following two propositions. ([Penrose and Pisztor \(1996\)](#))

Proposition 1 *Suppose $r > r_c$. Suppose $\{\phi_n : n \geq 1\}$ is increasing with $\phi_n / \lg n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and with $\phi_n < n$ for all n .*

Let $E_1(n)$ be the event that

- (i) there is a unique component in G_n that is crossing for B_n , and
- (ii) no other component in G_n has diameter greater than ϕ_n .

Then there exists a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that for all large enough n ,

$$\mathbb{P}(E_1(n)) \geq 1 - \exp(-c_1 \phi_n).$$

Remark. *If we take $\phi_n = \lg^{(1+\epsilon)} n$, then it follows from Proposition 1 that, with high probability, all components except for the largest one have diameters less than $\lg^{(1+\epsilon)} n$.*

Proposition 2 *Suppose $r > r_c$, and $0 < \epsilon < 1/2$. Let $E_2(n)$ be the event that*

(i) *there is a unique component C_b in G_n containing more than $\epsilon\theta(r)n^d$ points of \mathcal{P}_n ,*

(ii)

$$(1 - \epsilon)\theta(r) \leq n^{-d}|C_b| \leq (1 + \epsilon)\theta(r),$$

(iii) *C_b is crossing for B_n , and*

(iv) *C_b is part of the infinite component C_∞ in G .*

Then, there exist $c_2 > 0$ and n_2 such that

$$\mathbb{P}(E_2(n)) \geq 1 - \exp(-c_2 n^{d-1}), \quad n \geq n_2.$$

Continuous percolation on the d -dimensional torus T_n^d

Let T_n^d denote the d -dimensional torus obtained from B_n by cohering its opposite faces, and let \mathcal{P}_n^T denote the Poisson process of rate 1 on T_n^d .

Given $r > r_c$. We define a random graph $G_n^T = (V_n^T, E_n^T)$, where $V_n^T = \mathcal{P}_n^T$ and E_n^T consists of the edges which connect all \mathcal{P}_n^T point pairs lying in distance $2r$.

Let C_{\max} denote the maximum connected component in G_n^T , let \bar{G}_n denote the subgraph of G_n^T , which corresponds the maximum connected component C_{\max} .

Define the Poisson geometry small world \mathcal{G}_n

For any given constants α, β, σ and ζ satisfying $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1/2$, $\sigma > 0$ and $\zeta \geq 0$, we define a random graph $\mathcal{G}_n = \mathcal{G}_n(\alpha, \beta, \sigma, \zeta)$ from \bar{G}_n as the following: for any $u, v \in C_{\max}$, if

$$\alpha n \leq d_{\infty}^T(u, v) \leq \beta n,$$

then we connect u and v independently by a “long edge” with probability

$$p_n = \sigma n^{-d} \lg^{-\zeta} n;$$

otherwise, we do nothing.

Where $d_\infty^T(\cdot, \cdot)$ denote the l_∞ metric on T_n^d inherited from the usual l_∞ metric $d_\infty(\cdot, \cdot)$ on \mathbb{R}^d defined by

$$d_\infty(x, y) := \max_{1 \leq s \leq d} |x_s - y_s|$$

for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Let \mathcal{E}_n denote the new edge set with long edges, and let

$$\mathcal{G}_n = (C_{\max}, \mathcal{E}_n).$$

1. \mathcal{G}_n is a small world!

Theorem 1 Suppose $r > r_c$. Then for any $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1/2$ with

$$(2\beta)^d - (2\alpha)^d > 1/2,$$

$\sigma > 0$ and $\zeta \geq 0$, there exists constant $C_1 > 0$ such that for any $\nu > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\text{diam}(\mathcal{G}_n) \leq C_1 \lg^{3+\zeta+\nu} n) = 1.$$

Remark It seems that our setting on “long edge” is REASONABLE! Obviously, if only shorter edges, for example with length $n^{1-\epsilon}$, are added, then the diameter of the resulted graph grows at least fast in n^ϵ , and the resulted graph does not exhibit the small world effect. On the other hand, Theorem 1 indicates that, to make the resulted graph a small world, adding such shorter edges is not necessary.

2. Random Walk on graph

In a graph $G = (V, E)$, for any $u, v \in V$, let $d_G(u)$ be the the **degree** of u in G , and write $u \sim v$ if u and v are neighbors in G .

For any $u, v \in V$, we define a transition kernel by $P(u, u) = 1/2$, $P(u, v) = 1/2d_G(u)$ if $u \sim v$ and $P(u, v) = 0$ otherwise. A discrete time Markov chain $\{X_t : t \geq 0\}$ on V with transition kernel $(P(u, v))$ is called the **lazy random walk** on G .

Note that $\pi(u) := d_G(u)/D$ where $D = \sum_{v \in V} d_G(v) = 2|E|$, defines a **reversible stationary distribution** of $\{X_t\}$ since

$$\pi(u)P(u, v) = 1/2D = \pi(v)P(v, u).$$

Mixing time of Random Walk

By the basic theory of Markov chains, for any initial state $u \in V$, the distribution of X_t , i.e. $P^t(u, \cdot) := \mathbb{P}(X_t \in \cdot \mid X_0 = u)$, converges weakly to π as $t \rightarrow \infty$. To measure convergence to equilibrium, we will use the *total variation distance*

$$\|P^t(u, \cdot) - \pi\|_{TV} := \sum_{v \in V} |p^t(u, v) - \pi(v)|.$$

The **mixing time** of $\{X_t : t \geq 0\}$ is defined by

$$T_{\text{mix}} := \min \left\{ t : \max_{u \in V} \|P^t(u, \cdot) - \pi\|_{TV} < 1/e \right\}.$$

Random Walk on \mathcal{G}_n is rapid mixing

Denote by T_{mix} the mixing time of lazy random walk on \mathcal{G}_n , then we have

Thorem 2 *Suppose $r > r_c$. Then,*

(i) *for any $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1/2$, $\zeta \geq d - 1$ and for $\sigma > 0$ small enough, there exists constant $C_2 > 0$ such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(T_{\text{mix}} \geq C_2 \lg n) = 1;$$

(ii) *for $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1/2$ with $(2\beta)^d - (2\alpha)^d > 1/2$, $\zeta \geq 0$ and $\sigma > 0$, there exists constant $C_3 > 0$ such that for any $\nu > 0$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(T_{\text{mix}} \leq C_3 \lg^{4\zeta+5+\nu} n) = 1.$$

1. Small world effect

There is a known result in algebraic graph theory, see N. Alon and V. D. Milman (1985) and A. Berman and X. D. Zhang (2000), that

Lemma 1 *For any connected graph $G = (V, E)$, let $\Delta(G)$ denote its maximum degree, $\iota(G)$ denote its edge isoperimetric constant and let $\text{diam}(G)$ denote its diameter. Then*

$$\text{diam}(G) \leq \frac{4\Delta(G)}{\iota(G)} \lg |V|.$$

The *edge isoperimetric constant* $\iota(G)$ is defined by

$$\iota(G) := \min_{S: |S| \leq |V|/2} \frac{e(S, S^c)}{|S|}.$$

Where $e(S, S^c)$ be the number of edges between S and S^c .

Theorem 1 follows from Lemma 1, Proposition 2 and the following Lemmas 2 and 3.

Lemma 2 *Suppose $r > r_c$. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\Delta(\mathcal{G}_n) \leq \lg n) = 1.$$

Lemma 3 *Suppose $r > r_c$, $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1/2$ with $(2\beta)^d - (2\alpha)^d > 1/2$, $\zeta \geq 0$ and $\sigma > 0$. Then there exists $C_4 > 0$ such that for any $\nu > 0$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\iota(\mathcal{G}_n) \geq C_4 \lg^{-[1+\zeta+\nu]} n\right) = 1.$$

2. Lower bound of the mixing time

To bound the mixing time from below, it suffices to prove that, with high probability (tends to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$), there exists a box B in T_n^d satisfying

1. The side length of B is $K \lg n$;
2. If we denote B' as the box with side length $K \lg n/2$ and centered at the same center of B . Then $B' \cap C_{\max} \neq \emptyset$. Note that C_{\max} is the vertex set of \mathcal{G}_n ;
3. There is no long edge between B and B^c .

Then the random walk started in B' can not escape from B in time $K \lg n/2r$.

3. Upper bound of the mixing time

Conductance: For the lazy random walk $\{X_t : t \geq 0\}$ on \mathcal{G}_n , let $Q(u, v) := \pi(u)P(u, v)$ and $Q(S, S^c) := \sum_{u \in S} \sum_{v \in S^c} Q(u, v)$. Define

$$h := \min_{S: \pi(S) \leq 1/2} \frac{Q(S, S^c)}{\pi(S)}$$

to be the *conductance* of $\{X_t : t \geq 0\}$. Letting $e(S, S^c)$ be the number of edges between S and S^c , we have

$$h = \frac{1}{2} \min_{S: \pi(S) \leq 1/2} \frac{e(S, S^c)}{\text{Vol}(S)},$$

where $\text{Vol}(S) = \sum_{u \in S} d_{\mathcal{G}_n}(u)$.

For our lazy random walk $\{X_t : t \geq 0\}$ on \mathcal{G}_n , matrix theory tell us that the transition kernel $(P(u,v))$ has nonnegative real eigenvalues

$$1 = \lambda_0 \geq \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{|C_{\max}|-1} \geq 0.$$

Note that $1 - \lambda_1$ is called the **spectral gap** of $(P(u,v))$. Let $\pi_{\min} = \min_{u \in C_{\max}} \pi(u)$.

It was given in [A. Sinclair and M. Jerrum \(1989\)](#) that

$$(*) \quad \sup_{u \in C_{\max}} \|P^t(u, \cdot) - \pi\|_{TV} \leq \frac{\lambda_1^t}{\pi_{\min}}.$$

On the other hand the spectral gap $1 - \lambda_1$ can be bounded from above and below by the conductance h in the following way (see Theorem 6.2.1] in [R. Durrett \(2007\)](#)),

$$(**) \quad \frac{h^2}{2} \leq 1 - \lambda_1 \leq 2h.$$

By equations (*) and (**) and the definition of mixing time, the upper bound for T_{mix} follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 4 *Suppose $r > r_c$, $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1/2$ with*

$$(2\beta)^d - (2\alpha)^d > 1/2,$$

$\zeta \geq 0$ and $\sigma > 0$. Then there exists $C_5 > 0$ such that for any $\nu > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(h \geq C_5 \lg^{-[2(\zeta+1)+\nu]} n \right) = 1.$$

Geometry of \bar{G}_n

With high probability, we have

- 1). $(1 - \epsilon)\theta(r) \leq n^{-d}|C_{\max}| \leq (1 + \epsilon)\theta(r)$,
- 2). $(1 - \epsilon)\Gamma \leq n^{-d}|\Lambda_n(u)| \leq (1 + \epsilon)\Gamma$ for all $u \in C_{\max}$,
- 3). $\Delta(\bar{G}_n) \leq \lg n/2$.

Where $\Gamma = [(2\beta)^d - (2\alpha)^d]\theta(r)$ and

$$\Lambda_n(u) = \{v \in C_{\max} : \alpha n \leq d_{\infty}^T(u, v) \leq \beta n\}.$$

The proof of Lemma 4 follows from the following two lemmas.

Lemma 5 *Let $a = a(n) = \lg^{-(1+\zeta)} n$. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\bigcap_{S: |S| \geq (1-a)|C_{\max}|} \left\{ \pi(S) > \frac{1}{2} \right\} \right) = 1.$$

Let

$$\hat{i}(\mathcal{G}_n) = \min_{S: |S| \leq (1-a)|C_{\max}|} \frac{e(S, S^c)}{\text{Vol}(S)}.$$

Then by Lemma 5,

$$\mathbb{P}(h \geq \hat{i}(\mathcal{G}_n)/2) \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

So, to bound h from below, it suffices to bound $\hat{i}(\mathcal{G}_n)$ from below. In fact, we have

Lemma 6 *Suppose $r > r_c$, $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1/2$ with*

$$(2\beta)^d - (2\alpha)^d > 1/2,$$

$\zeta \geq 0$ and $\sigma > 0$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $\nu > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\hat{i}(\mathcal{G}_n) \geq C l g^{-[2(\zeta+1)+\nu]} n \right) = 1.$$

The sketch of the proof of Lemma 6

Let

$$\mathcal{B}_1 := \{S \subset C_{\max} : |S| \leq M/a\}, \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_2 := \{S \subset C_{\max} : M/a < |S| \leq a|C_{\max}|\}, \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_3 := \{S \subset C_{\max} : a|C_{\max}| < |S| \leq (1-a)|C_{\max}|\},$$

where $M > 0$ is a large constant and $a = a(n) = \lg^{-(\zeta+1)} n$.

We will prove Lemma 6 for $S \in \mathcal{B}_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ respectively. Let

$$\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{B}_i.$$

For any $S \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$\frac{e(S, S^c)}{\text{Vol}(S)} \geq \frac{c(S) + L(S, S^c)}{\Delta(\bar{G}_n)|S| + 2L(S)}$$

Where

$c(S)$ is the number of connected components of S in \bar{G}_n .

$L(S, S^c)$ is the number of “long edges” between S and S^c .

$L(S)$ is the number of “long edges” associated to S .

Clearly

$$L(S) = L(S, S^c) + L(S, S).$$

Let $N(S, S^c)$ be the number of non-ordered pairs $u \in S, v \in S^c := C_{\max} \setminus S$ such that $u \in \Lambda_n(v)$, $N(S, S)$ be the number of non-ordered pairs $u, v \in S$ such that $u \in \Lambda_n(v)$. Let

$$N(S) = N(S, S^c) + N(S, S).$$

Then $L(S, S^c)$ is independent to $L(S, S)$, and

$$L(S, S^c) \sim b(N(S, S^c), p_n), \quad L(S, S) \sim b(N(S, S), p_n),$$

$$L(S) \sim b(N(S), p_n).$$

Large deviation inequality for binomial distribution.

Lemma 7: Suppose $Z \sim b(n, p)$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}(Z \geq zn) \leq \exp(-I(z)n), \quad z > p; \quad \mathbb{P}(Z \leq zn) \leq \exp(-I(z)n), \quad z < p,$$

where $I(z)$ is the common rate function defined by

$$I(z) := z \lg \frac{zq}{(1-z)p} - \lg \frac{q}{1-z}, \quad p \neq z \in (0, 1).$$

Especially for small p , the above inequalities can be rewritten as

$$\mathbb{P}(Z \geq zpn) \leq \exp(-\gamma(z)pn) \quad \text{for } z > 1, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathbb{P}(Z \leq zpn) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\gamma(z)pn\right) \quad \text{for } 0 < z < 1,$$

with $\gamma(z) = z \lg z - z + 1$.

Now, let

$$\mathcal{B}_3 = \mathcal{B}_3^{>b} \cup \mathcal{B}_3^{<b}$$

Where $\mathcal{B}_3^{>K}$ is the set of S in \mathcal{B}_3 such that $c(S) \geq |S|/\lg^b n$ and $\mathcal{B}_3^{<b} = \mathcal{B}_3 \setminus \mathcal{B}_3^{>b}$.

By the large deviation inequality, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_\epsilon (L(S) \leq |S| \lg n, \forall S \in \mathcal{B}_3) = 1.$$

Where \mathbb{P}_ϵ is the conditional probability function that the three items of the geometry of \bar{G}_n hold. This implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_\epsilon \left\{ \frac{e(S, S^c)}{\text{Vol}(S)} \geq \frac{2/3}{\lg^{b+1} n}, \forall S \in \mathcal{B}_3^{>b} \right\} = 1.$$

Now, let's choose ϵ small enough such that

$$\frac{1 - \epsilon}{(1 + \epsilon)\tilde{\theta}(r)} \Gamma = \frac{(1 - \epsilon)[(2\beta)^d - (2\alpha)^d]}{(1 + \epsilon)} > 1/2.$$

For any $S \in \mathcal{B}_3$, if $a|C_{\max}| \leq |S| \leq |C_{\max}|/2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} N(S, S^c) &= \sum_{u \in S} \sum_{v \in S^c \cap \Lambda_n(u)} 1 \geq |S| \left(\min_{u \in S} |\Lambda_n(u)| - |S| \right) \\ &\geq |S| \left(\frac{1 - \epsilon}{(1 + \epsilon)\theta(r)} \Gamma |C_{\max}| - |S| \right) \\ &\geq a|C_{\max}| \left(\frac{1 - \epsilon}{(1 + \epsilon)\theta(r)} \Gamma |C_{\max}| - a|C_{\max}| \right) \\ &\geq a \left(\frac{1 - 2\epsilon}{(1 + \epsilon)\theta(r)} \Gamma \right) |C_{\max}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $a|C_{\max}| \leq |S^c| \leq |C_{\max}|/2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} N(S, S^c) &= N(S^c, S) = \sum_{u \in S^c} \sum_{v \in S \cap \Lambda_n(u)} 1 \geq |S^c| \left(\min_{u \in S^c} |\Lambda_n(u)| - |S^c| \right) \\ &\geq a|C_{\max}| \left(\frac{1 - \epsilon}{(1 + \epsilon)\theta(r)} \Gamma |C_{\max}| - a|C_{\max}| \right) \\ &\geq a \left(\frac{1 - 2\epsilon}{(1 + \epsilon)\theta(r)} \Gamma \right) |C_{\max}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} N(S) &\leq (1 + \epsilon)\Gamma n^d |S| \leq (1 + \epsilon)\Gamma n^d (1 - a)|C_{\max}| \\ &\leq \frac{(1 + \epsilon)\Gamma(1 - a)}{(1 - \epsilon)\theta(r)} |C_{\max}|^2 \leq \frac{(1 + \epsilon)\Gamma}{(1 - \epsilon)\theta(r)} |C_{\max}|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{a} \cdot \frac{(1 + \epsilon)^2}{(1 - \epsilon)(1 - 2\epsilon)} N(S, S^c). \end{aligned}$$

Let $f(\epsilon) = (1 - \epsilon)(1 - 2\epsilon)/(1 + \epsilon)^2$, then

$$N(S, S^c) \geq f(\epsilon)aN(S).$$

By the large deviation inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_\epsilon(L(S, S^c) \geq f(\epsilon)aL(S)/4) \\ & \geq \mathbb{P}_\epsilon(L(S, S^c) \geq \frac{1}{2}f(\epsilon)aN(S)p_n \text{ and } L(S) \leq 2N(S)p_n) \\ & \geq 1 - \exp(-\frac{1}{4}\sigma\gamma(1/2)f(\epsilon)(1 - \epsilon)\Gamma|S| \lg^{-(2\zeta+1)} n) \\ & \quad - \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\sigma\gamma(2)(1 - \epsilon)\Gamma|S| \lg^{-\zeta} n) \\ & \geq 1 - \exp\left(-C(\sigma, \epsilon)|S| \lg^{-(2\zeta+1)} n\right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the large deviation inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_\epsilon(L(S) \geq \mu|S|) &\geq \mathbb{P}\left(Z_2(S) \geq \frac{2\tau}{\sigma(1-\epsilon)\Gamma}N_2(S)p_n\right) \\ &\geq 1 - \exp\left(-O(|S|\lg^{-\zeta}n)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Where $\mu = \mu(n) = \tau \lg^{-\zeta} n$ with $2\tau/\sigma(1-\epsilon)\Gamma < 1$,
 $N_2(S) = \frac{1}{2}(1-\epsilon)\Gamma n^d|S|$ and

$$Z_2(S) \sim b(N_2(S), p_n).$$

Note that $L(S, S^c) \geq f(\epsilon)aL(S)/4$ and $L(S) \geq \mu|S|$ imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{e(S, S^c)}{\text{Vol}(S)} &\geq \frac{L(S, S^c)}{|S|\Delta(\bar{G}_n) + 2L(S)} \geq \frac{f(\epsilon)aL(S)/4}{|S|\lg n/2 + 2L(S)} \\ &\geq \begin{cases} \frac{\tau \lg^{-\zeta} n f(\epsilon)a|S|/4}{|S|\lg n/2 + 2|S|} \geq \frac{C_6''}{\lg^{2(\zeta+1)} n}, & \text{if } L(S) \leq |S|; \\ \frac{f(\epsilon)aL(S)/4}{L(S)\lg n/2 + 2L(S)} \geq \frac{C_6'''}{\lg^{\zeta+2} n}, & \text{if } |S| \leq L(S). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any $S \in \mathcal{B}_3$

$$\mathbb{P}_\epsilon \left(\frac{e(S, S^c)}{\text{Vol}(S)} \geq \frac{C_6'''}{\lg^{2(\zeta+1)} n} \right) \geq 1 - \exp \left(-C(\sigma, \epsilon)|S|\lg^{-(2\zeta+1)} n \right).$$

Finally, for any $a|C_{\max}| \leq s \leq (1-a)|C_{\max}|$, let $\mathcal{B}_{3,s}^{<b}$ denote the set of $S \in \mathcal{B}_3^{<b}$ with $|S| = s$. For any $1 \leq j \leq s/\lg^b n =: j_s$, let $\mathcal{B}_{3,s,j}^{<b}$ denote the set of $S \in \mathcal{B}_{3,s}^{<b}$ such that $c(S) = j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{B}_{3,s,j}^{<b}| &\leq \binom{|C_{\max}|}{j} \binom{s-1}{j-1} \leq \binom{|C_{\max}|}{j} \binom{s}{j} \\ &\leq \binom{|C_{\max}|}{j_s} \binom{s}{j_s} \leq \left(\frac{|C_{\max}|e}{j_s} \right)^{j_s} \cdot \left(\frac{se}{j_s} \right)^{j_s} \\ &\leq \exp \left(j_s \left\{ \lg \left(\frac{|C_{\max}|}{s} \right) + 2 \lg \left(\frac{s}{j_s} \right) + 2 \right\} \right) \\ &\leq \exp (D \lg \lg n \cdot s \lg^{-b} n). \end{aligned}$$

Then






$$|\mathcal{B}_{3,s}^{<b}| \leq s \cdot \exp \left(D \lg \lg n \cdot s \lg^{-b} n \right).$$







Provided $b = 2\zeta + 1 + \nu$ with $\nu > 0$, we have





$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_\epsilon \left(\frac{e(S, S^c)}{\text{Vol}(S)} \geq \frac{C'_7}{\lg^{2(\zeta+1)} n}, \forall S \in \mathcal{B}_3^{<b} \right) = 1.$$

Thus, we finish the proof of the lemma.

References:

-  D. Aldous and P. Diaconis (1986) *Shuffling cards and stopping times*, Amer. Math. Monthly **93**, No.5, pp 333-348
-  N. Alon and V. D. Milman (1985) λ_1 , *isoperimetric inequality for graphs, and superconcentrators*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B, **38**, pp 73-88
-  B. Bollobás and F. Chung (1988) *The diameter of a cycle plus a random matching*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **1**, pp 328-333
-  B. Bollobás and O. Riordan (2004) *The diameter of a scale-free random graph*, Combinatorica **24**, pp 5-34
-  A. Berman and X. D. Zhang (2000) *Lower bounds for the eigenvalues of Laplacian matrices*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, **316**, pp 13-20

-  R. Durrett (2007) *Random graph dynamics*, Cambridge university press, Cambridge, New York.
-  G. R. Grimmett (1999) *Percolation*, 2nd Edition, Springer, New York.
-  F. Karinthy (1929) *Chains*, in *Everything is Different*, Budapest.
-  S. Milgram (1967) *The small world problem*, Psych. Today, **2**, pp 60-67.
-  R. Meester and R. Roy (1995) *Continuum percolation*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
-  M. E. J. Newman and D. J. Watts (1999) *Renormalization group analysis of the small-world network model*, Phys. Lett. A **263**, pp 341-346

-  M. D. Penrose and A. Pisztora (1996) *Large deviations for discrete and continuous percolation*, Adv. Appl. Prob. Vol. **28**, pp 29-52
-  A. Sinclair and M. Jerrum (1989) *Approximate counting, uniform generation and rapidly mixing Markov chains*, Inf. Comput. **82**, pp 93-133
-  J. Travers and S. Milgram (1969) *An experimental study of the small world problem*, Sociometry, **32**, pp 425-443.
-  D. J. Watts and S. H. Strogatz (1998) *Collective dynamics of 'small-world' networks*, Nature **393**, pp 440-442

Thanks for your attention!