Existence and uniqueness of invariant measures for SPDEs with two reflecting walls

Tusheng Zhang

University of Manchester

Beijing Normal University, 16 July 2012

We study stochastic partial differential equations with two reflecting walls h^1 and h^2 , driven by space-time white noise with non-constant diffusion coefficients under periodic boundary conditions. The existence and uniqueness of invariant measures is established. The strong Feller property is also obtained. Consider the following stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs) with two reflecting walls

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + f(u(x,t)) + \sigma(u(x,t))\dot{W}(x,t) \\ +\eta(x,t) - \xi(x,t); \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x) \in C(S^1); \\ h^1(x) \le u(x,t) \le h^2(x), \text{ for } (x,t) \in Q. \end{cases}$$
(1)

 $Q := S^1 \times \mathbb{R}_+$, $S^1 := \mathbb{R}(mod 2\pi)$, or $\{e^{i\theta}; \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$ denotes a circular ring and the random field $W(x,t) := W(\{e^{i\theta}; 0 \le \theta \le x\} \times [0,t])$ is a regular Brownian sheet defined on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, P, \mathcal{F}; \mathcal{F}_t)$. The random measures ξ and η are added to equation (1) to prevent the solution from leaving the interval $[h^1, h^2]$. We assume that the reflecting walls $h^1(x)$, $h^2(x)$ are continuous functions satisfying (H1) $h^1(x) < h^2(x)$ for $x \in S^1$; (H2) $\frac{\partial^2 h^i}{\partial x^2} \in L^2(S^1)$, where $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$ is interpreted in a distributional sense.

We also assume that the coefficients: $f, \sigma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (F1) there exists L > 0 such that

$$|f(z_1) - f(z_2)| + |\sigma(z_1) - \sigma(z_2)| \le L|z_1 - z_2|, \ z_1, \ z_2 \in \mathbb{R};$$

Definition 1. A triplet (u, η, ξ) is a solution to the SPDE (1) if (i) $u = \{u(x, t); (x, t) \in Q\}$ is a continuous, adapted random field (i.e., u(x, t) is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable $\forall t \ge 0, x \in S^1$) satisfying $h^1(x) \le u(x, t) \le h^2(x)$, a.s; (ii) $\eta(dx, dt)$ and $\xi(dx, dt)$ are positive and adapted (i.e. $\eta(B)$ and $\xi(B)$ is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable if $B \subset S^1 \times [0, t]$) random measures on Qsatisfying

$$\eta \left(S^1 \times [0, T] \right) < \infty, \ \xi \left(S^1 \times [0, T] \right) < \infty$$

for T > 0;

The equation

(iii) for all $t \geq 0$ and $\phi \in C^\infty(S^1)$ we have

$$(u(t),\phi) - \int_{0}^{t} (u(s),\phi'')ds - \int_{0}^{t} (f(u(s)),\phi)ds - \int_{0}^{t} \int_{S^{1}} \phi(x)\sigma(u(x,s))W(dx,ds) = (u_{0},\phi(x)) + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{S^{1}} \phi(x)\eta(dx,ds) - \int_{0}^{t} \int_{S^{1}} \phi(x)\xi(dx,ds), a.s,$$
(2)

where (,) denotes the inner product in $L^2(S^1)$ and u(t) denotes $u(\cdot, t)$; (iv)

$$\int_{Q} (u(x,t) - h^{1}(x))\eta(dx,dt) = \int_{Q} (h^{2}(x) - u(x,t))\xi(dx,dt) = 0.$$

The equation

SPDEs with one reflecting barrier at 0 were first studied by Nualart and Pardoux in [4] when the diffusion coefficient $\sigma(\cdot)$ is a constant. When the diffusion coefficient $\sigma(\cdot)$ is not a constant, Donati-Martin and Pardoux proved in [1]that there exists a minimal solution to the SPDE with reflection using penalized approximations. The uniqueness was left out there. In [1], Xu and Z. proved that there exists a unique solution to SPDEs with reflection for general diffusion coefficients. A large deviation principle was also obtained by Xu and Z. Interesting properties (i.e. integration by parts) were obtained by Zambotti in [2]. The existence and uniqueness of the solution of of SPDEs with two reflecting walls was established by Yang and Z. in [3]. Our aim here is to establish the existence and uniqueness of invariant measures, as well as the strong Feller property of fully non-linear SPDEs with two reflecting walls (1).

The equation

For SPDEs without reflection, the existence and uniqueness of invariant measures has been studied by many people, see Sowers [4], Mueller [3], Peszat and Zabczyk [2], Da Prato and Zabczyk [2]. For SPDEs with reflection, when the diffusion coefficient σ is a constant, existence and uniqueness of invariant measures was obtained by Otobe [5], [1]. The strong Feller property of SPDEs has been studied by several authors, see Peszat and Zabczyk [2], Da Prato and Zabczyk [2]. The strong Feller property of SPDEs with reflection at 0 was first proved by Z. in [4]. For the existence of invariant measures, the continuity of the solution with respect to the solutions of some random obstacle problems plays an important role. For the uniqueness, we adopted a coupling method. This method was first used by Mueller [3] for proving the uniqueness of invariant measures for SPDEs (with no reflection). Because of the reflection, we need to establish a kind of uniform coupling for approximating solutions. The strong Feller property of SPDEs with two reflecting walls will be obtained in a

Existence and uniqueness of invariant measures for SPDEs with

Denote by $\mathcal{B}(C(S^1))$ the σ -field of all Borel subsets of $C(S^1)$. We denote by $u(x, t, u_0)$ the solution of equation (1) and by $P_t(u_0, \cdot)$ the corresponding transition function

$$P_t(u_0,\Gamma) = P(u(\cdot,t,u_0)\in\Gamma), \ \Gamma\in\mathcal{B}(C(S^1)), \ t>0,$$

where u_0 is the initial condition.

Theorem 1 Suppose the hypotheses (H1)-(H2), (F1) hold. Then there exists an invariant measure to equation (1) on $C(S^1)$.

According to Krylov-Bogolyubov theorem (see [2]), we aim to show that the family of measures

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T P_t(u_0,\cdot)dt, T\geq 1$$

is tight. Thus, if we show that the family $\{P_t(u_0, \cdot); t \ge 1\}$ is tight, then there exists an invariant measure for equation (1). So we need to show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a compact set $K \subset C(S^1)$ such that

$$P(u(t) \in K) \ge 1 - \varepsilon$$
, for any $t \ge 1$.

where $u(t) = u(t, u_0) = u(\cdot, t, u_0)$.

On the other hand, for any $t \ge 1$, we have by the Markov property

$$P(u(t) \in K) = \mathbb{E}(P_1(u(t-1), K)).$$
(3)

Thus it is enough to show $P_1(u(t-1), K) \ge 1 - \varepsilon$, for any $t \ge 1$. As $h^1(\cdot) \le u(t-1)(\cdot) \le h^2(\cdot)$, it suffices to find a compact subset $K \subset C(S^1)$ such that

$$P_1(g,K) \ge 1-arepsilon, ext{ for all } g \in C(S^1) ext{ with } h^1 \le g \le h^2.$$
 (4)

Sketch of the proof

Put

$$v(x,t,g) = \int_{0}^{t} \int_{S^{1}} G_{t-s}(x,y) f(u(y,s,g)) dy ds + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{S^{1}} G_{t-s}(x,y) \sigma(u(y,s,g)) W(dy,ds), (5)$$

where $G_t(x, y)$ is the Green's function of the heat equation on S^1 . Then u can be written as

$$u(x,t,g) - \int_{S^1} G_t(x,y)g(y)dy = v(x,t,g) + \int_0^t \int_{S^1} G_{t-s}(x,y)\eta(g)(dx,dt) - \int_0^t \int_{S^1} G_{t-s}(x,y)\xi(g)(dx,dt),$$

where $\eta(g)$, $\xi(g)$ indicates the dependence of the random measures on the initial condition g. Put

$$\bar{u}(x,t,g) = u(x,t,g) - \int_{S^1} G_t(x,y)g(y)dy$$

Then (\bar{u}, η, ξ) solves a random obstacle problem. In particular, we have (see [3]) the following inequality

$$\|ar{u}(g) - ar{u}(\hat{g})\|_{\infty}^1 \leq 2\|v(g) - v(\hat{g})\|_{\infty}^1,$$

where $\|\omega\|_{\infty}^{1} := \sup_{x \in S^{1}, t \in [0,1]} |\omega(x,t)|$. And \overline{u} is a continuous functional of v and denoted by $u = \Phi(v)$, where $\Phi(\cdot): C(S^{1} \times [0,1]) \to C(S^{1} \times [0,1])$ is continuous.

Sketch of the proof

In particular, $\bar{u}(\cdot, 1, g)$ is also a continuous functional of v, from $C(S^1 \times [0,1])$ to $C(S^1)$. We denote this functional by Φ_1 , i.e. $\bar{u}(\cdot, 1, g) = \Phi_1(v(\cdot, g))$, where $v(\cdot, g) = v(\cdot, \cdot, g)$. If K'' is a compact subset of $C(S^1 \times [0,1])$, then $K' = \Phi_1(K'')$ is a compact subset in $C(S^1)$ and

$$P(\bar{u}(\cdot,1,g)\in K') = P(\bar{u}(\cdot,1,g)\in \Phi_1(K''))$$

$$\geq P(v(\cdot,g)\in K'').$$
(6)

Next, we want to find a compact set $K''(\subset C(S^1 imes [0,1])$ such that

$$P(v(\cdot,g) \in K'') \ge 1 - \varepsilon$$
, for all $g \in C(S^1)$ with $h^1 \le g \le h^2$. (7)

This is possible because it can be shown that for $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{4}$ and $\kappa > 0$, there exists a random variable Y(g) such that with probability one, for all $x, y \in S^1$ and $s, t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} |v(x,t,g)-v(y,s,g)| &\leq Y(g)(d((x,t),(y,s)))^{\alpha-\kappa} \\ & \mathbb{E}(Y(g))^{\frac{1}{\kappa}} \leq C_0, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $d((x,t),(y,s)) := (r^2(x,y) + (t-s)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ with r(x,y) the length of the shortest arc of S^1 connecting x with y and C_0 is independent of g.

For r > 0, $K_r := \{v; ||v||_{\alpha} \le r\}$ is a compact subset of $C(S^1 \times [0, 1])$. In view of (8), we see that for given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists r_0 such that

$$P(v(\cdot,g)\in \mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{c}}_{r_0})\leq arepsilon, ext{ for all }g ext{ with } h^1\leq g\leq h^2.$$

Choosing $K'' = K_{r_0}$, we obtain (7). Hence $P(\bar{u}(\cdot, 1, g) \in K') \ge 1 - \varepsilon$ for all $g \in C(S^1)$ with $h^1 \le g \le h^2$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that there is a compact subset $K_0 \subset C(S^1)$ such that

$$\{\int_{S^1} G_1(x,y)g(y)dy; \quad h^1 \leq g \leq h^2\} \subset K_0$$

Define $K = K' + K_0$. We have

$$\mathsf{P}_1(\mathsf{g},\mathsf{K})=\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{u}(\cdot,1,\mathsf{g})\in\mathsf{K})\geq\mathsf{P}(ar{\mathsf{u}}(\cdot,1,\mathsf{g})\in\mathsf{K}')\geq 1-arepsilon,$$

for all $g \in C(S^1)$ with $h^1 \leq g \leq h^2$. This finishes the proof.

For the uniqueness of invariant measures, we need the following proposition. Put $u(x, t) = u(x, t, u_0)$. **Proposition 2.** Under the assumption in Theorem 1, for any $p \ge 1$, T > 0, $\sup_{\varepsilon,\delta} \mathbb{E}(||u^{\varepsilon,\delta}||_{\infty}^{T})^{p} < \infty$ and $u^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ converges uniformly on $S^1 \times [0, T]$ to u as $\varepsilon, \delta \to 0$ a.s, where $u, u^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ are the solutions of equation (1) and the penalized SPDEs

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + f(u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x,t)) + \sigma(u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x,t))\dot{W}(x,t) \\ + \frac{1}{\delta}(u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x,t) - h^1(x))^- - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x,t) - h^2(x))^+; \\ u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x,0) = u_0(x). \end{cases}$$

The following result is the uniqueness of invariant measures. **Theorem 3**. Under the assumptions in Theorem 1 and that $\sigma \ge L_0$ for some constant $L_0 > 0$, there is a unique invariant measure for the equation (1).

Sketch of the proof. It is not obvious how to construct a successful coupling directly on the SPDEs with reflection. Instead, we will construct the coupling to approximating equations and then try to pass to the limit. For given two initial functions $u^1(x,0)$ and $u^2(x,0)$, we aim to construct two coupled processes $u^1(x,t)$, $u^2(x,t)$ satisfying equation (1), driven by different white noises on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , such that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} P(\sup_{x \in S^1} |u^1(x,t) - u^2(x,t)| \neq 0) = 0.$$
 (10)

We first assume $u^1(x,0) \ge u^2(x,0)$, $x \in S^1$. We want to construct two independent space-time white noises $W_1(x,t)$, $W_2(x,t)$ defined on some probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , and a solution u, v of the following SPDEs with two reflecting walls

$$\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + f(u(x,t)) + \sigma(u(x,t))\dot{W}_1(x,t) \\
+\eta_1(x,t) - \xi_1(x,t), \\
\frac{\partial v(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 v(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + f(v(x,t)) + \eta_2(x,t) - \xi_2(x,t) \\
+\sigma(v(x,t)) [(1 - |u - v| \land 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \dot{W}_1(x,t) \\
+(|u - v| \land 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \dot{W}_2(x,t)], \\
u(x,0) = u^1(x,0), \quad v(x,0) = u^2(x,0).$$
(11)

Note that the coefficients in the second equation in (11) is not Lipschitz. The existence of a solution of equation (11) is not immediate.

We will give a construction of a solution on some probability space. The construction will also be used to prove the successful coupling

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}P\big(\sup_{x\in S^1}|u(x,t)-v(x,t)|\neq 0\big)=0.$$

For $0 \leq z \leq 1$, set

$$f_n(z) = (z + \frac{1}{n})^{\frac{1}{2}} - (\frac{1}{n})^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$g_n(x) = (1 - f_n(z)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We have $f_n(z)^2 + g_n(z)^2 = 1$ and that $f_n(z) \to z^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $g_n(z) \to (1-z)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ uniformly as $n \to \infty$, for $z \in S^1$. Let $\overline{W}_1(x,t)$, $\overline{W}_2(x,t)$ be two independent space-time white noises defined on a probability space $(\overline{\Omega}, \overline{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{P})$. Let $\overline{u}, \overline{v}^n$ be the unique solution of the following SPDEs with two reflecting walls

$$\frac{\partial \overline{u}(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 \overline{u}(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + f(\overline{u}(x,t)) + \sigma(\overline{u}(x,t)) \dot{\overline{W}}_1(x,t) + \overline{\eta}_1(x,t) - \overline{\xi}_1(x,t),$$
(12)

$$\frac{\partial \overline{\nu}^{n}(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^{2} \overline{\nu}^{n}(x,t)}{\partial x^{2}} + f(\overline{\nu}^{n}(x,t)) + \overline{\eta}_{2}^{n}(x,t) - \overline{\xi}_{2}^{n}(x,t)
+ \sigma(\overline{\nu}^{n}(x,t)) [g_{n}(|\overline{u}-\overline{\nu}^{n}|\wedge 1)\overline{W}_{1}(x,t)
+ f_{n}(|\overline{u}-\overline{\nu}^{n}|\wedge 1)\overline{W}_{2}(x,t)],
\overline{u}(x,0) = u^{1}(x,0), \quad \overline{\nu}(x,0) = u^{2}(x,0).$$
(13)

We can show that the vector $(\overline{u}, \overline{v}^n, \overline{W}_1, \overline{W}_2)$ is tight. By Skorohod's representation theorem, there exist random fields (u, v^n, W_1, W_2) , $n \ge 1$ on some probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) such that (u, v^n, W_1, W_2) has the same law as $(\overline{u}, \overline{v}^n, \overline{W}_1, \overline{W}_2)$ and that the following SPDEs with two reflecting walls hold

$$\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + f(u(x,t)) + \sigma(u(x,t))\dot{W}_1(x,t) \\
+\eta_1(x,t) - \xi_1(x,t), \\
\frac{\partial v^n(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 v^n(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + f(v^n(x,t)) + \eta_2^n(x,t) - \xi_2^n(x,t) \\
+\sigma(v^n(x,t))[g_n(|u-v^n| \wedge 1)\dot{W}_1(x,t) \\
+f_n(|u-v^n| \wedge 1)\dot{W}_2(x,t)], \\
u(x,0) = u^1(x,0), \quad v^n(x,0) = u^2(x,0).$$
(14)

Furthermore, $v^n \rightarrow v$ uniformly almost surely as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We can prove that the limit (u, v) satisfies the following SPDEs with two reflecting walls

$$\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + f(u(x,t)) + \sigma(u(x,t))\dot{W}_1(x,t) \\
+\eta_1(x,t) - \xi_1(x,t), \\
\frac{\partial v(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 v(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + f(v(x,t)) + \eta_2(x,t) - \xi_2(x,t) \\
+\sigma(v(x,t)) [(1 - |u - v| \land 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \dot{W}_1(x,t) \\
+(|u - v| \land 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \dot{W}_2(x,t)], \\
u(x,0) = u^1(x,0), \quad v(x,0) = u^2(x,0).$$
(15)

The next step is to show that u, v admits a successful coupling. To this end, consider the following approximating SPDEs

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u^{\varepsilon,\delta}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u^{\varepsilon,\delta}}{\partial x^2} + f(u^{\varepsilon,\delta}) + \frac{1}{\delta}(u^{\varepsilon,\delta} - h^1)^- - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon,\delta} - h^2)^+ \\ +\sigma(u^{\varepsilon,\delta})W_1;\\ \frac{\partial v^{n,\varepsilon,\delta}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 v^{n,\varepsilon,\delta}}{\partial x^2} + f(v^{n,\varepsilon,\delta}) + \frac{1}{\delta}(v^{n,\varepsilon,\delta} - h^1)^- - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(v^{n,\varepsilon,\delta} - h^2)^+ \\ +\sigma(v^{n,\varepsilon,\delta})[g_n(|u^{\varepsilon,\delta} - v^{n,\varepsilon,\delta}| \wedge 1)\dot{W}_1(x,t) \\ +f_n(|u^{\varepsilon,\delta} - v^{n,\varepsilon,\delta}| \wedge 1)\dot{W}_2(x,t)]; \\ u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x,0) = u^1(x,0), \ v^{n,\varepsilon,\delta}(x,0) = u^2(x,0). \end{cases}$$
(16)

We may and will assume that f(u) is non-increasing. Otherwise, we consider $\tilde{u} := e^{-Lt}u$, $\tilde{v} := e^{-Lt}v$, where L is the Lipschitz constant in (F1). Apply Proposition 2 to conclude that $u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x,t) \to u(x,t), v^{n,\varepsilon,\delta}(x,t) \to v^n(x,t)$ uniformly on $S^1 \times [0, T]$ (for any T > 0) as $\varepsilon, \delta \to 0$.

Let

$$U^{n,\varepsilon,\delta}(t) = \int_{S^1} (u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x,t) - v^{n,\varepsilon,\delta}(x,t)) dx.$$
 (17)

It follows from the above equation that

$$U^{n,\varepsilon,\delta}(t) = \int_{S^1} (u_1(x,0) - u_2(x,0)) dx + \int_0^t C^{n,\varepsilon,\delta}(s) ds + M^{n,\varepsilon,\delta}(t),$$
(18)

where

$$C^{n,\varepsilon,\delta}(t) = \int_{S^1} \left\{ f(u^{\varepsilon,\delta}) - f(v^{n,\varepsilon,\delta}) + \frac{1}{\delta} (u^{\varepsilon,\delta} - h^1)^-(x,t) - \frac{1}{\delta} (v^{n,\varepsilon,\delta} - h^1)^-(x,t) - (\frac{1}{\varepsilon} (u^{\varepsilon,\delta} - h^2)^+(x,t) - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (v^{n,\varepsilon,\delta} - h^2)^+(x,t)) \right\} dx$$

$$\leq 0,$$

$$egin{aligned} M^{n,arepsilon,\delta}(t) &= & \int_0^t \int_{S^1} \sigma(u^{arepsilon,\delta}(x,s)) W_1(dx,ds) \ &- & \int_0^t \int_{S^1} \sigma(v^{n,arepsilon,\delta}(x,s)) g_n(|u^{arepsilon,\delta}-v^{n,arepsilon,\delta}|\wedge 1) \dot{W}_1(dx,ds) \ &- & \int_0^t \int_{S^1} \sigma(v^{n,arepsilon,\delta}(x,s)) f_n(|u^{arepsilon,\delta}-v^{n,arepsilon,\delta}|\wedge 1) \dot{W}_2(dx,ds). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon,\delta\to 0} U^{n,\varepsilon,\delta}(t)$$

= $U^{n}(t) := \int_{S^{1}} (u(x,t) - v^{n}(x,t)) dx,$ (19)

 and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon,\delta\to 0} M^{n,\varepsilon,\delta}(t)$$

$$= M^{n}(t) := \int_{0}^{t} \int_{S^{1}} \sigma(u(x,s)) W_{1}(dx, ds)$$

$$- \int_{0}^{t} \int_{S^{1}} \sigma(v^{n}(x,s)) g_{n}(|u-v^{n}| \wedge 1) \dot{W}_{1}(dx, ds)$$

$$- \int_{0}^{t} \int_{S^{1}} \sigma(v^{n}(x,s)) f_{n}(|u-v^{n}| \wedge 1) \dot{W}_{2}(dx, ds). \quad (20)$$

Letting $\varepsilon, \delta \rightarrow 0$ in (18) we see that

$$U^{n}(t) = \int_{S^{1}} (u_{1}(x,0) - u_{2}(x,0)) dx + A^{n}(t) + M^{n}(t), \quad (21)$$

where $A^n(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon, \delta \to 0} \int_0^t C^{n,\varepsilon,\delta}(s) ds$ is a continuous, adapted non-increasing process.

Now, sending n to ∞ we obtain

$$U(t) = \int_{S^1} (u_1(x,0) - u_2(x,0)) dx + A(t) + M(t), \qquad (22)$$

where

$$U(t) = \int_{S^1} (u(x,t) - v(x,t)) dx,$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}(t) &= \int_0^t \int_{S^1} \sigma(u(x,s)) \mathcal{W}_1(dx,ds) \\ &- \int_0^t \int_{S^1} \sigma(v(x,s)) (1 - |u - v| \wedge 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \dot{\mathcal{W}}_1(dx,ds) \\ &- \int_0^t \int_{S^1} \sigma(v(x,s)) (|u - v| \wedge 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \dot{\mathcal{W}}_2(dx,ds), \end{split}$$

and $A(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} A^n(t)$ a continuous, adapted non-increasing process. The existence of the limits of A^n follows from the existence of the limit of U^n and M^n .

In view of the assumption on σ and the boundedness of the walls $h^1, h^2,$ it is easy to verify that

$$\frac{d < M > (t)}{dt} \ge C_0 U(t) \tag{23}$$

for some positive constant C_0 . Thus, there exists a non-negative adapted process V(t) such that

$$rac{d < M > (t)}{dt} = U(t)V(t), \qquad V(t) \geq C_0.$$

Let

$$\phi(t) = \int_0^t V(s) ds,$$

$$X(t) = U(\phi^{-1}(t)).$$
(24)

Then the time-changed process X satisfies the following equation

$$X(t) = U(0) + \tilde{A}(t) + \int_0^t X^{\frac{1}{2}}(s) dB(s), \qquad (25)$$

1

Let
$$Y(t) = 2X^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)$$
. We have
 $Y(t) = Y(0) + 2\int_0^t \frac{1}{Y(s)} d\tilde{A}(s) - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \frac{1}{Y(s)} ds + B(t)$. (26)

As \tilde{A} is non-increasing, it follows that

$$0 \le Y(t) \le Y(0) + B(t).$$
 (27)

The property of one dimensional Brownian motion implies that Y hits 0 with probability 1. Hence

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}P\bigl(\sup_{x\in S^1}|u(x,t)-v(x,t)|\neq 0\bigr)=0.$$

Let $H = L^2(S^1)$. If $\varphi \in B_b(H)$, we define, for $0 \le t \le T$,

 $P_t\varphi(g)=\mathbb{E}\varphi(u(x,t,g)).$

Theorem 4. Under the hypotheses (H1)-(H2), (F1)-(F2) and that $p_1 \leq |\sigma(\cdot)| \leq p_2$ for some constants p_1 , $p_2 > 0$, then for any T > 0 there exists a constant C'_T such that for all $\varphi \in B_b(H)$ and $t \in (0, T]$,

$$|P_t\varphi(u_0^1) - P_t\varphi(u_0^2)| \leq \frac{C_T'}{\sqrt{t}} \|\varphi\|_{\infty} |u_0^1 - u_0^2|_H, \qquad (28)$$

for u_0^1 , $u_0^2 \in H$ with $h^1(x) \leq u_0^1(x)$, $u_0^2(x) \leq h^2(x)$, where $\|\varphi\|_{\infty} = \sup_{u_0} |\varphi(u_0)|$. In particular, P_t , t > 0, is strong Feller.

We again consider approximating equations of the reflected SPDEs. We use Elworthy-Li formula to obtain a uniform strong Feller property for the approximating equations. The result follows by passing to the limit.

References

- [DP1] Donati-Martin, C., Pardoux, E. (1993). White noise driven SPDEs with reflection. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* 95 1-24.
- [DZ] Da Prato, G., Zabczyk, J., (1992). Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions. Encyclopedia Math. Appl., Cambridge Univ. Press.
- [MC] Mueller, C. (1993). Coupling and invariant measures for the heat equation with noise. Ann. Probab. **21**(4) 2189-2199.
- [NP] Naulart, D., Pardoux, E. (1992). White noise driven quasilinear SPDEs with reflection. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **93** 77-89.
- [OI] Otobe, Y. (2004). Invariant measures for SPDEs with reflection. *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **11** 425-446.

References

- [OS] Otobe, Y. (2006). Stochastic partial differential equations with two reflecting walls. J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 13 139-144.
- [PZ] Peszat, S., Zabczyk, J. (1995). Strong Feller property and irreducibility for diffusions on Hilbert spaces. *Ann. Probab.* 23 157-172.
- [SL1] Sowers, R. (1992). Large deviation for a reaction-diffusion equation with non-Gaussian perturbations. Ann. Probab. 20 504-537.
- [SL] Sowers, R. (1992). Large deviation for the invariant measure of a reaction-diffusion equation with non-Gaussian perturbations. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **92** 393-421.



References

- [XZ] Xu, T., Zhang, T. (2009). White noise driven SPDEs with reflection: existence, uniqueness and large deviation principles. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **119**(10) 3453-3470.
- [I] [ZL] L. Zambotti: A reflected stochastic heat equation as symmetric dynamics with respect to the 3 − d Bessel bridge, Journal of Functional Analysis 180 (2001) 195-209.
- [ZY] Zhang, T., Yang, J. (2011). White noise driven SPDEs with two reflecting walls. *Inf. Dim. Anal. Quant. Probab. Rel. Top.* 14:4 1-13.
- [ZW] Zhang, T. (2009). White noise driven SPDEs with reflection: strong Feller properties and Harnack inequalities. *Poten. Anal.* 33(2) 137-151.