

The Resolvents of Drift-accelerated Diffusions

Brice Franke and Shuenn-Jyi Sheu

Universite de Bretagne Occidentale in Brest, France
and National Central University, Taiwan

July 16-18, 2012

8th Workshop on Markov Processes and Related Topics
Beijing Normal University

1. Introduction-1

We consider $X^{(c)}(t)$:

$$(1.1) \quad dX^{(c)}(t) = cb(X^{(c)}(t))dt + dB(t).$$

$B(t)$ is the d -dim Brownian motion.

- $b(\cdot)$ is a smooth vector field with period 1.
 b is divergence free. That is,

$$(1.2) \quad \operatorname{div}(b) = 0.$$

- $X^{(c)}(t)$ is a diffusion process on d -dim torus.
- $X^{(c)}(t)$ has the Lebesgue measure as the invariance measure.
- We are interested in the behaviors of $X^{(c)}(t)$ as $c \rightarrow \infty$.

1. Introduction-2

We denote $\phi(t)$ the trajectory of the dynamical system:

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{d\phi}{dt} = b(\phi(t)).$$

When c is large, we see the following picture for (1.1).

- In a short time interval after t , $X^{(c)}$ traces a curve containing $X^{(c)}(t)$ following (1.3).
- Due to the noise induced by $B(\cdot)$, we will have a random movement of curve of (1.3).

Question:

- How to describe this rigorously?
- Why is this interesting?

1. Introduction-3

This is a particular example of a more general class of diffusion processes,

$$dX^{(c)}(t) = (-\nabla U(X^{(c)}(t)) + cb(X^{(c)}(t))dt + dB(t),$$

with U, b periodic and satisfying

$$\operatorname{div}(b \exp(-2U)) = 0,$$

such that they have μ as the invariance measure,

$$d\mu = \frac{1}{Z} \exp(-2U(x)) dx.$$

- Such diffusion processes appear in MCMC(Markov Chain Monte Carlo).
- One chooses particular b to simulate μ .
- We may also consider μ on R^d and we do not assume periodicity of U .
- How good is the approximation of μ using $X^{(c)}(t)$? How to choose a better b ?

1. Introduction-4

Why $b(\cdot) \neq 0$ and c is large?

- (I) For $b \neq 0$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$, $X^{(c)}(t)$ converges to the equilibrium faster when comparing with $X^{(0)}(t)$.
This explains why the case $b \neq 0$ is interesting.
- (IIa) For $b \neq 0$ and $c \neq 0$, the diffusion $X^{(c)}(t)$ is nonreversible. Calculation of the convergence rate of $X^{(c)}(t)$ to the equilibrium is in general impossible.
- (IIb) For $b \neq 0$, as $c \rightarrow \infty$, it is possible to give an expression of the convergence rate of $X^{(c)}(t)$ asymptotically.
This explains why to study the problem as $c \rightarrow \infty$ is interesting.

2. Convergence Rate-1

We assume $b(\cdot) \neq 0$.

- We denote

$$T_t^{(c)} f(x) = E_x[f(X^{(c)}(t))],$$
$$L^{(c)} f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta f(x) + cb(x) \nabla f(x).$$

- We consider the largest ρ (denoted as $\rho(c)$) such that

$$\int |T_t^{(c)} f(x)|^2 dx \leq c_f \exp(-\rho t)$$

for large t and f satisfying

$$\int f(x) dx = 0, \quad \int |f(x)|^2 dx < \infty.$$

2. Convergence Rate-2

- We have

$$\rho(c) = \inf\{-\operatorname{Re}(\rho); \rho \neq 0 \text{ is in the spectrum of } L^{(c)}\}.$$

$\rho(c)$ is also called spectral gap

This is the gap between 0 and the rest of spectrum

- $\rho(c)$ is used to measure the convergence rate of $X^{(c)}(t)$ to the equilibrium.

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}} |T_t^{(c)} f(x) - \pi(f)|^2 dx \leq c_f \exp(-\rho(c)t).$$

Here

$$\pi(f) = \int_{\mathbf{T}} f(x) dx.$$

2. Convergence Rate-3

- The spectral gap for a self-adjoint operator can be expressed by a variational form.
- This is the case for $c = 0$.

$$\rho(0) = 2\pi^2 = \inf\left\{\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{T}} |\nabla f(x)|^2 dx; \int_{\mathbf{T}} f(x) dx = 0, \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{T}} f(x)^2 dx = 1\right\}.$$

- For $c \neq 0$, we can not have such expression for $\rho(c)$. This causes difficulty to calculate $\rho(c)$ and the difference $\rho(c) - \rho(0)$.
- However, we always have $\rho(c) \geq \rho(0)$.

2. Convergence Rate-4

$$\rho(c) \geq \rho(0):$$

Here is a simple argument.

We assume $\pi(f) = 0$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbf{T}} |T_t^{(c)} f(x)|^2 dx &= 2 \int_{\mathbf{T}} T_t^{(c)} f(x) L^{(c)} T_t^{(c)} f(x) dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbf{T}} \nabla T_t^{(c)} f(x) \nabla T_t^{(c)} f(x) dx \\ &\leq -2\rho(0) \int_{\mathbf{T}} |T_t^{(c)} f(x)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}} |T_t^{(c)} f(x)|^2 dx \leq \exp(-2\rho(0)t) \int_{\mathbf{T}} |f(x)|^2 dx.$$

Therefore,

$$\rho(c) \geq \rho(0).$$

What is the gap of $\rho(c)$ and $\rho(0)$?

3. Limit of $\rho(c)$ -1

The following result is proved in Franke-Hwang-Pai-Sheu (2010).

Theorem 3.1.

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \rho(c) = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{T}} |\nabla \psi(x)|^2 dx; \exists \mu, b \nabla \psi = i \mu \psi, \int_{\mathbf{T}} \psi(x) dx = 0, \int_{\mathbf{T}} |\psi(x)|^2 dx = 1 \right\}.$$

In this expression, $\psi = \psi_1 + i\psi_2$, $i = \sqrt{-1}$.

Using such expression in some examples, $\rho(c)$ can be calculated approximately.

3. Limit of $\rho(c)$ -2

This result and our approach are both interesting.

A lower estimate (an easier part):

Theorem 3.2 We have

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \liminf_{c \rightarrow \infty} \rho(c) \\ & \geq \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{T}} |\nabla \psi(x)|^2 dx; \exists \mu, b \nabla \psi = i \mu \psi, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \int_{\mathbf{T}} \psi(x) dx = 0, \int_{\mathbf{T}} |\psi(x)|^2 dx = 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

3. Limit of $\rho(c)$ -3

An upper estimate:

The upper estimate gives some interesting information about the spectrum of $L^{(c)}$.

To describe, we need some notations.

$$(3.2) \quad H^1 = \{ \psi = \psi_1 + i\psi_2; \psi, \nabla\psi \in L^2, \\ \int_{\mathbf{T}} \psi_1(x) dx = 0 = \int_{\mathbf{T}} \psi_2(x) dx = 0 \},$$

$$(3.3) \quad H_\mu^1 = \{ \psi \in H^1; b\nabla\psi = i\mu\psi \}, \mu \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Using these notations, Theorem 3.1 can be stated

$$(3.4) \quad \lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \rho(c) \\ \geq \inf \{ \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla\psi_1|^2 + |\nabla\psi_2|^2; \int_{\mathbf{T}} \psi_1^2 + \psi_2^2 dx = 1, \\ \exists \mu \text{ such that } \psi = \psi_1 + i\psi_2 \in H_\mu^1 \}.$$

3. Limit of $\rho(c)$ -4

Let $\mu \in R$. Assume H_μ^1 has nonzero element. Define

$$\rho_\mu = \inf \left\{ \frac{\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{T}} |\nabla \psi(x)|^2 dx}{\int_{\mathbf{T}} |\psi(x)|^2 dx}; \psi \neq 0 \in H_\mu^1 \right\}$$

Theorem 3.3. Let μ, ρ_μ be defined as above. Then for any $r > 0$, there is $c_0 = c_0(r)$ such that for all $c \geq c_0$, there is $-\bar{\rho} + i\bar{\mu}$ in the spectrum of $L^{(c)}$ such that

$$(\bar{\rho} - \rho_\mu)^2 + (\bar{\mu} - c\mu)^2 < r^2.$$

3. Limit of $\rho(c)$ -5

- This theorem implies $L^{(c)}$ has an eigenvalue with large imaginary part near $c\mu$, if $\mu \neq 0$ and H_μ^1 contains nonzero elements.
- As another consequence of this theorem, we have

$$\limsup_{c \rightarrow \infty} \rho(c) \leq \rho_\mu,$$

if H_μ^1 contains nonzero elements. Together with (3.4), we have Theorem 3.1:

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \rho(c) = \inf_{\mu} \{\rho_\mu\}$$

4. The Convergence of $X^{(c)}$ -1

We show the convergence of $X^{(c)}(\cdot)$ in the following sense.

- We consider the resolvent of $X^{(c)}(t)$:

$$R_\lambda^{(c)}g(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T_t^{(c)}g(x) dt.$$

- We show the convergence of $R_\lambda^{(c)}g(x)$ as $c \rightarrow \infty$.
The limit is denoted by $R_\lambda^*g(x)$.
- R_λ^* is a selfadjoint pseudo-resolvent on $L^2(T)$.

4. The convergence of $X^{(c)}$ -2

- The selfadjointness of R_λ^* give a hope to use theory of Dirichlet form to construct a Markov process $X^*(t)$ with resolvent R_λ^* .
- On the other hand, the closure of the common range of R_λ^* in general is not the full space of $L^2(T)$.

On possible solution:

- Find a space X and a measure μ_X such that

$$\overline{R(R_\lambda^*)} \text{ is isometric to } L^2(X, \mu_X) .$$

$R(R_\lambda^*)$ is the range of R_λ^* .

- Then we can construct a Markov process $X^*(t)$ on X .
- The result is a random movement of the trajectory

$$\frac{d\phi(t)}{dt} = b(\phi(t)).$$

4. The convergence of $X^{(\epsilon)}$ -3

- Small random perturbation of Hamiltonian system is studied in several papers by Freidlin, Weber, Sower and others.

$$\begin{aligned}dX^{(\epsilon)}(t) &= H_y(X^{(\epsilon)}(t), Y^{(\epsilon)}(t))dt \\dY^{(\epsilon)}(t) &= -H_x(X^{(\epsilon)}(t), Y^{(\epsilon)}(t))dt + \sqrt{\epsilon}dB(t),\end{aligned}$$

$B(t)$ is a Brownian motion, ϵ is a small number,

$$H_x = \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}, \quad H_y = \frac{\partial H}{\partial y}.$$

- Large time asymptotics: $\bar{X}^{(\epsilon)} = X^{(\epsilon)}(\frac{t}{\epsilon})$, $\bar{Y}^{(\epsilon)} = Y^{(\epsilon)}(\frac{t}{\epsilon})$

$$\begin{aligned}d\bar{X}^{(\epsilon)}(t) &= \frac{1}{\epsilon}H_y(\bar{X}^{(\epsilon)}(t), \bar{Y}^{(\epsilon)}(t))dt \\d\bar{Y}^{(\epsilon)}(t) &= -\frac{1}{\epsilon}H_x(\bar{X}^{(\epsilon)}(t), \bar{Y}^{(\epsilon)}(t))dt + dB(t),\end{aligned}$$

This is a system similar to (1.1).

- Only two dimensional space is considered where the geometry of the trajectory is simpler.
- It may be possible to use our approach to generalize these results to the high dimensional spaces.

5. The Convergence of Resolvents-1

On d -dimensional torus, we consider

$$(5.1) \quad \psi_{n_1}(x_1)\psi_{n_2}(x_2)\cdots\psi_{n_d}(x_d),$$

where $\psi_0(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}$ and for $k \neq 0$,

$$\psi_k(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sin(kx), \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \cos(kx).$$

Each (5.1) is an eigenfunction of $\frac{1}{2}\Delta$. The eigenvalue is

$$-\frac{1}{2}(n_1^2 + n_2^2 + \cdots + n_d^2).$$

5. The Convergence of Resolvents-2

- We use $\phi_k, -\lambda_k$ to denote the collection of these eigenfunctions and eigenvalues.
- $\phi_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(T)$.
 $\lambda_k \rightarrow \infty, k \rightarrow \infty$.
- We denote

$$H^m = \left\{ \psi = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \psi_k; \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 \lambda_k^m < \infty \right\}.$$

- For $\psi \in H, \Delta\psi \in L^2(T)$.
- If m is sufficiently large and $\psi \in H^m$, then ψ is continuous.

5. The Convergence of Resolvents-3

Theorem 5.1 For $g \in H^1$ and $\lambda > 0$, $R_\lambda^{(c)}g$ converges to a ψ_* in H^1 as $c \rightarrow \infty$.

(a) $B\psi_* = 0$, where we denote

$$B\psi = b\nabla\psi.$$

(b) We define

$$R_\lambda^*g = \psi_*.$$

Then R_λ^* is selfadjoint pseudo-resolvent.

The resolvent relation:

$$(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)R_{\lambda_1}^*R_{\lambda_2}^* = R_{\lambda_2}^* - R_{\lambda_1}^*, \quad \lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0.$$

The selfadjointness:

$$\langle R_\lambda^*g_1, g_2 \rangle = \langle g_1, R_\lambda^*g_2 \rangle.$$

Here we denote

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \int_T f_1(x)f_2(x)dx.$$

5. The Convergence of Resolvents-4

Since $\psi_c = R_\lambda^{(c)}g$ satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2}\psi_c + cb\nabla\psi_c - \lambda\psi_c = -g,$$

we expect (a).

Theorem 5.2 For all $\lambda > 0$,

$$\overline{Range(R_\lambda^*)} = \overline{Ker(B) \cap H^1}.$$

We see the $\overline{Range(R_\lambda^*)}$ is not $L^2(T)$.

6. State Space-1

Let $\Phi_t(x)$ be the solution of

$$\frac{d\phi(t)}{dt} = b(\phi(t)), \quad \phi(0) = x.$$

We define an equivalent relation on T as follows.

x, y are equivalent if for all $\epsilon > 0$ there is

$$z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n, t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n+1},$$

such that

$$d(\Phi_{t_0}(x), \Phi_{s_1}(z_1)) + d(\Phi_{t_1}(z_1), \Phi_{s_2}(z_2)) + \dots + d(\Phi_{t_n}(z_n), \Phi_{s_{n+1}}(y)) \leq \epsilon.$$

- The equivalent class containing x is denoted by $[x]$.
- X is the collection of all equivalent classes.

6. State Space-2

- We define $d([x], [y])$ equal to

$$\inf\{d(\Phi_{t_0}(x), \Phi_{s_1}(z_1)) + d(\Phi_{t_1}(z_1), \Phi_{s_2}(z_2)) + \cdots + d(\Phi_{t_n}(z_n), \Phi_{s_{n+1}}(y))\}$$

over all possible n and

$$z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n, t_0, t_1, \cdots, t_n, s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_{n+1}.$$

- X is a metric space with metric $d([x], [y])$.
- We define $p_X : T \rightarrow X$, $p_X(x) = [x]$.
- Define $\mu = \pi \circ p_X^{-1}$ a probability measure on $(X, \mathcal{B}(X))$.
 π is the Lebesgue measure on T .

6. State Space-3

Theorem 6.1 The spaces $\overline{\text{Ker}(B) \cap H^1}$ and $L^2(X, \mu)$ are isometric.

- We define

$$\Sigma = \{\rho_X^{-1}(A); A \in \mathcal{B}(X)\}.$$

$$I_X^2 = \{\psi \in L^2(T) : \psi \text{ is } \Sigma\text{-measurable}\}.$$

- $P_I : L^2(T) \rightarrow I^2$ is the projection.
- For any Σ measurable ψ , there is a unique $\mathcal{B}(X)$ measurable ψ_X on X such that

$$\psi(x) = \psi_X(\rho_X(x)).$$

We write

$$\psi_X = R(\psi).$$

- The isometry in Theorem 6.1 is given by $\psi \rightarrow R(P_I(\psi))$.

- Hwang, C.R., Hwang-Ma, S.Y. and Sheu, S.J.(1993), Accelerating Gaussian diffusions, Ann. Appl. Probab.**3** 897-913.
- Hwang, C.R. and Sheu, S.J.(2000), On some quadratic perturbation of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes, Soochow J. Math. **26** 22-37
- Hwang, C.R, Hwang-Ma, S.Y and Sheu, S.J.(2005), Accelerating diffusions, Ann. Appl. Probab. **15**, 1433-1444.
- Hwang, C.R. and Pei, H. M. (2006), Blowing up Spectral Gap of Laplacian on N -Torus by Antisymmetric Perturbations, preprint.
- Franke, B., Hwang, C.-R., Pai, H. M., Sheu, S. J. (2010) The behavior of spectral gap under growing drift, Tran, AMS, **362**, 1325-1350.

References

- Freidlin, M. and Weber, M. (1998), Random perturbations of nonlinear oscillators, Ann. Probab., **26**, 925-967.
- Freidlin, M. and Weber, M. (2004), Random perturbation of dynamical systems and diffusion processes with conservation laws, PTRF, **128**, 441-466.
- M. Hairer¹ and G. A. Pavliotis¹ (2004), Periodic Homogenization for Hypocoelliptic Diffusions, Journal of Statistical Physics, **117**, 261-279
- Ishii, H. and Souganidis, P. (2012), A PDE approach to small stochastic perturbations of Hamiltonian flows, J. Diff. Eq., **252**, 1748-1775.
- Brin, M. and Freidlin, M. (2000), On stochastic behavior of perturbed Hamiltonian systems, Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys. , **20**, 55V76
- Constantin, P., Kislev, A., Ryzhik, L., and Zlatos, A.(2006) Diffusion and mixing in fluid flows, preprint.