

Variation of optimal transport maps in Sobolev spaces

Shizan Fang
Université de Bourgogne

July, 2012

This talk is based on a work with V. Nolot: *Sobolev estimates for optimal transport maps on Gaussian spaces.*

Problem: Let on \mathbb{R}^d ,

$$(T_1)_* : e^{-V_1\gamma} \rightarrow e^{-W_1\gamma},$$

$$(T_2)_* : e^{-V_2\gamma} \rightarrow e^{-W_2\gamma}$$

be optimal transport maps. How to compute the variation

$$T_1 - T_2$$

with dimension free estimates?

Facts:

Let $\nabla\Phi : e^{-V} dx \rightarrow e^{-W} dx$ be the optimal transport map.

L.V. Caffarelli (2000'): if W is convex and $V = \frac{|x|^2}{2}$, then

$$|\nabla\Phi(x) - \nabla\Phi(y)| \leq |x - y|.$$

A.V. Kolesnikov (2011'): V, W are smooth, bounded from below and $\nabla^2 W \geq K_1 Id$ with $K_1 > 0$, then Φ is smooth and

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|\nabla^2\Phi(x)\|_{HS} < +\infty.$$

But the constant on the right hand is dimension dependent.

A dimension free estimate has also been given by A.V. Kolesnikov (2011'):

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla V|^2 e^{-V} dx \geq K_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\nabla^2 \Phi\|_{HS}^2 e^{-V} dx.$$

Although the constant K_1 is of dimension free, but on infinite dimensional spaces, $\nabla^2 \Phi$ usually is not of Hilbert-Schmidt class. Let $\nabla \Phi(x) = x + \nabla \varphi(x)$. A dimension free inequality for $\|\nabla^2 \varphi\|_{HS}^2$ has been established by A.V. Kolesnikov (2011'), under the hypothesis

$$\nabla^2 W \leq K_2 Id.$$

Related works have been done by G. De Philippis and A. Figalli. See arXive 2011 and 2012.

Here are our results:

Theorem (A)

Let $e^{-V} d\gamma$ and $e^{-W} d\gamma$ be two probability measures on \mathbb{R}^d , where γ is the standard Gaussian measure on \mathbb{R}^d . Suppose that $\nabla^2 W \geq -c Id$ with $c \in [0, 1[$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla V|^2 e^{-V} d\gamma - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla W|^2 e^{-W} d\gamma \\ & \quad + \frac{2}{1-c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\nabla^2 W\|_{HS}^2 e^{-W} d\gamma \\ & \geq 2\text{Ent}_\gamma(e^{-V}) - 2\text{Ent}_\gamma(e^{-W}) \\ & \quad + \frac{1-c}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\nabla^2 \varphi\|_{HS}^2 e^{-V} d\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (B)

Let $\nabla\Phi_1 : e^{-V_1\gamma} \rightarrow e^{-W_1\gamma}$ and $\nabla\Phi_2 : e^{-V_2\gamma} \rightarrow e^{-W_2\gamma}$. Assume that $\nabla^2 W_1 \geq -c \text{Id}$ for $c < 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla\Phi_1 - \nabla\Phi_2|^2 e^{-V_2} d\gamma \\ & \leq \frac{4}{1-c} \text{Ent}_{e^{-V_1\gamma}}(e^{V_1-V_2}) + \frac{4}{(1-c)^2} \int |\nabla(W_1 - W_2)|^2 e^{-W_2} d\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (C)

Let $1 \leq p < 2$ and

$$M(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \left\| \left\| I + \nabla^2 \varphi_1 \right\|_{op} \right\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{2-p}}(e^{-V_2 \gamma})}^2 \vee \left\| \left\| I + \nabla^2 \varphi_2 \right\|_{op} \right\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{2-p}}(e^{-V_2 \gamma})}^2.$$

Assume that $\nabla^2 W_1 \geq -c Id$ with $c \in [0, 1[$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \nabla^2 \varphi_1 - \nabla^2 \varphi_2 \right\|_{L^p(e^{-V_2 \gamma})}^2 &\leq 2M(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \left[3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (V_1 - V_2) e^{-V_2} d\gamma \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2}{1-c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla(W_1 - W_2)|^2 e^{-W_2} d\gamma \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Application:

Theorem (D)

Let (X, H, μ) be an abstract Wiener space. Consider $V \in \mathbb{D}_1^2(X)$, $W \in \mathbb{D}_2^2(X)$ such that $\int_X e^{-V} d\mu = \int_X e^{-W} d\mu = 1$. Assume that for $c \in [0, 1[$,

$$0 < \delta_1 \leq e^{-V} \leq \delta_2, \quad e^{-W} \leq \delta_2, \quad \nabla^2 W \geq -c \text{Id},$$

then there exists a function $\varphi \in \mathbb{D}_2^2(X)$ such that $x \rightarrow x + \nabla\varphi(x)$ pushes $e^{-V} \mu$ to $e^{-W} \mu$ and solves the Monge-Ampère equation

$$e^{-V} = e^{-W(T)} e^{\mathcal{L}\varphi - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla\varphi|^2} \det_2(\text{Id}_{H \otimes H} + \nabla^2\varphi),$$

where $T(x) = x + \nabla\varphi(x)$.

Remark:






- Due to the singularity of the cost function $(x, y) \rightarrow |x - y|_H$ on $X \times X$, we do not know if $x \rightarrow T(x) := x + \nabla\varphi(x)$ in Theorem D is the optimal transport map; however if W satisfies



$$(*) \quad W_n \in \mathbb{D}_2^2(H_n) \text{ for all } n \geq 1,$$

where $\pi_n : X \rightarrow H_n$ is a finite dimensional approximation, and $e^{-W_n} = \mathbb{E}^{\pi_n}(e^{-W})$; then T is the optimal transport map.

- The result in Theorem D includes two special cases: (i) the source measure is the Wiener measure, by Feyel and Üstünel; (ii) the target measure is the Wiener measure, by Bogachev and Kolesnikov.

- For an orthonormal basis $\{e_n; n \geq 1\}$ of H , define $W(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n e_n(x)^2$, where $\lambda_n > -1/2$ and $\sum_{n \geq 1} |\lambda_n| < +\infty$; then W satisfies $(*)$.

-  L.V. Caffarelli, Monotonicity properties of optimal transportation and FKG and related inequalities, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 214 (2000), 547-563.
-  A.V. Kolesnikov, On Sobolev regularity of mass transport and transportation inequalities, *arXiv:1007.1103v3*, 2011.
-  A.V. Kolesnikov, Convexity inequalities and optimal transport of infinite-dimensional measures, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 83 (2004), 1373-1404.
-  V.I. Bogachev and A.V. Kolesnikov, Sobolev regularity for Monge-Ampère equation in the Wiener space, *arXiv:1110.1822v1*, 2011.
-  D. Feyel and A.S. Üstünel, Monge-Kantorovich measure transportation and Monge-Ampère equation on Wiener space, *Prob. Th. related Fields*, 128(2004), 347-385.

-  D. Feyel and A.S. Üstünel, Solution of the Monge-Ampère equation on Wiener space for general log-concave measures, *J. Funct. Analysis*, 232 (2006), 29-55.
-  D. Feyel and A.S. Üstünel, The notion of convexity and concavity on the Wiener space, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 176 (2000), 400-428.

Proof of results:

A priori estimate:

Let $(\nabla\Phi_1)_* : h_1\gamma \rightarrow f_1\gamma$, $(\nabla\Phi_2)_* : h_2\gamma \rightarrow f_2\gamma$. Then by change of variables, for $\alpha = \frac{|x|^2}{2}$,

$$f_1(\nabla\Phi_1)e^{-\alpha(\nabla\Phi_1)} \det(\nabla^2\Phi_1) = h_1e^{-\alpha},$$

$$f_2(\nabla\Phi_2)e^{-\alpha(\nabla\Phi_2)} \det(\nabla^2\Phi_2) = h_2e^{-\alpha}.$$

Let S_2 be the inverse map of $\nabla\Phi_2$. Acting on the right by S_2 , we get

$$f_1(\nabla\Phi_1(S_2))e^{-\alpha(\nabla\Phi_1(S_2))} \det(\nabla^2\Phi_1(S_2)) = h_1(S_2)e^{-\alpha(S_2)},$$

$$f_2e^{-\alpha} \det(\nabla^2\Phi_2(S_2)) = h_2(S_2)e^{-\alpha(S_2)}.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{f_1}{f_2} \cdot \frac{f_1(\nabla\Phi_1(S_2))e^{-\alpha(\nabla\Phi_1(S_2))}}{f_1e^{-\alpha}} \cdot \det\left[(\nabla^2\Phi_1)(\nabla^2\Phi_2)^{-1}\right](S_2) = \frac{h_1(S_2)}{h_2(S_2)}.$$

Taking the logarithm yields

$$\begin{aligned} \log\left(\frac{f_1}{f_2}\right) + \log(f_1e^{-\alpha})(\nabla\Phi_1(S_2)) - \log(f_1e^{-\alpha}) \\ + \log \det\left[(\nabla^2\Phi_1)(\nabla^2\Phi_2)^{-1}\right](S_2) = \log\left(\frac{h_1}{h_2}\right)(S_2). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \log\left(\frac{h_1}{h_2}\right)(S_2) f_2 d\mu - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \log\left(\frac{f_1}{f_2}\right) f_2 d\gamma \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \log \det\left[(\nabla^2\Phi_1)(\nabla^2\Phi_2)^{-1}\right](S_2) f_2 d\gamma \quad (1) \\ + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[\log(f_1e^{-\alpha})(\nabla\Phi_1(S_2)) - \log(f_1e^{-\alpha})\right] f_2 d\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

By Taylor formula up to order 2,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \log(f_1 e^{-\alpha})(\nabla\Phi_1(S_2)) - \log(f_1 e^{-\alpha}) \\
 &= \langle \nabla \log(f_1 e^{-\alpha}), \nabla\Phi_1(S_2(x)) - x \rangle \\
 &+ \int_0^1 (1-t) \left[\nabla^2 \log(f_1 e^{-\alpha})((1-t)x + t\nabla\Phi_1(S_2(x))) \right] \\
 &\quad \cdot (\nabla\Phi_1(S_2(x)) - x)^2 dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

By integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla \log(f_1 e^{-\alpha}), \nabla\Phi_1(S_2(x)) - x \rangle f_2 d\gamma \\
 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \text{Trace} \left[\nabla^2 \Phi_1(S_2) \cdot (\nabla^2 \Phi_2)^{-1}(S_2) - Id \right] f_2 d\gamma \\
 &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla\Phi_1(S_2(x)) - x, \nabla(\log \frac{f_2}{f_1}) \rangle f_2 d\gamma.
 \end{aligned}$$

For a matrix A , the Fredholm-Carleman determinant $\det_2(A)$ is defined by $\det_2(A) = e^{\text{Trace}(Id-A)} \det(A)$. So

$$\log \det_2(A) = \text{Trace}(Id - A) + \log \det(A).$$

Theorem (B')

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ent}_{h_1\gamma}\left(\frac{h_2}{h_1}\right) - \text{Ent}_{f_1\gamma}\left(\frac{f_2}{f_1}\right) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla\Phi_1 - \nabla\Phi_2, \nabla(\log \frac{f_2}{f_1})(\nabla\Phi_2) \rangle h_2 d\gamma \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \log \det_2\left((\nabla^2\Phi_2)^{-1/2} \nabla^2\Phi_1 (\nabla^2\Phi_2)^{-1/2}\right) h_2 d\gamma \\ &+ \int_0^1 (1-t) dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[-\nabla^2 \log(f_1 e^{-\alpha})((1-t)\nabla\Phi_2 + t\nabla\Phi_1) \right] \\ &\quad \cdot (\nabla\Phi_1 - \nabla\Phi_2)^2 h_2 d\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Assume $\nabla^2(-\log f) \geq -c Id$; then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla\Phi_1 - \nabla\Phi_2|^2 h_2 d\gamma \leq \frac{4}{1-c} \left(\text{Ent}_{h_1\gamma} \left(\frac{h_2}{h_1} \right) - \text{Ent}_{f_1\gamma} \left(\frac{f_2}{f_1} \right) \right) + \frac{4}{(1-c)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla \log \frac{f_2}{f_1}|^2 f_2 d\gamma.$$

If moreover $f_1 = f_2$, then

$$\frac{1-c}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla\Phi_1 - \nabla\Phi_2|^2 h_2 d\gamma \leq \text{Ent}_{h_1\gamma} \left(\frac{h_2}{h_1} \right).$$

It is the content of Theorem B. We will give a sketch of the proof to Theorem A. Let e^{-V} and e^{-W} be two density functions with respect to γ . Let $(\nabla\Phi)_* : e^{-V}\gamma \rightarrow e^{-W}\gamma$, that is,

For $F \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F(\nabla\Phi) e^{-V} d\gamma = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F e^{-W} d\gamma.$$

Let $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$; then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F(\nabla\Phi(x+a)) e^{-V(x+a)} e^{-\langle x, a \rangle - \frac{1}{2}|a|^2} d\gamma = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F(\nabla\Phi) e^{-V} d\gamma.$$

Denote by τ_a the translation by a , and $M_a(x) = e^{-\langle x, a \rangle - \frac{1}{2}|a|^2}$, then

$$\nabla(\tau_a\Phi)_* : e^{-\tau_a V} M_a \gamma \rightarrow e^{-W} \gamma.$$

Let $h_1 = e^{-\tau_a V} M_a$, $h_2 = e^{-V}$. By Theorem B' and

$\text{Ent}_{h_1\gamma}(\frac{h_2}{h_1}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\tau_a V - V + \langle x, a \rangle + \frac{1}{2}|a|^2) e^{-V} d\gamma$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\tau_a V - V + \langle x, a \rangle + \frac{1}{2}|a|^2) e^{-V} d\gamma \\ & \geq \int_0^1 1(1-t) dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[(Id + \nabla^2 W)(\Lambda(t, x, a)) \right] \\ & \quad \cdot (\nabla\Phi(x) - \nabla\Phi(x+a))^2 e^{-V} d\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda(t, x, a) = (1 - t)\nabla\Phi(x) + t\nabla\Phi(x + a)$. Note that as $a \rightarrow 0$, $\Lambda(t, x, a) \rightarrow \nabla\Phi(x)$. Replacing a by $-a$, and summing these inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (V(x + a) + V(x - a) - 2V(x) + |a|^2) e^{-V} d\gamma \\ & \geq \int_0^1 (1 - t) dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[(Id + \nabla^2 W)(\Lambda(t, x, a)) \right] \\ & \quad \cdot (\nabla\Phi(x) - \nabla\Phi(x + a))^2 e^{-V} d\gamma \\ & + \int_0^1 (1 - t) dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[(Id + \nabla^2 W)(\Lambda(t, x, -a)) \right] \\ & \quad \cdot (\nabla\Phi(x) - \nabla\Phi(x - a))^2 e^{-V} d\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

Replacing a by εa and dividing by ε^2 the two hand sides, letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[D_a^2 V + |a|^2 \right] e^{-V} d\gamma \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (Id + \nabla^2 W)_{\nabla \Phi} (D_a \nabla \Phi, D_a \nabla \Phi) e^{-V} d\gamma.$$

By integration by parts,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} D_a^2 V e^{-V} d\gamma = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (D_a V)^2 e^{-V} d\gamma + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} D_a V \langle a, x \rangle e^{-V} d\gamma.$$

Write $\nabla \Phi(x) = x + \nabla \varphi(x)$, then

$|D_a \nabla \Phi|^2 = |a|^2 + 2\langle a, D_a \nabla \varphi \rangle + |D_a \nabla \varphi|^2$. Summing a on an orthonormal basis gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla V|^2 e^{-V} d\gamma + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle x, \nabla V \rangle e^{-V} d\gamma \\ & \geq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta \varphi e^{-V} d\gamma + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\nabla^2 \varphi\|_{HS}^2 e^{-V} d\gamma \\ & \quad + \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla^2 W_{\nabla \Phi} (D_a \nabla \Phi, D_a \nabla \Phi) e^{-V} d\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Let $N_W(\nabla^2\varphi) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}} \nabla^2 W_{\nabla\Phi}(D_a \nabla\varphi, D_a \nabla\varphi)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla^2 W_{\nabla\Phi}(D_a \nabla\varphi, D_a \nabla\varphi) e^{-V} d\gamma \\ & \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Delta W)_{\nabla\Phi} e^{-V} d\gamma + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla^2 W_{\nabla\Phi}, \nabla^2\varphi \rangle_{HS} e^{-V} d\gamma \\ & \quad - c \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\nabla^2\varphi\|_{HS}^2 e^{-V} d\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla V|^2 e^{-V} d\gamma + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle x, \nabla V \rangle e^{-V} d\gamma \\ & \geq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta\varphi e^{-V} d\gamma + (1-c) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\nabla^2\varphi\|_{HS}^2 e^{-V} d\gamma \quad (2) \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta W_{\nabla\Phi} e^{-V} d\gamma + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla^2 W_{\nabla\Phi}, \nabla^2\varphi \rangle_{HS} e^{-V} d\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

In order to obtain desired terms, we first use the relation

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x + \nabla\varphi(x)|^2 e^{-V} d\gamma = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 e^{-W} d\gamma$$

which gives that

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle x, \nabla\varphi(x) \rangle e^{-V} d\gamma &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 e^{-W} d\gamma - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 e^{-V} d\gamma \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla\varphi(x)|^2 e^{-V} d\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathcal{L} be the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator:

$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \Delta f(x) - \langle x, \nabla f \rangle$. Remark that $\mathcal{L}(\frac{1}{2}|x|^2) = d - |x|^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 e^{-W} d\gamma - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^2 e^{-V} d\gamma \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle x, \nabla W \rangle e^{-W} d\gamma + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle x, \nabla V \rangle e^{-V} d\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle x, \nabla \varphi(x) \rangle e^{-V} d\gamma &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle x, \nabla W \rangle e^{-W} d\gamma \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle x, \nabla V \rangle e^{-V} d\gamma - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla \varphi|^2 e^{-V} d\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from Monge-Ampère equation,

$$e^{-V} = e^{-W(\nabla \Phi)} e^{\mathcal{L}\varphi - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla \varphi|^2} \det_2(\text{Id} + \nabla^2 \varphi),$$

we have

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi = -V + W(\nabla \Phi) + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla \varphi|^2 - \log \det_2(\text{Id} + \nabla^2 \varphi),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}\varphi e^{-V} d\gamma &= \text{Ent}_\gamma(e^{-V}) - \text{Ent}_\gamma(e^{-W}) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla \varphi|^2 e^{-V} d\gamma \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \log \det_2(\text{Id} + \nabla^2 \varphi) e^{-V} d\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Combing two above equalities gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta \varphi e^{-V} d\gamma &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}\varphi e^{-V} d\gamma + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle x, \nabla \varphi \rangle e^{-V} d\gamma \\
 &= 2 \text{Ent}_\gamma(e^{-V}) - 2 \text{Ent}_\gamma(e^{-W}) - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \log \det \frac{1}{2} (Id + \nabla^2 \varphi) e^{-V} d\gamma \\
 &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle x, \nabla W \rangle e^{-W} d\gamma + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle x, \nabla V \rangle e^{-V} d\gamma.
 \end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla V|^2 e^{-V} d\gamma + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle x, \nabla V \rangle e^{-V} d\gamma \\
 &\geq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta \varphi e^{-V} d\gamma + (1 - c) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\nabla^2 \varphi\|_{HS}^2 e^{-V} d\gamma \quad (2) \\
 &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta W e^{-W} d\gamma + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla^2 W_{\nabla \Phi}, \nabla^2 \varphi \rangle_{HS} e^{-V} d\gamma.
 \end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla V|^2 e^{-V} d\gamma &\geq 2\text{Ent}_\gamma(e^{-V}) - 2\text{Ent}_\gamma(e^{-W}) \\ &+ (1-c) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\nabla^2 \varphi\|_{HS}^2 e^{-V} d\gamma \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}W e^{-W} d\gamma + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla^2 W(\nabla \Phi), \nabla^2 \varphi \rangle_{HS} e^{-V} d\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Now by

$$\begin{aligned} &2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\langle \nabla^2 W(\nabla \Phi), \nabla^2 \varphi \rangle_{HS}| e^{-V} d\gamma \\ &\leq \frac{1-c}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\nabla^2 \varphi\|_{HS}^2 e^{-V} d\gamma + \frac{2}{1-c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\nabla^2 W\|_{HS}^2 e^{-W} d\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

We get Theorem A.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla V|^2 e^{-V} d\gamma - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla W|^2 e^{-W} d\gamma + \frac{2}{1-c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\nabla^2 W\|_{HS}^2 e^{-W} d\gamma \\ & \geq 2\text{Ent}_\gamma(e^{-V}) - 2\text{Ent}_\gamma(e^{-W}) + \frac{1-c}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\nabla^2 \varphi\|_{HS}^2 e^{-V} d\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks a lot for your attention!