

Functional inequalities for subelliptic diffusion operators via curvature bounds

Fabrice Baudoin

Purdue University
Workshop on Markov processes and related topics
Beijing Normal University, 2012

July 18

The curvature dimension inequality on Riemannian manifolds

In Riemannian geometry the Ricci tensor plays a fundamental role. Its connection with the Laplace-Beltrami operator is given by the celebrated Bochner's identity:

The curvature dimension inequality on Riemannian manifolds

In Riemannian geometry the Ricci tensor plays a fundamental role. Its connection with the Laplace-Beltrami operator is given by the celebrated Bochner's identity: If \mathbb{M} is a Riemannian manifold with Laplacian Δ , then for every smooth f :

$$\Delta(\|\nabla f\|^2) = 2\|\nabla^2 f\|^2 + 2\langle \nabla f, \nabla \Delta f \rangle + 2\text{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f).$$

The curvature dimension inequality on Riemannian manifolds

In Riemannian geometry the Ricci tensor plays a fundamental role. Its connection with the Laplace-Beltrami operator is given by the celebrated Bochner's identity: If \mathbb{M} is a Riemannian manifold with Laplacian Δ , then for every smooth f :

$$\Delta(\|\nabla f\|^2) = 2\|\nabla^2 f\|^2 + 2\langle \nabla f, \nabla \Delta f \rangle + 2\mathbf{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f).$$

Thanks to this equality, a Ricci lower bound translates into the so-called curvature dimension inequality.

The curvature dimension inequality on Riemannian manifolds

In Riemannian geometry the Ricci tensor plays a fundamental role. Its connection with the Laplace-Beltrami operator is given by the celebrated Bochner's identity: If \mathbb{M} is a Riemannian manifold with Laplacian Δ , then for every smooth f :

$$\Delta(\|\nabla f\|^2) = 2\|\nabla^2 f\|^2 + 2\langle \nabla f, \nabla \Delta f \rangle + 2\mathbf{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f).$$

Thanks to this equality, a Ricci lower bound translates into the so-called curvature dimension inequality. Indeed, consider the bilinear differential forms

$$\Gamma(f, g) = \frac{1}{2} (\Delta(fg) - f\Delta g - g\Delta f) = \langle \nabla f, \nabla g \rangle$$

The curvature dimension inequality on Riemannian manifolds

In Riemannian geometry the Ricci tensor plays a fundamental role. Its connection with the Laplace-Beltrami operator is given by the celebrated Bochner's identity: If \mathbb{M} is a Riemannian manifold with Laplacian Δ , then for every smooth f :

$$\Delta(\|\nabla f\|^2) = 2\|\nabla^2 f\|^2 + 2\langle \nabla f, \nabla \Delta f \rangle + 2\text{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f).$$

Thanks to this equality, a Ricci lower bound translates into the so-called curvature dimension inequality. Indeed, consider the bilinear differential forms

$$\Gamma(f, g) = \frac{1}{2} (\Delta(fg) - f\Delta g - g\Delta f) = \langle \nabla f, \nabla g \rangle$$

and

$$\Gamma_2(f, g) = \frac{1}{2} (\Delta\Gamma(f, g) - \Gamma(f, \Delta g) - \Gamma(\Delta f, g)).$$

The curvature dimension inequality on Riemannian manifolds

The Bochner's identity then simply writes

$$\Gamma_2(f) = \|\nabla^2 f\|^2 + \mathbf{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f),$$

The curvature dimension inequality on Riemannian manifolds

The Bochner's identity then simply writes

$$\Gamma_2(f) = \|\nabla^2 f\|^2 + \mathbf{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f),$$

and it is easy to see that:

The curvature dimension inequality on Riemannian manifolds

The Bochner's identity then simply writes

$$\Gamma_2(f) = \|\nabla^2 f\|^2 + \mathbf{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f),$$

and it is easy to see that:

Theorem

We have $\mathbf{Ric} \geq \rho$ and $\dim \mathbb{M} \leq n$ if and only if for every smooth f ,

$$\Gamma_2(f) \geq \frac{1}{n}(\Delta f)^2 + \rho \Gamma(f).$$

The curvature dimension inequality on Riemannian manifolds

The Bochner's identity then simply writes

$$\Gamma_2(f) = \|\nabla^2 f\|^2 + \mathbf{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f),$$

and it is easy to see that:

Theorem

We have $\mathbf{Ric} \geq \rho$ and $\dim \mathbb{M} \leq n$ if and only if for every smooth f ,

$$\Gamma_2(f) \geq \frac{1}{n}(\Delta f)^2 + \rho\Gamma(f).$$

This leads to the notion of intrinsic curvature-dimension bounds for diffusion operators.

The curvature dimension inequality on Riemannian manifolds

The Bochner's identity then simply writes

$$\Gamma_2(f) = \|\nabla^2 f\|^2 + \mathbf{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f),$$

and it is easy to see that:

Theorem

We have $\mathbf{Ric} \geq \rho$ and $\dim \mathbb{M} \leq n$ if and only if for every smooth f ,

$$\Gamma_2(f) \geq \frac{1}{n}(\Delta f)^2 + \rho \Gamma(f).$$

This leads to the notion of intrinsic curvature-dimension bounds for diffusion operators. To be satisfied, the curvature-dimension inequality requires some form of ellipticity.

The sub-Riemannian geometry of CR manifolds

A Cauchy-Riemann manifold (\mathbb{M}, θ) is a smooth manifold of real dimension $2n + 1$ endowed with the following data:

The sub-Riemannian geometry of CR manifolds

A Cauchy-Riemann manifold (\mathbb{M}, θ) is a smooth manifold of real dimension $2n + 1$ endowed with the following data:

- ▶ A n -dimensional complex sub-bundle \mathcal{C} of the complexified bundle $\mathbb{C}T\mathbb{M}$ that satisfies $[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}] \subset \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C} \cap \bar{\mathcal{C}} = 0$;

The sub-Riemannian geometry of CR manifolds

A Cauchy-Riemann manifold (\mathbb{M}, θ) is a smooth manifold of real dimension $2n + 1$ endowed with the following data:

- ▶ A n -dimensional complex sub-bundle \mathcal{C} of the complexified bundle $\mathbb{C}T\mathbb{M}$ that satisfies $[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}] \subset \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C} \cap \bar{\mathcal{C}} = 0$;
- ▶ A contact form θ such that $\mathbf{Ker}(\theta) = \mathbf{Re}(\mathcal{C} \oplus \bar{\mathcal{C}})$.

The sub-Riemannian geometry of CR manifolds

A Cauchy-Riemann manifold (\mathbb{M}, θ) is a smooth manifold of real dimension $2n + 1$ endowed with the following data:

- ▶ A n -dimensional complex sub-bundle \mathcal{C} of the complexified bundle $\mathbb{C}T\mathbb{M}$ that satisfies $[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}] \subset \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C} \cap \bar{\mathcal{C}} = 0$;
- ▶ A contact form θ such that $\mathbf{Ker}(\theta) = \mathbf{Re}(\mathcal{C} \oplus \bar{\mathcal{C}})$.

CR manifolds, in particular, naturally appear as boundaries of domains in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} that are biholomorphically equivalent to the unit ball.

The sub-Riemannian geometry of CR manifolds

A Cauchy-Riemann manifold (\mathbb{M}, θ) is a smooth manifold of real dimension $2n + 1$ endowed with the following data:

- ▶ A n -dimensional complex sub-bundle \mathcal{C} of the complexified bundle $\mathbb{C}T\mathbb{M}$ that satisfies $[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}] \subset \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C} \cap \bar{\mathcal{C}} = 0$;
- ▶ A contact form θ such that $\mathbf{Ker}(\theta) = \mathbf{Re}(\mathcal{C} \oplus \bar{\mathcal{C}})$.

CR manifolds, in particular, naturally appear as boundaries of domains in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} that are biholomorphically equivalent to the unit ball.

The CR manifold is said to be strictly pseudo-convex if the bilinear form

$$g_{\theta}(X, Y) = d\theta(X, JY)$$

is positive definite on $\mathbf{Ker}(\theta)$.

The sub-Riemannian geometry of CR manifolds

The real sub-bundle $\mathcal{H} = \mathbf{Re}(\mathcal{C} \oplus \bar{\mathcal{C}})$ is the set of horizontal directions.

The sub-Riemannian geometry of CR manifolds

The real sub-bundle $\mathcal{H} = \mathbf{Re}(\mathcal{C} \oplus \bar{\mathcal{C}})$ is the set of horizontal directions. There is a unique globally defined nowhere zero vector field T on \mathbb{M} such that $\theta(T) = 1$, $\iota_T d\theta = 0$.

The sub-Riemannian geometry of CR manifolds

The real sub-bundle $\mathcal{H} = \mathbf{Re}(\mathcal{C} \oplus \bar{\mathcal{C}})$ is the set of horizontal directions. There is a unique globally defined nowhere zero vector field T on \mathbb{M} such that $\theta(T) = 1$, $\iota_T d\theta = 0$. It is called the Reeb vector field.

The sub-Riemannian geometry of CR manifolds

The real sub-bundle $\mathcal{H} = \mathbf{Re}(\mathcal{C} \oplus \bar{\mathcal{C}})$ is the set of horizontal directions. There is a unique globally defined nowhere zero vector field T on \mathbb{M} such that $\theta(T) = 1$, $\iota_T d\theta = 0$. It is called the Reeb vector field. It is transverse to \mathcal{H} and defines the vertical direction.

The sub-Riemannian geometry of CR manifolds

The real sub-bundle $\mathcal{H} = \mathbf{Re}(\mathcal{C} \oplus \bar{\mathcal{C}})$ is the set of horizontal directions. There is a unique globally defined nowhere zero vector field T on \mathbb{M} such that $\theta(T) = 1$, $\iota_T d\theta = 0$. It is called the Reeb vector field. It is transverse to \mathcal{H} and defines the vertical direction.

The sub-Laplacian Δ on \mathbb{M} is the diffusion operator on \mathbb{M} which is symmetric with respect to the volume form $\theta \wedge (d\theta)^n$ and such that

$$\Gamma(f) = \|\nabla^{\mathcal{H}} f\|^2.$$

where $\nabla^{\mathcal{H}} f$ is the horizontal gradient of f .

The sub-Riemannian geometry of CR manifolds

The real sub-bundle $\mathcal{H} = \mathbf{Re}(\mathcal{C} \oplus \bar{\mathcal{C}})$ is the set of horizontal directions. There is a unique globally defined nowhere zero vector field T on \mathbb{M} such that $\theta(T) = 1$, $\iota_T d\theta = 0$. It is called the Reeb vector field. It is transverse to \mathcal{H} and defines the vertical direction.

The sub-Laplacian Δ on \mathbb{M} is the diffusion operator on \mathbb{M} which is symmetric with respect to the volume form $\theta \wedge (d\theta)^n$ and such that

$$\Gamma(f) = \|\nabla^{\mathcal{H}} f\|^2.$$

where $\nabla^{\mathcal{H}} f$ is the horizontal gradient of f . Δ fails to be elliptic but is subelliptic of order $1/2$.

Is there a notion of curvature
dimension bounds for Δ ?

The Heisenberg group

Consider on \mathbb{R}^3 , the vector fields

$$X = \partial_x - \frac{y}{2}\partial_z$$

The Heisenberg group

Consider on \mathbb{R}^3 , the vector fields

$$X = \partial_x - \frac{y}{2}\partial_z$$

$$Y = \partial_y + \frac{x}{2}\partial_z$$

The Heisenberg group

Consider on \mathbb{R}^3 , the vector fields

$$X = \partial_x - \frac{y}{2}\partial_z$$

$$Y = \partial_y + \frac{x}{2}\partial_z$$

and

$$Z = \partial_z$$

The Heisenberg group

Consider on \mathbb{R}^3 , the vector fields

$$X = \partial_x - \frac{y}{2}\partial_z$$

$$Y = \partial_y + \frac{x}{2}\partial_z$$

and

$$Z = \partial_z$$

We have

$$[X, Y] = Z, [Z, X] = 0, [Y, Z] = 0.$$

The Heisenberg group

Consider on \mathbb{R}^3 , the vector fields

$$X = \partial_x - \frac{y}{2}\partial_z$$

$$Y = \partial_y + \frac{x}{2}\partial_z$$

and

$$Z = \partial_z$$

We have

$$[X, Y] = Z, [Z, X] = 0, [Y, Z] = 0.$$

We have a CR Lie group with horizontal space $\mathbf{span}(X, Y)$ and Reeb vector field Z .

The sub-Laplacian is $L = X^2 + Y^2$.

The sub-Laplacian is $L = X^2 + Y^2$. Elementary computations yield

$$\Gamma(f) = (Xf)^2 + (Yf)^2.$$

The sub-Laplacian is $L = X^2 + Y^2$. Elementary computations yield

$$\Gamma(f) = (Xf)^2 + (Yf)^2.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_2(f) = & (X^2f)^2 + (Y^2f)^2 + \frac{1}{2}((XY + YX)f)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(Zf)^2 \\ & - 2(Xf)(YZf) + 2(Yf)(XZf). \end{aligned}$$

The sub-Laplacian is $L = X^2 + Y^2$. Elementary computations yield

$$\Gamma(f) = (Xf)^2 + (Yf)^2.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_2(f) = & (X^2f)^2 + (Y^2f)^2 + \frac{1}{2}((XY + YX)f)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(Zf)^2 \\ & - 2(Xf)(YZf) + 2(Yf)(XZf). \end{aligned}$$

The mixt term $-2(Xf)(YZf) + 2(Yf)(XZf)$ prevents to find any lower bound on this quantity involving $\Gamma(f)$ only !

The Heisenberg group

The idea is to use a second Bochner's formula involving the missing vertical direction Z .

The Heisenberg group

The idea is to use a second Bochner's formula involving the missing vertical direction Z . Consider

$$\Gamma^Z(f) = (Zf)^2$$

and

$$\Gamma_2^Z(f) = \frac{1}{2} \left(L\Gamma^Z(f) - 2\Gamma^Z(f, Lf) \right).$$

The Heisenberg group

The idea is to use a second Bochner's formula involving the missing vertical direction Z . Consider

$$\Gamma^Z(f) = (Zf)^2$$

and

$$\Gamma_2^Z(f) = \frac{1}{2} \left(L\Gamma^Z(f) - 2\Gamma^Z(f, Lf) \right).$$

It is easily checked that $\Gamma_2^Z(f) = (XZf)^2 + (YZf)^2$.

The Heisenberg group

The idea is to use a second Bochner's formula involving the missing vertical direction Z . Consider

$$\Gamma^Z(f) = (Zf)^2$$

and

$$\Gamma_2^Z(f) = \frac{1}{2} \left(L\Gamma^Z(f) - 2\Gamma^Z(f, Lf) \right).$$

It is easily checked that $\Gamma_2^Z(f) = (XZf)^2 + (YZf)^2$.
Cauchy-Schwarz inequality leads then to

The Heisenberg group

The idea is to use a second Bochner's formula involving the missing vertical direction Z . Consider

$$\Gamma^Z(f) = (Zf)^2$$

and

$$\Gamma_2^Z(f) = \frac{1}{2} \left(L\Gamma^Z(f) - 2\Gamma^Z(f, Lf) \right).$$

It is easily checked that $\Gamma_2^Z(f) = (XZf)^2 + (YZf)^2$.
Cauchy-Schwarz inequality leads then to

Theorem

For every $\nu > 0$,

$$\Gamma_2(f) + \nu\Gamma_2^Z(f) \geq \frac{1}{2}(Lf)^2 - \frac{1}{\nu}\Gamma(f) + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma^Z(f).$$

The Tanaka-Webster connection on a CR manifold

There is a canonical connection on CR manifolds: The Tanaka-Webster connection.

The Tanaka-Webster connection on a CR manifold

There is a canonical connection on CR manifolds: The Tanaka-Webster connection. It satisfies in particular $\nabla g_\theta = 0$ and $\nabla T = 0$

The Tanaka-Webster connection on a CR manifold

There is a canonical connection on CR manifolds: The Tanaka-Webster connection. It satisfies in particular $\nabla g_\theta = 0$ and $\nabla T = 0$ but it is not torsion free.

The Tanaka-Webster connection on a CR manifold

There is a canonical connection on CR manifolds: The Tanaka-Webster connection. It satisfies in particular $\nabla g_\theta = 0$ and $\nabla T = 0$ but it is not torsion free. The CR structure is said to be Sasakian if $\mathbf{T}(X, Y)$ is vertical when X and Y are horizontal. The Heisenberg group is a Sasakian manifold.

The Tanaka-Webster connection on a CR manifold

There is a canonical connection on CR manifolds: The Tanaka-Webster connection. It satisfies in particular $\nabla g_\theta = 0$ and $\nabla T = 0$ but it is not torsion free. The CR structure is said to be Sasakian if $\mathbf{T}(X, Y)$ is vertical when X and Y are horizontal. The Heisenberg group is a Sasakian manifold.

Theorem

Let \mathbb{M} be a CR Sasakian manifold.

The Tanaka-Webster connection on a CR manifold

There is a canonical connection on CR manifolds: The Tanaka-Webster connection. It satisfies in particular $\nabla g_\theta = 0$ and $\nabla T = 0$ but it is not torsion free. The CR structure is said to be Sasakian if $\mathbf{T}(X, Y)$ is vertical when X and Y are horizontal. The Heisenberg group is a Sasakian manifold.

Theorem

Let \mathbb{M} be a CR Sasakian manifold. We have $\dim(H) \leq d$ and $\text{Ric}_\nabla \geq \rho_1$ if and only if for every $\nu > 0$,

$$\Gamma_2(f) + \nu \Gamma_2^T(f) \geq \frac{1}{d}(\Delta f)^2 + \left(\rho_1 - \frac{1}{\nu}\right) \Gamma(f) + \frac{d}{4}(Tf)^2,$$

where

$$2\Gamma_2^T(f) = \Delta(Tf)^2 - 2TfT\Delta f$$

Generalized curvature dimension inequality

Let L be a diffusion operator defined on a manifold M .

Generalized curvature dimension inequality

Let L be a diffusion operator defined on a manifold \mathbb{M} . We assume that L is symmetric with respect to a smooth measure μ and (locally) subelliptic.

Generalized curvature dimension inequality

Let L be a diffusion operator defined on a manifold \mathbb{M} . We assume that L is symmetric with respect to a smooth measure μ and (locally) subelliptic. For instance, diffusion operators that can be written as

$$L = - \sum_{i=1}^m X_i^* X_i,$$

where the X_i 's are smooth vector fields that satisfy Hörmander's condition, are subelliptic.

Generalized curvature dimension inequality

Let L be a diffusion operator defined on a manifold \mathbb{M} . We assume that L is symmetric with respect to a smooth measure μ and (locally) subelliptic. For instance, diffusion operators that can be written as

$$L = - \sum_{i=1}^m X_i^* X_i,$$

where the X_i 's are smooth vector fields that satisfy Hörmander's condition, are subelliptic. As we previous saw, sub-Laplacian of CR manifolds also are subelliptic.

Generalized curvature dimension inequality

Let L be a diffusion operator defined on a manifold \mathbb{M} . We assume that L is symmetric with respect to a smooth measure μ and (locally) subelliptic. For instance, diffusion operators that can be written as

$$L = - \sum_{i=1}^m X_i^* X_i,$$

where the X_i 's are smooth vector fields that satisfy Hörmander's condition, are subelliptic. As we previous saw, sub-Laplacian of CR manifolds also are subelliptic. Assume, additionally, that \mathbb{M} is endowed with a first-order differential bilinear form $\Gamma^Z(f, g)$ that satisfies

$$\Gamma(f, \Gamma^Z(f)) = \Gamma^Z(f, \Gamma(f)).$$

Generalized curvature dimension inequality

Let L be a diffusion operator defined on a manifold \mathbb{M} . We assume that L is symmetric with respect to a smooth measure μ and (locally) subelliptic. For instance, diffusion operators that can be written as

$$L = - \sum_{i=1}^m X_i^* X_i,$$

where the X_i 's are smooth vector fields that satisfy Hörmander's condition, are subelliptic. As we previous saw, sub-Laplacian of CR manifolds also are subelliptic. Assume, additionally, that \mathbb{M} is endowed with a first-order differential bilinear form $\Gamma^Z(f, g)$ that satisfies

$$\Gamma(f, \Gamma^Z(f)) = \Gamma^Z(f, \Gamma(f)).$$

In the context of CR manifolds this commutation is equivalent to the fact that the torsion is vertical (Sasakian assumption).

Generalized curvature dimension inequality

Definition

We say that L satisfies the generalized-curvature inequality $CD(\rho_1, \rho_2, \kappa, d)$ if for every $\nu > 0$,

$$\Gamma_2(f) + \nu \Gamma_2^Z(f) \geq \frac{1}{d} (Lf)^2 + \left(\rho_1 - \frac{\kappa}{\nu} \right) \Gamma(f) + \rho_2 \Gamma^Z(f).$$

Generalized curvature dimension inequality

Definition

We say that L satisfies the generalized-curvature inequality $CD(\rho_1, \rho_2, \kappa, d)$ if for every $\nu > 0$,

$$\Gamma_2(f) + \nu \Gamma_2^Z(f) \geq \frac{1}{d}(Lf)^2 + \left(\rho_1 - \frac{\kappa}{\nu}\right) \Gamma(f) + \rho_2 \Gamma^Z(f).$$

$CD(\rho_1, \rho_2, \kappa, d)$ is the linearization of

$$\Gamma_2(f) + 2\sqrt{\kappa \Gamma(f) \Gamma_2^Z(f)} \geq \frac{1}{d}(Lf)^2 + \rho_1 \Gamma(f) + \rho_2 \Gamma^Z(f).$$

Examples

We have the following general class of examples:

- ▶ Let \mathbb{M} be a n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold whose Ricci curvature is bounded from below by ρ . The Laplacian of \mathbb{M} satisfies the curvature dimension inequality $\text{CD}(\rho, 0, 0, d)$ with $\Gamma^Z = 0$.

Examples

We have the following general class of examples:

- ▶ Let \mathbb{M} be a n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold whose Ricci curvature is bounded from below by ρ . The Laplacian of \mathbb{M} satisfies the curvature dimension inequality $CD(\rho, 0, 0, d)$ with $\Gamma^Z = 0$.
- ▶ CR Sasakian manifolds.

Examples

We have the following general class of examples:

- ▶ Let \mathbb{M} be a n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold whose Ricci curvature is bounded from below by ρ . The Laplacian of \mathbb{M} satisfies the curvature dimension inequality $CD(\rho, 0, 0, d)$ with $\Gamma^Z = 0$.
- ▶ CR Sasakian manifolds.
- ▶ Two-step nilpotent Lie groups.

Examples

We have the following general class of examples:

- ▶ Let \mathbb{M} be a n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold whose Ricci curvature is bounded from below by ρ . The Laplacian of \mathbb{M} satisfies the curvature dimension inequality $CD(\rho, 0, 0, d)$ with $\Gamma^Z = 0$.
- ▶ CR Sasakian manifolds.
- ▶ Two-step nilpotent Lie groups.
- ▶ Orthonormal bundles over Riemannian manifolds.

Examples

We have the following general class of examples:

- ▶ Let \mathbb{M} be a n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold whose Ricci curvature is bounded from below by ρ . The Laplacian of \mathbb{M} satisfies the curvature dimension inequality $CD(\rho, 0, 0, d)$ with $\Gamma^Z = 0$.
- ▶ CR Sasakian manifolds.
- ▶ Two-step nilpotent Lie groups.
- ▶ Orthonormal bundles over Riemannian manifolds.
- ▶ Fibrations

Examples

We have the following general class of examples:

- ▶ Let \mathbb{M} be a n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold whose Ricci curvature is bounded from below by ρ . The Laplacian of \mathbb{M} satisfies the curvature dimension inequality $CD(\rho, 0, 0, d)$ with $\Gamma^Z = 0$.
- ▶ CR Sasakian manifolds.
- ▶ Two-step nilpotent Lie groups.
- ▶ Orthonormal bundles over Riemannian manifolds.
- ▶ Fibrations
- ▶ Infinite dimensional examples (Baudoin-Gordina-Melcher, Trans. AMS 2012)

Theorem (Baudoin-Garofalo, 2011)

Assume that L satisfies the generalized curvature inequality $CD(\rho_1, \rho_2, \kappa, d)$ with $\rho_1 \geq 0$, $\rho_2 > 0$, $\kappa \geq 0$ and $0 < d < \infty$, then

$$\Gamma(\ln p_t) + \frac{2\rho_2}{3} t \Gamma^Z(\ln p_t) \leq \left(1 + \frac{3\kappa}{2\rho_2}\right) \frac{Lp_t}{p_t} + \frac{d \left(1 + \frac{3\kappa}{2\rho_2}\right)^2}{2t}.$$

The parabolic Harnack inequality

Integrating the Li-Yau inequality along geodesics leads to a parabolic Harnack inequality

The parabolic Harnack inequality

Integrating the Li-Yau inequality along geodesics leads to a parabolic Harnack inequality

Theorem (Baudoin-Garofalo, 2011)

Assume that L satisfies the generalized curvature inequality $CD(\rho_1, \rho_2, \kappa, d)$ with $\rho_1 \geq 0$, $\rho_2 > 0$, $\kappa \geq 0$ and $0 < d < \infty$. For every $x, y, z \in \mathbb{M}$ and every $0 < s < t < \infty$ one has

$$p(x, y, s) \leq p(x, z, t) \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{\frac{D}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{D}{d} \frac{d(y, z)^2}{4(t-s)}\right),$$

where

$$D = d \left(1 + \frac{3\kappa}{2\rho_2}\right).$$

Theorem (Baudoin-Bonnefont-Garofalo, 2011)

Assume that L satisfies the generalized curvature inequality $CD(\rho_1, \rho_2, \kappa, d)$ with $\rho_1 \geq 0$, $\rho_2 > 0$, $\kappa \geq 0$ and $0 < d < \infty$. For any $0 < \epsilon < 1$, there exists a constant $C = C(d, \kappa, \rho_2, \epsilon) > 0$, which tends to ∞ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, such that for every $x, y \in \mathbb{M}$ and $t > 0$ one has

$$\frac{\exp\left(-\frac{d(x,y)^2}{(4-\epsilon)t}\right)}{C\mu(B(x, \sqrt{t}))} \leq p(x, y, t) \leq C \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{d(x,y)^2}{(4+\epsilon)t}\right)}{\mu(B(x, \sqrt{t}))}.$$

Subelliptic Myers theorem

If the parameter ρ_1 is positive, then it is possible to prove sharper Gaussian upper bounds for the heat kernel that lead to sharp Sobolev-type inequalities.

Subelliptic Myers theorem

If the parameter ρ_1 is positive, then it is possible to prove sharper Gaussian upper bounds for the heat kernel that lead to sharp Sobolev-type inequalities. By a general approach due to D. Bakry, these entropy energy inequalities imply

Subelliptic Myers theorem

If the parameter ρ_1 is positive, then it is possible to prove sharper Gaussian upper bounds for the heat kernel that lead to sharp Sobolev-type inequalities. By a general approach due to D. Bakry, these entropy energy inequalities imply

Theorem (Baudoin-Garofalo, 2011)

If the inequality $CD(\rho_1, \rho_2, \kappa, d)$ holds for some constants $\rho_1 > 0, \rho_2 > 0, \kappa > 0$, then the metric space (\mathbb{M}, d) is compact in the metric topology and we have

$$\text{diam } \mathbb{M} \leq 2\sqrt{3}\pi \sqrt{\frac{\kappa + \rho_2}{\rho_1 \rho_2} \left(1 + \frac{3\kappa}{2\rho_2}\right)} d;$$

Subelliptic Myers theorem

If the parameter ρ_1 is positive, then it is possible to prove sharper Gaussian upper bounds for the heat kernel that lead to sharp Sobolev-type inequalities. By a general approach due to D. Bakry, these entropy energy inequalities imply

Theorem (Baudoin-Garofalo, 2011)

If the inequality $CD(\rho_1, \rho_2, \kappa, d)$ holds for some constants $\rho_1 > 0, \rho_2 > 0, \kappa > 0$, then the metric space (\mathbb{M}, d) is compact in the metric topology and we have

$$\text{diam } \mathbb{M} \leq 2\sqrt{3}\pi \sqrt{\frac{\kappa + \rho_2}{\rho_1 \rho_2} \left(1 + \frac{3\kappa}{2\rho_2}\right)} d;$$

Theorem (Baudoin-Garofalo, IMRN 2012)

Assume that L satisfies the generalized curvature inequality $CD(\rho_1, \rho_2, \kappa, d)$ with $\rho_1 \geq 0$, $\rho_2 > 0$, $\kappa \geq 0$ and $0 < d < \infty$. Let $1 < p < \infty$. There exist constants $A_p, B_p > 0$ such that

$$A_p \|(-L)^{1/2} f\|_p \leq \|\sqrt{\Gamma(f)}\|_p \leq B_p \|(-L)^{1/2} f\|_p, \quad f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{M}),$$

Theorem (Baudoin-Bonnefont, JFA 2012)

Assume that L satisfies the generalized curvature inequality $CD(\rho_1, \rho_2, \kappa, \infty)$ with $\rho_1 > 0$, $\rho_2 > 0$ and $\kappa \geq 0$. The measure μ is finite and the following Poincaré inequality holds:

$$\int_{\mathbb{M}} f^2 d\mu - \left(\int_{\mathbb{M}} f d\mu \right)^2 \leq \frac{\kappa + \rho_2}{\rho_1 \rho_2} \int_{\mathbb{M}} \Gamma(f) d\mu$$

Spectral gap inequality

Theorem (Baudoin-Bonnefont, JFA 2012)

Assume that L satisfies the generalized curvature inequality $CD(\rho_1, \rho_2, \kappa, \infty)$ with $\rho_1 > 0$, $\rho_2 > 0$ and $\kappa \geq 0$. The measure μ is finite and the following Poincaré inequality holds:

$$\int_{\mathbb{M}} f^2 d\mu - \left(\int_{\mathbb{M}} f d\mu \right)^2 \leq \frac{\kappa + \rho_2}{\rho_1 \rho_2} \int_{\mathbb{M}} \Gamma(f) d\mu$$

Modified log-Sobolev inequality

Theorem (Baudoin-Bonnefont, JFA 2012)

Assume that L satisfies the generalized curvature inequality $CD(\rho_1, \rho_2, \kappa, \infty)$ with $\rho_1 > 0$, $\rho_2 > 0$ and $\kappa \geq 0$. If μ is a probability measure, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{M}} f^2 \ln f^2 d\mu - \int_{\mathbb{M}} f^2 d\mu \ln \int_{\mathbb{M}} f^2 d\mu \\ & \leq \frac{2(\kappa + \rho_2)}{\rho_1 \rho_2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{M}} \Gamma(f) d\mu + \frac{\kappa + \rho_2}{\rho_1} \int_{\mathbb{M}} \Gamma^Z(f) d\mu \right). \end{aligned}$$

Modified log-Sobolev inequality

Theorem (Baudoin-Bonnefont, JFA 2012)

Assume that L satisfies the generalized curvature inequality $CD(\rho_1, \rho_2, \kappa, \infty)$ with $\rho_1 > 0$, $\rho_2 > 0$ and $\kappa \geq 0$. If μ is a probability measure, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{M}} f^2 \ln f^2 d\mu - \int_{\mathbb{M}} f^2 d\mu \ln \int_{\mathbb{M}} f^2 d\mu \\ & \leq \frac{2(\kappa + \rho_2)}{\rho_1 \rho_2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{M}} \Gamma(f) d\mu + \frac{\kappa + \rho_2}{\rho_1} \int_{\mathbb{M}} \Gamma^Z(f) d\mu \right). \end{aligned}$$

Observe the annoying additional term $\int_{\mathbb{M}} \Gamma^Z(f) d\mu$.

Log-Sobolev inequality

Theorem (Baudoin-Bonnefont, JFA 2012)

Assume that the measure μ is a probability measure and that L satisfies the generalized curvature dimension inequality $CD(\rho_1, \rho_2, \kappa, \infty)$ for some $\rho_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\rho_2 > 0$, $\kappa \geq 0$. Assume moreover that

$$\int_{\mathbb{M}} e^{\lambda d^2(x_0, x)} d\mu(x) < +\infty,$$

Theorem (Baudoin-Bonnefont, JFA 2012)

Assume that the measure μ is a probability measure and that L satisfies the generalized curvature dimension inequality $CD(\rho_1, \rho_2, \kappa, \infty)$ for some $\rho_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\rho_2 > 0$, $\kappa \geq 0$. Assume moreover that

$$\int_{\mathbb{M}} e^{\lambda d^2(x_0, x)} d\mu(x) < +\infty,$$

for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{M}$ and $\lambda > \frac{\rho_1^-}{2}$, then there is a constant $\rho_0 > 0$ such that for every function $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{M})$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{M}} f^2 \ln f^2 d\mu - \int_{\mathbb{M}} f^2 d\mu \ln \int_{\mathbb{M}} f^2 d\mu \leq \frac{2}{\rho_0} \int_{\mathbb{M}} \Gamma(f) d\mu.$$

Theorem (Baudoin-Bonnefont, JFA 2012)

Assume that the measure μ is a probability measure, that L satisfies the generalized curvature dimension inequality $CD(\rho_1, \rho_2, \kappa, \infty)$ for some $\rho_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\rho_2 > 0$, $\kappa \geq 0$ and that μ satisfies the log-Sobolev inequality:

$$\int_{\mathbb{M}} f^2 \ln f^2 d\mu - \int_{\mathbb{M}} f^2 d\mu \ln \int_{\mathbb{M}} f^2 d\mu \leq \frac{2}{\rho_0} \int_{\mathbb{M}} \Gamma(f) d\mu,$$

Theorem (Baudoin-Bonnefont, JFA 2012)

Assume that the measure μ is a probability measure, that L satisfies the generalized curvature dimension inequality $CD(\rho_1, \rho_2, \kappa, \infty)$ for some $\rho_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\rho_2 > 0$, $\kappa \geq 0$ and that μ satisfies the log-Sobolev inequality:

$$\int_{\mathbb{M}} f^2 \ln f^2 d\mu - \int_{\mathbb{M}} f^2 d\mu \ln \int_{\mathbb{M}} f^2 d\mu \leq \frac{2}{\rho_0} \int_{\mathbb{M}} \Gamma(f) d\mu, \quad (1)$$

Let A be a set of the manifold \mathbb{M} which has a finite perimeter $P(A)$ and such that $0 \leq \mu(A) \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$P(A) \geq \frac{\ln 2}{4 \left(3 + \frac{2\kappa}{\rho_2}\right)} \min \left(\sqrt{\rho_0}, \frac{\rho_0}{\sqrt{\rho_1^-}} \right) \mu(A) \left(\ln \frac{1}{\mu(A)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$