

# Critical Brownian sheet does not have double points

Yimin Xiao

Michigan State University

Joint work with R. Dalang, D. Khoshnevisan,  
E. Nualart and D. Wu

Beijing, July 19–24, 2010

# Outline

- The Brownian sheet and multiple points
- No double points in the critical case
- Hitting probability of  $M_2$
- Hausdorff dimension of  $M_2 \cap A$

# 1. The Brownian sheet and multiple points

The Brownian sheet  $B = \{B(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+^N\}$  is a centered  $(N, d)$ -Gaussian field whose covariance function is

$$\mathbb{E}[B_i(s)B_j(t)] = \delta_{ij} \prod_{k=1}^N s_k \wedge t_k,$$

where  $\delta_{i,j} = 1$  if  $i = j$  and 0 otherwise.

- When  $N = 1$ ,  $B$  is Brownian motion in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .
- $B$  is  $N/2$ -self-similar, but it **does not** have **stationary increments**.

# Multiple points of the Brownian sheet

Recall that  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is a  *$k$ -multiple point of  $B$*  if there exist distinct points  $t^1, \dots, t^k \in (0, \infty)^N$  such that  $B(t^1) = \dots = B(t^k) = x$ .

We write  $M_k$  for the set of all  $k$ -multiple points of  $B$ . Note that  $M_{k+1} \subseteq M_k$  for all  $k \geq 2$ .

We may also consider the set of  $k$ -multiple times:

$$L_k = \{(t^1, \dots, t^k) \in \mathbb{R}_{\neq}^{kN} : B(t^1) = \dots = B(t^k)\}.$$

# Some known results and questions

When  $N = 1$ , i.e.,  $B$  is Brownian motion, there is a large literature on

- the existence of multiple points, starting with the works of Dvoretzky, Erdős and Kakutani (1950–).
- fractal properties of  $M_k$  and  $L_k$ : Taylor (1966), LeGall (1986, 1987), ...
- self-intersection local times: Wolpert (1978), Geman, Horowitz and Rosen (1984), Dynkin (1985, 1986, 1987, 1988), ...
- See Taylor (1986) and X. (2004) for surveys.

# Some known results and questions

When  $N = 1$ , i.e.,  $B$  is Brownian motion, there is a large literature on

- the existence of multiple points, starting with the works of Dvoretzky, Erdős and Kakutani (1950–).
- fractal properties of  $M_k$  and  $L_k$ : Taylor (1966), LeGall (1986, 1987), ...
- self-intersection local times: Wolpert (1978), Geman, Horowitz and Rosen (1984), Dynkin (1985, 1986, 1987, 1988), ...
- See Taylor (1986) and X. (2004) for surveys.

# Some known results and questions

When  $N = 1$ , i.e.,  $B$  is Brownian motion, there is a large literature on

- the existence of multiple points, starting with the works of Dvoretzky, Erdős and Kakutani (1950–).
- fractal properties of  $M_k$  and  $L_k$ : Taylor (1966), LeGall (1986, 1987), ...
- self-intersection local times: Wolpert (1978), Geman, Horowitz and Rosen (1984), Dynkin (1985, 1986, 1987, 1988), ...
- See Taylor (1986) and X. (2004) for surveys.

# Some known results and questions

When  $N = 1$ , i.e.,  $B$  is Brownian motion, there is a large literature on

- the existence of multiple points, starting with the works of Dvoretzky, Erdős and Kakutani (1950–).
- fractal properties of  $M_k$  and  $L_k$ : Taylor (1966), LeGall (1986, 1987), ...
- self-intersection local times: Wolpert (1978), Geman, Horowitz and Rosen (1984), Dynkin (1985, 1986, 1987, 1988), ...
- See Taylor (1986) and X. (2004) for surveys.



For  $N > 1$ , it is known [Rosen, 1984; Khoshnevisan, 1997] that

- If  $Nk < (k - 1)d/2$ , then  $M_k = \emptyset$  a.s.
- If  $Nk > (k - 1)d/2$ , then  $M_k \neq \emptyset$  a.s.

When  $Nk > (k - 1)d/2$ , Rosen (1984) showed that

$$\dim_{\text{H}} L_k = Nk - (k - 1)d/2 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

When  $d = 1$ , Zhou (1994) studied the exact Hausdorff measure of  $L_k$ .

The Hausdorff dimension of  $M_k$  was determined by Chen Xiong (1994), Khoshnevisan, Wu and X. (2006):

$$\dim_{\text{H}} M_k = d - k(d - 2N)^+ \quad \text{a.s.}$$

where  $a^+ = \max\{0, a\}$ .

For  $N > 1$ , it is known [Rosen, 1984; Khoshnevisan, 1997] that

- If  $Nk < (k - 1)d/2$ , then  $M_k = \emptyset$  a.s.
- If  $Nk > (k - 1)d/2$ , then  $M_k \neq \emptyset$  a.s.

When  $Nk > (k - 1)d/2$ , Rosen (1984) showed that

$$\dim_{\text{H}} L_k = Nk - (k - 1)d/2 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

When  $d = 1$ , Zhou (1994) studied the exact Hausdorff measure of  $L_k$ .

The Hausdorff dimension of  $M_k$  was determined by Chen Xiong (1994), Khoshnevisan, Wu and X. (2006):

$$\dim_{\text{H}} M_k = d - k(d - 2N)^+ \quad \text{a.s.}$$

where  $a^+ = \max\{0, a\}$ .

For  $N > 1$ , it is known [Rosen, 1984; Khoshnevisan, 1997] that

- If  $Nk < (k - 1)d/2$ , then  $M_k = \emptyset$  a.s.
- If  $Nk > (k - 1)d/2$ , then  $M_k \neq \emptyset$  a.s.

When  $Nk > (k - 1)d/2$ , Rosen (1984) showed that

$$\dim_{\text{H}} L_k = Nk - (k - 1)d/2 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

When  $d = 1$ , Zhou (1994) studied the exact Hausdorff measure of  $L_k$ .

The Hausdorff dimension of  $M_k$  was determined by Chen Xiong (1994), Khoshnevisan, Wu and X. (2006):

$$\dim_{\text{H}} M_k = d - k(d - 2N)^+ \quad \text{a.s.}$$

where  $a^+ = \max\{0, a\}$ .

The following conjecture and questions are interesting, most of them are open:

- 1 If  $Nk = (k - 1)d/2$ , then  $M_k = \emptyset$  a.s.
- 2 Determine hitting probability for  $M_k$ . That is, provide explicit conditions on  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}\{M_k \cap F \neq \emptyset\} > 0.$$

- 3 Similar question for  $L_k$ .
- 4 Find exact Hausdorff and packing measure functions for  $M_k$  and  $L_k$  (if exist).

In this paper, we solve Problems (1) and (2) for  $k = 2$ .

The following conjecture and questions are interesting, most of them are open:

- 1 If  $Nk = (k - 1)d/2$ , then  $M_k = \emptyset$  a.s.
- 2 Determine hitting probability for  $M_k$ . That is, provide explicit conditions on  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}\{M_k \cap F \neq \emptyset\} > 0.$$

- 3 Similar question for  $L_k$ .
- 4 Find exact Hausdorff and packing measure functions for  $M_k$  and  $L_k$  (if exist).

In this paper, we solve Problems (1) and (2) for  $k \equiv 2$ .

The following conjecture and questions are interesting, most of them are open:

- 1 If  $Nk = (k - 1)d/2$ , then  $M_k = \emptyset$  a.s.
- 2 Determine hitting probability for  $M_k$ . That is, provide explicit conditions on  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}\{M_k \cap F \neq \emptyset\} > 0.$$

- 3 Similar question for  $L_k$ .
- 4 Find exact Hausdorff and packing measure functions for  $M_k$  and  $L_k$  (if exist).

In this paper, we solve Problems (1) and (2) for  $k \equiv 2$ .

The following conjecture and questions are interesting, most of them are open:

- 1 If  $Nk = (k - 1)d/2$ , then  $M_k = \emptyset$  a.s.
- 2 Determine hitting probability for  $M_k$ . That is, provide explicit conditions on  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}\{M_k \cap F \neq \emptyset\} > 0.$$

- 3 Similar question for  $L_k$ .
- 4 Find exact Hausdorff and packing measure functions for  $M_k$  and  $L_k$  (if exist).

In this paper, we solve Problems (1) and (2) for  $k \equiv 2$ .

The following conjecture and questions are interesting, most of them are open:

- 1 If  $Nk = (k - 1)d/2$ , then  $M_k = \emptyset$  a.s.
- 2 Determine hitting probability for  $M_k$ . That is, provide explicit conditions on  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}\{M_k \cap F \neq \emptyset\} > 0.$$

- 3 Similar question for  $L_k$ .
- 4 Find exact Hausdorff and packing measure functions for  $M_k$  and  $L_k$  (if exist).

In this paper, we solve Problems (1) and (2) for  $k = 2$ .



The following conjecture and questions are interesting, most of them are open:

- 1 If  $Nk = (k - 1)d/2$ , then  $M_k = \emptyset$  a.s.
- 2 Determine hitting probability for  $M_k$ . That is, provide explicit conditions on  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}\{M_k \cap F \neq \emptyset\} > 0.$$

- 3 Similar question for  $L_k$ .
- 4 Find exact Hausdorff and packing measure functions for  $M_k$  and  $L_k$  (if exist).

In this paper, we solve Problems (1) and (2) for  $k = 2$ .

## 2. No double points in the critical case

The following is our main result.

### Theorem (2.1)

*An  $N$ -parameter,  $d$ -dimensional Brownian sheet has double points if and only if  $2(d - 2N) < d$ .*

*In addition,  $M_2$  has positive Lebesgue measure almost surely if and only if  $d < 2N$ .*

The first part shows that  $B$  has no double points when  $2N = d/2$ . The second verifies a conjecture of Fristedt (1995).

The proof of Theorem 2.1 depends on the following decoupling theorem.

## 2. No double points in the critical case

The following is our main result.

### Theorem (2.1)

*An  $N$ -parameter,  $d$ -dimensional Brownian sheet has double points if and only if  $2(d - 2N) < d$ .*

*In addition,  $M_2$  has positive Lebesgue measure almost surely if and only if  $d < 2N$ .*

The first part shows that  $B$  has no double points when  $2N = d/2$ . The second verifies a conjecture of Fristedt (1995).

The proof of Theorem 2.1 depends on the following decoupling theorem.

## 2. No double points in the critical case

The following is our main result.

### Theorem (2.1)

*An  $N$ -parameter,  $d$ -dimensional Brownian sheet has double points if and only if  $2(d - 2N) < d$ .*

*In addition,  $M_2$  has positive Lebesgue measure almost surely if and only if  $d < 2N$ .*

The first part shows that  $B$  has no double points when  $2N = d/2$ . The second verifies a conjecture of Fristedt (1995).

The proof of Theorem 2.1 depends on the following decoupling theorem.

## 2. No double points in the critical case

The following is our main result.

### Theorem (2.1)

*An  $N$ -parameter,  $d$ -dimensional Brownian sheet has double points if and only if  $2(d - 2N) < d$ .*

*In addition,  $M_2$  has positive Lebesgue measure almost surely if and only if  $d < 2N$ .*

The first part shows that  $B$  has no double points when  $2N = d/2$ . The second verifies a conjecture of Fristedt (1995).

The proof of Theorem 2.1 depends on the following decoupling theorem.

## 2. No double points in the critical case

The following is our main result.

### Theorem (2.1)

*An  $N$ -parameter,  $d$ -dimensional Brownian sheet has double points if and only if  $2(d - 2N) < d$ .*

*In addition,  $M_2$  has positive Lebesgue measure almost surely if and only if  $d < 2N$ .*

The first part shows that  $B$  has no double points when  $2N = d/2$ . The second verifies a conjecture of Fristedt (1995).

The proof of Theorem 2.1 depends on the following decoupling theorem.

# A decoupling theorem

Denote  $\mathcal{T} := \{(s, t) \in (0, \infty)^{2N} : s_i \neq t_i, i = 1, \dots, N\}$ .

## Theorem (2.2)

Choose and fix a Borel set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{P} \{ \exists (t^1, t^2) \in \mathcal{T} : B(t^1) = B(t^2) \in A \} > 0 \quad (1)$$

if and only if

$$\mathbb{P} \{ \exists (t^1, t^2) \in \mathcal{T} : W_1(t^1) = W_2(t^2) \in A \} > 0, \quad (2)$$

where  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are independent  $N$ -parameter Brownian sheets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  (unrelated to  $B$ ).

For proving Theorem 2.2, we develop a **conditional potential theory** for the Brownian sheet  $B$ .

We start with some notation.

- We identify subsets of  $\{1, \dots, N\}$  with partial orders on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  as follows:

For all  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\pi \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ ,

$$s \prec_{\pi} t \quad \text{iff} \quad \begin{cases} s_i \leq t_i & \text{for all } i \in \pi, \\ s_i \geq t_i & \text{for all } i \notin \pi. \end{cases}$$

- Every  $s$  and  $t$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  can be compared via some  $\pi$ .
- We write  $s \prec_{\pi} t$  and  $t \succ_{\pi} s$  interchangeably.



For proving Theorem 2.2, we develop a **conditional potential theory** for the Brownian sheet  $B$ .

We start with some notation.

- We identify subsets of  $\{1, \dots, N\}$  with partial orders on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  as follows:

For all  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\pi \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ ,

$$s \prec_{\pi} t \quad \text{iff} \quad \begin{cases} s_i \leq t_i & \text{for all } i \in \pi, \\ s_i \geq t_i & \text{for all } i \notin \pi. \end{cases}$$

- Every  $s$  and  $t$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  can be compared via some  $\pi$ .
- We write  $s \prec_{\pi} t$  and  $t \succ_{\pi} s$  interchangeably.

For proving Theorem 2.2, we develop a **conditional potential theory** for the Brownian sheet  $B$ .

We start with some notation.

- We identify subsets of  $\{1, \dots, N\}$  with partial orders on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  as follows:

For all  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\pi \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ ,

$$s \prec_{\pi} t \quad \text{iff} \quad \begin{cases} s_i \leq t_i & \text{for all } i \in \pi, \\ s_i \geq t_i & \text{for all } i \notin \pi. \end{cases}$$

- Every  $s$  and  $t$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  can be compared via some  $\pi$ .
- We write  $s \prec_{\pi} t$  and  $t \succ_{\pi} s$  interchangeably.

For proving Theorem 2.2, we develop a **conditional potential theory** for the Brownian sheet  $B$ .

We start with some notation.

- We identify subsets of  $\{1, \dots, N\}$  with partial orders on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  as follows:

For all  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\pi \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ ,

$$s \prec_{\pi} t \quad \text{iff} \quad \begin{cases} s_i \leq t_i & \text{for all } i \in \pi, \\ s_i \geq t_i & \text{for all } i \notin \pi. \end{cases}$$

- Every  $s$  and  $t$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  can be compared via some  $\pi$ .
- We write  $s \prec_{\pi} t$  and  $t \succ_{\pi} s$  interchangeably.

For proving Theorem 2.2, we develop a **conditional potential theory** for the Brownian sheet  $B$ .

We start with some notation.

- We identify subsets of  $\{1, \dots, N\}$  with partial orders on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  as follows:

For all  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\pi \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ ,

$$s \prec_{\pi} t \quad \text{iff} \quad \begin{cases} s_i \leq t_i & \text{for all } i \in \pi, \\ s_i \geq t_i & \text{for all } i \notin \pi. \end{cases}$$

- Every  $s$  and  $t$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  can be compared via some  $\pi$ .
- We write  $s \prec_{\pi} t$  and  $t \succ_{\pi} s$  interchangeably.

For proving Theorem 2.2, we develop a **conditional potential theory** for the Brownian sheet  $B$ .

We start with some notation.

- We identify subsets of  $\{1, \dots, N\}$  with partial orders on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  as follows:

For all  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\pi \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ ,

$$s \prec_{\pi} t \quad \text{iff} \quad \begin{cases} s_i \leq t_i & \text{for all } i \in \pi, \\ s_i \geq t_i & \text{for all } i \notin \pi. \end{cases}$$

- Every  $s$  and  $t$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  can be compared via some  $\pi$ .
- We write  $s \prec_{\pi} t$  and  $t \succ_{\pi} s$  interchangeably.

For proving Theorem 2.2, we develop a **conditional potential theory** for the Brownian sheet  $B$ .

We start with some notation.

- We identify subsets of  $\{1, \dots, N\}$  with partial orders on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  as follows:

For all  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\pi \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ ,

$$s \prec_{\pi} t \quad \text{iff} \quad \begin{cases} s_i \leq t_i & \text{for all } i \in \pi, \\ s_i \geq t_i & \text{for all } i \notin \pi. \end{cases}$$

- Every  $s$  and  $t$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  can be compared via some  $\pi$ .
- We write  $s \prec_{\pi} t$  and  $t \succ_{\pi} s$  interchangeably.

- We write  $s \wedge_{\pi} t$  for the  $N$ -vector whose  $j$ th coordinate is  $\min(s_j, t_j)$  if  $j \in \pi$  and  $\max(s_j, t_j)$  otherwise.
- Given a partial order  $\pi$  and a point  $s \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$ , we define  $\mathcal{F}_{\pi}(s)$  to be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\{B(u), u \prec_{\pi} s\}$  and all  $P$ -null sets. We then make the filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_{\pi}(s), s \in \mathbb{R}_+^N)$  right-continuous in the partial order  $\pi$ , so that  $\mathcal{F}_{\pi}(s) = \bigcap_{t \succ_{\pi} s} \mathcal{F}_{\pi}(t)$ .
- Let  $\mathbb{P}_s^{\pi}$  be a regular conditional distribution for  $B$  given  $\mathcal{F}_{\pi}(s)$  and let

$$\mathbb{E}_s^{\pi} f := \int f d\mathbb{P}_s^{\pi} = \mathbb{E}(f \mid \mathcal{F}_{\pi}(s))$$

be the corresponding expectation operator.

- We write  $s \wedge_{\pi} t$  for the  $N$ -vector whose  $j$ th coordinate is  $\min(s_j, t_j)$  if  $j \in \pi$  and  $\max(s_j, t_j)$  otherwise.
- Given a partial order  $\pi$  and a point  $s \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$ , we define  $\mathcal{F}_{\pi}(s)$  to be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\{B(u), u \prec_{\pi} s\}$  and all  $P$ -null sets. We then make the filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_{\pi}(s), s \in \mathbb{R}_+^N)$  right-continuous in the partial order  $\pi$ , so that  $\mathcal{F}_{\pi}(s) = \bigcap_{t \succ_{\pi} s} \mathcal{F}_{\pi}(t)$ .
- Let  $\mathbb{P}_s^{\pi}$  be a regular conditional distribution for  $B$  given  $\mathcal{F}_{\pi}(s)$  and let

$$\mathbb{E}_s^{\pi} f := \int f d\mathbb{P}_s^{\pi} = \mathbb{E}(f \mid \mathcal{F}_{\pi}(s))$$

be the corresponding expectation operator.



- We write  $s \wedge_{\pi} t$  for the  $N$ -vector whose  $j$ th coordinate is  $\min(s_j, t_j)$  if  $j \in \pi$  and  $\max(s_j, t_j)$  otherwise.
- Given a partial order  $\pi$  and a point  $s \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$ , we define  $\mathcal{F}_{\pi}(s)$  to be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\{B(u), u \prec_{\pi} s\}$  and all  $P$ -null sets. We then make the filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_{\pi}(s), s \in \mathbb{R}_+^N)$  right-continuous in the partial order  $\pi$ , so that  $\mathcal{F}_{\pi}(s) = \bigcap_{t \succ_{\pi} s} \mathcal{F}_{\pi}(t)$ .
- Let  $\mathbb{P}_s^{\pi}$  be a regular conditional distribution for  $B$  given  $\mathcal{F}_{\pi}(s)$  and let

$$\mathbb{E}_s^{\pi} f := \int f d\mathbb{P}_s^{\pi} = \mathbb{E}(f \mid \mathcal{F}_{\pi}(s))$$

be the corresponding expectation operator.

- We write  $s \wedge_{\pi} t$  for the  $N$ -vector whose  $j$ th coordinate is  $\min(s_j, t_j)$  if  $j \in \pi$  and  $\max(s_j, t_j)$  otherwise.
- Given a partial order  $\pi$  and a point  $s \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$ , we define  $\mathcal{F}_{\pi}(s)$  to be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\{B(u), u \prec_{\pi} s\}$  and all  $P$ -null sets. We then make the filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_{\pi}(s), s \in \mathbb{R}_+^N)$  right-continuous in the partial order  $\pi$ , so that  $\mathcal{F}_{\pi}(s) = \bigcap_{t \succ_{\pi} s} \mathcal{F}_{\pi}(t)$ .
- Let  $\mathbb{P}_s^{\pi}$  be a regular conditional distribution for  $B$  given  $\mathcal{F}_{\pi}(s)$  and let

$$\mathbb{E}_s^{\pi} f := \int f d\mathbb{P}_s^{\pi} = \mathbb{E}(f \mid \mathcal{F}_{\pi}(s))$$

be the corresponding expectation operator.

## Theorem (2.3)

Choose and fix an upright box  $\Theta := \prod_{j=1}^N [a_j, b_j]$  in  $(0, \infty)^N$ . For any partial order  $\pi \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ , choose and fix some vector  $s \in (0, \infty)^N \setminus \Theta$  such that  $s \prec_{\pi} t$  for every  $t \in \Theta$ . Then for all  $\mathcal{F}_{\pi}(s)$ -measurable bounded random sets  $A$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_s^{\pi} \{B(u) \in A \text{ for some } u \in \Theta\} \asymp \text{Cap}_{d-2N}(A), \quad (3)$$

where  $Z_1 \asymp Z_2$  means  $\mathbb{P} \{ \mathbf{1}_{\{Z_1 > 0\}} = \mathbf{1}_{\{Z_2 > 0\}} \} = 1$ .

This theorem generalizes Theorem 1.1 of Khoshnevisan and Shi (1999).

## Theorem (2.3)

Choose and fix an upright box  $\Theta := \prod_{j=1}^N [a_j, b_j]$  in  $(0, \infty)^N$ . For any partial order  $\pi \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ , choose and fix some vector  $s \in (0, \infty)^N \setminus \Theta$  such that  $s \prec_{\pi} t$  for every  $t \in \Theta$ . Then for all  $\mathcal{F}_{\pi}(s)$ -measurable bounded random sets  $A$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_s^{\pi} \{B(u) \in A \text{ for some } u \in \Theta\} \asymp \text{Cap}_{d-2N}(A), \quad (3)$$

where  $Z_1 \asymp Z_2$  means  $\mathbb{P} \{ \mathbf{1}_{\{Z_1 > 0\}} = \mathbf{1}_{\{Z_2 > 0\}} \} = 1$ .

This theorem generalizes Theorem 1.1 of Khoshnevisan and Shi (1999).

## Theorem (2.3)

Choose and fix an upright box  $\Theta := \prod_{j=1}^N [a_j, b_j]$  in  $(0, \infty)^N$ . For any partial order  $\pi \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ , choose and fix some vector  $s \in (0, \infty)^N \setminus \Theta$  such that  $s \prec_{\pi} t$  for every  $t \in \Theta$ . Then for all  $\mathcal{F}_{\pi}(s)$ -measurable bounded random sets  $A$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_s^{\pi} \{B(u) \in A \text{ for some } u \in \Theta\} \asymp \text{Cap}_{d-2N}(A), \quad (3)$$

where  $Z_1 \asymp Z_2$  means  $\mathbb{P} \{ \mathbf{1}_{\{Z_1 > 0\}} = \mathbf{1}_{\{Z_2 > 0\}} \} = 1$ .

This theorem generalizes Theorem 1.1 of Khoshnevisan and Shi (1999).

# Analysis of pinned sheets

For all  $s \in (0, \infty)^N$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$ , define

$$B_s(t) := B(t) - \delta_s(t)B(s), \quad (4)$$

where

$$\delta_s(t) := \prod_{j=1}^N \left( \frac{s_j \wedge t_j}{s_j} \right). \quad (5)$$

It is not too difficult to see that

$$B_s(t) = B(t) - \mathbb{E} [B(t) | B(s)]. \quad (6)$$

Proof of Theorem 2.3 relies on the following lemma.

**Lemma (Khoshnevisan and X., 2007)**

*Choose and fix a partial order  $\pi \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$  and a time point  $s \in (0, \infty)^N$ . Then  $\{B_s(t)\}_{t \succ_{\pi} s}$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_{\pi}(s)$ .*

*Moreover, for every nonrandom upright box  $I \subset (0, \infty)^N$  and  $\pi \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ , there exists a constant  $c > 1$  such that for all  $s, u, v \in I$ ,*

$$c^{-1} \|u - v\| \leq \text{Var} (B_s^1(u) - B_s^1(v)) \leq c \|u - v\|, \quad (7)$$

*where  $B_s^1(t)$  denotes the first coordinate of  $B_s(t)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$ .*

Proof of Theorem 2.3 relies on the following lemma.

### Lemma (Khoshnevisan and X., 2007)

*Choose and fix a partial order  $\pi \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$  and a time point  $s \in (0, \infty)^N$ . Then  $\{B_s(t)\}_{t \succ_{\pi} s}$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_{\pi}(s)$ .*

*Moreover, for every nonrandom upright box  $I \subset (0, \infty)^N$  and  $\pi \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ , there exists a constant  $c > 1$  such that for all  $s, u, v \in I$ ,*

$$c^{-1} \|u - v\| \leq \text{Var} (B_s^1(u) - B_s^1(v)) \leq c \|u - v\|, \quad (7)$$

*where  $B_s^1(t)$  denotes the first coordinate of  $B_s(t)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$ .*



## Proof of Theorem 2.2

Observe that there exist distinct points  $s$  and  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  such that  $B(s) = B(t) \in A$ , if and only if we can find disjoint closed upright boxes  $\Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_2$ , with vertices with rational coordinates, such that  $B(\Theta_1) \cap B(\Theta_2) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ .

There exist  $s \in (0, \infty)^N$  and a partial order  $\pi \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$  such that

- $u \prec_\pi s$  for all  $u \in \Theta_1$  and
- $s \prec_\pi v$  for all  $v \in \Theta_2$ .

Applying Theorem 2.3, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \{B(\Theta_1) \cap B(\Theta_2) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} &> 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{E} [\text{Cap}_{d-2N}(B(\Theta_1) \cap A)] &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

## Proof of Theorem 2.2

Observe that there exist distinct points  $s$  and  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  such that  $B(s) = B(t) \in A$ , if and only if we can find disjoint closed upright boxes  $\Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_2$ , with vertices with rational coordinates, such that  $B(\Theta_1) \cap B(\Theta_2) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ .

There exist  $s \in (0, \infty)^N$  and a partial order  $\pi \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$  such that

- $u \prec_\pi s$  for all  $u \in \Theta_1$  and
- $s \prec_\pi v$  for all  $v \in \Theta_2$ .

Applying Theorem 2.3, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \{B(\Theta_1) \cap B(\Theta_2) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} &> 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{E} [\text{Cap}_{d-2N}(B(\Theta_1) \cap A)] &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

## Proof of Theorem 2.2

Observe that there exist distinct points  $s$  and  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  such that  $B(s) = B(t) \in A$ , if and only if we can find disjoint closed upright boxes  $\Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_2$ , with vertices with rational coordinates, such that  $B(\Theta_1) \cap B(\Theta_2) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ .

There exist  $s \in (0, \infty)^N$  and a partial order  $\pi \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$  such that

- $u \prec_\pi s$  for all  $u \in \Theta_1$  and
- $s \prec_\pi v$  for all  $v \in \Theta_2$ .

Applying Theorem 2.3, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \{B(\Theta_1) \cap B(\Theta_2) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} &> 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{E} [\text{Cap}_{d-2N}(B(\Theta_1) \cap A)] &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

The main result of Khoshnevisan and Shi (1999) is that

$$\text{Cap}_{d-2N}(E) > 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}\{W_2(\Theta_2) \cap E \neq \emptyset\} > 0,$$

where  $W_2$  is a Brownian sheet that is independent of  $B$ .

We apply this with  $E := B(\Theta_1) \cap A$  first, and then  $E := W_2(\Theta_2) \cap A$  to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{B(\Theta_1) \cap B(\Theta_2) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} &> 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}\{B(\Theta_1) \cap W_2(\Theta_2) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} > 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}[\text{Cap}_{d-2N}(W_2(\Theta_2) \cap A)] > 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}\{W_1(\Theta_1) \cap W_2(\Theta_2) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $W_1$  is a Brownian sheet that is independent of  $B$  and  $W_2$ .

The main result of Khoshnevisan and Shi (1999) is that

$$\text{Cap}_{d-2N}(E) > 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}\{W_2(\Theta_2) \cap E \neq \emptyset\} > 0,$$

where  $W_2$  is a Brownian sheet that is independent of  $B$ . We apply this with  $E := B(\Theta_1) \cap A$  first, and then  $E := W_2(\Theta_2) \cap A$  to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{B(\Theta_1) \cap B(\Theta_2) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} &> 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}\{B(\Theta_1) \cap W_2(\Theta_2) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} &> 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}\left[\text{Cap}_{d-2N}(W_2(\Theta_2) \cap A)\right] &> 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}\{W_1(\Theta_1) \cap W_2(\Theta_2) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $W_1$  is a Brownian sheet that is independent of  $B$  and  $W_2$ .

The main result of Khoshnevisan and Shi (1999) is that

$$\text{Cap}_{d-2N}(E) > 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}\{W_2(\Theta_2) \cap E \neq \emptyset\} > 0,$$

where  $W_2$  is a Brownian sheet that is independent of  $B$ . We apply this with  $E := B(\Theta_1) \cap A$  first, and then  $E := W_2(\Theta_2) \cap A$  to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{B(\Theta_1) \cap B(\Theta_2) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} &> 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}\{B(\Theta_1) \cap W_2(\Theta_2) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} &> 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}\left[\text{Cap}_{d-2N}(W_2(\Theta_2) \cap A)\right] &> 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}\{W_1(\Theta_1) \cap W_2(\Theta_2) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $W_1$  is a Brownian sheet that is independent of  $B$  and  $W_2$ .

Finally, since the family of pairs of such closed upright boxes  $\Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_2$  is countable, it proves Theorem 2.2.

## Remarks

- The proof depends on ordering disjoint upright boxes  $\Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_2$ .
- This can be done for disjoint upright boxes  $\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \Theta_3$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . So Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.1 hold for  $N = 2$  and  $k = 3$ .
- However, this can not be done in general for disjoint upright boxes  $\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_k$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with  $N \geq 3$  and  $k \geq 3$ .

Finally, since the family of pairs of such closed upright boxes  $\Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_2$  is countable, it proves Theorem 2.2.

## Remarks

- The proof depends on ordering disjoint upright boxes  $\Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_2$ .
- This can be done for disjoint upright boxes  $\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \Theta_3$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . So Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.1 hold for  $N = 2$  and  $k = 3$ .
- However, this can not be done in general for disjoint upright boxes  $\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_k$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with  $N \geq 3$  and  $k \geq 3$ .



Finally, since the family of pairs of such closed upright boxes  $\Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_2$  is countable, it proves Theorem 2.2.

## Remarks

- The proof depends on ordering disjoint upright boxes  $\Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_2$ .
- This can be done for disjoint upright boxes  $\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \Theta_3$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . So Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.1 hold for  $N = 2$  and  $k = 3$ .
- However, this can not be done in general for disjoint upright boxes  $\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_k$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with  $N \geq 3$  and  $k \geq 3$ .

Finally, since the family of pairs of such closed upright boxes  $\Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_2$  is countable, it proves Theorem 2.2.

## Remarks

- The proof depends on ordering disjoint upright boxes  $\Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_2$ .
- This can be done for disjoint upright boxes  $\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \Theta_3$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . So Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.1 hold for  $N = 2$  and  $k = 3$ .
- However, this can not be done in general for disjoint upright boxes  $\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_k$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with  $N \geq 3$  and  $k \geq 3$ .

### 3. Hitting probabilities of $M_2$

#### Theorem (3.1)

Let  $A$  denote a nonrandom Borel set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

- If  $d > 2N$ , then  $\mathbb{P}\{M_2 \cap A \neq \emptyset\} > 0$  if and only if  $\text{Cap}_{2(d-2N)}(A) > 0$ , where  $\text{Cap}_\beta$  denotes the Bessel–Riesz capacity in dimension  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- If  $d = 2N$ , then  $\mathbb{P}\{M_2 \cap A \neq \emptyset\} > 0$  if and only if  $\exists \mu \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ , such that

$$\iint \left| \log_+ \left( \frac{1}{|x-y|} \right) \right|^2 \mu(dx) \mu(dy) < \infty.$$

### 3. Hitting probabilities of $M_2$

#### Theorem (3.1)

Let  $A$  denote a nonrandom Borel set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

- If  $d > 2N$ , then  $\mathbb{P}\{M_2 \cap A \neq \emptyset\} > 0$  if and only if  $\text{Cap}_{2(d-2N)}(A) > 0$ , where  $\text{Cap}_\beta$  denotes the Bessel–Riesz capacity in dimension  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- If  $d = 2N$ , then  $\mathbb{P}\{M_2 \cap A \neq \emptyset\} > 0$  if and only if  $\exists \mu \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ , such that

$$\iint \left| \log_+ \left( \frac{1}{|x-y|} \right) \right|^2 \mu(dx) \mu(dy) < \infty.$$

### 3. Hitting probabilities of $M_2$

#### Theorem (3.1)

Let  $A$  denote a nonrandom Borel set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

- If  $d > 2N$ , then  $\mathbb{P}\{M_2 \cap A \neq \emptyset\} > 0$  if and only if  $\text{Cap}_{2(d-2N)}(A) > 0$ , where  $\text{Cap}_\beta$  denotes the Bessel–Riesz capacity in dimension  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- If  $d = 2N$ , then  $\mathbb{P}\{M_2 \cap A \neq \emptyset\} > 0$  if and only if  $\exists \mu \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ , such that

$$\iint \left| \log_+ \left( \frac{1}{|x-y|} \right) \right|^2 \mu(dx) \mu(dy) < \infty.$$

## Theorem (3.1 Continued)

- Finally, if  $d < 2N$ , then  $\mathbb{P}\{M_k \cap A \neq \emptyset\} > 0$  for all  $k \geq 2$  and all nonvoid nonrandom Borel sets  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ .

Theorem 3.1 follows from Theorem 2.2, the main theorem in Khoshnevisan and Shi (1999) and a result of Peres (1997).

## Theorem (3.1 Continued)

- Finally, if  $d < 2N$ , then  $\mathbb{P}\{M_k \cap A \neq \emptyset\} > 0$  for all  $k \geq 2$  and all nonvoid nonrandom Borel sets  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ .

Theorem 3.1 follows from Theorem 2.2, the main theorem in Khoshnevisan and Shi (1999) and a result of Peres (1997).

## 4. Hausdorff dimension of $M_2 \cap A$

Now for any closed set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , we consider  $\dim_{\text{H}}(M_2 \cap A)$ .

### Theorem (4.1)

*Choose and fix a nonrandom closed set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ .*

- *If  $\dim_{\text{H}} A < 2(d - 2N)$ , then  $\mathbb{P}(M_2 \cap A = \emptyset) = 1$ .*
- *If  $\dim_{\text{H}} A \geq 2(d - 2N)$ , then*

$$\|\dim_{\text{H}}(M_2 \cap A)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = \dim_{\text{H}} A - 2(d - 2N)^+,$$

*where*

$$\|Z\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} := \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : \mathbb{P}\{Z > \lambda\} = 0 \}, \quad (\inf \emptyset := 0)$$



## 4. Hausdorff dimension of $M_2 \cap A$

Now for any closed set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , we consider  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(M_2 \cap A)$ .

### Theorem (4.1)

Choose and fix a nonrandom closed set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ .

- If  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} A < 2(d - 2N)$ , then  $\mathbb{P}(M_2 \cap A = \emptyset) = 1$ .
- If  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} A \geq 2(d - 2N)$ , then

$$\|\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(M_2 \cap A)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = \dim_{\mathbb{H}} A - 2(d - 2N)^+,$$

where

$$\|Z\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} := \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : \mathbb{P}\{Z > \lambda\} = 0 \}, \quad (\inf \emptyset := 0)$$

## 4. Hausdorff dimension of $M_2 \cap A$

Now for any closed set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , we consider  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(M_2 \cap A)$ .

### Theorem (4.1)

*Choose and fix a nonrandom closed set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ .*

- *If  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} A < 2(d - 2N)$ , then  $\mathbb{P}(M_2 \cap A = \emptyset) = 1$ .*
- *If  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} A \geq 2(d - 2N)$ , then*

$$\|\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(M_2 \cap A)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = \dim_{\mathbb{H}} A - 2(d - 2N)^+,$$

*where*

$$\|Z\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} := \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : \mathbb{P}\{Z > \lambda\} = 0 \}, \quad (\inf \emptyset := 0)$$

## 4. Hausdorff dimension of $M_2 \cap A$

Now for any closed set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , we consider  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(M_2 \cap A)$ .

### Theorem (4.1)

Choose and fix a nonrandom closed set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ .

- If  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} A < 2(d - 2N)$ , then  $\mathbb{P}(M_2 \cap A = \emptyset) = 1$ .
- If  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} A \geq 2(d - 2N)$ , then

$$\|\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(M_2 \cap A)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = \dim_{\mathbb{H}} A - 2(d - 2N)^+,$$

where

$$\|Z\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} := \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : \mathbb{P}\{Z > \lambda\} = 0 \}, \quad (\inf \emptyset := 0)$$

The proof has two parts:

- The upper bound: it can be proved that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(M_2 \cap A) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{H}} A - 2(d - 2N)^+ \quad \text{almost surely.}$$

- The lower bound is proved by using Theorem 2.2 and a co-dimension argument.

The proof has two parts:

- The upper bound: it can be proved that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(M_2 \cap A) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{H}} A - 2(d - 2N)^+ \quad \text{almost surely.}$$

- The lower bound is proved by using Theorem 2.2 and a co-dimension argument.

Thank you