

Weakly Coupled Lévy Type Operators and Switched Lévy Type Processes

Fubao Xi
Beijing Institute of Technology

Joint work with Zhen-Qing Chen

The 7th Workshop on Markov Processes and Related Topics
July 19-23 2010, Beijing

Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Construction of the Process
- 3 Non-Explosiveness
- 4 Feller Property
- 5 Some Other Properties
- 6 Exponential Ergodicity

- **Switched Diffusion Processes** are often used to describe some typical hybrid systems: systems with multiple modes.
 - Stability;
 - Ergodicity;
 - Harnack Inequality;
 -
- **Lévy Type Processes** (or Jump-Diffusion Processes) can be considered as continuous Itô diffusions perturbed by random jumps.
 - Stability;
 - Ergodicity;
 - Harnack Inequality;
 -

- **Switched Diffusion Processes** are often used to describe some typical hybrid systems: systems with multiple modes.
 - Stability;
 - Ergodicity;
 - Harnack Inequality;
 -
- **Lévy Type Processes** (or Jump-Diffusion Processes) can be considered as continuous Itô diffusions perturbed by random jumps.
 - Stability;
 - Ergodicity;
 - Harnack Inequality;
 -

- **Switched Diffusion Processes** are often used to describe some typical hybrid systems: systems with multiple modes.
 - Stability;
 - Ergodicity;
 - Harnack Inequality;
 -
- **Lévy Type Processes** (or Jump-Diffusion Processes) can be considered as continuous Itô diffusions perturbed by random jumps.
 - Stability;
 - Ergodicity;
 - Harnack Inequality;
 -

- **Switched Diffusion Processes** are often used to describe some typical hybrid systems: systems with multiple modes.
 - Stability;
 - Ergodicity;
 - Harnack Inequality;
 -
- **Lévy Type Processes** (or Jump-Diffusion Processes) can be considered as continuous Itô diffusions perturbed by random jumps.
 - Stability;
 - Ergodicity;
 - Harnack Inequality;
 -

- **Switched Diffusion Processes** are often used to describe some typical hybrid systems: systems with multiple modes.
 - Stability;
 - Ergodicity;
 - Harnack Inequality;
 -
- **Lévy Type Processes** (or Jump-Diffusion Processes) can be considered as continuous Itô diffusions perturbed by random jumps.
 - Stability;
 - Ergodicity;
 - Harnack Inequality;
 -

- **Switched Diffusion Processes** are often used to describe some typical hybrid systems: systems with multiple modes.
 - Stability;
 - Ergodicity;
 - Harnack Inequality;
 -
- **Lévy Type Processes** (or Jump-Diffusion Processes) can be considered as continuous Itô diffusions perturbed by random jumps.
 - Stability;
 - Ergodicity;
 - Harnack Inequality;
 -

- **Switched Diffusion Processes** are often used to describe some typical hybrid systems: systems with multiple modes.
 - Stability;
 - Ergodicity;
 - Harnack Inequality;
 -
- **Lévy Type Processes** (or Jump-Diffusion Processes) can be considered as continuous Itô diffusions perturbed by random jumps.
 - Stability;
 - Ergodicity;
 - Harnack Inequality;
 -

- **Switched Diffusion Processes** are often used to describe some typical hybrid systems: systems with multiple modes.
 - Stability;
 - Ergodicity;
 - Harnack Inequality;
 -
- **Lévy Type Processes** (or Jump-Diffusion Processes) can be considered as continuous Itô diffusions perturbed by random jumps.
 - Stability;
 - Ergodicity;
 - Harnack Inequality;
 -

- **Switched Diffusion Processes** are often used to describe some typical hybrid systems: systems with multiple modes.
 - Stability;
 - Ergodicity;
 - Harnack Inequality;
 -
- **Lévy Type Processes** (or Jump-Diffusion Processes) can be considered as continuous Itô diffusions perturbed by random jumps.
 - Stability;
 - Ergodicity;
 - Harnack Inequality;
 -

- **Switched Diffusion Processes** are often used to describe some typical hybrid systems: systems with multiple modes.
 - Stability;
 - Ergodicity;
 - Harnack Inequality;
 -
- **Lévy Type Processes** (or Jump-Diffusion Processes) can be considered as continuous Itô diffusions perturbed by random jumps.
 - Stability;
 - Ergodicity;
 - Harnack Inequality;
 -

In this work, we will consider **switched Lévy type processes**.

Roughly, a **switched Lévy type process** is a combination of switched diffusion process and Lévy type process.

We will use a **weakly coupled Lévy type operator** to generate a switched Lévy type process.

In this work, we will consider **switched Lévy type processes**.

Roughly, a **switched Lévy type process** is a **combination** of switched diffusion process and Lévy type process.

We will use a **weakly coupled Lévy type operator** to generate a switched Lévy type process.

In this work, we will consider **switched Lévy type processes**.

Roughly, a **switched Lévy type process** is a **combination** of switched diffusion process and Lévy type process.

We will use a **weakly coupled Lévy type operator** to generate a switched Lévy type process.

Weakly Coupled Lévy Type Operator

Let d and N be two positive integers and set $\mathbb{S} := \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$. For certain “nice” functions

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ \vdots \\ f_N \end{pmatrix} : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}^N,$$

consider the following weakly coupled Lévy type operator:

$$Sf = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{L}_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \mathcal{L}_N \end{pmatrix} f + Qf. \quad (1)$$

Weakly Coupled Lévy Type Operator

Let d and N be two positive integers and set $\mathbb{S} := \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$. For certain “nice” functions

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ \vdots \\ f_N \end{pmatrix} : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}^N,$$

consider the following weakly coupled Lévy type operator:

$$Sf = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{L}_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \mathcal{L}_N \end{pmatrix} f + Qf. \quad (1)$$

Weakly Coupled Lévy Type Operator

Here, for each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, \mathcal{L}_k is a Lévy type operator defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_k f_k(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(a(x, k) \operatorname{Hess} f_k(x)) + \langle b(x, k), \nabla f_k(x) \rangle \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} (f_k(x + u) - f_k(x) - \langle \nabla f_k(x), u \rangle \mathbf{1}_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon_0\}}) \nu(x, k, du), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where for each $(x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$,

$a(x, k) = (a_{ij}(x, k))$ is a nonnegative definite symmetric $d \times d$ -matrix,

$b(x, k) = (b_i(x, k))$ is a d -dimensional vector,

and $\nu(x, k, \cdot)$ is a Lévy kernel satisfying that $\nu(x, k, \cdot)$ is a nonnegative σ -finite measure on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ such that there exists a nonnegative constant ε_0 satisfying that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} |u|^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon_0\}} \nu(x, k, du) < \infty$ and $\nu(x, k, \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{|u| \leq \varepsilon_0\}) < \infty$.

Weakly Coupled Lévy Type Operator

Here, for each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, \mathcal{L}_k is a Lévy type operator defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_k f_k(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(a(x, k) \operatorname{Hess} f_k(x)) + \langle b(x, k), \nabla f_k(x) \rangle \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} (f_k(x + u) - f_k(x) - \langle \nabla f_k(x), u \rangle \mathbf{1}_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon_0\}}) \nu(x, k, du), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where for each $(x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$,

$a(x, k) = (a_{ij}(x, k))$ is a nonnegative definite symmetric $d \times d$ -matrix,

$b(x, k) = (b_i(x, k))$ is a d -dimensional vector,

and $\nu(x, k, \cdot)$ is a Lévy kernel satisfying that $\nu(x, k, \cdot)$ is a nonnegative σ -finite measure on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ such that there exists a nonnegative constant ε_0 satisfying that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} |u|^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon_0\}} \nu(x, k, du) < \infty$ and $\nu(x, k, \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{|u| \leq \varepsilon_0\}) < \infty$.

Weakly Coupled Lévy Type Operator

Here, for each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, \mathcal{L}_k is a Lévy type operator defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_k f_k(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(a(x, k) \operatorname{Hess} f_k(x)) + \langle b(x, k), \nabla f_k(x) \rangle \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} (f_k(x + u) - f_k(x) - \langle \nabla f_k(x), u \rangle \mathbf{1}_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon_0\}}) \nu(x, k, du), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where for each $(x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$,

$a(x, k) = (a_{ij}(x, k))$ is a nonnegative definite symmetric $d \times d$ -matrix,

$b(x, k) = (b_i(x, k))$ is a d -dimensional vector,

and $\nu(x, k, \cdot)$ is a Lévy kernel satisfying that $\nu(x, k, \cdot)$ is a nonnegative σ -finite measure on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ such that there exists a nonnegative constant ε_0 satisfying that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} |u|^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon_0\}} \nu(x, k, du) < \infty$ and $\nu(x, k, \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{|u| \leq \varepsilon_0\}) < \infty$.

Weakly Coupled Lévy Type Operator

Here, for each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, \mathcal{L}_k is a Lévy type operator defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_k f_k(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(a(x, k) \text{Hess} f_k(x)) + \langle b(x, k), \nabla f_k(x) \rangle \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} (f_k(x + u) - f_k(x) - \langle \nabla f_k(x), u \rangle \mathbf{1}_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon_0\}}) \nu(x, k, du), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where for each $(x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$,

$a(x, k) = (a_{ij}(x, k))$ is a nonnegative definite symmetric $d \times d$ -matrix,

$b(x, k) = (b_i(x, k))$ is a d -dimensional vector,

and $\nu(x, k, \cdot)$ is a Lévy kernel satisfying that $\nu(x, k, \cdot)$ is a nonnegative σ -finite measure on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ such that there exists a nonnegative constant ε_0 satisfying that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} |u|^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon_0\}} \nu(x, k, du) < \infty$ and $\nu(x, k, \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{|u| \leq \varepsilon_0\}) < \infty$.

Weakly Coupled Lévy Type Operator

Here, for each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, \mathcal{L}_k is a Lévy type operator defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_k f_k(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(a(x, k) \operatorname{Hess} f_k(x)) + \langle b(x, k), \nabla f_k(x) \rangle \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} (f_k(x + u) - f_k(x) - \langle \nabla f_k(x), u \rangle \mathbf{1}_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon_0\}}) \nu(x, k, du), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where for each $(x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$,

$a(x, k) = (a_{ij}(x, k))$ is a nonnegative definite symmetric $d \times d$ -matrix,

$b(x, k) = (b_i(x, k))$ is a d -dimensional vector,

and $\nu(x, k, \cdot)$ is a Lévy kernel satisfying that $\nu(x, k, \cdot)$ is a nonnegative σ -finite measure on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ such that there exists a nonnegative constant ε_0 satisfying that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} |u|^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{|u| \leq \varepsilon_0\}} \nu(x, k, du) < \infty$ and $\nu(x, k, \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{|u| \leq \varepsilon_0\}) < \infty$.

Weakly Coupled Lévy Type Operator

And here, $Q = (q_{kl}(x))$ is an $N \times N$ matrix-valued measurable function on \mathbb{R}^d such that

$$q_{kl}(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d \quad \text{for } k \neq l \quad (3)$$

and, for each fixed $k \in \mathbb{S}$,

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbb{S}} q_{kl}(x) = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (4)$$

Moreover, $\nabla f_k(\cdot)$ and $\text{Hess} f_k(\cdot)$ denote the gradient and Hessian matrix of $f_k(\cdot)$, respectively.

Weakly Coupled Lévy Type Operator

And here, $Q = (q_{kl}(x))$ is an $N \times N$ matrix-valued measurable function on \mathbb{R}^d such that

$$q_{kl}(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d \quad \text{for } k \neq l \quad (3)$$

and, for each fixed $k \in \mathbb{S}$,

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbb{S}} q_{kl}(x) = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (4)$$

Moreover, $\nabla f_k(\cdot)$ and $\text{Hess} f_k(\cdot)$ denote the gradient and Hessian matrix of $f_k(\cdot)$, respectively.

Weakly Coupled Lévy Type Operator

And here, $Q = (q_{kl}(x))$ is an $N \times N$ matrix-valued measurable function on \mathbb{R}^d such that

$$q_{kl}(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d \quad \text{for } k \neq l \quad (3)$$

and, for each fixed $k \in \mathbb{S}$,

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbb{S}} q_{kl}(x) = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (4)$$

Moreover, $\nabla f_k(\cdot)$ and $\text{Hess} f_k(\cdot)$ denote the gradient and Hessian matrix of $f_k(\cdot)$, respectively.

Weakly Coupled Lévy Type Operator (again)

So, for certain “nice” functions

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ \vdots \\ f_N \end{pmatrix} : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}^N,$$

we can consider the following weakly coupled Lévy type operator:

$$Sf = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{L}_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \mathcal{L}_N \end{pmatrix} f + Qf. \quad (1)$$

Weakly Coupled Lévy Type Operator (again)

So, for certain “nice” functions

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ \vdots \\ f_N \end{pmatrix} : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}^N,$$

we can consider the following weakly coupled Lévy type operator:

$$Sf = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{L}_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \mathcal{L}_N \end{pmatrix} f + Qf. \quad (1)$$

Switched Lévy Type Process

As is well known, under some mild conditions, a **Lévy type operator** as in (2) can be associated with a regular (i.e. non-explosive) **Lévy type process**.

For the **existence and uniqueness** of the strong Markov process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ corresponding to the **weakly coupled Lévy type operator** S defined in (1), we make the following assumption.

Assumption 1

Assume that for each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, the Lévy type operator \mathcal{L}_k defined in (2) is associated with a regular (i.e. non-explosive) Lévy type process $\tilde{X}^{(k)}$. Moreover, assume that for each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, the function $q_{kk}(x) \leq 0$ is bounded from below.

Switched Lévy Type Process

As is well known, under some mild conditions, a **Lévy type operator** as in (2) can be associated with a regular (i.e. non-explosive) **Lévy type process**.

For the **existence and uniqueness** of the strong Markov process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ corresponding to the **weakly coupled Lévy type operator** S defined in (1), we make the following assumption.

Assumption 1

Assume that for each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, the Lévy type operator \mathcal{L}_k defined in (2) is associated with a regular (i.e. non-explosive) Lévy type process $\tilde{X}^{(k)}$. Moreover, assume that for each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, the function $q_{kk}(x) \leq 0$ is bounded from below.

Switched Lévy Type Process

As is well known, under some mild conditions, a **Lévy type operator** as in (2) can be associated with a regular (i.e. non-explosive) **Lévy type process**.

For the **existence and uniqueness** of the strong Markov process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ corresponding to the **weakly coupled Lévy type operator** S defined in (1), we make the following assumption.

Assumption 1

Assume that for each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, the Lévy type operator \mathcal{L}_k defined in (2) is associated with a regular (i.e. non-explosive) Lévy type process $\tilde{X}^{(k)}$. Moreover, assume that for each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, the function $q_{kk}(x) \leq 0$ is bounded from below.

The First Switching Time

We will use the Ikeda-Nagasawa-Watanabe piecing together procedure to construct the strong Markov process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ which has infinitesimal generator S defined by (1).

Let

$$\tau = \inf\{t > 0 : \Lambda(t) \neq \Lambda(0)\} \quad (5)$$

be the first switching time of Y .

Clearly, we can identify $Y(t) = (X(t), \Lambda(t))$ with $(\tilde{X}^{(k)}(t), \Lambda(0))$ for $0 \leq t < \tau$ when $(X(0), \Lambda(0)) = (x, k)$, where $\tilde{X}^{(k)}$ just is the Lévy type process introduced in Assumption 1.

Meanwhile, we also have that $\mathbb{P}^{(x,k)}(\tau > 0) = 1$ for all $(x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$.

The First Switching Time

We will use the Ikeda-Nagasawa-Watanabe piecing together procedure to construct the strong Markov process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ which has infinitesimal generator S defined by (1).

Let

$$\tau = \inf\{t > 0 : \Lambda(t) \neq \Lambda(0)\} \quad (5)$$

be the first switching time of Y .

Clearly, we can identify $Y(t) = (X(t), \Lambda(t))$ with $(\tilde{X}^{(k)}(t), \Lambda(0))$ for $0 \leq t < \tau$ when $(X(0), \Lambda(0)) = (x, k)$, where $\tilde{X}^{(k)}$ just is the Lévy type process introduced in Assumption 1.

Meanwhile, we also have that $\mathbb{P}^{(x,k)}(\tau > 0) = 1$ for all $(x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$.

The First Switching Time

We will use the Ikeda-Nagasawa-Watanabe piecing together procedure to construct the strong Markov process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ which has infinitesimal generator S defined by (1).

Let

$$\tau = \inf\{t > 0 : \Lambda(t) \neq \Lambda(0)\} \quad (5)$$

be the first switching time of Y .

Clearly, we can identify $Y(t) = (X(t), \Lambda(t))$ with $(\tilde{X}^{(k)}(t), \Lambda(0))$ for $0 \leq t < \tau$ when $(X(0), \Lambda(0)) = (x, k)$, where $\tilde{X}^{(k)}$ just is the Lévy type process introduced in Assumption 1.

Meanwhile, we also have that $\mathbb{P}^{(x,k)}(\tau > 0) = 1$ for all $(x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$.

The First Switching Time

We will use the Ikeda-Nagasawa-Watanabe piecing together procedure to construct the strong Markov process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ which has infinitesimal generator S defined by (1).

Let

$$\tau = \inf\{t > 0 : \Lambda(t) \neq \Lambda(0)\} \quad (5)$$

be the first switching time of Y .

Clearly, we can identify $Y(t) = (X(t), \Lambda(t))$ with $(\tilde{X}^{(k)}(t), \Lambda(0))$ for $0 \leq t < \tau$ when $(X(0), \Lambda(0)) = (x, k)$, where $\tilde{X}^{(k)}$ just is the Lévy type process introduced in Assumption 1.

Meanwhile, we also have that $\mathbb{P}^{(x,k)}(\tau > 0) = 1$ for all $(x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$.

Killed Lévy Type Processes

To construct $Y = (X, \Lambda)$, we need to introduce a family of killed Lévy type processes.

For each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, we kill the Lévy type process $\tilde{X}^{(k)}$ at the rate $(-q_{kk})$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_k^{(x)}[f(X^{(k)}(t))] &= \mathbb{E}_k^{(x)}[f(\tilde{X}^{(k)}(t)); t < \tau] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_k^{(x)}[\exp\{\int_0^t q_{kk}(\tilde{X}^{(k)}(s))ds\}f(\tilde{X}^{(k)}(t))],\end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

to get a subprocess $X^{(k)}$.

Equivalently, $X^{(k)}$ can be defined as $X^{(k)}(t) = \tilde{X}^{(k)}(t)$ if $t < \tau$ and $X^{(k)}(t) = \partial$ if $t \geq \tau$, where ∂ is a cemetery point added to \mathbb{R}^d .

Killed Lévy Type Processes

To construct $Y = (X, \Lambda)$, we need to introduce a family of killed Lévy type processes.

For each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, we kill the Lévy type process $\tilde{X}^{(k)}$ at the rate $(-q_{kk})$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_k^{(x)}[f(X^{(k)}(t))] &= \mathbb{E}_k^{(x)}[f(\tilde{X}^{(k)}(t)); t < \tau] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_k^{(x)}[\exp\{\int_0^t q_{kk}(\tilde{X}^{(k)}(s))ds\}f(\tilde{X}^{(k)}(t))],\end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

to get a subprocess $X^{(k)}$.

Equivalently, $X^{(k)}$ can be defined as $X^{(k)}(t) = \tilde{X}^{(k)}(t)$ if $t < \tau$ and $X^{(k)}(t) = \partial$ if $t \geq \tau$, where ∂ is a cemetery point added to \mathbb{R}^d .

Killed Lévy Type Processes

To construct $Y = (X, \Lambda)$, we need to introduce a family of killed Lévy type processes.

For each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, we kill the Lévy type process $\tilde{X}^{(k)}$ at the rate $(-q_{kk})$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_k^{(x)}[f(X^{(k)}(t))] &= \mathbb{E}_k^{(x)}[f(\tilde{X}^{(k)}(t)); t < \tau] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_k^{(x)}[\exp\{\int_0^t q_{kk}(\tilde{X}^{(k)}(s))ds\}f(\tilde{X}^{(k)}(t))],\end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

to get a subprocess $X^{(k)}$.

Equivalently, $X^{(k)}$ can be defined as $X^{(k)}(t) = \tilde{X}^{(k)}(t)$ if $t < \tau$ and $X^{(k)}(t) = \partial$ if $t \geq \tau$, where ∂ is a cemetery point added to \mathbb{R}^d .

Killed Lévy Type Processes

To construct $Y = (X, \Lambda)$, we need to introduce a family of killed Lévy type processes.

For each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, we kill the Lévy type process $\tilde{X}^{(k)}$ at the rate $(-q_{kk})$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_k^{(x)}[f(X^{(k)}(t))] &= \mathbb{E}_k^{(x)}[f(\tilde{X}^{(k)}(t)); t < \tau] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_k^{(x)}[\exp\{\int_0^t q_{kk}(\tilde{X}^{(k)}(s))ds\}f(\tilde{X}^{(k)}(t))],\end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

to get a subprocess $X^{(k)}$.

Equivalently, $X^{(k)}$ can be defined as $X^{(k)}(t) = \tilde{X}^{(k)}(t)$ if $t < \tau$ and $X^{(k)}(t) = \partial$ if $t \geq \tau$, where ∂ is a cemetery point added to \mathbb{R}^d .

Ikeda-Nagasawa-Watanabe Piecing Together Procedure

By the **Ikeda-Nagasawa-Watanabe piecing together procedure**, now we construct the **switched Lévy type process** $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$ as follows.

For given $(x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$, let $Y(t) = (X^{(k)}(t), \Lambda(0))$ for $0 \leq t < \tau^{(1)}$, where $X^{(k)}(0) = x$, $\Lambda(0) = k$ and $\tau^{(1)} = \inf\{t > 0 : \Lambda(t) \neq \Lambda(0)\}$.

Next, we **select an** $l \in \mathbb{S} \setminus \{k\}$ with the probability distribution $(-q_{kl}/q_{kk})(X(\tau^{(1)}-))$ and **glue a copy of** $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ starting from $(X(\tau^{(1)}-), l)$.

Namely, define $Y(\tau^{(1)} + t) = (X^{(l)}(t), \Lambda(0))$ for $0 \leq t < \tau^{(2)}$, where $X^{(l)}(0) = X(\tau^{(1)}-)$, $\Lambda(0) = l$ and $\tau^{(2)} = \inf\{t > 0 : \Lambda(t) \neq \Lambda(0)\}$.

Then **iterating the above procedure countably many times**, we get a strong Markov process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$.

Ikeda-Nagasawa-Watanabe Piecing Together Procedure

By the Ikeda-Nagasawa-Watanabe piecing together procedure, now we construct the switched Lévy type process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$ as follows.

For given $(x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$, let $Y(t) = (X^{(k)}(t), \Lambda(0))$ for $0 \leq t < \tau^{(1)}$, where $X^{(k)}(0) = x$, $\Lambda(0) = k$ and $\tau^{(1)} = \inf\{t > 0 : \Lambda(t) \neq \Lambda(0)\}$.

Next, we select an $l \in \mathbb{S} \setminus \{k\}$ with the probability distribution $(-q_{kl}/q_{kk})(X(\tau^{(1)}-))$ and glue a copy of $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ starting from $(X(\tau^{(1)}-), l)$.

Namely, define $Y(\tau^{(1)} + t) = (X^{(l)}(t), \Lambda(0))$ for $0 \leq t < \tau^{(2)}$, where $X^{(l)}(0) = X(\tau^{(1)}-)$, $\Lambda(0) = l$ and $\tau^{(2)} = \inf\{t > 0 : \Lambda(t) \neq \Lambda(0)\}$.

Then iterating the above procedure countably many times, we get a strong Markov process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$.

Ikeda-Nagasawa-Watanabe Piecing Together Procedure

By the Ikeda-Nagasawa-Watanabe piecing together procedure, now we construct the switched Lévy type process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$ as follows.

For given $(x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$, let $Y(t) = (X^{(k)}(t), \Lambda(0))$ for $0 \leq t < \tau^{(1)}$, where $X^{(k)}(0) = x$, $\Lambda(0) = k$ and $\tau^{(1)} = \inf\{t > 0 : \Lambda(t) \neq \Lambda(0)\}$.

Next, we select an $l \in \mathbb{S} \setminus \{k\}$ with the probability distribution $(-q_{kl}/q_{kk})(X(\tau^{(1)}-))$ and glue a copy of $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ starting from $(X(\tau^{(1)}-), l)$.

Namely, define $Y(\tau^{(1)} + t) = (X^{(l)}(t), \Lambda(0))$ for $0 \leq t < \tau^{(2)}$, where $X^{(l)}(0) = X(\tau^{(1)}-)$, $\Lambda(0) = l$ and $\tau^{(2)} = \inf\{t > 0 : \Lambda(t) \neq \Lambda(0)\}$.

Then iterating the above procedure countably many times, we get a strong Markov process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$.

Ikeda-Nagasawa-Watanabe Piecing Together Procedure

By the Ikeda-Nagasawa-Watanabe piecing together procedure, now we construct the switched Lévy type process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$ as follows.

For given $(x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$, let $Y(t) = (X^{(k)}(t), \Lambda(0))$ for $0 \leq t < \tau^{(1)}$, where $X^{(k)}(0) = x$, $\Lambda(0) = k$ and $\tau^{(1)} = \inf\{t > 0 : \Lambda(t) \neq \Lambda(0)\}$.

Next, we select an $l \in \mathbb{S} \setminus \{k\}$ with the probability distribution $(-q_{kl}/q_{kk})(X(\tau^{(1)}-))$ and glue a copy of $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ starting from $(X(\tau^{(1)}-), l)$.

Namely, define $Y(\tau^{(1)} + t) = (X^{(l)}(t), \Lambda(0))$ for $0 \leq t < \tau^{(2)}$, where $X^{(l)}(0) = X(\tau^{(1)}-)$, $\Lambda(0) = l$ and $\tau^{(2)} = \inf\{t > 0 : \Lambda(t) \neq \Lambda(0)\}$.

Then iterating the above procedure countably many times, we get a strong Markov process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$.

Ikeda-Nagasawa-Watanabe Piecing Together Procedure

By the Ikeda-Nagasawa-Watanabe piecing together procedure, now we construct the switched Lévy type process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$ as follows.

For given $(x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$, let $Y(t) = (X^{(k)}(t), \Lambda(0))$ for $0 \leq t < \tau^{(1)}$, where $X^{(k)}(0) = x$, $\Lambda(0) = k$ and $\tau^{(1)} = \inf\{t > 0 : \Lambda(t) \neq \Lambda(0)\}$.

Next, we select an $l \in \mathbb{S} \setminus \{k\}$ with the probability distribution $(-q_{kl}/q_{kk})(X(\tau^{(1)}-))$ and glue a copy of $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ starting from $(X(\tau^{(1)}-), l)$.

Namely, define $Y(\tau^{(1)} + t) = (X^{(l)}(t), \Lambda(0))$ for $0 \leq t < \tau^{(2)}$, where $X^{(l)}(0) = X(\tau^{(1)}-)$, $\Lambda(0) = l$ and $\tau^{(2)} = \inf\{t > 0 : \Lambda(t) \neq \Lambda(0)\}$.

Then iterating the above procedure countably many times, we get a strong Markov process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$.

Theorem 2

Suppose that Assumption 1 holds. Then, the process Y constructed above is a switched Lévy type process on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$ associated the infinitesimal generator S in (1).

Sketched Proof. Denote by $\{P_t, t \geq 0\}$ and $\{G_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$, respectively, the **transition semigroup** and **resolvent** of the switched process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$.

For each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, let $\{G_\alpha^{(k)}, \alpha > 0\}$ be the **resolvent** for the generator $\mathcal{L}_k + q_{kk}$.

Let $f(x, k) \geq 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$.

Applying the strong Markov property at **the first switching time τ** and recalling the construction of Y , we obtain

Theorem 2

Suppose that Assumption 1 holds. Then, the process Y constructed above is a switched Lévy type process on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$ associated the infinitesimal generator S in (1).

Sketched Proof. Denote by $\{P_t, t \geq 0\}$ and $\{G_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$, respectively, the **transition semigroup** and **resolvent** of the switched process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$.

For each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, let $\{G_\alpha^{(k)}, \alpha > 0\}$ be the **resolvent** for the generator $\mathcal{L}_k + q_{kk}$.

Let $f(x, k) \geq 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$.

Applying the strong Markov property at **the first switching time τ** and recalling the construction of Y , we obtain

Theorem 2

Suppose that Assumption 1 holds. Then, the process Y constructed above is a switched Lévy type process on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$ associated the infinitesimal generator S in (1).

Sketched Proof. Denote by $\{P_t, t \geq 0\}$ and $\{G_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$, respectively, the **transition semigroup** and **resolvent** of the switched process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$.

For each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, let $\{G_\alpha^{(k)}, \alpha > 0\}$ be the **resolvent** for the generator $\mathcal{L}_k + q_{kk}$.

Let $f(x, k) \geq 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$.

Applying the strong Markov property at **the first switching time τ** and recalling the construction of Y , we obtain

Theorem 2

Suppose that Assumption 1 holds. Then, the process Y constructed above is a switched Lévy type process on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$ associated the infinitesimal generator S in (1).

Sketched Proof. Denote by $\{P_t, t \geq 0\}$ and $\{G_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$, respectively, the **transition semigroup** and **resolvent** of the switched process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$.

For each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, let $\{G_\alpha^{(k)}, \alpha > 0\}$ be the **resolvent** for the generator $\mathcal{L}_k + q_{kk}$.

Let $f(x, k) \geq 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$.

Applying the strong Markov property at **the first switching time τ** and recalling the construction of Y , we obtain

Theorem 2

Suppose that Assumption 1 holds. Then, the process Y constructed above is a switched Lévy type process on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$ associated the infinitesimal generator S in (1).

Sketched Proof. Denote by $\{P_t, t \geq 0\}$ and $\{G_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$, respectively, the **transition semigroup** and **resolvent** of the switched process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$.

For each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, let $\{G_\alpha^{(k)}, \alpha > 0\}$ be the **resolvent** for the generator $\mathcal{L}_k + q_{kk}$.

Let $f(x, k) \geq 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$.

Applying the strong Markov property at **the first switching time τ** and recalling the construction of Y , we obtain

Y Has Infinitesimal Generator S (Cont)

$$\begin{aligned} G_\alpha f(x, k) &= \mathbb{E}^{(x, k)} \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} f(X_t, \Lambda_t) dt \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}^{(x, k)} \left[\int_0^\tau e^{-\alpha t} f(X_t, k) dt \right] + \mathbb{E}^{(x, k)} \left[\int_\tau^\infty e^{-\alpha t} f(X_t, \Lambda_t) dt \right] \\ &= G_\alpha^{(k)} f(x, k) + \mathbb{E}^{(x, k)} \left[e^{-\alpha \tau} G_\alpha f(X_\tau, \Lambda_\tau) \right] \\ &= G_\alpha^{(k)} f(x, k) + \sum_{l \in \mathbb{S} \setminus \{k\}} \mathbb{E}^{(x, k)} \left[e^{-\alpha \tau} \left(-\frac{q_{kl}}{q_{kk}} \right) (X_{\tau-}) G_\alpha f(X_{\tau-}, l) \right] \\ &= G_\alpha^{(k)} f(x, k) + \sum_{l \in \mathbb{S} \setminus \{k\}} G_\alpha^{(k)} (q_{kl} G_\alpha f(\cdot, l))(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence for each fixed $k \in \mathbb{S}$,

$$\mathcal{L}_k G_\alpha f(\cdot, k) + \sum_{l \in \mathbb{S}} q_{kl} G_\alpha f(\cdot, l) - \alpha G_\alpha f(\cdot, k) = -f(\cdot, k).$$

This shows that S defined in (1) is the infinitesimal generator of Y .

Remark 3

For each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, and for any function $f(\cdot, k) \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, define an operator \mathcal{A} as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}f(x, k) = \mathcal{L}_k f(x, k) + Q(x)f(x, k), \quad (7)$$

where operator $Q(x)$ is further defined as

$$Q(x)f(x, k) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{S}} q_{kl}(x)(f(x, l) - f(x, k)). \quad (8)$$

Since S is the infinitesimal generator of Y by Theorem 2, we know that for each bounded stopping time τ for the process Y and each function $f(\cdot, k) \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $k \in \mathbb{S}$, the Dynkin's formula

$$\mathbb{E}^{(x, k)} f(X(\tau), \Lambda(\tau)) = f(x, k) + \mathbb{E}^{(x, k)} \int_0^\tau \mathcal{A}f(X(s), \Lambda(s)) ds \quad (9)$$

Successive Switching Instants

We now consider the **non-explosiveness** of the process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$.

To do so, we need to prove that $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ can only have finitely many switches during a finite time interval.

Let us denote the successive switching instants of (X, Λ) by

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_1 &= \inf\{t > 0 : \Lambda(t) \neq \Lambda(0)\}, \\ \tau_n &= \inf\{t : t > \tau_{n-1}, \Lambda(t) \neq \Lambda(\tau_{n-1})\}, \quad n \geq 2,\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

with the convention that $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$.

Moreover, we also define a counting process, $v(t) := \max\{n : \tau_n \leq t\}$.

Obviously, τ_1 just is the first switching time τ defined in (5) and $v(t)$ is the total number of switches of (X, Λ) prior to t .

Successive Switching Instants

We now consider the **non-explosiveness of the process** $Y = (X, \Lambda)$.

To do so, we need to prove that $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ can only have finitely many switches during a finite time interval.

Let us denote the successive switching instants of (X, Λ) by

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_1 &= \inf\{t > 0 : \Lambda(t) \neq \Lambda(0)\}, \\ \tau_n &= \inf\{t : t > \tau_{n-1}, \Lambda(t) \neq \Lambda(\tau_{n-1})\}, \quad n \geq 2,\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

with the convention that $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$.

Moreover, we also define a counting process, $v(t) := \max\{n : \tau_n \leq t\}$.

Obviously, τ_1 just is the first switching time τ defined in (5) and $v(t)$ is the total number of switches of (X, Λ) prior to t .

Successive Switching Instants

We now consider the **non-explosiveness** of the process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$.

To do so, we need to prove that $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ can only have finitely many switches during a finite time interval.

Let us denote the successive switching instants of (X, Λ) by

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_1 &= \inf\{t > 0 : \Lambda(t) \neq \Lambda(0)\}, \\ \tau_n &= \inf\{t : t > \tau_{n-1}, \Lambda(t) \neq \Lambda(\tau_{n-1})\}, \quad n \geq 2,\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

with the convention that $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$.

Moreover, we also define a counting process, $v(t) := \max\{n : \tau_n \leq t\}$.

Obviously, τ_1 just is the first switching time τ defined in (5) and $v(t)$ is the total number of switches of (X, Λ) prior to t .

Successive Switching Instants

We now consider the **non-explosiveness** of the process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$.

To do so, we need to prove that $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ can only have finitely many switches during a finite time interval.

Let us denote the successive switching instants of (X, Λ) by

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_1 &= \inf\{t > 0 : \Lambda(t) \neq \Lambda(0)\}, \\ \tau_n &= \inf\{t : t > \tau_{n-1}, \Lambda(t) \neq \Lambda(\tau_{n-1})\}, \quad n \geq 2,\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

with the convention that $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$.

Moreover, we also define a counting process, $v(t) := \max\{n : \tau_n \leq t\}$.

Obviously, τ_1 just is the first switching time τ defined in (5) and $v(t)$ is the total number of switches of (X, Λ) prior to t .

Successive Switching Instants

We now consider the **non-explosiveness of the process** $Y = (X, \Lambda)$.

To do so, we need to prove that $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ can only have finitely many switches during a finite time interval.

Let us denote the successive switching instants of (X, Λ) by

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_1 &= \inf\{t > 0 : \Lambda(t) \neq \Lambda(0)\}, \\ \tau_n &= \inf\{t : t > \tau_{n-1}, \Lambda(t) \neq \Lambda(\tau_{n-1})\}, \quad n \geq 2,\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

with the convention that $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$.

Moreover, we also define a counting process, $v(t) := \max\{n : \tau_n \leq t\}$.

Obviously, τ_1 just is the first switching time τ defined in (5) and $v(t)$ is the total number of switches of (X, Λ) prior to t .

Lemma 4

Suppose that Assumption 1 holds. For every $(x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$ and every $t > 0$, we have that

$$\mathbb{P}^{(x,k)}\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n = \infty\right) = 1, \quad \mathbb{P}^{(x,k)}(v(t) < +\infty) = 1. \quad (11)$$

We now proceed to prove the non-explosiveness of $Y = (X, \Lambda)$. For all integers $m \geq 1$, let $O_m := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| < m\}$ and define

$$\gamma_m := \inf\{t \geq 0 : (X(t), \Lambda(t)) \in O_m^c \times \mathbb{S}\}.$$

It follows from the second equality in (11) that for every $(x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$ and every $t > 0$, $\mathbb{P}^{(x,k)}(v(t) < +\infty) = 1$. Consequently, for every $m \geq 1$, we then have that

$$\mathbb{P}^{(x,k)}(\gamma_m \leq t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}^{(x,k)}(\gamma_m \leq t, v(t) = n). \quad (12)$$

Lemma 4

Suppose that Assumption 1 holds. For every $(x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$ and every $t > 0$, we have that

$$\mathbb{P}^{(x,k)}\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n = \infty\right) = 1, \quad \mathbb{P}^{(x,k)}(v(t) < +\infty) = 1. \quad (11)$$

We now proceed to prove the non-explosiveness of $Y = (X, \Lambda)$. For all integers $m \geq 1$, let $O_m := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| < m\}$ and define

$$\gamma_m := \inf\{t \geq 0 : (X(t), \Lambda(t)) \in O_m^c \times \mathbb{S}\}.$$

It follows from the second equality in (11) that for every $(x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$ and every $t > 0$, $\mathbb{P}^{(x,k)}(v(t) < +\infty) = 1$. Consequently, for every $m \geq 1$, we then have that

$$\mathbb{P}^{(x,k)}(\gamma_m \leq t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}^{(x,k)}(\gamma_m \leq t, v(t) = n). \quad (12)$$

Theorem 5

Suppose that Assumption 1 holds. The process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ is non-explosive.

Remark 6

We can define truncations $(X(t \wedge \gamma_m), \Lambda(t \wedge \gamma_m))$ ($m \geq 1$) of the non-explosive process (X, Λ) . Then we have that for every $m \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}^{(x,k)} f(X(t \wedge \gamma_m), \Lambda(t \wedge \gamma_m)) \\ &= f(x, k) + \mathbb{E}^{(x,k)} \int_0^t \mathcal{A}f(X(s \wedge \gamma_m), \Lambda(s \wedge \gamma_m)) ds \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

holds for each point $(x, k) \in O_m \times \mathbb{S}$ and each function $f(\cdot, k) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $k \in \mathbb{S}$.

Theorem 5

Suppose that Assumption 1 holds. The process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ is non-explosive.

Remark 6

We can define truncations $(X(t \wedge \gamma_m), \Lambda(t \wedge \gamma_m))$ ($m \geq 1$) of the non-explosive process (X, Λ) . Then we have that for every $m \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}^{(x,k)} f(X(t \wedge \gamma_m), \Lambda(t \wedge \gamma_m)) \\ &= f(x, k) + \mathbb{E}^{(x,k)} \int_0^t \mathcal{A}f(X(s \wedge \gamma_m), \Lambda(s \wedge \gamma_m)) ds \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

holds for each point $(x, k) \in O_m \times \mathbb{S}$ and each function $f(\cdot, k) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $k \in \mathbb{S}$.

Theorem 5

Suppose that Assumption 1 holds. The process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ is non-explosive.

Remark 6

We can define truncations $(X(t \wedge \gamma_m), \Lambda(t \wedge \gamma_m))$ ($m \geq 1$) of the non-explosive process (X, Λ) . Then we have that for every $m \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}^{(x,k)} f(X(t \wedge \gamma_m), \Lambda(t \wedge \gamma_m)) \\ &= f(x, k) + \mathbb{E}^{(x,k)} \int_0^t \mathcal{A}f(X(s \wedge \gamma_m), \Lambda(s \wedge \gamma_m)) ds \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

holds for each point $(x, k) \in O_m \times \mathbb{S}$ and each function $f(\cdot, k) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $k \in \mathbb{S}$.

Some Conditions

We will prove the **Feller property** for the switched Lévy type process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ under some conditions.

Assumption 7

Assume that for $(x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$, the Lévy kernel $\nu(x, k, \cdot)$ is a nonnegative finite measure on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$. Moreover, assume that there exists a constant $H > 0$ such that

$$|\sigma(x, k) - \sigma(y, k)| + |b(x, k) - b(y, k)| \leq H|x - y| \quad (14)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} |z| \|\nu(x, k, \cdot) - \nu(y, k, \cdot)\| (dz) \leq H|x - y| \quad (15)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $k \in \mathbb{S}$, where $\sigma(x, k)$ is a square root of $a(x, k)$ such that $a(x, k) = \sigma(x, k)\sigma(x, k)^*$.

Some Conditions

We will prove the **Feller property** for the switched Lévy type process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ under some conditions.

Assumption 7

Assume that for $(x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$, the Lévy kernel $\nu(x, k, \cdot)$ is a nonnegative finite measure on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$. Moreover, assume that there exists a constant $H > 0$ such that

$$|\sigma(x, k) - \sigma(y, k)| + |b(x, k) - b(y, k)| \leq H|x - y| \quad (14)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} |z| \|\nu(x, k, \cdot) - \nu(y, k, \cdot)\|(dz) \leq H|x - y| \quad (15)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $k \in \mathbb{S}$, where $\sigma(x, k)$ is a square root of $a(x, k)$ such that $a(x, k) = \sigma(x, k)\sigma(x, k)^*$.

Using the [coupling methods](#), we prove the following two lemmas.

Lemma 8

Suppose that Assumptions 1 and 7 hold. For each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, the Lévy type process $\tilde{X}^{(k)}$ generated by the Lévy type operator \mathcal{L}_k defined in (2) has Feller property.

Lemma 9

Suppose that Assumptions 1 and 7 hold. For each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, the killed Lévy type process $X^{(k)}$ introduced in (6) has Feller property.

Using the [coupling methods](#), we prove the following two lemmas.

Lemma 8

Suppose that Assumptions 1 and 7 hold. For each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, the Lévy type process $\tilde{X}^{(k)}$ generated by the Lévy type operator \mathcal{L}_k defined in (2) has Feller property.

Lemma 9

Suppose that Assumptions 1 and 7 hold. For each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, the killed Lévy type process $X^{(k)}$ introduced in (6) has Feller property.

Using the [coupling methods](#), we prove the following two lemmas.

Lemma 8

Suppose that Assumptions 1 and 7 hold. For each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, the Lévy type process $\tilde{X}^{(k)}$ generated by the Lévy type operator \mathcal{L}_k defined in (2) has Feller property.

Lemma 9

Suppose that Assumptions 1 and 7 hold. For each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, the killed Lévy type process $X^{(k)}$ introduced in (6) has Feller property.

By virtue of the relation of $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ and the killed Lévy type processes $X^{(k)}$, $k \in \mathbb{S}$, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 10

Suppose that Assumptions 1 and 7 hold. Then, The process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ has Feller property.

Remark 11

The global Lipschitz condition in Assumption 7 can be relaxed to local Lipschitz condition by making using a truncation argument.

By virtue of the relation of $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ and the killed Lévy type processes $X^{(k)}$, $k \in \mathbb{S}$, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 10

Suppose that Assumptions 1 and 7 hold. Then, The process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ has Feller property.

Remark 11

The global Lipschitz condition in Assumption 7 can be relaxed to local Lipschitz condition by making using a truncation argument.

By virtue of the relation of $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ and the killed Lévy type processes $X^{(k)}$, $k \in \mathbb{S}$, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 10

Suppose that Assumptions 1 and 7 hold. Then, The process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$ has Feller property.

Remark 11

The global Lipschitz condition in Assumption 7 can be relaxed to local Lipschitz condition by making using a truncation argument.

Some Auxiliary Processes and Further Conditions

We now introduce **some auxiliary processes** as follows.

For each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, let $\tilde{X}^{(k),0}$ be the unique strong Markov process generated by operator \mathcal{L}_k^0 , which is defined for “nice” function g on \mathbb{R}^d as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_k^0 g(x) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(a(x, k) \text{Hess}g(x)) + \langle b(x, k), \nabla g(x) \rangle. \quad (16)$$

Assumption 12

Assume that for each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, $\tilde{X}^{(k),0}$ has a positive transition probability density $\tilde{p}^{(k),0}(t, x, y)$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Moreover, assume that $q_{kl}(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $k \neq l \in \mathbb{S}$.

Some Auxiliary Processes and Further Conditions

We now introduce **some auxiliary processes** as follows.

For each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, let $\tilde{X}^{(k),0}$ be the unique strong Markov process generated by operator \mathcal{L}_k^0 , which is defined for “nice” function g on \mathbb{R}^d as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_k^0 g(x) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(a(x, k) \text{Hess}g(x)) + \langle b(x, k), \nabla g(x) \rangle. \quad (16)$$

Assumption 12

Assume that for each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, $\tilde{X}^{(k),0}$ has a positive transition probability density $\tilde{p}^{(k),0}(t, x, y)$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Moreover, assume that $q_{kl}(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $k \neq l \in \mathbb{S}$.

Some Auxiliary Processes and Further Conditions

We now introduce **some auxiliary processes** as follows.

For each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, let $\tilde{X}^{(k),0}$ be the unique strong Markov process generated by operator \mathcal{L}_k^0 , which is defined for “nice” function g on \mathbb{R}^d as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_k^0 g(x) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(a(x, k) \text{Hess}g(x)) + \langle b(x, k), \nabla g(x) \rangle. \quad (16)$$

Assumption 12

Assume that for each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, $\tilde{X}^{(k),0}$ has a positive transition probability density $\tilde{p}^{(k),0}(t, x, y)$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Moreover, assume that $q_{kl}(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $k \neq l \in \mathbb{S}$.

Some Auxiliary Processes and Further Conditions

We now introduce **some auxiliary processes** as follows.

For each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, let $\tilde{X}^{(k),0}$ be the unique strong Markov process generated by operator \mathcal{L}_k^0 , which is defined for “nice” function g on \mathbb{R}^d as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_k^0 g(x) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(a(x, k) \text{Hess}g(x)) + \langle b(x, k), \nabla g(x) \rangle. \quad (16)$$

Assumption 12

Assume that for each $k \in \mathbb{S}$, $\tilde{X}^{(k),0}$ has a positive transition probability density $\tilde{p}^{(k),0}(t, x, y)$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Moreover, assume that $q_{kl}(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $k \neq l \in \mathbb{S}$.

Irreducibility and Aperiodicity

Let us fix a **probability measure** $\mu(\cdot)$ that is equivalent to the product measure on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$ of the **Lebesgue measure** on \mathbb{R}^d and the **counting measure** on \mathbb{S} .

Proposition 13

Suppose that Assumptions 1, 7 and 12 hold. Then (X, Λ) is μ -irreducible. Moreover, for any given $\delta > 0$, all compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$ are petite for the δ -skeleton chain of (X, Λ) .

Proposition 14

Suppose that Assumptions 1, 7 and 12 hold. Then (X, Λ) is a μ -irreducible, aperiodic T -process.

Irreducibility and Aperiodicity

Let us fix a **probability measure** $\mu(\cdot)$ that is equivalent to the product measure on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$ of the **Lebesgue measure** on \mathbb{R}^d and the **counting measure** on \mathbb{S} .

Proposition 13

Suppose that Assumptions 1, 7 and 12 hold. Then (X, Λ) is μ -irreducible. Moreover, for any given $\delta > 0$, all compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$ are petite for the δ -skeleton chain of (X, Λ) .

Proposition 14

Suppose that Assumptions 1, 7 and 12 hold. Then (X, Λ) is a μ -irreducible, aperiodic T -process.

Irreducibility and Aperiodicity

Let us fix a **probability measure** $\mu(\cdot)$ that is equivalent to the product measure on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$ of the **Lebesgue measure** on \mathbb{R}^d and the **counting measure** on \mathbb{S} .

Proposition 13

Suppose that Assumptions 1, 7 and 12 hold. Then (X, Λ) is μ -irreducible. Moreover, for any given $\delta > 0$, all compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$ are petite for the δ -skeleton chain of (X, Λ) .

Proposition 14

Suppose that Assumptions 1, 7 and 12 hold. Then (X, Λ) is a μ -irreducible, aperiodic T -process.

Exponential Ergodicity

We proceed to investigate the exponential ergodicity for strong Markov process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$.

For any positive function $\Psi(x, k) \geq 1$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$ and any signed measure $\nu(\cdot)$ defined on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S})$ we write

$$\|\nu\|_{\Psi} = \sup\{|\nu(\Phi)| : |\Phi| \leq \Psi\}.$$

For a function $\infty > \Psi \geq 1$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$, Markov process (X, Λ) is said to be Ψ -exponentially ergodic if there exist a probability measure $\pi(\cdot)$, a constant $\theta < 1$ and a finite-valued function $\Theta(x, k)$ such that

$$\|P(t, (x, k), \cdot) - \pi(\cdot)\|_{\Psi} \leq \Theta(x, k)\theta^t$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and all $(x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$.

Exponential Ergodicity

We proceed to investigate the exponential ergodicity for strong Markov process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$.

For any positive function $\Psi(x, k) \geq 1$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$ and any signed measure $\nu(\cdot)$ defined on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S})$ we write

$$\|\nu\|_{\Psi} = \sup\{|\nu(\Phi)| : |\Phi| \leq \Psi\}.$$

For a function $\infty > \Psi \geq 1$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$, Markov process (X, Λ) is said to be Ψ -exponentially ergodic if there exist a probability measure $\pi(\cdot)$, a constant $\theta < 1$ and a finite-valued function $\Theta(x, k)$ such that

$$\|P(t, (x, k), \cdot) - \pi(\cdot)\|_{\Psi} \leq \Theta(x, k)\theta^t$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and all $(x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$.

Exponential Ergodicity

We proceed to investigate the exponential ergodicity for strong Markov process $Y = (X, \Lambda)$.

For any positive function $\Psi(x, k) \geq 1$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$ and any signed measure $\nu(\cdot)$ defined on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S})$ we write

$$\|\nu\|_{\Psi} = \sup\{|\nu(\Phi)| : |\Phi| \leq \Psi\}.$$

For a function $\infty > \Psi \geq 1$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$, Markov process (X, Λ) is said to be Ψ -exponentially ergodic if there exist a probability measure $\pi(\cdot)$, a constant $\theta < 1$ and a finite-valued function $\Theta(x, k)$ such that

$$\|P(t, (x, k), \cdot) - \pi(\cdot)\|_{\Psi} \leq \Theta(x, k)\theta^t$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and all $(x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$.

Exponential Ergodicity (Cont)

Moreover, a nonnegative function $V(x, k)$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$ is called a **norm-like function** if $V(x, k) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{S}$. A **Foster-Lyapunov drift condition**: for some $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and a **norm-like function** $V(x, k)$ which is twice continuously differentiable in x ,

$$\mathcal{A}V(x, k) \leq -\alpha V(x, k) + \beta \quad (17)$$

for $(x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$.

Theorem 15

Suppose that (17) and Assumptions 1, 7 and 12 hold. Then (X, Λ) is Ψ -exponentially ergodic with $\Psi(x, k) = V(x, k) + 1$ and $\Theta(x, k) = B(V(x, k) + 1)$, where B is a finite constant. Meanwhile, (X, Λ) is Ψ -uniformly ergodic with $\Psi(x, k) = V(x, k)$.

Exponential Ergodicity (Cont)

Moreover, a nonnegative function $V(x, k)$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$ is called a **norm-like function** if $V(x, k) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{S}$. A **Foster-Lyapunov drift condition**: for some $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and a **norm-like function** $V(x, k)$ which is twice continuously differentiable in x ,

$$\mathcal{A}V(x, k) \leq -\alpha V(x, k) + \beta \quad (17)$$

for $(x, k) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}$.

Theorem 15

Suppose that (17) and Assumptions 1, 7 and 12 hold. Then (X, Λ) is Ψ -exponentially ergodic with $\Psi(x, k) = V(x, k) + 1$ and $\Theta(x, k) = B(V(x, k) + 1)$, where B is a finite constant. Meanwhile, (X, Λ) is Ψ -uniformly ergodic with $\Psi(x, k) = V(x, k)$.

- M.F. Chen, From Markov Chains to Non-Equilibrium Particle Systems, Second Edition, World Scientific, Singapore, 2004.
- Z.Q. Chen, Z. Zhao, Switched diffusion processes and systems of elliptic equations—a Dirichlet space approach, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh A*, 124(1994), 673–701.
- Z.Q. Chen, Z. Zhao, Potential theory for elliptic systems, *Ann. Probab.*, 24(1996), 293–319.
- Z.Q. Chen, Z. Zhao, Harnack principle for weakly coupled elliptic systems, *J. Differential Equations*, 139(1997), 261–282.
- S.P. Meyn, R.L. Tweedie, Stability of Markovian processes III: Foster-Lyapunov criteria for continuous-time processes, *Adv. Appl. Probab.*, 25(1993), 518–548.

References (Cont)

- F.B. Xi, Asymptotic properties of jump-diffusion processes with state-dependent switching, *Stoch. Proc. Appl.*, 119(2009), 2198–2221.
- F.B. Xi, L.Q. Zhao, On the stability of diffusion processes with state-dependent switching, *Sci. China, Ser. A*, 49(2006), 1258–1274.
- G. Yin, F.B. Xi, Stability of Regime-Switching Jump Diffusions, *SIAM J. Contr. Optim.* To appear.
- C.G. Yuan, X.R. Mao, Asymptotic stability in distribution of stochastic differential equations with Markovian switching, *Stoch. Proc. Appl.*, 103(2003), 277–291.
- C. Zhu, G. Yin, Asymtotic properties of hybrid diffusion systems, *SIAM J. Contr. Optim.*, 46(2007), 1155–1179.

Thank You Very Much!