#### Uniqueness and Extinction Properties of the Interacting Branching Collision Process

#### **Progresses and Challenges of IBCP**

Anyue Chen, University of Liverpool, E-mail: achen@liv.ac.uk

and

Junping Li, Central South University, E-mail: jpli@mail.csu.edu.cn

Seventh Workshop on Markov Processes and Related Topics

> 19-23 July 2010 Beijing Normal University

**Beijing Normal University** 

19-23 July 2010 - Page 1

Models (IBCP)

- Models (IBCP)
- Two components: MBP and MCP: Revisited

- Models (IBCP)
- Two components: MBP and MCP: Revisited
- Progress (I) for IBCP: Regularity, Uniqueness and PDE

- Models (IBCP)
- Two components: MBP and MCP: Revisited
- Progress (I) for IBCP: Regularity, Uniqueness and PDE
- Progress (II) for IBCP: Extinction Properties for Regular Case

- Models (IBCP)
- Two components: MBP and MCP: Revisited
- Progress (I) for IBCP: Regularity, Uniqueness and PDE
- Progress (II) for IBCP: Extinction Properties for Regular Case
- Progress (III) for IBCP: Extinction Properties for Irregular Case

- Models (IBCP)
- Two components: MBP and MCP: Revisited
- Progress (I) for IBCP: Regularity, Uniqueness and PDE
- Progress (II) for IBCP: Extinction Properties for Regular Case
- Progress (III) for IBCP: Extinction Properties for Irregular Case
- Challenges from IBCP

#### Models

**Def. 1** A conservative *q*-matrix  $Q = \{q_{ij}, i, j \in Z_+\}$  is called an Interacting Branching Collision *q*-matrix (IBC *q*-matrix) if it takes the form:

$$q_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{i(i-1)}{2} a_{j-i+2} + ib_{j-i+1} & \text{if } j \ge i-2, \ i \ge 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$
(1)

where  $a_j \ge 0$   $(j \ne 2)$  and  $-a_2 = \sum_{j \ne 2} a_j < +\infty$ , together with  $a_0 > 0$  and  $\sum_{j=3}^{\infty} a_j > 0$ . Also

$$b_j \ge 0$$
  $(j \ne 1)$  and  $-b_1 = \sum_{j \ne 1} b_j < +\infty$ , (2)

together with  $b_0 > 0$ ,  $b_{-1} = 0$  and  $\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} b_j > 0$ .

#### **Beijing Normal University**

#### Models

**Def. 2** An Interacting Branching Collision Process (IBCP) is a  $Z_+$ -valued CTMC whose transition function P(t) satisfies the forward equation

$$P'(t) = P(t)Q \tag{3}$$

where Q is an IBC q-matrix.

#### Models

**Def. 2** An Interacting Branching Collision Process (IBCP) is a  $Z_+$ -valued CTMC whose transition function P(t) satisfies the forward equation

$$P'(t) = P(t)Q \tag{4}$$

where Q is an IBC q-matrix.

We see that

$$Q = Q^b + Q^c$$

where  $Q^b$  and  $Q^c$  are the conservative MBP and MCP q-matrices, respectively. The former process is well-known while the latter could be refereed to Chen et al JAP (2004).

The first component is an MBP whose properties can be analysed by using the generating function of the sequence  $\{b_j, j \ge 0\}$ :

$$B(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j s^j, \qquad |s| \le 1.$$

Note that  $B(0) = b_0 > 0$  and B(1) = 0.

The first component is an MBP whose properties can be analysed by using the generating function of the sequence  $\{b_j, j \ge 0\}$ :

$$B(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j s^j, \qquad |s| \le 1.$$

Note that  $B(0) = b_0 > 0$  and B(1) = 0.

Also  $B'(1) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j b_{j+1} - b_0$  satisfies  $-\infty < B'(1) \le +\infty$ .

## **Branching vs Collision: Revisited**

Note also that the sign of B'(1) determines the number of zeros of B(s) in [0, 1].

#### **Branching vs Collision: Revisited**

Note also that the sign of B'(1) determines the number of zeros of B(s) in [0, 1].

**Lemma 1.** The equation B(s) = 0 has at most two distinct roots in [0,1]. More specifically, if  $B'(1) \le 0$  then B(s) > 0 for all  $s \in [0,1)$  and 1 is the only root of the equation B(s) = 0 in [0,1], while if B'(1) > 0 (including  $B'(1) = +\infty$ ) then B(s) = 0 has an additional root  $q_b$  satisfying  $0 < q_b < 1$  such that B(s) > 0 for  $0 \le s < q_b$  and B(s) < 0 for  $q_b < s < 1$ .

#### **Branching vs Collision: Revisited**

Note also that the sign of B'(1) determines the number of zeros of B(s) in [0, 1].

**Lemma 1.** The equation B(s) = 0 has at most two distinct roots in [0,1]. More specifically, if  $B'(1) \le 0$  then B(s) > 0 for all  $s \in [0,1)$  and 1 is the only root of the equation B(s) = 0 in [0,1], while if B'(1) > 0 (including  $B'(1) = +\infty$ ) then B(s) = 0 has an additional root  $q_b$  satisfying  $0 < q_b < 1$  such that B(s) > 0 for  $0 \le s < q_b$  and B(s) < 0 for  $q_b < s < 1$ .

Moreover, B(s) = 0 does not have any other roots in the unit complex disk.

**Regularity and Uniqueness for MBP:** 

#### **Regularity and Uniqueness for MBP:**

**Proposition 1.** The MBP q-matrix  $Q^b$  is regular iff one of the following holds.

(i)  $B'(1) < +\infty$ . (ii)  $B'(1) = +\infty$  and

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \frac{1}{-B(s)} ds = +\infty$$

for some (or for all)  $\varepsilon \in (q_b, 1)$ , where  $q_b < 1$  is the smallest nonnegative root of B(s) = 0, guaranteed by  $B'(1) = +\infty$ .

#### **Regularity and Uniqueness for MBP:**

**Proposition 1.** The MBP q-matrix  $Q^b$  is regular iff one of the following holds.

(i)  $B'(1) < +\infty$ . (ii)  $B'(1) = +\infty$  and

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \frac{1}{-B(s)} ds = +\infty$$

for some (or for all)  $\varepsilon \in (q_b, 1)$ , where  $q_b < 1$  is the smallest nonnegative root of B(s) = 0, guaranteed by  $B'(1) = +\infty$ .

**Proposition 2.** There always exists only one MBP which satisfies the Kolmogorov forward equations.

**Extinction Probability of MBP:** 

#### **Extinction Probability of MBP:**

Let  $\{X(t), t \ge 0\}$  be the unique MBP and define the extinction times  $\tau_0^b$  for states 0 by

$$\tau_0^b = \begin{cases} \inf\{t > 0, \ X(t) = 0\} & \text{if } X(t) = 0 \text{ for some } t > 0 \\ +\infty & \text{if } X(t) \neq 0 \text{ for all } t > 0 \end{cases}$$

and denote the corresponding extinction probabilities by

$$q^{ib} = P\{\tau_0^b < +\infty | X(0) = i\}.$$

**Proposition 3** The extinction probabilities of the MBP is given by

$$q^{ib} = q_b^i,$$

More specifically,

$$q^{ib} = 1,$$
 if  $B'(1) \le 0,$   
 $q^{ib} = q_b^i < 1,$  if  $0 < B'(1) \le +\infty.$ 

**Proposition 3** The extinction probabilities of the MBP is given by

$$q^{ib} = q_b^i,$$

More specifically,

$$q^{ib} = 1,$$
 if  $B'(1) \le 0,$   
 $q^{ib} = q_b^i < 1,$  if  $0 < B'(1) \le +\infty.$ 

In particular, the extinction probability is 1 for all i > 0 if and only if

 $B'(1) \le 0$ 

# i.e. iff the overall mean BIRTH rate $\leq$ the overall mean DEATH rate.

**Beijing Normal University** 

The second component is an MCP whose properties can be analysed by using the generating function of the sequence  $\{a_j, j \ge 0\}$ :

$$A(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j s^j, \qquad |s| \le 1.$$

This satisfies  $A(0) = a_0 > 0$  and A(1) = 0.

The second component is an MCP whose properties can be analysed by using the generating function of the sequence  $\{a_j, j \ge 0\}$ :

$$A(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j s^j, \qquad |s| \le 1.$$

This satisfies  $A(0) = a_0 > 0$  and A(1) = 0. Also

$$A'(1) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j a_{j+2} - 2a_0 - a_1$$

$$-\infty < A'(1) \le +\infty.$$

Beijing Normal University

The sign of A'(1) determines the number of zeros of A(s) in [0,1].

The sign of A'(1) determines the number of zeros of A(s) in [0,1].

**Lemma 2** The equation A(s) = 0 has at most two distinct roots in [0,1]. More specifically, if  $A'(1) \leq 0$  then A(s) > 0 for all  $s \in [0,1)$  and 1 is the only root of the equation A(s) = 0 in [0,1], while if A'(1) > 0 (including  $A'(1) = +\infty$ ) then A(s) = 0has an additional root  $q_c$  satisfying  $0 < q_c < 1$  such that A(s) > 0 for  $0 \leq s < q_c$  and A(s) < 0 for  $q_c < s < 1$ . The sign of A'(1) determines the number of zeros of A(s) in [0,1].

**Lemma 2** The equation A(s) = 0 has at most two distinct roots in [0,1]. More specifically, if  $A'(1) \leq 0$  then A(s) > 0 for all  $s \in [0,1)$  and 1 is the only root of the equation A(s) = 0 in [0,1], while if A'(1) > 0 (including  $A'(1) = +\infty$ ) then A(s) = 0has an additional root  $q_c$  satisfying  $0 < q_c < 1$  such that A(s) > 0 for  $0 \leq s < q_c$  and A(s) < 0 for  $q_c < s < 1$ .

The equation A(s) = 0 has a unique root  $\eta_c$  in (-1, 0). Moreover, A(s) = 0 does not have any other roots in the unit complex disk.

**Regularity and Uniqueness for MCP:** 

**Proposition 4** The MCB q-matrix  $Q^c$  is regular if and only if

 $A'(1) \le 0.$ 

**Regularity and Uniqueness for MCP:** 

**Proposition 4** The MCB q-matrix  $Q^c$  is regular if and only if

 $A'(1) \le 0.$ 

**Proposition 5** There exists only one  $Q^c$ -function, the Feller minimal  $Q^c$ -function, which satisfies the Kolmogorov forward equations and hence there exists only one MCP.

**Regularity and Uniqueness for MCP:** 

**Proposition 4** The MCB q-matrix  $Q^c$  is regular if and only if

 $A'(1) \le 0.$ 

**Proposition 5** There exists only one  $Q^c$ -function, the Feller minimal  $Q^c$ -function, which satisfies the Kolmogorov forward equations and hence there exists only one MCP.

**Extinction Probability of MCP:** 

#### **Extinction Probability of MCP:**

Let  $\{Y(t), t \ge 0\}$  be the unique MCP and define the extinction times  $\tau_0^c$  and  $\tau_1^c$  for states 0 and 1 by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_0^c &= \begin{cases} \inf\{t > 0, \ Y(t) = 0\} & \text{if } Y(t) = 0 \text{ for some } t > 0 \\ +\infty & \text{if } Y(t) \neq 0 \text{ for all } t > 0 \end{cases} \\ \tau_1^c &= \begin{cases} \inf\{t > 0, \ Y(t) = 1\} & \text{if } Y(t) = 1 \text{ for some } t > 0 \\ +\infty & \text{if } Y(t) \neq 1 \text{ for all } t > 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and denote the corresponding extinction probabilities by

 $q^{i0} = P\{\tau_0^c < +\infty | Y(0) = i\}$  and  $q^{i1} = P\{\tau_1^c < +\infty | Y(0) = i\}.$ 

#### **Proposition 6** (i) If $A'(1) \leq 0$ then

$$q^{i0} = (\eta_c^i - \eta_c)/(1 - \eta_c)$$
$$q^{i1} = (1 - \eta_c^i)/(1 - \eta_c)$$
$$q^{i\infty} = 0$$

(ii) If  $0 < A'(1) \le +\infty$  then

$$q^{i0} = (q_c \eta_c^i - \eta_c q_c^i) / (q_c - \eta_c),$$
  

$$q^{i1} = (q_c^i - \eta_c^i) / (q_c - \eta_c)$$

and 
$$q^{i\infty} = \left(q_c(1-\eta_c^i) - \eta_c(1-q_c^i) - (q_c^i - \eta_c^i)\right)/(q_c - \eta_c).$$

where  $q_c$  is the smallest root of A(s) = 0 in [0, 1]and  $\eta_c$  is the unique root of A(s) = 0 in (-1, 0).

where  $q_c$  is the smallest root of A(s) = 0 in [0, 1]and  $\eta_c$  is the unique root of A(s) = 0 in (-1, 0).

In particular, the overall extinction probability  $q^{i0} + q^{i1}$  is 1 if and only if

 $A'(1) \le 0$ 

i.e. iff the overall mean BIRTH rate  $\leq$  the overall mean DEATH rate.

where  $q_c$  is the smallest root of A(s) = 0 in [0, 1]and  $\eta_c$  is the unique root of A(s) = 0 in (-1, 0).

In particular, the overall extinction probability  $q^{i0} + q^{i1}$  is 1 if and only if

 $A'(1) \le 0$ 

## i.e. iff the overall mean BIRTH rate $\leq$ the overall mean DEATH rate.

Huge publications for MBP in literatures, see, in particular, T. E. Harris (1963), Athreya and Ney (1972), Asmussen and Hering(1983) and Athreya and Jagers (1996). For MCP, see A.V.Kalinkin (2002) and Chen, Pollett, Li and Zhang (2004, 2008).

### **IBCP: PDE**

### **Progress on IBCP (I): Regularity, Uniqueness and PDE**

### **Progress on IBCP (I): Regularity, Uniqueness and PDE**

Let  $\{Z(t), t \ge 0\}$  be the unique IBCP and let

$$P(t) = \{p_{ij}(t)\}$$

and

$$R(\lambda) = \{r_{ij}(\lambda)\}$$

denote its transition function and resolvent, respectively.

### **IBCP: PDE**

**Theorem 3.1 (PDF)** Suppose P(t),  $R(\lambda)$  are the *Q*-function and *Q*-resolvent of IBCP, respectively. Then

$$\frac{\partial F_i(t,s)}{\partial t} = \frac{A(s)}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F_i(t,s)}{\partial s^2} + B(s) \frac{\partial F_i(t,s)}{\partial s}$$

and

$$\lambda G_i(\lambda, s) - s^i = \frac{A(s)}{2} \frac{\partial^2 G_i(\lambda, s)}{\partial s^2} + B(s) \frac{\partial G_i(\lambda, s)}{\partial s}$$

### **IBCP: PDE**

where

$$F_i(t,s) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_{ij}(t)s^j, \quad (i \ge 2),$$

and

$$G_i(\lambda, s) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} r_{ij}(\lambda) s^j, \quad (i \ge 2).$$

### **IBCP: Regularity**

# **Theorem 3.2. (Regularity)** Assume that $B'(1) < \infty$ . The IBCP q-matrix Q is regular iff $A'(1) \le 0$ .

**Theorem 3.2. (Regularity)** Assume that  $B'(1) < \infty$ . The IBCP q-matrix Q is regular iff  $A'(1) \le 0$ .

Idea of proof: Three steps: Step (i): "IF" part for case  $B'(1) \leq 0$ : Easy! **Theorem 3.2. (Regularity)** Assume that  $B'(1) < \infty$ . The IBCP q-matrix Q is regular iff  $A'(1) \le 0$ .

Idea of proof: Three steps: Step (i): "IF" part for case  $B'(1) \le 0$ : Easy!

Step (ii): "IF" part for case 0 < B'(1): Use the similar techniques as used in MBP.

**Theorem 3.2. (Regularity)** Assume that  $B'(1) < \infty$ . The IBCP q-matrix Q is regular iff  $A'(1) \le 0$ .

Idea of proof: Three steps: Step (i): "IF" part for case  $B'(1) \le 0$ : Easy!

Step (ii): "IF" part for case 0 < B'(1): Use the similar techniques as used in MBP.

Step (iii): "ONLY IF" part: Use Comparison Technique (comparing with B-D-P) similarly as used in Chen et al JAP [2004].

### **IBCP: Uniqueness**

**Theorem 3.3. (Uniqueness)** There always exists only one *Q*-function which satisfies the forward equations. That is that there always exists only one IBCP.

# **Progress on IBCP (II): Extinction**

Let  $\{Z(t), t \ge 0\}$  be the unique IBCP and define the extinction time  $\tau$  by

$$\tau = \begin{cases} \inf\{t > 0, \ Z(t) = 0\} & \text{if } Z(t) = 0 \text{ for some } t > 0 \\ +\infty & \text{if } Z(t) \neq 0 \text{ for all } t > 0 \end{cases}$$

and denote the corresponding extinction probabilities by

$$a_i = P\{\tau < +\infty | Z(0) = i\}$$

## **Progress on IBCP (II): Extinction**

By the "experience" of MBP and MCP, it seems that we SHOULD have  $a_i = 1$  iff

$$A'(1) + B'(1) \le 0$$

i.e.  $a_i = 1$  iff overall mean BIRTH rate  $\leq$  overall mean DEATH rate .

## **Progress on IBCP (II): Extinction**

By the "experience" of MBP and MCP, it seems that we SHOULD have  $a_i = 1$  iff

$$A'(1) + B'(1) \le 0$$

i.e.  $a_i = 1$  iff overall mean BIRTH rate  $\leq$  overall mean DEATH rate .

However, this guessing is INCORRECT, since the "contributions" made to the extinction by the two components are NOT equivalent ! Also, recall the two components INTERACT with each other! In fact, the extinction probabilities are much much more complicated than originally "expected"!!!

Recall IBCP is regular iff  $A'(1) \leq 0$ .

Recall IBCP is regular iff  $A'(1) \leq 0$ .

**Theorem 4.1** If  $A'(1) \leq 0$  and  $B'(1) \leq 0$ , then

 $a_i \equiv 1 \quad (i \ge 1)$ 

Recall IBCP is regular iff  $A'(1) \leq 0$ .

**Theorem 4.1** If  $A'(1) \le 0$  and  $B'(1) \le 0$ , then  $a_i \equiv 1 \quad (i \ge 1)$ 

**Theorem 4.2** If A'(1) < 0 and  $0 < B'(1) < +\infty$  then

 $a_i = 1 \quad (i \ge 1).$ 

**Beijing Normal University** 

Recall IBCP is regular iff  $A'(1) \leq 0$ .

**Theorem 4.1** If  $A'(1) \le 0$  and  $B'(1) \le 0$ , then  $a_i \equiv 1 \quad (i \ge 1)$ 

**Theorem 4.2** If A'(1) < 0 and  $0 < B'(1) < +\infty$  then

$$a_i = 1 \quad (i \ge 1).$$

Remaining case: A'(1) = 0 and  $0 < B'(1) < +\infty$ 

In order to consider the remaining case of A'(1) = 0 and  $0 < B'(1) < +\infty$ 

In order to consider the remaining case of A'(1) = 0 and  $0 < B'(1) < +\infty$ 

we need to introduce a "testing" function

$$H(y) = \exp\left\{2\int_0^y \frac{B(x)}{A(x)}dx\right\}$$

which possesses many interesting and important properties (but omitted here).

#### Now define

$$J = \int_{\eta_c}^1 \frac{H(y)}{A(y)} dy$$

#### and

$$J_0 = \int_0^1 \frac{H(y)}{A(y)} dy$$

then either  $0 < J < +\infty$  or  $J = +\infty$ . and  $J = +\infty$  iff  $J_0 = +\infty$ 

#### Now define

$$J = \int_{\eta_c}^1 \frac{H(y)}{A(y)} dy$$

#### and

$$J_0 = \int_0^1 \frac{H(y)}{A(y)} dy$$

then either  $0 < J < +\infty$  or  $J = +\infty$ . and  $J = +\infty$  iff  $J_0 = +\infty$ 

Note that Checking  $J_0$  is easier.

**Beijing Normal University** 

19-23 July 2010 - Page 25

#### Now define

$$J = \int_{\eta_c}^1 \frac{H(y)}{A(y)} dy$$

#### and

$$J_0 = \int_0^1 \frac{H(y)}{A(y)} dy$$

then either  $0 < J < +\infty$  or  $J = +\infty$ . and  $J = +\infty$  iff  $J_0 = +\infty$ 

Note that Checking  $J_0$  is easier.

**Beijing Normal University** 

19-23 July 2010 - Page 25

Theorem 4.3 Suppose A'(1) = 0 and  $0 < B'(1) < \infty$ . (i) If  $J_0 = +\infty$ , then

$$a_i = 1 \quad (i \ge 1)$$

(ii) If  $J_0 < \infty$  then

$$a_i = J^{-1} \cdot \int_{\eta_c}^1 \frac{y^i H(y)}{A(y)} dy, \quad i \ge 1$$

The following conclusion is useful since it reduces the possibly hard job in checking of J, or even  $J_0$ .

The following conclusion is useful since it reduces the possibly hard job in checking of J, or even  $J_0$ . **Theorem 4.4** Suppose  $A'(1) = 0, 0 < B'(1) < +\infty$  and  $A''(1) < \infty$ . (i) If  $A''(1) \ge 4B'(1)$  then  $J_0 = +\infty$  and thus

$$a_i = 1$$

(ii) If A''(1) < 4B'(1) (including  $B'(1) = +\infty$ ) then  $J_0 < \infty$ and thus  $a_i < 1$  and

$$a_i = J^{-1} \cdot \int_{\eta_c}^1 \frac{y^i H(y)}{A(y)} dy, \quad i \ge 1$$

Recall IBCP is irregular iff

A'(1) > 0

or, equivalently, iff

 $q_c < 1$ 

For irregular case it is necessary to further classify into a few sub-categories

For irregular case it is necessary to further classify into a few sub-categories

An irregular IBC q-matrix Q is called super-explosive if

 $q_b < q_c < 1$ 

critical-explosive if

$$q_b = q_c < 1$$

or sub-explosive if

$$q_c < q_b \le 1$$

The critical-explosive case ( $q_b = q_c < 1$ ) is simple. Indeed, by using the PDE in **Theorem 3.1**, we immediately obtain

The critical-explosive case ( $q_b = q_c < 1$ ) is simple. Indeed, by using the PDE in **Theorem 3.1**, we immediately obtain

**Theorem 5.1** If  $q_b = q_c$ , then  $a_i = q_b^i$ .

The critical-explosive case ( $q_b = q_c < 1$ ) is simple. Indeed, by using the PDE in **Theorem 3.1**, we immediately obtain

**Theorem 5.1** If  $q_b = q_c$ , then  $a_i = q_b^i$ .

**Theorem 5.2** If Q is critical-explosive, then the mean conditional extinction time

 $E_i[\tau_0|\tau_0<\infty]$ 

is given by

$$E_i[\tau_0|\tau_0 < \infty] = q_c^{-i} \int_0^{q_c} \left[\frac{2}{H(s)} \int_{\eta_c}^s (1 - (\frac{y}{q_c})^i) \frac{H(y)}{A(y)} dy\right] ds$$

The super-explosive case is also not difficult.

The super-explosive case is also not difficult.

**Theorem 5.3** If  $q_b < q_c < 1$  (super-explosive). Then the extinction probability  $a_i$  starting from  $i \ge 1$ , is

$$a_i = \frac{\int_{\eta_c}^{q_c} \frac{y^i H(y)}{A(y)} dy}{\int_{\eta_c}^{q_c} \frac{H(y)}{A(y)} dy}.$$
(6)

The super-explosive case is also not difficult.

**Theorem 5.3** If  $q_b < q_c < 1$  (super-explosive). Then the extinction probability  $a_i$  starting from  $i \ge 1$ , is

$$a_i = \frac{\int_{\eta_c}^{q_c} \frac{y^i H(y)}{A(y)} dy}{\int_{\eta_c}^{q_c} \frac{H(y)}{A(y)} dy}.$$
(7)

However, the sub-explosive is surprisingly subtle. First we consider a subcase.

However, the sub-explosive is surprisingly subtle. First we consider a subcase.

**Theorem 5.4** Suppose that  $q_c < q_b \le 1$  (sub-explosive). Further assume

 $A'(q_c) + 2B(q_c) = 0$ 

Then

$$a_i = q_c^i + i\sigma q_c^{n-1} \tag{9}$$

where the positive constant  $\sigma$  is independent of i and given by

$$\sigma = -\frac{B(q_c)}{B'(q_c)}.$$

However, the sub-explosive is surprisingly subtle. First we consider a subcase.

**Theorem 5.4** Suppose that  $q_c < q_b \le 1$  (sub-explosive). Further assume

 $A'(q_c) + 2B(q_c) = 0$ 

Then

$$a_i = q_c^i + i\sigma q_c^{n-1} \tag{10}$$

where the positive constant  $\sigma$  is independent of i and given by

$$\sigma = -\frac{B(q_c)}{B'(q_c)}.$$

Closed form could also be provided for another subcase of  $A'(q_c) + 2B(q_c) < 0$ 

Closed form could also be provided for another subcase of  $A'(q_c) + 2B(q_c) < 0$ 

**Theorem 5.5** Suppose the IBC q-matrix Q is sub-explosive and

$$A'(q_c) + 2B(q_c) < 0$$

Then

$$a_{i} = \frac{\int_{\eta_{c}}^{q_{c}} \frac{y^{i}B'(y) - iy^{i-1}B(y)}{A_{1}(y)} e^{\int_{0}^{y} \frac{B_{1}(x)}{A_{1}(x)} dx} dy}{\int_{\xi_{c}}^{\rho_{c}} \frac{B'(y)}{A_{1}(y)} e^{\int_{0}^{y} \frac{B_{1}(x)}{A_{1}(x)} dx} dy}.$$

Closed form could also be provided for another subcase of  $A'(q_c) + 2B(q_c) < 0$ 

**Theorem 5.5** Suppose the IBC q-matrix Q is sub-explosive and

 $A'(q_c) + 2B(q_c) < 0$ 

Then

$$a_{i} = \frac{\int_{\eta_{c}}^{q_{c}} \frac{y^{i}B'(y) - iy^{i-1}B(y)}{A_{1}(y)} e^{\int_{0}^{y} \frac{B_{1}(x)}{A_{1}(x)} dx} dy}{\int_{\xi_{c}}^{\rho_{c}} \frac{B'(y)}{A_{1}(y)} e^{\int_{0}^{y} \frac{B_{1}(x)}{A_{1}(x)} dx} dy}.$$

Note that two new functions  $A_1(x)$  and  $B_1(x)$  appear.

Closed form could also be provided for another subcase of  $A'(q_c) + 2B(q_c) < 0$ 

**Theorem 5.5** Suppose the IBC q-matrix Q is sub-explosive and

 $A'(q_c) + 2B(q_c) < 0$ 

Then

$$a_{i} = \frac{\int_{\eta_{c}}^{q_{c}} \frac{y^{i}B'(y) - iy^{i-1}B(y)}{A_{1}(y)} e^{\int_{0}^{y} \frac{B_{1}(x)}{A_{1}(x)} dx} dy}{\int_{\xi_{c}}^{\rho_{c}} \frac{B'(y)}{A_{1}(y)} e^{\int_{0}^{y} \frac{B_{1}(x)}{A_{1}(x)} dx} dy}.$$

Note that two new functions  $A_1(x)$  and  $B_1(x)$  appear. Definition of  $A_1(x)$  and  $B_1(x)$  ??

Hence for sub-explosive case, if  $A'(q_c) + 2B(q_c) < 0$ , we need to define  $A_1(x)$  and  $B_1(x)$  as follows

Hence for sub-explosive case, if  $A'(q_c) + 2B(q_c) < 0$ , we need to define  $A_1(x)$  and  $B_1(x)$  as follows

$$A_0(s) = \frac{A(s)}{2}$$

Hence for sub-explosive case, if  $A'(q_c) + 2B(q_c) < 0$ , we need to define  $A_1(x)$  and  $B_1(x)$  as follows

$$A_0(s) = \frac{A(s)}{2}$$

$$B_0(s) = B(s)$$

Hence for sub-explosive case, if  $A'(q_c) + 2B(q_c) < 0$ , we need to define  $A_1(x)$  and  $B_1(x)$  as follows

$$A_0(s) = \frac{A(s)}{2}$$

$$B_0(s) = B(s)$$

$$A_1(s) = A_0(s)B_0(s)$$

$$B_1(s) = B_0(s)[B_0(s) + A'_0(s)] - A_0(s)B'_0(s)$$

How about the final sub-case of  $A'(q_c) + 2B(q_c) > 0$  of sub-explosive IPCP??

How about the final sub-case of  $A'(q_c) + 2B(q_c) > 0$  of sub-explosive IPCP??

By Lemmas 2.1 and 3.1 we know  $q_c < q_b \le 1$  (sub-explosive)implies

 $A'(q_c) < 0$ 

and

 $B(q_c) > 0$ 

How about the final sub-case of  $A'(q_c) + 2B(q_c) > 0$  of sub-explosive IPCP??

By Lemmas 2.1 and 3.1 we know  $q_c < q_b \le 1$  (sub-explosive)implies

 $A'(q_c) < 0$ 

and

 $B(q_c) > 0$ 

One thus could find the smallest positive integer k such that

 $kA'(q_c) + 2B(q_c) \le 0.$ 

How about the final sub-case of  $A'(q_c) + 2B(q_c) > 0$  of sub-explosive IPCP??

By Lemmas 2.1 and 3.1 we know  $q_c < q_b \le 1$  (sub-explosive)implies

 $A'(q_c) < 0$ 

and

 $B(q_c) > 0$ 

One thus could find the smallest positive integer k such that

 $kA'(q_c) + 2B(q_c) \le 0.$ 

How about the final sub-case of  $A'(q_c) + 2B(q_c) > 0$  of sub-explosive IPCP??

By Lemmas 2.1 and 3.1 we know  $q_c < q_b \le 1$  (sub-explosive)implies

 $A'(q_c) < 0$ 

and

 $B(q_c) > 0$ 

One thus could find the smallest positive integer k such that

 $kA'(q_c) + 2B(q_c) \le 0.$ 

How about the final sub-case of  $A'(q_c) + 2B(q_c) > 0$  of sub-explosive IPCP??

By Lemmas 2.1 and 3.1 we know  $q_c < q_b \le 1$  (sub-explosive)implies

 $A'(q_c) < 0$ 

and

 $B(q_c) > 0$ 

One thus could find the smallest positive integer k such that

 $kA'(q_c) + 2B(q_c) \le 0.$ 

Now, recursively define, by using  $A_0(s)$  and  $B_0(s)$  as before

Now, recursively define, by using  $A_0(s)$  and  $B_0(s)$  as before

$$A_{n+1}(s) = A_n(s)B_n(s)$$

Now, recursively define, by using  $A_0(s)$  and  $B_0(s)$  as before

$$A_{n+1}(s) = A_n(s)B_n(s)$$

$$B_{n+1}(s) = B_n(s)[B_n(s) + A'_n(s)] - A_n(s)B'_n(s)$$

Now, recursively define, by using  $A_0(s)$  and  $B_0(s)$  as before

$$A_{n+1}(s) = A_n(s)B_n(s)$$

$$B_{n+1}(s) = B_n(s)[B_n(s) + A'_n(s)] - A_n(s)B'_n(s)$$

We may get the following conclusion (details omitted including the definitions of  $D_{m,k}$  etc.

Under some mild conditions, we have

Under some mild conditions, we have

**Theorem 5.5** Suppose that Q is a sub-explosive IBC-q-matrix satisfying

 $A'(q_c) + 2B(q_c) > 0$ 

and that

$$-2B(q_c)/A'(q_c)$$

is not an integer. Let

$$m = \min\{k \ge 1; kA'(q_c) + 2B(q_c) < 0\}$$

Under some mild conditions, we have

**Theorem 5.5** Suppose that Q is a sub-explosive IBC-q-matrix satisfying

 $A'(q_c) + 2B(q_c) > 0$ 

and that

$$-2B(q_c)/A'(q_c)$$

is not an integer. Let

$$m = \min\{k \ge 1; kA'(q_c) + 2B(q_c) < 0\}$$

Then

#### Then

$$a_{i} = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{m \wedge i} \frac{i!}{(i-k)!} \int_{\eta_{c}}^{q_{c}} \frac{y^{i-k} D_{m,k}(y)}{A_{m}(y)} e^{H_{m}(y)} dy}{\int_{\eta_{c}}^{q_{c}} \frac{D_{m,0}(y)}{A_{m}(y)} e^{H_{m}(y)} dy}.$$
(12)

#### Then

$$a_{i} = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{m \wedge i} \frac{i!}{(i-k)!} \int_{\eta_{c}}^{q_{c}} \frac{y^{i-k} D_{m,k}(y)}{A_{m}(y)} e^{H_{m}(y)} dy}{\int_{\eta_{c}}^{q_{c}} \frac{D_{m,0}(y)}{A_{m}(y)} e^{H_{m}(y)} dy}.$$
(13)

#### **Remark: If**

 $-2B(q_c)/A'(q_c)$ 

is an integer, the problem is much simpler.

Still little is known about IBCP. Many important as well as interesting questions are hunting for their masters and homes. The following are some pets.

Still little is known about IBCP. Many important as well as interesting questions are hunting for their masters and homes. The following are some pets.

**Question 1 (PDE)** More information from **PDE** (3.1) or **ODE** (3.2)?

Still little is known about IBCP. Many important as well as interesting questions are hunting for their masters and homes. The following are some pets.

**Question 1 (PDE)** More information from **PDE** (3.1) or **ODE** (3.2)?

We are quite confident that the sequence of unknown functions  $F_i(t,s)$   $(i \ge 1)$  can be expressed in terms of two independent functions, called u(t,s) and v(t,s), say. The questions are

(i) Who are the good "candidates" for u(t,s) and v(t,s)?

(i) Who are the good "candidates" for u(t,s) and v(t,s)?

(ii) what kind of relations and functions do u(t,s) and v(t,s) satisfy?

- (i) Who are the good "candidates" for u(t,s) and v(t,s)?
- (ii) what kind of relations and functions do u(t,s) and v(t,s) satisfy?
- (iii) How to express  $F_i(t,s)$  in terms of u(t,s) and v(t,s)?

- (i) Who are the good "candidates" for u(t,s) and v(t,s)?
- (ii) what kind of relations and functions do u(t,s) and v(t,s) satisfy?
- (iii) How to express  $F_i(t,s)$  in terms of u(t,s) and v(t,s)?
- This may need hard but highly rewarding job and worth trying.

**Question 2** Interaction between the two components.

**Question 2** Interaction between the two components.

Clarity the effect, scheme and mechanism of the interaction between MBP and MCP!

**Question 2** Interaction between the two components.

Clarity the effect, scheme and mechanism of the interaction between MBP and MCP!

Design and control of the interaction!

#### **Question 3** Extinction time and explosion Time

### **Question 3** Extinction time and explosion Time

Mean extinction time? Conditional mean extinction time? Under what conditions do they finite?

### **Question 3** Extinction time and explosion Time

Mean extinction time? Conditional mean extinction time? Under what conditions do they finite?

Explosion probability and explosion time?

### **Question 3** Extinction time and explosion Time

Mean extinction time? Conditional mean extinction time? Under what conditions do they finite?

Explosion probability and explosion time?

Distributions and conditional distributions of extinction time and explosion time? Also, other hitting times?

More importantly and interestingly

### **Question 4** Decay parameter and QSD.

Until now we know nothing about them. Hence answer even some of the following is of significance.

More importantly and interestingly

### **Question 4** Decay parameter and QSD.

Until now we know nothing about them. Hence answer even some of the following is of significance.

Decay parameter (exact value or at least good bounds)?

More importantly and interestingly

### **Question 4** Decay parameter and QSD.

Until now we know nothing about them. Hence answer even some of the following is of significance.

Decay parameter (exact value or at least good bounds)?

Invariant measure and /or vector?

More importantly and interestingly

### **Question 4** Decay parameter and QSD.

Until now we know nothing about them. Hence answer even some of the following is of significance.

Decay parameter (exact value or at least good bounds)?

Invariant measure and /or vector?

QSD? Conditional limiting distributions?

**Question 5** Generalizations!

#### **Question 5** Generalizations!

(i) Weighted MBCP (i.e. replace  $C_i^2$  by general  $w_{1i} (i \ge 2)$ and *i* by  $w_{2i} (i \ge 1)$ ?

#### **Question 5** Generalizations!

(i) Weighted MBCP (i.e. replace  $C_i^2$  by general  $w_{1i} (i \ge 2)$ and *i* by  $w_{2i} (i \ge 1)$ ?

(ii) Immigration and emigration (both state-dependent and /or state-independent)?

#### **Question 5** Generalizations!

(i) Weighted MBCP (i.e. replace  $C_i^2$  by general  $w_{1i} (i \ge 2)$ and *i* by  $w_{2i} (i \ge 1)$ ?

(ii) Immigration and emigration (both state-dependent and /or state-independent)?

(iii) Effect of immigration and/or emigration on extinction probabilities, unconditional and/or conditional mean extinction times, explosions and QSD and conditional limiting distributions.

(iv) IBCP with continuous-state space. Recall continuous-state space MBP for both jump and diffusion types.

(iv) IBCP with continuous-state space. Recall continuous-state space MBP for both jump and diffusion types.

(v) IBCP with diffusions.

(iv) IBCP with continuous-state space. Recall continuous-state space MBP for both jump and diffusion types.

- (v) IBCP with diffusions.
- (vi) IBCP with random environments.

(iv) IBCP with continuous-state space. Recall continuous-state space MBP for both jump and diffusion types.

- (v) IBCP with diffusions.
- (vi) IBCP with random environments.

Last (for the time being) but not least.

Last (for the time being) but not least.

**Question 6** Applications!

Last (for the time being) but not least.

### **Question 6** Applications!

Particularly in probability modelling of Biological, computing, and social (financial modelling, say) Sciences.

Thanks you all for your attention.