

Monge Optimal Transport and Fokker-Planck Equations

SHIZAN FANG

Université de Bourgogne and Beijing Normal University

July, 18, 2007

Let $X =$ Polish space with distance d . Given Probability measures μ and ν , the L^2 -Wasserstein distance is defined as

$$W_2^2(\mu, \nu) = \inf_{\pi \in \mathcal{C}(\mu, \nu)} \int_{X \times X} d(x, y)^2 \pi(dx, dy).$$

In general, W_2 is a pseudo-distance. Let

$$\mathcal{P}_2(X) = \left\{ \mu \mid M_2(\mu) := \int_X d(x_0, x)^2 d\mu(x) < +\infty \right\},$$

where x_0 is fixed. It's known that $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_2)$ is a Polish space.

Moreover, $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ w.r.t. W_2

$$\iff \mu_n \rightarrow \mu \text{ weakly and}$$

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_n \int_{d(x_0, x) \geq N} d(x_0, x)^2 d\mu_n(x) = 0.$$

Monge optimal transport is to find $T : X \rightarrow X$ which pushes μ forward to ν , such that

$$W_2^2(\mu, \nu) = \int_X d(x, T(x))^2 d\mu(x).$$

Here are some examples for which T does exist.

- ▶ $X = \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mu \ll \lambda_d$, $\nu \ll \lambda_d$, $\exists !T$ (Brenier, 91).
- ▶ $X = \mathbb{R}^d$, μ, ν not charge subset of $\dim_H < d$ (McCann, 96).
- ▶ $X = \text{compact manifold}$, (McCann 2001) J. Geometric Analysis.

- $X = \text{Wiener space} = C_0([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$, $\mu = \text{Wiener measure}$. The Riemannian distance is

$$d_H(x, y) = \begin{cases} |x - y|_H, & \text{if } x - y \in H, \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here $h \in H \iff \int_0^1 |\dot{h}(t)|^2 dt < +\infty$. The moment of order 2

$$\int_X |x - x_0|^2 d\nu(x) < +\infty$$

is dependent of $x_0 \in X$. so the space $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$ is not suitable.

The following result is due to Feyel and Üstünel (PTRF 2005).

Theorem *There exists a unique $S : X \rightarrow H$ such that*

$$(I + S)_* f \mu = g \mu$$

and $\int_X |S(x)|_H^2 f(x) d\mu(x) = W_2^2(f\mu, g\mu)$ if $\text{Ent}_\mu(f) < +\infty$, $\text{Ent}_\mu(g) < +\infty$, where $\text{Ent}_\mu(f) := \int f \log f d\mu$.

- ▶ Another example is $X = \text{loop group}$

$$\mathcal{L}_e(G) = \{\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow G \text{ continuous; } \gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = e\}.$$

It is the simplest non flat infinite dimensional manifold. (Fang and J. Shao, JFA 2007).

Fokker-Planck Equations Let's regard the case

$$\frac{\partial \rho_t}{\partial t} = -L\rho_t + \operatorname{div}_\gamma(\nabla\psi \cdot \rho_t) \quad (FP)$$

where (ρ_t) is a family of probability measures on \mathbb{R}^d , γ is the standard Gaussian measure on \mathbb{R}^d , and $\operatorname{div}_\gamma(Z)$ is defined by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi \operatorname{div}_\gamma(Z) dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla\varphi, Z \rangle dx.$$

The equation is understood in distribution sense:

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{[0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^d} \alpha'(t) \rho_t \varphi(x) \, dt d\gamma(x) \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_0 \alpha(0) \varphi(x) \, d\gamma(x) + \int_{[0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}^d} \alpha(t) \rho_t (L\varphi - \langle \nabla \varphi, \nabla \psi \rangle) \, dt d\gamma \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha \in C_c^\infty([0, \infty[)$, $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $\phi \geq 0$ is given but we do not assume that

$$\int e^{\lambda \phi} \, d\gamma < +\infty.$$

We can not apply the theory of Dirichlet form.

De Giorgin's minimizing motive Let (E, d) be a metric space. $\phi : E \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]$ be a "good function". The method consists of two steps

(i) given $h > 0$, define $U_h^{(n)} \in E$ which minimizes

$$U \mapsto \frac{1}{2}d^2(U, U_h^{(n-1)}) + h\Phi(U).$$

(ii) define $U_h(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_h^{(n)} \mathbf{1}_{[nh, (n+1)h]}(t)$.

Then as $h \downarrow 0$, $U_h(t)$ converges to the flow associated to the gradient $-\nabla\Phi$:

$$\frac{dU_t}{dt} = -\nabla\Phi(U_t) \quad (\text{in good case}).$$

Wasserstein distance approach by Jordan, Kinderlehrer, Otto(98)

Consider $\mathcal{K} = \{\rho : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \mid \int \rho d\gamma = 1, M_2(\rho) < +\infty\}$.

For $\rho_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $\Phi(\rho_0) < +\infty$, where

$$\Phi(\rho) = \int \phi \rho d\gamma + \text{Ent}_\gamma(\rho).$$

(i) $\exists \{\rho^{(n)}\} \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2}W_2^2(\rho^{(n-1)}, \rho^{(n)}) + h\Phi(\rho^{(n)}) = \inf_{\rho \in \mathcal{K}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}W_2^2(\rho^{(n-1)}, \rho) + h\Phi(\rho) \right\}.$$

(ii) $\rho_h(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \rho^{(n)} \mathbf{1}_{[nh, (n+1)h]}(t) \rightarrow \rho(t)$ which is a solution of (FP).

Why and what is “ $\nabla\Phi$ ”?

Let Z be a smooth vector field on \mathbb{R}^d , with compact support. (U_t^Z) flow of diffeomorphism. Then

$$(U_t^Z)_*\gamma = k_t\gamma, \quad k_t(x) = \exp\left(-\int_0^t \operatorname{div}_\gamma(Z)(U_{-s}^Z) ds\right).$$

Recall that $\int \operatorname{div}_\gamma(Z) \cdot \phi d\gamma = -\int \langle \nabla\phi, Z \rangle d\gamma$. Let $\rho \in \mathcal{K}$. Consider

$$\rho_t = (U_t^Z)_*(\rho\gamma).$$

We have

$$\int f d\rho_t = \int f(U_t^Z)\rho d\gamma = \int f\rho(U_{-t}^Z)k_t d\gamma.$$

So $\rho_t = \rho(U_t^Z)k_t$.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Ent}_\gamma(\rho_t) &= \int \rho(U_{-t}^Z)k_t \log(\rho(U_{-t}^Z)) \, d\gamma \\ &= \int \rho \log(\rho k_t(U_t^Z)) \, d\gamma \\ &= \text{Ent}_\gamma(\rho) + \int \log k_t(U_t^Z) \rho \, d\gamma\end{aligned}$$

So $\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \text{Ent}_\gamma(\rho_t) = - \int \text{div}_\gamma(Z)\rho \, d\gamma$. Next

$$\int \psi \rho_t \, d\gamma = \int \psi \rho(U_{-t}^Z)k_t \, d\gamma = \int \psi(U_t^Z)\rho \, d\gamma.$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \int \psi \rho_t \, d\gamma = \int \langle \nabla \psi, Z \rangle \rho \, d\gamma.$$

Now let $Z = \nabla\varphi$, $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \Phi(\rho_t) = - \int L\varphi\rho d\gamma + \int \langle \nabla\psi, \nabla\varphi \rangle \rho d\gamma.$$

Otto “Tangent space” For $\rho \in \mathcal{K}$,

$$T_\rho\mathcal{K} = \text{generated by } \{\nabla\varphi; \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\}.$$

Sketch of the proof for (i) It is sufficient to consider $n = 0$.

There is a sequence $(\rho_m)_{m \geq 1}$ such that

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{2}W_2^2(\rho_0, \rho_m) + h\Phi(\rho_m) \leq \inf + \frac{1}{m} < \inf + 1$$

This implies

$$(1) \quad \sup_m \int |x|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^2 d\rho_m < +\infty \Rightarrow \{\rho_m\} \text{ is tight.}$$

In fact,

$$(2) \quad R^2 \rho_m(|x| > R) \leq \int |x|^2 d\rho_m,$$

so $\rho_m \rightarrow \rho$ weakly as $m \rightarrow +\infty$.

Remarks towards Wiener space: (1) has no meaning; (2) $\{|x| \leq R\}$ is not compact for uniform norm and too poor for $|\cdot|_H$.

Wiener space $X = C_0([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$, $H =$ Cameron-Martin space,
 $\mu =$ Wiener measure.

We consider

$$\mathcal{K} = \left\{ \rho : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \mid \int_X \rho d\mu = 1, \text{Ent}_\mu(\rho) < +\infty \right\}.$$

Proposition 1 Let $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. Suppose there is $\rho \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $\int_X \psi \rho d\mu < +\infty$. Then for any $\rho_0 \in \mathcal{K}$, $h > 0$, there is a unique $\hat{\rho} \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2}W_2^2(\rho_0, \hat{\rho}) + h\Phi(\hat{\rho}) = \inf_{\rho \in \mathcal{K}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}W_2^2(\rho_0, \rho) + h\Phi(\rho) \right\}.$$

Recall that

- ▶ $W_2^2(\rho_0, \hat{\rho}) = \inf_{\pi \in \mathcal{C}(\rho_0, \hat{\rho})} \left\{ \int |x - y|_H^2 \pi(dx, dy) \right\}.$
- ▶ $W_2^2(\rho_0 \mu, \mu) \leq \text{Ent}_\mu(\rho_0)$ by Talagrand's inequality 1996. So $W_2^2(\rho_0, \rho_1) < +\infty$ if $\rho_0, \rho_1 \in \mathcal{K}.$

Proof. As before, we have $\rho_m \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2} W_2^2(\rho_0 \mu, \rho_m \mu) + h\Phi(\rho_m) \leq \inf + 1.$$

Now using Feyel-Üstünel's result, $\exists S_m : X \rightarrow H$ such that

$$(I + S_m)_*(\rho_0 \mu) = \rho_m \mu$$
$$W_2^2(\rho_0 \mu, \rho_m \mu) = \int_X |S_m|_H^2 \rho_0 d\mu.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists K \subset X$ compact such that $\rho_0(K^c) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Consider $K_R = K + \{h \in H \mid |h|_H \leq R\}$ compact in X . We have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_m(K_R^c) &= \int_X \mathbf{1}_{K_R^c}(x + S_m(x)) \rho_0(x) \, d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_K \mathbf{1}_{K_R^c}(x + S_m(x)) \rho_0 \, d\mu + \int_{K^c} \mathbf{1}_{K_R^c}(x + S_m(x)) \rho_0 \, d\mu\end{aligned}$$

The second term is $\leq \rho_0(K^c) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, while the first is dominated by

$$\int_{|S_m(x)| > R} \rho_0(x) \, d\mu(x) \leq \frac{1}{R^2} \int |S_m(x)|_H^2 \rho_0(x) \, d\mu(x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

So that $\{\rho_m \mu; m \geq 1\}$ is tight. Up to a subsequence, $\rho_m \mu \rightarrow \nu$.

Now the condition

$$\sup_m \text{Ent}_\mu(\rho_m) < +\infty$$

implies that $\{\rho_m; m \geq 1\}$ is uniformly integrable; so that for any bounded Borel function F

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \int_X F \rho_m \, d\mu = \int_X F \, d\nu.$$

Therefore, $\nu \ll \mu$. Let $\hat{\rho} = \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}$. It is standard to prove that

$$\text{Ent}_\mu(\hat{\rho}) \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ent}_\mu(\rho_m).$$

In conclusion $\hat{\rho} \in \mathcal{H}$.

Let $\rho^{(0)} \in \mathcal{K}$ be given such that

$$\Phi(\rho^{(0)}) = \int_X \psi \rho^{(0)} d\mu + \text{Ent}_\mu(\rho^{(0)}) < +\infty.$$

Define

$$\rho_h(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho^{(k)} \mathbf{1}_{[kh, (k+1)h)}(t).$$

Proposition 2 Let $T > 0$. Then the family of measure $\{\rho_h(t) dt d\mu; h > 0\}$ on $[0, T] \times X$ is tight.

Proof. By construction of $\{\rho^{(k)}; k \geq 1\}$, we have, for each $k \geq 1$,

$$\frac{1}{2} W_2^2(\rho^{(k-1)}, \rho^{(k)}) + h \Phi(\rho^{(k)}) \leq h \Phi(\rho^{(k-1)}).$$

Let $N \geq 1$ such that $Nh \leq T$.

Then

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N W_2^2(\rho^{(k-1)}, \rho^{(k)}) + h\Phi(\rho^{(N)}) \leq h\Phi(\rho^{(0)}).$$

Therefore for any $1 \leq q \leq N$,

$$W_2^2(\rho^{(0)}, \rho^{(q)}) \leq N \sum_{k=1}^q W_2^2(\rho^{(k-1)}, \rho^{(k)}) \leq 2Nh\Phi(\rho^{(0)}) \leq 2T\Phi(\rho^{(0)}).$$

In the same way, there exists a compact $K \subset X$ such that

$$\rho^{(q)}(K^c) < \varepsilon, \quad \forall 1 \leq q \leq N.$$

Therefore

$$\int_{[0,T] \times K^c} \rho_h(t) dt d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^N \rho^{(k)}(K^c) h \leq \varepsilon N h \leq \varepsilon T.$$

In the same way, Letting $h \downarrow 0$

$$\rho_h(t)dt d\mu \rightarrow \rho_t dt d\mu, \quad \text{weakly in } L^1.$$

Now $(\rho_h(t))$ satisfies the following (FP):

$$\frac{d\rho_t}{dt} = L\rho_t + \operatorname{div}_\mu(\nabla\psi \cdot \rho_t), \quad \rho_t|_{t=0} = \rho^{(0)},$$

where $L = \text{O.U. operator on } X$.

Thank You!