

# Mean-Variance portfolio selection under partial information

Jie Xiong  
University of Tennessee  
and  
Hebei Normal University

This talk is based on a joint research with Xun Yu Zhou from Chinese University in Hong Kong.

# 1. Introduction

$n$  stocks, 1 bond

$$\begin{cases} dS_i(t) = S_i(t) \left( \mu_i(t)dt + \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{\sigma}_{ij}(t)d\tilde{W}_j(t) \right), \\ dS_0(t) = S_0(t)\mu_0(t)dt, \end{cases}$$

$i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\begin{cases} \mu_i(t) = \text{appreciation rate} \\ \mu_0(t) = \text{interest rate} \\ \tilde{\Sigma}(t) = (\tilde{\sigma}_{ij}(t))_{n \times m} = \text{volatility matrix} \end{cases}$$

Information:

$$\mathcal{G}_t = \sigma(S_i(s) : s \leq t, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

By Itô's formula,

$$d \log S_i(t) = \left( \mu_i(t) - \frac{1}{2} a_{ii}(t) \right) dt + \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{\sigma}_{ij}(t) d\tilde{W}_j(t)$$

where

$$a_{ij}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^m \tilde{\sigma}_{ik}(t) \tilde{\sigma}_{jk}(t).$$

*Assumption (ND):*  $\forall t \geq 0$ , the  $n \times n$ -matrix  $A(t) \equiv (a_{ij}(t))$  full rank a.s.

Remark: The case  $n < m$  (incomplete market) is allowed.

$$\text{Cov}(\log S_i, \log S_j)_t = \int_0^t a_{ij}(s) ds.$$

$A(t)$  is  $\mathcal{G}_t$ -adapted. Defined

$$\Sigma(t) = A(t)^{1/2}$$

Then  $\Sigma(t)$  is  $\mathcal{G}_t$ -adapted.

Martingale representation

$$d \log S_i(t) - \left( \mu_i(t) - \frac{1}{2} a_{ii}(t) \right) dt = \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_{ij}(t) dW_j(t) \quad (1)$$

Note

$$\mu_0(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \log S_0(t)$$

is also  $\mathcal{G}_t$ -adapted.

However, the stochastic process

$$\mu(t) \equiv (\mu_1(t), \dots, \mu_n(t))$$

is not necessarily  $\mathcal{G}_t$ -adapted.

Portfolio  $u(t) = (u_1(t), \dots, u_n(t))$ .

$u_i(t)$  = dollar amount in  $i$ th stock.

## Wealth process

$$X(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n u_i(t).$$

Remark: The investor bases his decision on available info, i.e.,  $u(t)$  must be  $\mathcal{G}_t$ -adapted.

## *Self-financed*

$$dX(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{u_i(t)}{S_i(t)} dS_i(t).$$

## *Mean-variance selection*

Given the mean of the terminal wealth, minimize the variance:

$$\min \left\{ \text{Var}(X(T)) : \begin{array}{l} EX(T) = z, \\ u(t) \text{ is } \mathcal{G}_t\text{-adapted} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Single period

Markowitz (1952), Merton (1972) Analytic solution

Multi-period

Mossin (1968), Samuelson (1969), ... ..

Continuous time

Föllmer-Sondermann (1986), Duffie-Jackson (1990),

Duffie-Richardson (1991). Zhou and his coauthors



## 2. Filtering problem

Suppose that  $A(t) = A(\mu(t), \zeta(t))$  where  $\zeta(t)$ , independent of  $\mu(t)$ , represents other random factors which may affect  $A(t)$ ,  $\mu(t)$  is Markovian w/ generator  $L$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma(t)^{-1} d \log S(t) &= \Sigma(t)^{-1} \left( \mu(t) - \frac{1}{2} \text{diag}(A(t)) \right) dt \\ &= dW(t)\end{aligned}$$

Denote it as

$$Y(t) = Y(0) + \int_0^t h(s, \mu) ds + W(t).$$

$\mu(t)$  is the signal and  $Y(t)$  is the observation. Note that  $\mathcal{F}_t^Y = \mathcal{G}_t$ . How to estimate  $\mu(t)$  based on  $\mathcal{G}_t$ ?

Define measure-valued process

$$U(t)(\cdot) = E(\mu(t) \in \cdot | \mathcal{G}_t).$$

Namely

$$\langle U(t), f \rangle = E(f(\mu(t)) | \mathcal{G}_t).$$

How to calculate  $U(t)$ ?

Remark:  $Y(t)$  and  $\mu(t)$  not independent;  $W(t)$  and  $\mu(t)$  independent.

Girsanov formula: Change measure so that  $Y(t)$  and  $\mu(t)$  independent under new measure.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\tilde{P}}{dP} &= M(T) \\ &= \exp \left( \int_0^T h(s, \mu) dY(s) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |h(s, \mu)|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} dM(t) &= M(t)h(t, \mu)dY(t) \\ &= M(t) \left( \mu(t) - \frac{1}{2} \text{diag}(A(t)) \right)' \Sigma(t)^{-1} dY(t). \end{aligned}$$

Under  $\tilde{P}$ ,  $Y(t)$  and  $\mu(t)$  indep.

Kallianpur-Striebel formula,

$$E(f(\mu(t)) | \mathcal{G}_t) = \frac{\langle V(t), f \rangle}{\langle V(t), 1 \rangle}$$

where

$$\langle V(t), f \rangle = \tilde{E}(f(\mu(t))M(t) | \mathcal{G}_t)$$

is the unnormalized filter.

By the arguments in Kurtz and Xiong (1999),  $V(t)$  is represented as

$$\langle V(t), f \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k M^i(t) f(\mu^i(t)) \quad (2)$$

where  $(\mu^1(t), \zeta^1(t)), (\mu^2(t), \zeta^2(t)), \dots$  are independent copies of  $(\mu(t), \zeta(t))$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & dM^i(t) \\ = & M^i(t) \left( \mu^i(t) - \frac{1}{2} \text{diag}(A(\mu^i(t), \zeta^i(t))) \right)' \\ & \Sigma(\mu^i(t), \zeta^i(t))^{-1} dY(t). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Now we derive from Itô's formula and (2-3) a SPDE for the unnormalized filter  $V(t)$ .

Since  $\mu^i(t)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , are independent Markov processes with generator  $L$ , there are independent martingales  $N^i(t)$  such that

$$df(\mu^i(t)) = Lf(\mu^i(t))dt + dN^i(t).$$

By Itô's formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(M^i(t)f(\mu^i(t))) &= M^i(t) \left( Lf(\mu^i(t))dt + dN^i(t) \right) \\ &+ f(\mu^i(t)) \left( \mu^i(t) - \frac{1}{2} \text{diag} A(\mu^i(t), \zeta^i(t)) \right) \\ &\Sigma(\mu^i(t), \zeta^i(t))^{-1} dY(t). \end{aligned}$$

Take average for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  and let  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we see that  $V(t)$  satisfies the following Zakai equation:

$$d \langle V(t), f \rangle = \langle V(t), Lf \rangle dt + \langle V(t), G_t f \rangle dY(t) \quad (4)$$

where

$$G_t f(\mu) = f(\mu) E \left\{ \left( \mu - \frac{1}{2} \text{diag} A(\mu, \zeta(t)) \right) \Sigma(\mu, \zeta(t))^{-1} \right\}.$$

Apply Kallianpur-Striebel and Itô, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & d \langle U(t), f \rangle \\ = & \langle U(t), Lf \rangle dt \\ & + (\langle U(t), G_t f \rangle - \langle U(t), f \rangle \langle U(t), G_t 1 \rangle) d\nu(t) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\nu(t) = Y(t) - \int_0^t \langle U(s), G_s 1 \rangle ds$$

is the innovation process.

### 3. Optimization

$$\begin{aligned}dX(t) &= \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{u_i(t)}{S_i(t)} dS_i(t) \\ &= \left( X(t)\mu_0(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\mu_i(t) - \mu_0(t))u_i(t) \right) dt \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sigma_{ij}(t)u_i(t)dW_j(t).\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\mu_i(t)$  and  $W_j(t)$  are not  $\mathcal{G}_t$ -adapted.



Take expectation

$$\begin{aligned} X(t) &= E(X(t)|\mathcal{G}_t) \\ &= x_0 + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_0^t \sigma_{ij}(s) u_i(s) d\nu_j(s) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \left( X(s) \mu_0(s) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{\mu}_i(s) - \mu_0(s)) u_i(s) \right) ds \end{aligned}$$

where innovation process  $\nu(t)$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(t) &\equiv E(W(t)|\mathcal{G}_t) \\ &= Y(t) - \int_0^t \langle U(s), G_s \mathbf{1} \rangle ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\nu(t)$  is martingale with

$$\langle \nu \rangle_t = tI.$$

So it is a  $\mathcal{G}_t$ -B.M.

Let  $\bar{\mu}_i(t) = E(\mu_i(t)|\mathcal{G}_t)$ . Then

$$W(t) = \nu(t) + \int_0^t \Sigma(s)^{-1} (\bar{\mu}(s) - \mu(s)) ds.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} dX(t) &= \left( X(t)\mu_0(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{\mu}_i(t) - \mu_0(t))u_i(t) \right) dt \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sigma_{ij}(t)u_i(t)d\nu_j(t). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The optimization problem becomes

$$\min \left( E(X(T) - z)^2 \right)$$

subject to

$$EX(T) = z, \quad (5), \quad u_i(t) \text{ is } \mathcal{G}_t\text{-adapted.}$$

Define

$$b_j(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{ij}^{-1}(t) (\bar{\mu}_i(t) - \mu_0(t))$$

and

$$d\rho(t) = -\rho(t)\mu_0(t)dt - \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(t)\rho(t)d\nu_j(t). \quad (6)$$

Applying Itô's formula to (6) and (5), we have

$$X(t) = \rho(t)^{-1} E(\rho(T) X(T) | \mathcal{G}_t). \quad (7)$$

Take  $t = 0$ , we have

$$E(\rho(T) X(T)) = x_0. \quad (8)$$

We derive the optimal strategy in two steps. First, we solve a static optimization problem subject to constraint (8) to find the best  $X^*(T)$ . Finally, we show that there is a portfolio such that  $X^*(T)$  is its terminal wealth.

(a) Static problem

$$\min \left( E(X(T) - z)^2 \right)$$

subject to

$$EX(T) = z, \quad E(\rho(T)x(T)) = x_0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} X(T) &= z + \frac{x_0 - zE\rho(T)}{\text{Var}(\rho(T))}(\rho(T) - E\rho(T)) \\ &\equiv v. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

(b) Replicate  $v$

We seek the wealth process  $X(t)$  which satisfies (5) and  $X(T) = v$ . Namely, we seek a solution to the following BSDE:

$$\begin{cases} dX(t) = \left( X(t)\mu_0(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n (\bar{\mu}_j(t) - \mu_0(t))u_j(t) \right) dt \\ \quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sigma_{ij}(t)u_i(t)d\nu_j(t) \\ X(T) = v. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Let

$$Z_j(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{ij}(t)u_i(t).$$

Then

$$u_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_{ij}^{-1}(t) Z_j(t).$$

Then, (10) becomes

$$\begin{cases} dX(t) = \left( X(t)\mu_0(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(t) Z_j(t) \right) dt \\ \quad + \sum_{j=1}^n Z_j(t) d\nu_j(t) \\ X(T) = v. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

If  $\mathcal{F}_t^\nu = \mathcal{G}_t$ , (11) is the usual BSDE. However, it is well-known that, in general,  $\mathcal{F}_t^\nu \neq \mathcal{G}_t$ .

Thm: (11) has a solution. Further, the optimal portfolio is given by

$$u_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^{-1}(t) (\bar{\mu}_j(t) - \mu_0(t)) \\ + \rho(t)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_{ij}^{-1}(t) \eta_j(t)$$

where

$$\eta_j(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \langle N, \nu_j \rangle_t$$

and

$$N(t) = E(\rho(T)v | \mathcal{G}_t).$$



## Numerical sol. for optimal portfolio

recall (6)

$$d\rho(t) = -\rho(t)\mu_0(t)dt - \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(t)\rho(t)d\nu_j(t).$$

Let  $(b^1, \nu^1), (b^2, \nu^2), \dots$  be indep. copies of  $(b, \nu)$ .

Define

$$\rho^i(t, t') = \rho(t) \quad t \leq t'$$

For  $t > t'$ , let  $\rho^i(t, t')$  be defined by (6) with  $(b, \nu)$  replaced by

$(b^i, \nu^i)$ . Then

$$N(t) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \rho^i(T, t) v^i(T, t)$$

where

$$v^i(T, t) = z + \frac{x_0 - z E \rho^i(T, t)}{\text{Var}(\rho^i(T, t))} (\rho^i(T, t) - E \rho^i(T, t)).$$